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Abstract
The hydration kinetics of transgenic corn types flint DKB 245PRO, semi-flint DKB 390PRO, and dent DKB 240PRO was studied at temperatures of 30, 40, 50, and 67 °C. The concentrated parameters model was used, and it fits the experimental data well for all three cultivars. The chemical composition of the corn kernels was also evaluated. The corn cultivar influenced the initial rate of absorption and the water equilibrium concentration, and the dent corn absorbed more water than the other cultivars at the four temperatures analyzed. The effect of hydration on the kernel texture was also studied, and it was observed that there was no significant difference in the deformation force required for all three corn types analyzed with longer hydration period.
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1 Introduction
Corn (Zea mays L.) is a good primary starch source, and close to one-fourth of the corn starch produced is sold as modified or unmodified starch products for the food or paper industry; the remainder is processed into hydrolyzed products such as corn syrup and fructose (Yaptenco et al., 1996). Starch can be obtained through a wet milling process, in which the kernels are primarily soaked in a solution containing sulfur dioxide (SO₂) and lactic acid (C₃H₆O₃) for 24 to 60 hours. Sulfur dioxide prevents microorganisms from growing and reacts with proteins helping to release starch granules. In addition, lactic acid contributes to the kernel inner softening and increases SO₂ diffusion speed (Haros & Suárez, 1999) and (Lopes Filho et al., 2006).

In the wet-milling process, the grains are steeped at temperatures below starch gelatinization temperature. Ratnayake & Jackson (2006) studied the behavior of corn starch granules in water solution at different temperatures and found that the total rupture of these granules and consequent formation of a gelatinized solution did not occur at temperatures below 70°C.

Modeling of mass transfer in kernels during hydration has been thoroughly considered (Turhan et al., 2002). Models used for studying kernel hydration may be empirical as that proposed by Peleg (1988) or phenomenological (Coutinho et al., 2007; Omoto et al., 2007) and (Nicolin et al., 2012) . Peleg model is the most commonly used empirical model to describe grain hydration phenomenon (Botelho et al. (2010) for rice, Botelho et al. (2013) for corn).

Empirical models are built from mathematical correlations of experimental data, while phenomenological models are based on mass transfer laws.

Wet milling process aims to separate corn kernel into its basic components, starch, protein (gluten), germ, and fiber. The main purpose of this process is the maximum possible starch recovery (Lopes Filho, 1999). Therefore, corn is steeped in a heated solution during hydration to soften and help separate its components (Rausch & Belyea, 2006). Accordingly, this study aims to evaluate hydration kinetics of three corn varieties during hydration process and gather information about the kernel texture changes (hardness) during this process as a function of moisture and temperature.

2 Materials and methods
2.1 Chemical composition
Moisture content of the samples was determined using the standard AOAC method 925.09 (Association Of Official Analytical Chemists, 1995) on dry basis. Total nitrogen content of the samples was determined by the micro-Kjeldahl method according to the AOAC method 920.87 (Association Of Official Analytical Chemists, 1995). The factor 6.25 was used to convert detected nitrogen into protein. The lipid content of the samples was evaluated by Soxhlet extraction using diethyl ether as solvent, according to the AOAC method 925.09 (Association Of Official Analytical Chemists, 1995). Ash content was determined after sample burning in muffle furnace at 550° C, following the AOAC method 923.03 (Association Of Official Analytical Chemists, 1995). The carbohydrate content was calculated by difference on dry basis (carbohydrate% = 100 – [moisture% + protein% + lipid% + ash%]). The analyses were carried out in triplicate. The chemical composition results of the three cultivars were compared by the Tukey test at 5% probability.

2.2 Kernel hydration kinetics
The experiments were carried out at the Laboratory of the Federal University of Paraná. Samples of the following transgenic corn from the 2012 harvest, flint DKB 245PRO,
semi-flint DKB 390PRO, and dent DKB 240PRO, were provided by the Agronomic Institute of Paraná (Instituto Agronômico do Paraná) (IAPAR). The samples were washed through a sieve and manually selected to remove small and broken kernels and impurities. Moisture content (wet basis) of the three kernel types at the beginning of experiments was 0.1278, 0.1093, and 0.1128 g/g for DKB 240PRO, DKB 390PRO, and DKB 245PRO, respectively.

A thermostatic bath (Dubnoff, Model 304, Nova Ética, Brazil) was used in the present study. The hydration experiments were performed at the temperatures of 30, 40, 50, and 67 °C +/−1°C with agitation of 70 rpm.

Samples of 160 g of corn kernels were placed in 500 ml hermetic flasks with 300 ml of solution containing 0.2% sulfur dioxide (SO₂) and 0.55% lactic acid (C₆H₅O₇). A solution was obtained by adding 11 ml lactic acid and 5.9 g sodium metabisulfite in 2000 ml of distilled water, according to procedure proposed by Lopes Filho et al. (2006).

Tests were carried out in duplicate, and sampling was performed during pre-established periods. Then surface water was removed with paper towel, and the samples were subjected to moisture and density analysis. Density analysis was conducted using the water displacement method in a graduated cylinder containing 18 kernels per measurement. The kernel radius was calculated considering it a spherical particle. Moisture content was determined by the oven drying method at 105 °C until constant weight (Instituto Adolf Lutz, 1985).

Corn mass concentration (ρₚ) was calculated by multiplying corn density (ρₜₙ) by moisture content on a wet basis (Xₘₙ), as shown by Equation 1.

\[ ρₚ = Xₘₙ ρₜₙ \]  

(1)

Moisture content on a wet basis was calculated according to Equation 2, where MU is kernel weight before drying, and MS is the kernel weight after drying.

\[ Xₘₙ = \frac{MU - MS}{MU} \]  

(2)

2.3 Mathematical modeling

To study corn hydration, the concentrated parameters model proposed by Omoto et al. (2009) was used and is represented by Equation 3. This model is based on transient mass balance inside the grain considering that water concentration inside the kernel is homogeneous, the volume is constant, and its geometry is spherical.

\[ \frac{d(ρₚ)}{dt} = \frac{3K_o}{r₀}(ρ_{\text{eq}} - ρₚ) \]  

(3)

Calculating the integral of Equation 3 and considering both ρₜₙ and Kᵢ are constant for a given temperature, Equation 4 can be obtained. This model has two adjustable parameters, namely mass transfer coefficient (Kᵢ) and equilibrium mass concentration in the kernel (ρₜₙₑq).

\[ ln\left(\frac{ρ_{\text{eq}} - ρₚ}{ρ_{\text{eq}} - ρᵢₙ}\right) = \frac{3K_o}{r₀}t \]  

(4)

2.4 Model fitting

To evaluate the goodness-of-fit of the model to experimental data, determination coefficients (R²), and squared deviation were considered in relation to original data. Squared deviation of the concentrated parameters model was calculated as shown by Equation 5. The lower the deviation value, the better the representation of the model.

\[ \phi^2 = \frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n}(ρ'_{\text{model}} - ρ'_{\text{exp}})^2 \]  

(5)

2.5 Texture analysis

Kernel texture (hardness) during hydration was evaluated using a texture analyzer (CT3, manufactured by Brookfield, United States of America). The texture analyzer was calibrated with 2kg force (Joshi et al., 2010) and probe of 6 mm diameter and 35 mm width. The following parameters were also used: target value of 1.5 mm and test speed of 2 mm/s.

Samples of twenty-five corn kernels were removed from the flasks at pre-established periods of hydration, starting at 10 minutes. Afterwards, the samples were dried with paper towel for texture analysis. Hardness of each kernel corresponds to the peak of force-deflection curve generated by the texture analyzer. Kernel orientation was kept constant during the experiments, and deflection was carried out in the central part of the corn endosperm. Reported values are the average of 25 kernels at each experimental time evaluated.

3 Results and discussions

3.1 Physicochemical properties

The average chemical composition of the three corn varieties is presented in Table 1. Semi-flint corn DKB 390PRO and flint corn DKB 245PRO showed no significant difference in their chemical composition, except for moisture content. With regards to size, flint corn and semi-flint corn had larger radii in relation to that of the dent; DKB 240PRO showed significant difference in moisture, protein, lipids, and carbohydrates content in comparison with the other two types. However, ash content did not vary significantly for these three types of cultivar studied.

3.2 Hydration kinetics

Figures 1 shows the hydration isotherm, in which water mass concentration varies during hydration for all three corn types. At the beginning, water absorption is fast and tends to decrease until it reaches equilibrium. In addition, water absorption speed increases as temperature rises. Solid lines in Figures 1 represent the results of the prediction of the mathematical model of concentrated parameters during
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2010). Therefore, the higher capacity of water absorption of dent corn may be a result of higher protein content, lower amount of lipids, and carbohydrates. According to Sopade et al. (1992), the higher protein content, lower carbohydrates, and fat content

Table 1. Physicochemical properties of the three corn cultivars.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Properties</th>
<th>DKB 240PRO</th>
<th>DKB 390PRO</th>
<th>DKB 245PRO</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Moisture (%)</td>
<td>12.9±0.01</td>
<td>11.0±0.01</td>
<td>11.4±0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Protein (%)</td>
<td>8.49±0.05</td>
<td>7.52±0.20</td>
<td>7.62±0.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lipid (%)</td>
<td>3.48±0.05</td>
<td>4.32±0.08</td>
<td>4.28±0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ash (%)</td>
<td>1.08±0.01</td>
<td>1.01±0.01</td>
<td>1.08±0.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carbohydrates (%)</td>
<td>74.0±0.06</td>
<td>76.1±0.14</td>
<td>75.6±0.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Color</td>
<td>Yellow</td>
<td>Yellow Orange</td>
<td>Orange</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kernel type</td>
<td>Dent</td>
<td>Semi-flint</td>
<td>Flint</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Initial radius (cm)</td>
<td>0.39±0.01</td>
<td>0.40±0.01</td>
<td>0.40±0.01</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Means in the same row followed by the same subscript letter do not show significant difference (p<0.05). Carbohydrate% = 100 - (moisture% + protein% + lipid% + ash%).

Table 2. Values of equilibrium mass concentration found for all three types of corn at different temperatures.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Temperature (°C)</th>
<th>DKB 240PRO $\rho_{\text{Aeq}}$ (g/cm$^3$)</th>
<th>DKB 390PRO $\rho_{\text{Aeq}}$ (g/cm$^3$)</th>
<th>DKB 245PRO $\rho_{\text{Aeq}}$ (g/cm$^3$)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>0.4627±0.0021</td>
<td>0.4219±0.0001</td>
<td>0.4309±0.0043</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>0.4610±0.0031</td>
<td>0.4321±0.0004</td>
<td>0.4312±0.0006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60</td>
<td>0.4610±0.0020</td>
<td>0.4336±0.0051</td>
<td>0.4320±0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>67</td>
<td>0.4613±0.0001</td>
<td>0.4371±0.0012</td>
<td>0.4420±0.0021</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 1. Model predictions and experimental data for hydration of three corn cultivars: dent DKB 240PRO, semi-flint KB 390PRO, and flint DKB 245PRO at four soaking temperatures.

hydration. On average, the model fits the experimental data well, with a maximum mean deviation of 0.00071.

Table 2 shows the values of equilibrium mass concentration ($\rho_{\text{Aeq}}$) obtained experimentally for each hybrid and soaking temperature. Temperature did not significantly affect the amount of water absorbed by each cultivar. Mean equilibrium mass concentrations of dent, semi-flint, and flint corns were 0.4615, 0.4311, and 0.4340 g/cm$^3$, respectively. Protein is the major component absorbing water in the kernel although other chemical components also contribute to this phenomenon. In addition, smaller kernels may absorb more water due to the increased surface area for absorption. On the other hand, fat content is the main component that may limit absorption (Sopade & Obekpa, 1990; Sopade et al., 1992), and (Joshi et al., 2010). Therefore, the higher capacity of water absorption of dent corn may be a result of higher protein content, lower amount of lipids, and carbohydrates. According to Sopade et al. (1992), the higher protein content, lower carbohydrates, and fat content
present in sorghum grains in comparison with those of the millet have also been appointed as possible factors for the higher absorption capacity by sorghum. Sopade & Obekpa (1990) reported that the smaller the seed, the larger its water absorption capacity because of larger surface area available for absorption. This fact was also observed for dent corn DKB 240PRO, which was the smaller and absorbed more water.

Dent corn DKB 240PRO showed higher amount of water absorbed at temperatures from 40 to 67 °C, with significant difference from the other grains (p<0.05).

Equation 4 was fitted to hydration experimental data at each temperature to obtain \( K_s \) parameter (diffusion coefficient) by linear regression, as shown in Figure 2. Therefore, the mean equilibrium mass concentration obtained experimentally for each cultivar was used: 0.4615, 0.4311, and 0.4340 g/cm\(^3\) for dent corn DKB 240PRO, semi-flint corn DKB 390PRO, and flint corn DKB 245PRO, respectively.

Diffusion coefficients \( (K_s) \) obtained at the four temperatures for the three corn cultivars with their respective determination coefficients are presented in Table 3. \( R^2 \) values ranged from 0.969 to 0.996, indicating good fit to obtain \( K_s \) parameters.

Dent corn showed lower diffusion coefficient value and had higher water equilibrium concentration than the other grains at the four temperatures analyzed. Figure 3 shows the hydration isotherms of dent and flint corn at 67 °C. At the beginning of the process, from 30 to 120 minutes, dent corn DKB 240PRO showed low absorption rate. After this period, its water content increased more rapidly than that of the flint corn DKB 245PRO. Protein may be the main factor responsible for this behavior. Dent corn contains higher amount of protein in its composition when compared to that of the other cultivars, which can indicate a more compact endosperm and therefore greater difficulty to diffusing through water. However, protein content and the smaller size of the dent grain might have contributed to a larger water absorption capacity of this kernel after 120 minutes.

### 3.3 Determining activation energy

The relationship between mass transfer coefficient \( (K_s) \) and temperature can be represented by the Arrhenius equation, which is shown in its linear form in Equation 6. In Figure 4, it is possible to observe that the equation properly represented temperature effect on diffusion coefficient, with determination coefficients varying from 0.9943 to 0.9987. After calculating parameter \( E' \) by linear regression, activation energy was determined, with values of 34.07, 31.96, and 31.95 KJ/mol for dent corn DKB 240PRO, semi-flint corn DKB 390PRO, and flint corn DKB 245PRO, respectively. This fact indicates

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Temperature (°C)</th>
<th>DKB 240PRO</th>
<th>DKB 390PRO</th>
<th>DKB 245PRO</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>5.66 × 10^{-10}</td>
<td>6.50 × 10^{-10}</td>
<td>7.16 × 10^{-10}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>8.66 × 10^{-10}</td>
<td>9.83 × 10^{-10}</td>
<td>10.3 × 10^{-10}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60</td>
<td>11.8 × 10^{-10}</td>
<td>14.3 × 10^{-10}</td>
<td>14.6 × 10^{-10}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>67</td>
<td>16.5 × 10^{-10}</td>
<td>17.0 × 10^{-10}</td>
<td>19.1 × 10^{-10}</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3. Values of \( K_s \) parameters and their respective determination coefficients \( (R^2) \) for the three kernels cultivars at four soaking temperatures.

![Figure 2. Mathematical model fitting for flint corn DKB 245PRO.](image)

![Figure 3. Hydration isotherms of DKB 240PRO and DKB 245PRO at 67 °C.](image)

![Figure 4. Fitting Arrhenius Equation for the effect of temperature on diffusion coefficient \( (K_s) \) for the corn cultivars: DKB 240PRO, DKB 390PRO, and DKB 245PRO.](image)
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1998). Deformation force required for all three corn types decreased up to 31 ± 0.6 N after a certain period of hydration at these two temperatures.

4 Conclusions

Kernel type affected water absorption, and dent corn DKB 240PRO absorbed more water than the other two cultivars studied, which indicates the need for different process control for this grain. Such difference may be related to the kernel type, chemical composition, and growing conditions.

Diffusion coefficients ($K_s$) values of all three varieties of corn increased with temperature rise, thus indicating that hydration speed is faster as temperature increases. $K_s$ dependence on temperature was well described by the Arrhenius equation, showing activation energy values of 34.07, 31.96, and 31.95 KJ/mol for dent corn DKB 240PRO, semi-flint corn DKB 390PRO, and flint corn DKB 245PRO respectively.

With longer period of hydration, the three varieties showed no significant difference in kernel hardness at temperatures of 40 °C and 67 °C. The final deformation force required by all three corn types was not affected by hydration temperature.

The model of concentrated Parameters fits well to the experimental data obtained during hydration of the three corn kernel cultivars at the temperatures studied, with a maximum deviation of 0.00071. This model can be a useful tool for process design, optimization and product development.
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Nomenclature

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Symbol</th>
<th>Definition</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$K_s$</td>
<td>Arrhenius equation pre-exponential parameter (m/s)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$E'$</td>
<td>Arrhenius equation parameter (1/K)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\Phi^2$</td>
<td>Squared deviation (dimensionless)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$K_a$</td>
<td>Apparent mass transfer coefficient (m/s)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$MS$</td>
<td>Kernel dry weight (g)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$MU$</td>
<td>Kernel moisture weight (g)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$r_0$</td>
<td>Kernel Initial Radius (cm)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$T$</td>
<td>Temperature (°C)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$t$</td>
<td>Time (min)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$X_{wb}$</td>
<td>Moisture on wet basis (g/g)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\rho_a$</td>
<td>Water mass concentration of the kernel (g/cm³)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\rho_{a0}$</td>
<td>Initial water mass concentration of the kernel (g/cm³)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\rho_{aeq}$</td>
<td>Water equilibrium mass concentration of the kernel (g/cm³)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\rho_{aexp}$</td>
<td>Water mass concentration experimental of the kernel (g/cm³)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\rho_{aclar}$</td>
<td>Water mass concentration of the kernel calculated by the model (g/cm³)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\rho_{corn}$</td>
<td>Kernel density (g/cm³)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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