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Abstract

In this study, the antioxidant activities of different parts of lotus (Nelumbo nucifera Gaertn) rhizome were compared. The total phenolic content of lotus rhizome was determined, and Ferric reducing antioxidant power (FRAP) assay, 1,1-diphenyl-2-picrylhydrazyl (DPPH) radical-scavenging assay and β-carotene-linoleic acid assay were performed to assess the antioxidant activity of lotus rhizome. Results showed that there was a significant difference in total phenolic content and antioxidant activity between any two of four parts of lotus rhizome. The order of total phenolic content and antioxidant activity in different parts of lotus rhizome was as follows: peel of old lotus rhizome > peel of young lotus rhizome > flesh of old lotus rhizome > flesh of young lotus rhizome. The total phenol content is significantly positive correlated with the antioxidant activity in different parts of lotus rhizome. This study has provided a basis for further exploring the antioxidant components in lotus rhizome.
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Practical Application: The total phenol content is significantly positively correlated with the antioxidant activity in different parts of lotus rhizome. This study has significant importance for further developing the products related to lotus rhizome.

1 Introduction

Lotus (Nelumbo nucifera Gaertn, N. nucifera) is an ornamental plant and dietary staple in Eastern Asia, particularly in China (Hu & Skibsted, 2002). All parts of N. nucifera are used as oriental medicine for various medicinal purposes (Kashiwada et al., 2005). The seed of N. nucifera is used as diuretic and cooling agent, antiemetic and antidote in the treatment of tissue inflammation and cancer (Chopra et al., 1956; Liu et al., 2004). The leaf of N. nucifera is considered the best for “over-coming body heat”, and stopping bleeding (Bensky et al., 2004), and it is used as a drug for hematemesis, epistaxis, hemoptysis, hematuria and metrorrhagia (Ono et al., 2006). In China, the lotus rhizome can be cooked into different dishes or eating raw as a common vegetable. Especially, it has been applied in Chinese herbal prescriptions to alleviate tissue inflammation, cancer, and liver cirrhosis for a long time (Chopra et al., 1956).

It is reported that the extract of lotus rhizome exhibits high antioxidative capacity (Hu & Skibsted, 2002), and the main antioxidative compositions in lotus rhizome are phenolics including dopa, catechol, gallic acid, D-(+)-catechin and L-(−)-epicatechin (Wang et al., 2004). In our previous study (Yang et al., 2007), the antioxidative activities of lotus rhizome extract obtained with solvent of different polarity are determined. It is found that, all extracts obtained with different solvents exhibit higher antioxidant activity than ascorbic acid. Furthermore, the solvent property can significantly affect the yield, total phenolic content and antioxidant activity of extract. Very few data on antioxidant activity of lotus rhizome with different parts and different maturity levels are available. The aim of this work was to evaluate the antioxidant activity of flesh of young lotus rhizome, peel of young lotus rhizome, flesh of old lotus rhizome and peel of old lotus rhizome. The water content and total phenolic content of different parts of lotus rhizome were determined. Ferric reducing antioxidant power (FRAP) assay, 1,1-diphenyl-2-picrylhydrazyl (DPPH) radical scavenging assay and β-carotene-linoleic acid assay were conducted to assess the antioxidant activity of lotus rhizome.

2 Materials and methods

2.1 Materials and reagents

Lotus rhizome was purchased from Yiwu (Zhejiang, China) and washed by water. The parts with relatively large size in the middle (old lotus rhizome) and the parts with relatively small size at the front (young lotus rhizome) were cut down. The peel and flesh of old lotus rhizome and young lotus rhizome were prepared for use. 1,1-diphenyl-2-picrylhydrazyl (DPPH), β-carotene Folin-Ciocalteu reagent, gallic acid, linoleic acid and polyoxyethylene sorbitan monopalmitate (Tween40) were obtained from Sigma-Aldrich (Saint Louis, USA). All other chemicals used were of analytical grade.

2.2 Extraction method

Lotus rhizome sample (2 g) was put in a mortar. 8 mL of 80% ethanol aqueous solution was added. The mixture was homogenated, then frozen centrifugated at 10,000 x g for 15 min. The supernatant was separated to obtain the sample solution for use.
2.3 Determination of total phenolic content

Determination of total phenol content was carried out using a Folin–Ciocalteu method according to Slinkard & Singleton's study (1997) with some modifications. 0.1 mL of Folin–Ciocalteu reagent and proper amount of sample solution were added in a flask. The flask was shaken up to mix the solutions thoroughly. After 3 min, 0.3 mL of Na₂CO₃ (2%) was added. The solutions were mixed again and left at room temperature for 2 h. The absorbance was measured at 765 nm using a UV-Vis spectrophotometer. Total phenolic content of sample was calculated with a linear regression equation obtained from gallic acid standard curve: Absorbance = 8.3886 × gallic acid (µg) - 0.0114. Results were expressed as milligrams of gallic acid equivalent (GAE) per 100 g of lotus rhizome.

2.4 Determination of FRAP value

According to the method described by Benzie & Strain (1996), 20 µL of sample solution was added to 1.8 mL of FRAP reagent which was prepared by mixing 25 µL of sodium acetate buffer solution (0.3 M), 2.5 mL of TPTZ (10 mM), 2.5 mL of FeCl₃ (20 mM), and incubated at 37 °C for 30 min. The absorbance of solutions was measured at 593 nm using a UV-Vis spectrophotometer. With FeSO₄ solution (1 mM) as the standard, FRAP value of sample was expressed as mmol of Fe(II) per 100 g of lotus rhizome, using linear calibration obtained with different concentrations of FeSO₄.

2.5 Determination of DPPH radical scavenging capacity

Scavenging activity on DPPH radicals was measured according to the method of Moreno et al. (1998) with some modifications. 3.0 mL of ethanol solution of DPPH was added to 20 µL of sample solution. The solutions were mixed thoroughly. After 3 min, absorbance of the solutions was measured at 517 nm using a UV-Vis spectrophotometer. 80% ethanol was used as control. The DPPH radical scavenging activity of each sample was calculated by the DPPH inhibition (absorbance decrease) according to the following formula: DPPH inhibition (%) = 100*(A_{control} - A_{sample})/A_{control} (A: absorbance).

2.6 Determination of antioxidant activity by β-carotene bleaching method

Prevention of oxidative loss of β-carotene in a β-carotene/linoleic acid emulsion was used to assess the antioxidant ability of lotus rhizome according to the method of Moure et al. (2000). 2.0 mg of β-carotene was dissolved in 10 mL chloroform, and 1.0 mL of the β-carotene solution was mixed with 20 mg of purified linoleic acid and 200 mg of Tween 40 in a round-bottom flask, then chloroform was removed in a rotary vacuum evaporator. After evaporation, 50 mL of distilled water was added and the mixture was stirred in a sonicator. 400 µL of sample solution was added to 9.6 mL of β-carotene/linoleic acid emulsion. 80% ethanol was used as control. Absorbance at 470 nm was immediately recorded after addition of sample. The vials were then gently capped and placed at 50 °C in a water bath. The absorbance was determined every 20 min until 120 min. Antioxidant activity coefficient (AAC) was measured in terms of successful bleaching of β-carotene by using a slightly modified version of the formula: 

\[
AAC = 1000*\frac{(A_{sample (120 \text{ min})} - A_{control (120 \text{ min})})}{(A_{control (0 \text{ min})} - A_{control (120 \text{ min})})} (A: \text{ absorbance}).
\]

2.7 Statistical analysis

All analyses were run in triplicates and results averaged. Statistical analyses were performed with the Excel and SPSS software package. P < 0.05 was considered as statistically significant.

3 Results and discussion

3.1 Water content of different parts of lotus rhizome

As shown in Figure 1, the water contents in different parts of lotus rhizome were different. The water content in peel of lotus rhizome was lower than that in flesh. Meanwhile, the water content of more mature tissue (old lotus rhizome) was lower than that of relative immature tissue (young lotus rhizome). The order of water content in different parts of lotus rhizome was as follows: flesh of young lotus rhizome (92.57%) > peel of young lotus rhizome (92.16%) > flesh of old lotus rhizome (84.79%) > peel of old lotus rhizome (82.74%).

3.2 Total phenolic content of different parts of lotus rhizome

Total phenolic content of different parts of lotus rhizome was shown in Figure 2. There was a significant difference in any two of four parts of lotus rhizome. The total phenolic content in peel of lotus rhizome was higher than that in flesh, and the total phenolic content in old lotus rhizome was higher than that in young lotus rhizome. The order of total phenolic content in different parts of lotus rhizome was as follows: peel of old lotus rhizome > peel of young lotus rhizome > flesh of old lotus rhizome > flesh of young lotus rhizome. The highest phenolic content of peel of old lotus rhizome reached 340.7 mg GAE/100g lotus rhizome which was three times of the least total phenolic content of flesh of young lotus rhizome (88.7 mg GAE/100g lotus rhizome). These results were in concordance with the
research results of Hu et al. (1999). These results demonstrated that the distribution of phenols in lotus rhizome in was not uniform. It was the same with other fruits and vegetables. In the apple (\textit{Limoncella}), the total phenolic and flavonoid content was significantly higher in peel than in flesh (D’Abrosca et al., 2007). In the fruit of cactus (\textit{red pitaya}), growing in Taiwan and Nicaragua, the total phenolic content in peel is higher than that in flesh (Wu et al., 2006). But for the persimmon (\textit{Diospyros kaki L.}), the total phenolic and flavonoid content in peel is lower than that in flesh (Maisuthisakul et al., 2007).

3.3 FRAP value of different parts of lotus rhizome

As shown in Figure 3, the FRAP value of different parts of lotus rhizome was significantly different, and the FRAP value of lotus rhizome peel was higher than that of flesh. This was consistent with the results of Guo et al.’s study (2003) which showed that, in 28 fruits except kumquat determined by FRAP assay, the fruit peel demonstrated a higher antioxidant activity than fruit flesh. The mature tissue (old lotus) possessed a higher antioxidant activity than immature tissue (young lotus) both in peel and flesh. There was a positive correlation between the antioxidant activity and the total phenolic content in different parts of lotus rhizome ($R^2 = 0.8781$). This indicated that the phenols in lotus rhizome played an important role in antioxidation.

3.4 DPPH free radical scavenging activity of different extracts

DPPH radical scavenging by antioxidant is attributable to their hydrogen donating activity (Krings & Berger 2001). As seen from Figure 4, the lotus rhizome peel had a higher DPPH radical scavenging activity than flesh, and the old lotus rhizome had a higher DPPH radical scavenging activity than young lotus rhizome. The order of DPPH inhibition of four parts of lotus rhizome was the same with that of FRAP, and the DPPH radical scavenging activity was significantly positive correlated with the total phenolic content ($R^2 = 0.9946$). The results were in agreement with the previous results of study from Wu et al. (2006) and D’Abrosca et al. (2007).

3.5 Antioxidant activity determined by β-carotene bleaching method

Antioxidant activity was determined by measuring the inhibition of volatile organic compounds and the conjugated diene hydroperoxides arising from linoleic acid oxidation. Results were shown in Figure 5. It was clear that all parts of lotus rhizome inhibited antioxidant activity against β-carotene-linoleic acid. The order of antioxidant activity of four parts of lotus rhizome was the same with the DPPH free radical scavenging activity. In addition, The AAC value was significantly positively correlated with the total phenolic content, FRAP value and DPPH inhibition ($R^2 = 0.9619, 0.8823, 0.9482$, respectively).
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4 Conclusion

There is a significant difference in total phenolic content and antioxidant activity between any two of four parts of lotus rhizome. The order of total phenolic content and antioxidant activity in different parts of lotus rhizome is as follows: peel of old lotus rhizome > peel of young lotus rhizome > flesh of old lotus rhizome > flesh of young lotus rhizome. The total phenol content is significantly positively correlated with the antioxidant activity in different parts of lotus rhizome. This study has provided a basis for further exploring the antioxidant components in lotus rhizome.
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