Quality characteristics of Moroccan sweet paprika (Capsicum annuum L.) at different sampling times
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Abstract

“La Niора” is a red pepper variety cultivated in Tadla Region (Morocco) which is used for manufacturing paprika after sun drying. The paprika quality (nutritional, chemical and microbiological) was evaluated immediately after milling, from September to December. Sampling time mainly affected paprika color and the total capsaicinoid and vitamin C contents. The commercial quality was acceptable and no aflatoxins were found, but the microbial load sometimes exceeded permitted levels.
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1 Introduction

Pepper (Capsicum sp.) is grown in many countries of the world. In Morocco, red pepper (Capsicum annuum L.) for paprika is called “Niора” (similar to the Spanish name “ñora”, which is given to a certain group of pepper cultivars used for cooking and making paprika). The main production area is Tadla Region with more than 80% of the national production (HAKMAOUI; OUATMANE; FERNÁNDEZ-TRUJILLO, 2011). The plant material and the sun drying method used means that the paprika produced in Tadla resembles the paprika produced in Murcia (southeastern Spain) (ESCARABAJAL; FERNÁNDEZ-TRUJILLO, 2009; FERNÁNDEZ-TRUJILLO; ESCARABAJAL, 2006). Given the geographical location of Tadla, there is great interest in exporting paprika for distribution in Europe.

Paprika is a widely consumed condiment. The world supply is estimated at approximately 60,000 t per year, with an additional 1,400 t of paprika oleoresin (BUCKENHÜSKES, 2003). Paprika powder is used both domestically and for industrial purposes. In the food industry it is mainly used as a natural colorant to correct or reinforce the color of foodstuffs or to provide flavoring. It is also used in the pharmaceutical and cosmetic industries (FERNÁNDEZ-TRUJILLO; ESCARABAJAL, 2006; ESCARABAJAL; FERNÁNDEZ-TRUJILLO, 2009; FERNÁNDEZ-TRUJILLO; ESCARABAJAL, 2006). To obtain paprika, the pepper must be dehydrated by heating and then ground. Traditionally in Morocco and southeastern Spain, paprika is obtained by sun drying (SD) (CONDORI; SARAVIA, 2001; FERNÁNDEZ-TRUJILLO; ESCARABAJAL, 2006). It takes about 7-20 days (depending on the weather conditions) to reduce the moisture content to 10-15% (OBEROI et al., 2005). In Morocco, harvesting and sun drying is usually carried out over the four months from September to December (HAKMAOUI; OUATMANE; FERNÁNDEZ-TRUJILLO, 2011).

In paprika, food safety concerns usually refer to its microbial status and the possible presence of mycotoxins (BUCKENHÜSKES, 2003; FERNÁNDEZ-TRUJILLO; ESCARABAJAL, 2006; VALLE-ALGARRA et al., 2011). Other quality attributes in paprika include its color intensity, taste, stability, pungency, ascorbic acid content and chemical compounds (ESCARABAJAL; FERNÁNDEZ-TRUJILLO, 2009; YALDIZ; OZGUVEN; SEKEROGLU, 2010).

The color of paprika mainly comes from the carotenoids formed in the fruit during ripening, with more than 20 different pigments identified (DELI; PFANDER; TÓTH, 2002; TOPUZ; FENG; KUSHAD, 2009). During processing and storage, color degradation kinetics can be modeled depending on temperature and water activity (TOPUZ, 2008). Capsanthin is the main carotenoid in paprika, followed by capsorubin and provitamin A carotenoids (GALLARDO-GUERRERO et al., 2010). In rats, capsanthin has positive effects on health by increasing the high density lipoprotein (HDL) cholesterol in plasma and can increase cholesterol efflux to HDL particles (AIZAWA; INAKUMA 2009). Harvesting the fruit in a very advanced stage of maturity can increase pericarp soluble pectin and calcium pectate, which has a detrimental effect on paprika quality due to the need of increasing processing time for pepper drying (GALLARDO-GUERRERO et al., 2010).

A high concentration of the antioxidant ascorbic acid in paprika plays a positive role in ensuring the stability of the final product (FERNÁNDEZ-TRUJILLO; ESCARABAJAL, 2006). However, paprika stored at 4 °C and 70% relative humidity without reconstitution of the antioxidant level shows reduced loss in carotenoids content due to autoxidation and similar quality attributes than before storage (PÉREZ-GÁLVEZ; HORNERO-MÉNDEZ; MÍNGUEZ-MOSQUERA, 2009). The stability of the main carotenoids of the red bell pepper during storage has been shown to depend on the drying conditions, with the rate of deterioration increasing as the drying temperature increases (DOYMAZ; PALA, 2002; VEGA-GÁLVEZ et al., 2008). In addition to better color intensity at harvest, partial slow drying improves color retention in...
spice because of additional recovery of fruit carotenogenesis and oxidative thermal-stress, particularly under illumination and after the first day of this process (PÉREZ-GALVEZ; HORNERO-MÉNDEZ; MÍNGUEZ-MOSQUERA, 2004), as red pigments are more stable than yellow pigments and beta-carotene is converted to more stable red colored xanthophylls (MÁRKUS et al., 1999; MÍNGUEZ-MOSQUERA; HORNERO-MÉNDEZ, 1994; MÍNGUEZ-MOSQUERA; JARÉN-GALÁN; GARRIDO-FERNÁNDEZ, 1994).

The fat content is another quality parameter of paprika pericarp as it is usually present in esterified form with carotenoids, providing a more homogenous and attractive spice (PÉREZ-GÁLVEZ; GARRIDO-FERNÁNDEZ; MÍNGUEZ-MOSQUERA, 1999).

The pungency of Capsicum species depends on the concentration of capsaicinoids, particularly capsaicin, in the pepper fruit. Usually, sweet paprika, the most commonly grown pepper in Morocco and Spain, has very low capsaicinoid content (FERNÁNDEZ-TRUJILLO; ESCARABAJAL, 2006). Capsaicinoids constitute a class of plant alkaloids, which are all fatty acid amides of vanil-lylamine (SCHWEIGGERT; CARLE; SCHIEBER, 2006). The major components are capsaicin, dihydrocapsaicin and nordihydrocapsaicin, accompanied by several minor capsaicinoids which are present at very low levels and which are not thought to contribute greatly to overall pungency (PERUCKA; MATERSKA, 2001).

Paprika, besides imparting pungency and a red color to dishes, is a rich source of provitamin A and vitamins B, C and E, and minerals like K, Ca, P, Fe, Na and Cu in trace amounts. Differences in the nutritional composition are determined by the cultivar, the growing conditions and fruit maturity, while other factors such as storage (BOSLAND; VOTAVA, 2000).

The aim of this study was to evaluate main food composition attributes of Moroccan sweet paprika at four sampling times that can be affecting the quality of the product.

2 Materials and methods

The pepper fruits were harvested during 2010 in the Tadla Azilal region of Morocco. Paprika powder samples derived from ‘Niora’ cultivar (Capsicum annuum L.) were obtained by means of the process previously reported that essentially includes fruit sun drying and grinding (HAKMAOUI; OUATMANE; FERNÁNDEZ-TRUJILLO, 2011), but no extra oil was added. Powders were taken immediately after milling at four different sampling periods (during first week of September, October, November and December). The average particle size of the powders was 400 μm. The samples were stored in hermetic containers in darkness and under refrigeration (4 °C) until analysis. Three replicates were used per harvest time obtained from three independent millings without adding oil. The samples for milling were taken randomly from different levels of the piles of sacks in which the collaborating companies store the sun dried peppers.

2.1 Measurement of chemical composition and paprika color

All analyses were made using analytical grade chemicals and reagents. The pH of the extract was obtained using a pH meter (Thermo Scientific Orion Star Series, USA) according to AOAC methods (HORWITZ, 2002). Pepper extracts were prepared by mixing 2 g powder sample with 80 mL distilled water in a shaker-incubator for 3 h at 200 rpm. Moisture of the samples was analyzed by weighing 5 g of the sample that was dried at 100 °C for 6 h (AOAC method 925.05; HORWITZ, 2002). The total ash content of the samples was determined according to the official AOAC method 941.12 (HORWITZ, 2002), incinerating the samples in a muffle furnace at 525 °C for 24 h. Ashes were quantified gravimetrically.

The ASTA color value of paprika powders was determined according to the official AOAC method 971.26 (HORWITZ, 2002) with a slight modification. Samples (0.1 g) were extracted with 20 mL acetone for 3 h by using a water bath (axially shaken at 140 rpm) maintained at 25 °C. Then the extract was diluted 1/5 with acetone. The absorbance of the diluted extract was measured against acetone at 460 nm by spectrophotometer. The extractable color of the samples was expressed in ASTA units: ASTA= Absorbance *16.4 * devf/ weight (1), where devf is the deviation factor of the spectrophotometer, which was calculated by dividing the theoretical absorbance by the real absorbance of standard color solution (0.001 M K_2Cr_2O_7 and 0.09 M (NH_4)_2Co (SO_4)_2 .6H_2O in 1.8M H_2SO_4) at 460 nm.

Chemical color determination (Tint) was evaluated by dividing the absorption at 470 nm by the absorption at 455 nm of the acetone extracts (DE GUEVARA et al., 1996; HORNERO-MÉNDEZ; MÍNGUEZ-MOSQUERA, 2001).

To measure skin color, a CR-300 chromameter (Minolta, Osaka, Japan) was used for (C illuminant, 0° viewing) previously calibrating it with a white-plate standard. A glass Petri dish containing the samples was placed below the light source. The values of the coordinates L*, a* and b* of each sample were determined in triplicate. Because chroma (C) and Hue angle (h°) have been shown to be more practical measures of color from an human sensorial point of view (McGUIRE, 1992), both parameters were calculated:

\[ C = \sqrt{(a^2 + b^2)^{1/2}} \]

\[ h° = \arctan(b*/a*) \]

2.2 Determination of nutritional attributes and chemical composition of paprika powders

The sugar content was determined using the Bertrand method by collecting the precipitate of the copper (II) oxide Cu_2O formed by reduction of the copper-alkaline solution, in presence of reducing sugars, and to measure it out by manganometry (BROWNE; ZERBAN, 1955). The crude fiber content of the raw Capsicum flour samples was determined by adding different concentrations of ethanol to cause selective precipitation of dietary fibers and then determined gravimetrically by weighing the mass of an insoluble fiber fraction isolated from a sample (AOAC 982.29 method, PROSKY et al., 1992). The oil content was analyzed gravimetrically after Soxhlet extraction using 10 g...
of paprika powder and 100 mL hexane as solvent following the AOAC No. 960.39 (HORWITZ, 2002). The solvent was removed by a rotary evaporator at 40 °C. The fatty acid composition of oil was determined in a mixture of subsamples of the three replicates following the European Standard ISO 12966:2011 (EUROPEAN..., 2011). Briefly 0.1 g of the oil was dissolved in 2 mL isooctane and 0.1 mL KOH (2N). The closed tube was stirred vigorously for 1 min at room temperature. The reaction of methylation was carried out after heating the mixture for 15 min at 80 °C in a water bath and then allowing the tube to cool at 25 °C. Then, 0.2 mL of 15% MeOH/H₂SO₄ was added and the mixture was heated again for 15 min with a subsequent cooling at 25 °C before adding 1 mL isooctane together with 2 mL of a 6.83 M solution of sodium chloride to help in the transfer of the methyl esters to the organic phase. Then the tube was centrifuged at 4500 rpm for 10 min. The fraction of isooctane was removed in a test tube, which 1 g sodium bisulfate monohydrate was added. After centrifugation at 4500 rpm for 10 min, the top isooctane phase was transferred to a vial and injected into a Varian 5890 gas chromatograph with a CP-Sil 88 capillary column (100 m long, 0.25 mm ID, film thickness 0.2 mm; Varian Deutschland, Darmstadt, Germany).

Total nitrogen was determined by the Kjeldahl method with two steps (mineralization and distillation) (POMERANZ; CLIFTON, 1987). Total nitrogen was converted into protein content by multiplying the N value by the factor 6.25.

The energy content of the red pepper paprika was determined by multiplying the values obtained for protein, total carbohydrates and total fat by 4.00, 3.75, and 9.00, respectively, and adding the results, as described in Durucasu and Tokusoglu (2007). The final results were multiplied by 4.1868 to express the energy content in kJ. The vitamin C was determined using the method of Benderitter et al. (1998).

The capsaicinoid content was determined mixing paprika powder (1 g) with 10 mL of acetonitrile and kept for 4 h at 80 °C with shaking in capped Erlenmeyer flask. The supernatant was filtered into a 2 mL glass vial by using a 0.45 µm membrane filter (Millipore), and then used for HPLC injection (COLLINS; WASMUND; BOSLAND, 1995).

Capsaicinoid content was converted to the Scoville Heat Value (SHV) by multiplying the individual capsinoid composition (in mg kg⁻¹ dry weight of the paprika) by the coefficient of the heat value for each individual compound, 9.3 for nordihydrocapsaicin (NDHCAPS) and 16.1 for both capsaicin (CAPS) and dihydrocapsaicin (DHCAPS) (TODD JUNIOR; BENSINGER; BIFTU, 1977).

\[
\text{Total SHV= [CAPS + DHCAPS] × 16.1 + [NDHCAPS × 9.3}} \quad (2)
\]

The mineral content was determined according to Osborne and Voogt (1978). Zinc, magnesium, iron, cadmium, lead and copper were analyzed by atomic absorption spectrophotometry using an UNICAM 929 AA Spectrometer ("ATIUNICAM"). Phosphorus was determined by the vanadomolybdophosphoric-yellow method (JACKSON, 1985).

2.3 Microbiological and aflatoxin analysis

All samples were analyzed following AOAC methods for measuring total aerobic mesophilic bacteria, Enterobacteriaceae, total coliforms, fecal coliforms, Staphylococcus, Staphylococcus aureus, Clostridium, yeast and mold (HORWITZ, 2002). Five grams of the pepper powder were mixed with 45 mL sterile peptone water solution in a Stomacher 400 Circulator (Seward, London, UK). Serial dilutions (1:10) of each homogenized sample were made in the same diluents and surface spread. Subsequent dilutions were prepared and plated on plate count agar for the total aerobic bacteria and on desoxycholate agar for coliforms. Microbial counting was performed 24–48 h after incubation at 30 °C, 37 °C and 46 °C for total aerobic bacteria, total coliforms, and fecal coliforms, respectively. Enterobacteria were counted on VRBG medium and incubated at 37 °C for 24–48 h. Clostridium genus was investigated on SPS medium at 46 °C for 24–48 h, Staphylococcus aureus were counted on BP medium and incubated at 37 °C for 48 h. Streptococcus were counted on Slanetz medium and incubated at 37 °C for 24–48 h. Detection of Salmonella was performed after enrichment and isolation on bismuth furbite medium by incubating the plates at 37 °C for at least 48 h. Molds and yeasts were incubated on malt extract agar added with chloramphenicol at 25 °C for 4–7 d. The results of the analysis were always expressed as colony forming units per gram (CFU/g). The total aflatoxin content was determined according to Gilbert and Ankla (2002).

2.4 Statistical analysis

Analysis of variance of the data for each attribute was computed using the SPSS logiciel software version 10.0. When the effect of harvest time was significant, the Duncan test at 5% level of probability was used to test the differences among mean values.

3 Results and discussion

3.1 Physical characteristics of paprika powders

The pH of the paprika ranged from 5.1 to 6.3 and the moisture content between 8.6 and 10.8 % with no significant difference between the times (Table 1). Paprika with a moisture content of less than 11% is deemed acceptable for marketing to ensure storage without mold growth (DOUGLAS; HEYES; SMALLFIELD, 2005). Moreover, the moisture content of paprika is very important because it is strongly correlated with the stability of ascorbic acid and pigment (KIM et al., 1982). Lee, Chung and Yam (1992) reported that dried pepper moisture content from 10 to 14% could retard color loss, while a content of less than 4% causes excessive color loss.

The total ash content of the paprika powders analyzed here ranged between 6.06 and 7.2% (Table 1), which are below the maximum permissible limit value (10%) according to ISO 7540 standard (BUCKENHUSKES, 2003).

The AOAC (ASSOCIATION..., 2002) method is the most widely used to measure the commercial quality of paprika because quantifies the total carotenoid content indirectly. ASTA
has been used as quality parameter in selection, breeding, and cultivar characterization (KIM; PARK; HWANG, 2002) and during the storage of the paprika under diverse conditions (PÉREZ-GALVEZ et al., 2004).

As regards extractable paprika color, the ASTA values of paprika ranged from 112 ± 12 (September) to 144 ± 16 (November) (Table 1), both of which are considered acceptable (AMERICAN..., 1999). High quality and expensive paprika powders usually show ASTA values above 100. The extractable red/yellow pigments ratio ranged between 0.989 and 0.993 (Table 1), both in the range reported for paprika by Carvajal et al. (1997) and Topuz, Feng and Kushad (2009).

The CIELAB color parameters for paprika showed a decrease in lightness from September (27.79%) to December (23.98%) (Table 1). The redness values (a*) did not change with sampling time but the yellowness (b*) value and the color saturation index C* decreased from September to December, indicating that the vividness of the powder color can be affected by, and perhaps due to, some continuous oxidation process. The hue angle index was around 46-49° with no significant effect of harvest time. Any changes in the color parameters could have been the consequence of the agricultural conditions and/or meteorological factors, especially temperature, sunshine and rainfall (MÁRKUS et al. 1999), which are known to influence pigment levels in pepper fruits.

### Table 1. Physical characteristics of paprika powders at different harvest times (mean ± SD, n = 3).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>September</th>
<th>October</th>
<th>November</th>
<th>December</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>pH</td>
<td>5.1 ± 0.3</td>
<td>5.4 ± 0.6</td>
<td>6.25 ± 0.3</td>
<td>5.42 ± 0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moisture (%)</td>
<td>10.80 ± 1.6</td>
<td>8.78 ± 1.4</td>
<td>10.03 ± 1.1</td>
<td>8.58 ± 1.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ash (%)</td>
<td>6.52 ± 0.3</td>
<td>7.16 ± 0.7</td>
<td>6.43 ± 0.2</td>
<td>6.06 ± 0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ASTA color units</td>
<td>112 ± 12</td>
<td>117 ± 5</td>
<td>144 ± 16</td>
<td>127 ± 6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tint</td>
<td>0.989</td>
<td>0.990</td>
<td>0.990</td>
<td>0.993</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Powder CIELAB color parameters</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lightness (L*)</td>
<td>27.79 ± 2</td>
<td>27.04 ± 1.5</td>
<td>24.56 ± 0.5</td>
<td>23.98 ± 0.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a* parameter</td>
<td>29.5 ± 1.2</td>
<td>28.76 ± 1.6</td>
<td>29.14 ± 1.4</td>
<td>27.16 ± 1.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b* parameter</td>
<td>34 ± 2.3</td>
<td>32.73 ± 1.4</td>
<td>29.77 ± 0.6</td>
<td>29.52 ± 2.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chroma index (C*)</td>
<td>45.01 ± 1.2</td>
<td>43.57 ± 2.1</td>
<td>41.66 ± 2.3</td>
<td>40.11 ± 2.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hue angle index (h°)</td>
<td>49.05 ± 0.5</td>
<td>48.69 ± 2.4</td>
<td>45.61 ± 2.6</td>
<td>47.38 ± 2.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Samples were obtained in triplicate in the first week of each month. Means within the same row with different superscript letter were significantly different at p < 0.05 according to a Duncan (1955) multiple range test.

### Table 2. Nutritional and chemical composition of paprika powder at different harvest times (mean ± SD, n = 3) on a dry weight (DW) basis.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameters</th>
<th>September</th>
<th>October</th>
<th>November</th>
<th>December</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Carbohydrate (g/100 g DW)</td>
<td>53.50 ± 4.8</td>
<td>55.96 ± 3.3</td>
<td>54.49 ± 5.3</td>
<td>55.33 ± 4.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Protein (g/100 g DW)</td>
<td>20.90 ± 2.1</td>
<td>20.19 ± 2.6</td>
<td>21.50 ± 4.5</td>
<td>20.28 ± 2.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lipid (g/100 g DW)</td>
<td>8.28 ± 0.6</td>
<td>7.91 ± 2.6</td>
<td>7.55 ± 3.9</td>
<td>9.75 ± 3.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dietary fiber (g/100 g DW)</td>
<td>35.05 ± 1.4</td>
<td>36.35 ± 7.7</td>
<td>37.07 ± 3</td>
<td>36.84 ± 3.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total sugar (g/100 g DW)</td>
<td>6.88 ± 0.47</td>
<td>9.47 ± 0.21</td>
<td>11.19 ± 0.11</td>
<td>7.73 ± 0.41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Energy content (kJ)</td>
<td>1449.55</td>
<td>1512.32</td>
<td>1497.64</td>
<td>1573.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vitamin C (mg/100 g)</td>
<td>1360.2 ± 14.3</td>
<td>1830.2 ± 39.4</td>
<td>1680.3 ± 6.6</td>
<td>2020 ± 32.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total capsaicinoids (mg/kg DW)</td>
<td>48.4 ± 5.7</td>
<td>33.1 ± 3.8</td>
<td>59.7 ± 6.2</td>
<td>24.8 ± 5.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scoville heat value</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>535</td>
<td>938</td>
<td>398</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Potassium (mg/100 g DW)</td>
<td>2528 ± 909</td>
<td>2168 ± 147</td>
<td>2332 ± 364</td>
<td>2523 ± 280</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phosphorus (mg/100 g DW)</td>
<td>393 ± 30</td>
<td>423 ± 60</td>
<td>363 ± 43</td>
<td>453 ± 30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Magnesium (mg/100 g DW)</td>
<td>146 ± 49</td>
<td>136 ± 24</td>
<td>143 ± 17</td>
<td>130 ± 15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calcium (mg/100 g DW)</td>
<td>186 ± 54</td>
<td>117 ± 11</td>
<td>108 ± 15</td>
<td>41.62 ± 10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Iron (mg/100 g DW)</td>
<td>31 ± 10</td>
<td>33 ± 5</td>
<td>33 ± 5</td>
<td>42 ± 6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sodium (mg/100 g DW)</td>
<td>30 ± 4</td>
<td>33 ± 2</td>
<td>34 ± 6</td>
<td>71 ± 9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Copper (mg/100 g DW)</td>
<td>1.06 ± 0.5</td>
<td>1.31 ± 0.3</td>
<td>1.18 ± 0.3</td>
<td>1.22 ± 0.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zinc (mg/100 g DW)</td>
<td>1.67 ± 0.2</td>
<td>1.97 ± 0.6</td>
<td>2 ± 0.2</td>
<td>1.88 ± 0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lead (mg/100 g DW)</td>
<td>nd</td>
<td>nd</td>
<td>nd</td>
<td>nd</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cadmium (mg/100 g DW)</td>
<td>nd</td>
<td>nd</td>
<td>nd</td>
<td>nd</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Samples were obtained in triplicate in the first week of each month. Means within the same row with different superscript letter were significantly different at p < 0.05 according to a Duncan (1955) multiple range test. nd: non detectable.
3.2 Chemical and nutritional qualities of paprika

There was no significant effect of sampling time on the carbohydrate, protein, lipid and dietary fiber contents (Table 2). As reported by FAO (FOOD..., 2009), dried peppers (Capsicum annuum) contain 12.8% protein, 11.9% fat, 56.2% carbohydrate and 22.5% fiber. In this study (Table 2), the paprika powder exhibited a similar carbohydrate content (around 55%) and lower lipid content (around 8%), but higher fiber (around 36%) and protein (around 21%) values.

In the case of total sugar, a significant difference between sampling times was observed, with a maximum obtained in November (11.19%), which is close to the 10.4% reported by the National Nutrient Database for Standard Reference (U.S. DEPARTMENT..., 2009). The energy value ranged from 1498 to 1573 kJ 100 g⁻¹ DW, while FAO (FOOD..., 2009) mentioned a value of about 1384 kJ 100 g⁻¹ DW.

The vitamin C concentrations in paprika ranged from 1360 to 2020 mg 100 g⁻¹ DW, which agrees with the values obtained by Kim et al. (2011) (1987 mg 100 g⁻¹ DW). However, vitamin C levels vary greatly among cultivars. For example, Deepa et al. (2007) reported that sweet pepper genotypes harvested at the red stage used for paprika processing may show values ranging from 647 to 2135 mg 100 g⁻¹ DW.

The total capsaicinoid content varied significantly between harvest dates between 25 and 60 µg g⁻¹, as reported by FAO (FOOD..., 2009). The fatty acid composition of paprika powder (Table 3), as well as reported by Asilbekova (2003). The other fatty acids were detected in lower percentages. Unsaturated fatty acids represented 84.7-88.6% of the total fatty acids present, with predominance of unsaturated linoleic acid (70.3-71.7%). Similar results were obtained by Govindarajan (1985). The fatty acid composition of paprika powder was related to the mixing ratio between the pericarp and the seeds. The origin of linoleic acid is mainly related with the seeds (PÉREZ-GÁLVEZ; GARRIDO-FERNÁNDEZ; MÍNGUEZ-MOSQUERA, 1999). The high amount of linoleic acid in paprika, an omega-6 and well-known essential fatty acid for humans, contributes to its nutritive value. The ratio between saturated and unsaturated fatty acids in the paprika powders ranged from 0.13 to 0.17, in agreement with other paprika powders obtained in Spain (PÉREZ-GÁLVEZ; GARRIDO-FERNÁNDEZ; MÍNGUEZ-MOSQUERA, 1999). A predominance of unsaturated fatty acids is believed to be related to the conditions of the habitat, cultivar adaptation to climate, crop management, the age of the fruit and harvest time, and the position of the fruit on the plant (BHARATHI et al.; 2011; GOVINDARAJAN, 1985; HUNDAL; KHANNA, 2002; PERUCKA; OLESZEK, 2000).

Particularly rainfall above a certain level during fruit growth and ripening and, in this case, irrespective of maximum average temperatures, had an impact reducing capsaicinoid levels (Table 2), as does the age of the fruit (ESTRADA et al., 2002; TITZE; MUELLER-SEITZ; PETZ, 2002). Perhaps rainfall enhanced peroxidase activities, which are frequently associated with stress and inversely correlated with capsaicinoid levels in pepper (CONTRERAS-PADILLA; YAHIA, 1998). Processing (particularly the drying conditions and the number of seeds included) also could have some influence on pungency (TITZE; MUELLER-SEITZ; PETZ, 2002).

Paprika samples were rich in potassium, phosphorus, calcium and magnesium, and relatively poor in sodium, while heavy metals (Pb, Cd) were not detected. Tepić et al. (2008) obtained similar results in Serbian paprika. The concentrations of Cu and Zn were within EU limits (EUROPEAN..., 2006). The only minerals affected by sampling time were calcium and sodium (Table 2). The level of Na was significantly higher in December samples, while the calcium content decreased gradually from September to December.

Linoleic (18:2), oleic (18:1) and palmitic (16:0) acids were the predominant fatty acids in paprika powders (Table 3), as also reported by Asilbekova (2003). The other fatty acids were detected in lower percentages. Unsaturated fatty acids represented 84.7-88.6% of the total fatty acids present, with predominance of unsaturated linoleic acid (70.3-71.7%). Similar results were obtained by Govindarajan (1985). The fatty acid composition of paprika powder was related to the mixing ratio between the pericarp and the seeds. The origin of linoleic acid is mainly related with the seeds (PÉREZ-GÁLVEZ; GARRIDO-FERNÁNDEZ; MÍNGUEZ-MOSQUERA, 1999). The high amount of linoleic acid in paprika, an omega-6 and well-known essential fatty acid for humans, contributes to its nutritive value. The ratio between saturated and unsaturated fatty acids in the paprika powders ranged from 0.13 to 0.17, in agreement with other paprika powders obtained in Spain (PÉREZ-GÁLVEZ; GARRIDO-FERNÁNDEZ; MÍNGUEZ-MOSQUERA, 1999). A predominance of unsaturated fatty acids is believed to be related to the conditions of the habitat, cultivar adaptation to climate, crop management, the age of the fruit and harvest time, and the position of the fruit on the plant (BHARATHI et al.; 2011; GOVINDARAJAN, 1985; HUNDAL; KHANNA, 2002; PERUCKA; OLESZEK, 2000).

### Table 3. Fatty acid composition of paprika powder in a unique analysis obtained by mixing sub-samples of each replicate.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fatty acid (%) w/w</th>
<th>September</th>
<th>October</th>
<th>November</th>
<th>December</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>C16:0</td>
<td>71.7</td>
<td>9.5</td>
<td>10.7</td>
<td>3.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C16:1</td>
<td>10.3</td>
<td>10.9</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>3.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C18:0</td>
<td>70.9</td>
<td>12.8</td>
<td>7.7</td>
<td>3.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C18:1</td>
<td>71.3</td>
<td>9.5</td>
<td>10.7</td>
<td>3.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S/U Ratio</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>0.16</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
with the stability of the powdered product (PÉREZ-GÁLVEZ; GARRIDO-FERNÁNDEZ; MÍNGUEZ-MOSQUERA, 1999).

### 3.3 Microbiological quality of paprika powders

The microbial load generally increased over time (Table 4). The mesophilic aerobic bacteria counts obtained (Table 4) were above the maximum permitted levels (INTERNATIONAL…, 1986). Banerjee and Sarkar (2003) and Gallardo-Guerrero et al. (2010) also obtained values above 10⁶ CFU g⁻¹ limits.

The levels of total enterobacteria and coliforms in paprika powder are indicative of the hygiene status of both the raw material and the paprika manufacturing process, the bacteria reached values of 2.7 × 10⁶ and 5.5 × 10⁶ CFU, respectively, in the December samples. These values were higher than those obtained by Gallardo-Guerrero et al. (2010), Rico et al. (2010) and Calvo and Torres (2010). However, the high levels of fecal coliform found indicated that better processing practices should be implemented in order to avoid exceeding the recommended safe level (<100 coliform/g) (PELCZAR; CHAN; NOEL, 2005).

The most serious problems in paprika particularly evolve from Salmonella species and Clostridium perfringens (CANDLISH et al., 2001). Salmonella and Clostridium were not detected in all samples investigated. Aflatoxins, considered a major problem in paprika and chili (FLANNIGAN; HUI, 1976) were not detected in the samples investigated. Yeasts and moulds were present in lower counts (not quantified) and Penicillium sp. and Aspergillus sp. were detected. However, Streptococcus, an indicator of fecal contamination, was detected only in the samples of November and December at levels also above the results reported by Gallardo-Guerrero et al. (2010).

The increase in microbial load can be explained by the decrease in the temperature at which the paprika is dried (in the sun) from September to December. Low temperatures favor the growth of microorganisms and increase the drying time, thereby exposing the fruit to various types of contamination and unfavorable meteorological conditions (dew, rain, etc.). Therefore, the high microbial loads measured can be attributed to various sources, including crop management, the environment, and the faeces of birds and other animals, the red pepper fruits being contaminated during cultivation or during the processing time (drying in contact with a compact soil), assuming that the grinding, milling, packaging and other postharvest processing operations were the same (HAKMAOUI; OUATMANE; FERNÁNDEZ-TRUJILLO, 2011).

### 4 Conclusions

This is the first report on the composition of paprika from the main production area in Morocco (Tadla Region). This paprika showed the nutritional and physical-chemical quality attributes required by international standards, although the microbial load for some of the microorganisms studied was exceeded. Maximum paprika ASTA color values were obtained in November samples while the levels of vitamin C were higher from October to December. Sampling time mainly affected color, and the total capsaicinoid and vitamin C contents. A change in the drying protocols, accompanied by improved hygiene in the paprika plants, is necessary to reduce the microbial load. If this is not carried out, the paprika of this region should be subjected to treatments to reduce microbial load without compromising its color and vitamin C content.
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