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Abstract
This work aimed to study the use of fruit salads as carriers for Lactobacillus rhamnosus HN001. We evaluated the viability of this probiotic in fruit salads and the physico-chemical, microbiological and sensory properties of this food. Scanning electron microscopy (SEM) was used to verify microorganism adhesion on the fruit tissues. The viability of L. rhamnosus in fruit salads was 8.49 log CFU g⁻¹ after 120 hours. SEM images showed that fruit tissue provided protection for probiotic. Adhesion sites were observed in higher quantity in banana, apple and guava. The addition of L. rhamnosus did not alter texture of fruits (p > 0.05). Fruit salads containing probiotic had different values of pH and acidity compared to the control (p < 0.05). Ascorbic acid content decreased over time; however, total carotenoids did not significantly decrease (p > 0.05). Fruit salads containing L. rhamnosus showed counts of psychrotrophic microorganisms of at least 2.0 log CFU g⁻¹ lower than control salad after 120 h of refrigerated storage. The fruit salad was well accepted by consumers. Therefore, this product can be used as a carrier for probiotic and an alternative to consuming functional foods.
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Practical Application: Fruit salads can be used as a carrier for probiotic bacteria.

1 Introduction
Studies have shown the human health benefits of functional foods with probiotic microorganisms. Several definitions of probiotics have been published, however, the internationally accepted definition states probiotics are microorganisms that when administered in adequate amounts confer health benefits to the host (Food and Agriculture Organization & World Health Organization, 2001). Rivera-Espinoza & Gallardo-Navarro (2010) reported that fermented milk products as yogurt (Batista et al., 2015; Cruz et al., 2013), petit suisse cheese (Pereira et al., 2016), minas frescal cheese (Dantas et al., 2016), and dairy beverages (Castro et al., 2013a, b) are good carrier matrices for probiotic microorganisms. However, raw food products have recently been intensively investigated as potential substrates for the production of probiotic non-dairy foods (Martins et al., 2013; Peres et al., 2012; Soccol et al., 2010; Yu et al., 2012).

Products of plant origin, such as fruit, may be considered ideal substrates for probiotic since they contain nutrients such as vitamins, minerals, carbohydrates, fibers and antioxidant compounds (Nicolescu & Buruleanu, 2010; Russo et al., 2014; Soccol et al., 2010; Yoon et al., 2004). Also, these foods contain no allergenic substances, which are present in dairy products and could restrict their consumption (Martins et al., 2015).

Minimally processed products, such as fruits and vegetables, have great marketing potential due to consumer demand for fresh and healthy food (Oliveira et al., 2011) and the feasibility of using fresh-cut fruits to vehicle probiotic microorganisms is arising scientific interest (Russo et al., 2014). According to Sheehan et al. (2007), probiotic microorganisms found in fruit products provide a promising area for exploration, especially due of their ability to tolerate acidic environments.

Food is considered a major factor regulating the colonization of probiotic in the gastrointestinal tract. Thus, food can influence probiotic growth, survival, viability and functionality, which determines their effectiveness (Ranadheera et al., 2010). Additionally, probiotics should be able to be carried in food matrices which are easily accepted by consumer.

Fruit juices are already being used as carriers of probiotic bacteria (Ankolekar et al., 2012; Antunes et al., 2013; Fonteles et al., 2011; Pereira et al., 2011). However, few studies with minimally processed fruit have been developed. Thus, the development of fruit salads containing probiotic microorganisms is a viable alternative for minimally processed foods, as well as non-dairy probiotic products, considering the acceptability and practicality offered to consumers who can buy a variety of fruits ready to eat containing also the probiotic cultures of high functionality. Therefore, this study aimed to evaluate the potential use of fruit salads as carriers of L. rhamnosus HN001.
2 Materials and methods

2.1 Minimal processing of fruit and salad preparation

In this work, usually consumed fruits as salad in Brazil such as pineapple, banana, guava, apple, papaya and mango were used at commercial maturity. Fruits were bought at the local market of Rio Pomba (Brazil). Fruits were washed in clean water to eliminate impurities and dirt, and were immersed for 20 min. at 5 °C in water with sodium dichloroisocyanurate (Sumaveg®Diversey Le Seyer) at a concentration of 200 mg L⁻¹ of residual active chlorine to inactivate the microorganisms. The fruits were peeled and manually cut with stainless steel knives into cubes of approximately 1x1 cm². Fruit salads were prepared containing all fruits in equal proportion. All experiments were performed in three replicates.

2.2 Probiotic microorganism inoculation and antibrowning agent addition

Fruit salads were immersed in solution containing approximately 10⁷ CFU·mL⁻¹ of the probiotic microorganism L. rhamnosus HN001 (DuPont/Danisco, Brazil). The probiotic culture was grown twice in MRS broth (De Man, Rogosa and Sharpe), incubated at 37 °C for 18 h, and again grown in MRS broth for 16 h. Afterwards, it was centrifuged at 5 °C for 15 minutes at 7000 g. The supernatant of the culture medium was discarded and the probiotic cell pellet was aseptically resuspended in a buffer solution of citric acid: sodium citrate at a 1:1 ratio and pH 3.8. The pellet was then resuspended in the same buffer solution, pH 3.8, at a ratio of 1:10; i.e., for every gram of cells, 10 mL of buffer solution was added to obtain at least 10⁸ cells mL⁻¹. Thus, to obtain fruit salads containing probiotic cultures, 1 mL of the previously prepared probiotic cell solution was added for each gram of fruit salad. This suspension was kept in contact with the fruit salads for 15 min. Fruit salads were drained for 3 min and immersed in an antibrowning solution containing 1% ascorbic acid (w/v) for 3 min. The ratio of this solution to fruit salad was 3:1. The control was a minimally processed fruit salad without the addition of L. rhamnosus HN001 and without 1% ascorbic acid.

2.3 Enumeration of L. rhamnosus HN001 in minimally processed fruit salad

Samples of 25 g from each treatment of fruit salads were homogenized in 225 mL of peptone saline solution (0.85% NaCl and 0.1% peptone) followed by serial dilutions. The pour plate method was used to enumerate the probiotic microorganisms, with 1 mL of each dilution placed on a Petri dish with a small amount of Rogosa SL agar (HIMEDIA, India). The Petri dishes were incubated in anaerobic jars at 37 °C for 72 h.

2.4 Evaluation of L. rhamnosus HN001 present in fruit salads by Scanning Electron Microscopy

Fruit salad treated with L. rhamnosus HN001 were analyzed by Scanning Electron Microscopy (SEM) according to Silveira (1989). In this analysis, each fruit component of salad was used to verify microbial adhesion, distribution and morphology of probiotic culture to plant tissue. These were analyzed at 0 h and after 120 h of storage at 8 °C. The experiment was performed in three repetitions and some images were presented.

Initially, fruits were sliced into 0.5 x 0.5 cm² sections, 1-2 mm thick. To fix plant tissue cells, fragments of each fruit were transferred to a 5% (v/v) glutaraldehyde solution in 0.1 M phosphate buffer at 1:1 ratio. The final concentration of both reagents was 2.5% glutaraldehyde and 0.05 M phosphate buffer. Fruit fragments were kept in this solution for 18 h at 7 °C, then washed for 1 min in sodium phosphate buffer (0.05 mol L⁻¹, pH 7.2). Then, they were dehydrated with acetone at 30 °GL, 50 °GL, 70 °GL and 90 °GL for 10 min. Afterwards, the fragments were treated three times with acetone at 100 °GL for 10 min. Fruit fragments were transferred to the critical point dryer (model CPD020, Balzers, Liechtenstein) for total dehydration and samples were metalized using the Sputter Coater equipment (model FDU 010, Bal-Tec, Balzers, Liechtenstein) for observation in the SEM (LEO 1430 VP Zeiss, Cambridge, England) and image capture.

2.5 Physico-chemical evaluation

Determination of fruit firmness

The firmness of fruits from the control and fruit salads treated with L. rhamnosus was determined after 0, 24, 72 and 120 h of storage at 8 °C, using a texture analyzer (CT3, Brookfield, USA) set with a load cell of 25 kg. Analyses were done after 0, 24, 72 and 120 h of processing. Results were expressed in Newtons (N). Three samples from each fruit were selected from each treatment.

Acidity and pH, soluble solids (“Brix) and ascorbic acid determination

Acidity and pH were determined after 0, 24, 72 and 120 h of storage at 8 °C, according to AOAC (Association Official Methods of Analysis, 1995). Samples of 1.667 g from each fruit were combined to achieve a total sampling mass of 10.00 g, for both the control and fruit salads treated with L. rhamnosus HN001. The soluble solids of the fruit salads were determined by refractometry (Association Official Methods of Analysis, 1995), using an Abbe refractometer (model 100 RTA) at 0, 24, 72 and 120 h of storage at 8 °C.

Ascorbic acid content in control and fruit salads treated with L. rhamnosus was determined after 0, 24, 72 and 120 h of storage at 8 °C using the method of Tillmans according to Zenebon & Pascuet (2004).

Determination of total carotenoids

Total carotenoid content was analyzed for the control and for fruit salads treated with L. rhamnosus. Total carotenoids were extracted from samples according to Rodriguez-Amaya (2001). Acetone was used as the extractor solvent and total carotenoids were quantified in the spectrophotometer (450 nm). Analyses were done at 0 h and after 120 h of storage at 8 °C. Results were expressed as mg of total carotenoids/g of salad, according to equation: Total carotenoids = V x A x 10 / P x Eᵢₑₓ, where V is the volume of sample extract; A is the absorbance; P is the sample weight and Eᵢₑₓ is the molar extinction coefficient (ε = 2592 at 450 nm).

Determination of fruit firmness

The firmness of fruits from the control and fruit salad treated with L. rhamnosus was determined by compression test using a texture analyzer (CT3, Brookfield, USA) set with a load cell of 25 kg. Analyses were done after 0, 24, 72 and 120 h of processing. Results were expressed in Newtons (N). Three samples from each fruit were selected from each treatment.
of fruit salad analyzed by placing them individually on a flat surface being compressed by a 3.5 cm diameter probe (SMSP/35). The distance between the sample and the probe was 60 mm and the test speed was 5 mm s⁻¹.

2.6 Microbiological analysis

Total and faecal coliforms were determined using samples from the control and from the fruit salad treated with probiotic culture. Analyses were done using the most probable number (MPN) method according Kornacki & Johnson (2001). To quantify Salmonella sp., samples of each treatment of fruit salad (25 g) were homogenized in 225 mL of buffered peptone water, according to Andrews et al. (2001). Psychrotrophic bacteria were enumerated according to Cousin et al. (2001) with Plate Count Agar (PCA). Petri dishes were incubated for 10 days at 7 °C and this microbiota was counted by selecting plates containing 25-250 colonies. The results were expressed in CFU (colony forming units) per gram of fruit salad. All microbiological analyses were performed in duplicate for the control treatment and for the fruit salads containing L. rhamnosus, at 0 h and after 120 h of storage at 8 °C.

2.7 Sensory evaluation of fruit salads

Sensory analysis was performed by following the technical standards of biosafety and ethics, under the approval of the ethics committee in research with human beings, of the Federal University of Viçosa (process number 084/2012). This analysis was carried out in individual sensory booths by 50 untrained tasters, 25 men and 25 women aged from 18 to 30 years old, that were students of Federal University of Viçosa, Brazil. Samples were codified with random 3-digit numbers. The acceptance test was performed on the control treatment and the fruit salads treated with L. rhamnosus at 0 h and after 120 h of storage at 8 °C. Each consumer received a form containing a nine-point hedonic scale, ranging from “like extremely” (score 9) to “dislike extremely” (score 1) (Moraes et al., 2014; Santos et al., 2015). Each untrained consumer evaluated the samples regarding color, flavor and overall impression. The results of the acceptance test regarding color, flavor and overall impression of fruit salads were analyzed with a 2x2 completely randomized factorial design. The independent variables analyzed were two treatments (control and fruit salad treated with L. rhamnosus) and two storage times (0 and 120 h).

2.8 Statistical analyzes

To study the viability of L. rhamnosus as well as the texture, pH, acidity and soluble solids of fruit salads, we used a completely randomized statistical design with three replications. Results were subjected to analysis of variance (ANOVA) followed by the Tukey test. To determine ascorbic acid content, we did a completely randomized 2x2 full factorial design with four replications. The independent variables studied were two treatments (control and fruit salads treated with L. rhamnosus) and two storage times (0 and 120 h). Results were subjected to ANOVA, followed by analysis of regression of variable time. The regression model was selected according to the coefficient of determination (r²). To determine total carotenoid content, we did a completely randomized 2x2 full factorial design with four replications. The independent variables studied were two treatments (control and fruit salads treated with L. rhamnosus) and two storage times (0 and 120 h). Only the F-test analysis of ANOVA was used. All statistical procedures were performed considering 5% level of probability using the R software (R Core Team, 2012).

3. Results and discussion

3.1 L. rhamnosus HN001 viability in minimally processed fruit salad during storage

L. rhamnosus HN001 count, in fruit salad with this probiotic, after processing (time 0) was 8.5 log CFU g⁻¹ and after 120 hours of storage at 8 °C was 8.49 CFU g⁻¹. Time did not affect (p > 0.05) the viability of L. rhamnosus and there was no correlation between time and the probiotic microorganism (p > 0.05). The viability of L. rhamnosus HN001 was maintained during the storage time in fruit salad.

According to Champagne & Gardner (2008) Lactobacillus strains viability in presence of 0.3% bile salts or pancreatic enzymes was not affected by refrigerated storage. Then, due to the good viability in fruit salad during the 120 hours of storage, it is expected that, for this period the functional properties of L. rhamnosus HN001 should be kept in the salad. However, this is dependent on the food matrix, probiotic strain, conditions and time of storage, since Fernandes et al. (2013) found loss of L. acidophilus viability in milk dessert during shelf life. In addition, the authors highlighted that probiotic cultures do not replace good manufacturing practices, since they found no antagonistic effect of Lactobacillus acidophilus on Listeria innocua. Also, in vivo studies should be performed to confirm the funtionaly of the probiotic in fruit salad (Lollo et al., 2015).

The minimum concentration of probiotic microorganisms needed to provide a beneficial effect for the host organism remains unclear in the literature. Some researchers suggest concentrations higher than 10⁷ CFU g⁻¹ (Dave & Shah, 1997) while others suggest concentrations of at least 10⁵-10⁶ CFU g⁻¹ (Lourens-Hattingh & Viljeon, 2001). So, prepared fruit salads can be considered probiotic since they contain over 10⁷ CFU of L. rhamnosus per gram. In addition, this product can be consumed by children, the elderly, vegetarians and individuals who are lactose intolerant or on cholesterol-restricted diets. In this way, the probiotic fruit salad developed here constitute a promising functional probiotic product that can be consumed by everybody.

A similar result was observed by Rößle et al. (2010), who used L. rhamnosus GG in minimally processed apples and found that after 10 days of storage the product contained 8.0 Log CFU g⁻¹ of this bacterium.

3.2 Scanning Electron Microscopy (SEM)

SEM images showed that a high number of L. rhamnosus HN001 adhered to the surface of the fruits present in minimally processed fruit salad (Figure 1). Bacterial cells were predominantly well distributed in the fruit tissue, and some fruits presented...
the formation of bacterial agglomeration. No changes due to stress, such as the low pH of fruit tissue, were observed in cell morphology, and bacterial cells were mainly rod-shaped.

*L. rhamnosus* HN001 showed good adhesion capacity in fruits, being this greater in banana, apple and guava. The adherence of probiotic bacteria to the tissue of these fruits can be attributed to tissue format and microstructure. This protects microorganisms, enabling their survival. Moreover, intrinsic characteristics of the microarchitecture of the fruit surface protect probiotic bacteria from the acidic environment of the stomach, due to the presence of ridges and natural compounds of prebiotics, such as oligosaccharides. Typical operations of processing such as peeling and cutting can promote microbial adhesion to the fruits tissue, increasing the surface contact and the release of cellular content rich in nutrients which are ideal substrates for probiotic culture growth (Dliveira et al., 2011; Russo et al., 2014).

According Mitsou et al. (2011), banana has considerable amounts of prebiotic fructooligosaccharides, which can contribute to the viability and persistence of *L. rhamnosus* HN001 in fruit salad. Thus, we verified that banana has potential to serve as a probiotic carrier. Apples are rich in carbohydrates, pectin, fiber and minerals. Also, apple is a good source of nutrients for probiotic cultures (Mahawar et al., 2012). In the present study, we found that *L. rhamnosus* HN001 adhered to fruit tissue in high numbers (Figures 1D and 1E).

In addition, guava also showed potential for use as a carrier matrix for *L. rhamnosus* HN001 (Figure 1C), but pineapple presented a low number of microorganisms attached to its tissue (Figure 1A). This is probably due to pineapple's intrinsic characteristics, such as pH and acidity, which may limit the growth of probiotic bacteria.

It is interesting to note that the results obtained in SEM were consistent with the plate count, which demonstrated the viability of *L. hamnosus* HN001 in fruit salad.

Incorporating probiotic bacteria in processed fruits is a challenge. However, it is also highly advantageous, because these kinds of foods are rich in nutrients and are consumed and well accepted by most individuals (Saad et al., 2011). Few studies demonstrate the effect of fruits as food matrices on the survival and activity of probiotic microorganisms. In this way, recent studies indicate a neutral and even a positive effect of fruits on probiotic microorganisms and on the interaction of the probiotic culture-host (Espírito-Santo et al., 2011; Martins et al., 2013).

### 3.3 Physico-chemical characteristics of fruit salad

The fruit salad treated with *L. rhamnosus* HN001 showed pH and acidity values different from the control treatment (p<0.05) (Table 1). The reduction of pH and increase in acidity in the product with probiotic bacteria is probably due to the
food product being immersed in a buffered solution of citric acid: sodium citrate, at pH 3.8. This was not done for the control treatment. Time did not influence pH, acidity or soluble solids content of fruit salads for either treatment \((p>0.05)\). In this work, the pH and acidity values did not exert a negative effect on the survival of the probiotic culture.

Soluble solids content was not affected by the probiotic bacteria buffer solution, presenting no difference \((p>0.05)\) among treatments. Similar results were also reported by Rößle et al. (2010), who observed no changes regarding this parameter in minimally processed apples inoculated with \(L.\ rhamnosus\ GG\) and stored at 2 to 4 °C for 10 days.

Also, it was verified that fruit salad with \(L.\ rhamnosus\ HN001\) showed a higher content of ascorbic acid \((p<0.05)\) compared to the control treatment, up to 72 h of storage (Figure 2). The high ascorbic acid content in fruit salads containing this probiotic is because the fruits were immersed in 1% ascorbic acid to minimize enzymatic browning, which promoted product enrichment compared to the control. Moreover, a marked reduction of ascorbic acid was observed over time in the fruit salad containing 1% ascorbic acid. This compound was free in the product and not naturally present, as observed in the control fruit salad, where a lower reduction was noted (Figure 2).

The Daily Intake (DI) of vitamin C varies according to age and health. According to the RDC. n." 269 of the Brazilian National Health Surveillance Agency (Anvisa), the recommended intake of vitamin C is 45 mg/day (Brasil, 2005). Thus, considering the Brazilian legislation and the obtained results, consumption of 200 g of fruit salad of the control treatment or 100 g of fruit salad treated with probiotic culture, immediately after processing, will achieve the Anvisa recommended DI of vitamin C.

Moreover, storage time and the interaction of treatment and storage time had a significant effect \((p < 0.05)\) on the ascorbic acid content of fruit salads. Thus, a regression equation for this variable was adjusted as a function of storage time for each treatment (Figure 2). The decrease of ascorbic acid content in fruit salads of both treatments as a function of time of storage (Figure 2) is consistent with Beaulieu (2011), who reported that the vitamin C content is generally reduced after processing and tends to decrease with storage time. This is due to mechanical damage caused by minimal processing in plant tissues causing cellular disorganization, which promotes the oxidation of ascorbic acid to dehydroascorbic acid by the direct action of the enzyme ascorbate oxidase or by the action of oxidizing enzymes, such as peroxidase.

Total carotenoid content was not significantly different \((p > 0.05)\) over the storage time among the control treatment and fruit salad treated with \(L.\ rhamnosus\ HN001\). In this way, both treatments showed 0.01 mg/g of total carotenoids after 120 h of storage at 8 °C.

Rodriguez-Amaya et al. (2008) reported that foods containing more than 0.02 mg/g of carotenoid are rich sources of this pigment. According to De-Ancos et al. (2011), there is no recommended DI for carotenoids; however, some studies suggest a DI of 5 to 6 mg/day. Thus, consumption of 100 g of prepared fruit salad offers the consumer 1 mg of total carotenoids and we consider this fruit salad as a good source of these beneficial compounds.

The addition of \(L.\ rhamnosus\ HN001\) did not produce significant changes \((p > 0.05)\) in fruit salad texture (Table 2). However, unlike what was observed in this study, Rößle et al. (2010) found that apples from the control treatment and those treated with \(L.\ rhamnosus\ GG\) lost their firmness after the second day of storage.

### Table 1. Mean values of pH, acidity and soluble solids (°Brix) of minimally processed fruit salads and control treatments containing \(L.\ rhamnosus\ HN001\) at different storage times.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Treatment</th>
<th>pH</th>
<th>Acidity</th>
<th>Soluble solids</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Control</td>
<td>4.15a</td>
<td>0.319b</td>
<td>11.84a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(L.\ rhamnosus)</td>
<td>3.91b</td>
<td>0.461a</td>
<td>11.50a</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Means values followed by the same letter in the column do not differ statistically according to the Tukey test at 5% probability.

### Figure 2. Regression of ascorbic acid content of control fruit salad and fruit salad treated with \(L.\ rhamnosus\ HN001\), as a function of storage time.
The reduction of fruit firmness after processing and during storage was expected, due to damages in the fruit tissues, which promoted the release of water and exudate. The texture of mango and papaya contained in fruit salad treated with *L. rhamnosus* HN001 was influenced by storage time (p < 0.05). However, the texture of these fruits was not affected by the treatments (Table 2), or by the interaction of time and treatment.

Climacteric fruit, such as papaya and mango, have a short shelf life. Papaya is a fruit of high perishability. In this way, Sañudo-Barajas et al. (2009) studied the cellular metabolism and enzymes involved in the postharvest softening of papaya and found that at harvest time such fruits showed firmness equal to 144 N, diminishing to 17 N on the sixth day of storage. Tapia et al. (2008) found that the texture of minimally processed papaya was 2 N after eight days of storage at 4 °C. These authors found that papaya softening was caused mainly by hydrolysis of pectic acid in the cell walls, which promoted the loss of firmness, as observed in this study.

### 3.4 Microbiological characteristics of fruit salad

The quality and safety of minimally processed food products depend on the adoption of good agricultural practices and good manufacturing practices during all processing steps, especially the hygienic conditions of the food handlers and the storage temperature. Results of microbiological analysis after processing of control fruit salad and fruit salad with *L. rhamnosus* HN001 showed count of psychrotrophic microorganisms of 2.6 Log CFU/g and 2.5 Log CFU/g, respectively. However, the number of these microorganisms increased over time, with this number being higher in control fruit salad (5.1 Log CFU/g) compared to the fruit salad treated with *L. rhamnosus* HN001 (2.9 Log CFU/g).

Thus, the fruit salad with probiotic bacteria showed counts of psychrotrophic microorganisms of at least 2.0 log CFU/g lower than control fruit salad after 120 h of storage at 8 °C. It could be due to the buffer solution used to add the probiotic to the fruit salad, and not due to the action of probiotic bacterium (biopreservation), once the buffer solution contained citric acid: sodium citrate at a 1:1 ratio, and pH 3.8.

However, previous studies have indicated that probiotic culture produces acids that promote the reduction of pH, creating conditions unfavorable to microbial growth. Pithva et al. (2011) found that strains of *L. rhamnosus* produce antimicrobial peptides that inhibit *Escherichia coli*, *Enterobacter aerogenes*, *Salmonella typhi*, *Shigella sp.*, *Proteus vulgaris*, *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*, *Bacillus cereus* and *Staphylococcus aureus*, among others. Alegre et al. (2011) evaluated the addition of *L. rhamnosus* GG in minimally processed apple and its effect on the growth of pathogens such as *L. monocytogenes* and *Salmonella* of different strains and found that *L. monocytogenes* decreased by 1 Log cycle in apples inoculated with this probiotic.

In this study, both treatments showed <3.0 MPN/g of total and fecal coliforms, and absence of *Salmonella* sp., which met the microbiological standards established by Brazilian legislation (Brasil, 2001). Thus, prepared fruit salad with *L. rhamnosus* HN001 is safe for consumption for up to 120 h after processing.

### 3.5 Sensory evaluation of fruit salads

According to Granato et al. (2010), the food industry takes into consideration many variables to develop non-dairy probiotic foods, among them sensory evaluation. Acceptance notes for both treatments (control and fruit salad with *L. rhamnosus* HN001) were above 7.0, “like moderately” on a nine-point hedonic scale. This result was observed for all the attributes evaluated (Table 3), indicating that control fruit salad and fruit salad with *L. rhamnosus* HN001 had a good acceptability and no significant differences (p > 0.05).

Probiotic bacteria did not promote (p > 0.05) changes in the acceptance of fruit salads regarding acceptance of color, flavor and overall impression after processing (time 0 h). However, storage time had a significant effect (p < 0.05) on attributes evaluated. Similar to our results, Rößle et al. (2010) evaluated the acceptability of minimally processed apples enriched with probiotic culture and also found that the product was well accepted by consumers.

#### Table 2. Mean values of firmness (N) of fruits in control prepared fruit salads and containing *L. rhamnosus*.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Treatment</th>
<th>Mango (N)</th>
<th>Papaya (N)</th>
<th>Banana (N)</th>
<th>Guava (N)</th>
<th>Apple (N)</th>
<th>Pineapple (N)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Control</td>
<td>18.36a</td>
<td>5.41a</td>
<td>16.68a</td>
<td>30.53a</td>
<td>48.02a</td>
<td>19.39a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>L. rhamnosus</em></td>
<td>16.11a</td>
<td>4.80a</td>
<td>13.87a</td>
<td>25.12a</td>
<td>49.83a</td>
<td>20.72a</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Means values followed by the same letter in the column do not differ statistically by F test (p > 0.05).

#### Table 3. Mean values of scores for color, flavor and overall impression of fruit salads, control and treated with *L. rhamnosus* HN001, immediately after processing (0 h) and after 120 h of storage at 8 °C.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Treatment</th>
<th>Color Time</th>
<th>Flavor Time</th>
<th>Overall impression Time</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0 h</td>
<td>120h</td>
<td>Mean value</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Control</td>
<td>8.04</td>
<td>7.22</td>
<td>7.63a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>L. rhamnosus</em></td>
<td>7.58</td>
<td>7.26</td>
<td>7.42a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean value</td>
<td>7.81A</td>
<td>7.24B</td>
<td>7.70A</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Means values followed by the same lowercase letter in the column and uppercase in the line do not differ statistically by the F test (p > 0.05).
4 Conclusions

Minimally processed fruit salads can be considered a promising carrier for probiotic bacteria, since the count of L. rhamnosus in this food was similar to that found in fermented dairy products. SEM analysis showed that there was excellent adhesion and distribution of probiotic on banana, apple and guava, probably due to the internal structure of fruit tissue. The fruit salads were well accepted by consumers, indicating that they are a marketable product. Moreover, probiotic fruit salad presents all the benefits provided by probiotic functional food, with the advantage that it can be consumed by everybody. However, selection of a plant matrix with potential as a probiotic carrier is important. Therefore, more studies and clinical trials are needed in order to evaluate the adhesion and permanence of probiotic bacteria in the intestine when it is consumed with fruit salad.
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