Rosemary as natural antioxidant to prevent oxidation in chicken burgers
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Abstract

Rosemary (Rosmarinus officinalis) is known for their sensory characteristics and antioxidant properties, mainly due to the presence of several phenolic compounds. The aim of this work, was determine the antioxidant activity and apply the Rosemary lyophilized extract (RLE) in chicken burger, for assess their ability to reduce the lipid oxidation. Total antioxidant capacity and phenolic compounds profile were analyzed by colorimetric tests and liquid chromatography analysis, respectively. Thiobarbituric acid reactive substances assay was used to evaluate the ability of the RLE to prevent lipid peroxidation in chicken burger stored at 4 °C. Three treatments of chicken burgers were prepared (T1 – control, without addition of synthetic antioxidant BHT; butylated hydroxytoluene or RLE), T2 – with addition of BHT, and T3 – experimental, containing RLE). The high contents of total phenolic compounds (40.91 mg GAE g⁻¹; Gallic Acid Equivalent) and total flavonoids (24.26 mg QE g⁻¹; Quercetin Equivalents) were found in RLE. Rutin was the major phenolic compound identified in the RLE. The RLE showed strong antioxidant capacity and inhibited 48.29% of lipid oxidation (21 days of storage) in comparison to the control (T1), with low production of malonaldehyde, which has potential to be used in chicken burgers.
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Practical Application: The replacing antioxidant synthetic for Rosemary extracts provide good alternatives to get healthy foods.

1 Introduction

Natural antioxidants offer alternatives approach to prevent the oxidative deterioration of foods. Such compounds found in plants have attracted considerable interest in preventing the auto-oxidation of fat and oil in foods (Fernández-Ginés et al., 2005; Mariutti et al., 2008). Antioxidant activities of herbs, spices, vegetables and other extracts has been linked to phenolic compounds, suggesting that the antioxidant action is similar to that of the synthetic additives (Asolini et al., 2006; Rêgo et al., 2011). Antioxidants act as scavengers of free radicals and oxygen, which reduce the progress of lipid peroxidation. However, it is need hinder the free radicals production at start of the reaction sequence or to interrupt the sequence at some point (Singleton et al., 1999).

Currently, efforts have increased to elucidate the effects of the natural antioxidants present in vegetables and food matrices (Rather et al., 2016; Reis et al., 2017). The bioactivity of phenolic compounds depends largely of the number of hydroxyl groups linked to the aromatic ring and their relative orientation in the molecular structure. Different assays have been used to investigate the antioxidant activity of plants extracts in several matrices such as foods, natural products and body fluids (Erkan et al., 2008; Reșat et al., 2013; Guo et al., 2016).

The Rosemary belongs to the Lamiaceae and possesses a number of desirable technological properties. Most of the data found in the literature refers to the antioxidant, antimicrobial and anti-inflammatory potential of Rosemary, which is often related to the presence of rosmarinic acid and carnosol, among other polyphenols (Erkan et al., 2008; Genena et al., 2008; Pour et al., 2014). Rosemary extracts prevent autooxidation in sunflower oil (Chen et al., 2014) and it is used as natural antioxidant to inhibit lipid oxidation in pork-based products (Lara et al., 2011; Hać-Szymańczuk et al., 2011), and other types of foods such as sausages (Georgantelis et al., 2007), and chicken nuggets (Teruel et al., 2015).

Lipid oxidation in foods is one of the main factors that affect the product quality such as, flavour, colour and texture, reducing the nutritional value. Oxidative processes in meat products are influenced by polyunsaturated fatty acids in the presence of iron, presence of prooxidants and endogenous antioxidants, action of oxygen, the addition of salt and by mechanical processes (Min & Ahn, 2005). According to Devatkal & Naveena (2010) lipid oxidation is a chain reaction so that polyunsaturated fatty acids react sequentially with oxygen molecules by free radical mechanism making peroxides. The addition of antioxidants in meat products are used to prolong the shelf life, increase safety.
and prevent damage caused by lipid oxidation (Devatkal & Naveena, 2010; Chen et al., 2014).

Synthetic phenolic preservatives such as tertiary-butylhydroquinone (TBHQ), butylated hydroxyanisole (BHA), butylated hydroxytoluene (BHT), and propyl gallate (PG) are approved for use in meat processing in many countries. However, concern about the health risks associated with chronic consumption of these chemical substances are getting attention in the search for natural substances with antioxidant activity (Ibrahim et al., 2010; Shahidi & Zhong, 2010; Rather et al., 2016).

The aim of this work was to determine the antioxidant properties and phenolic profile by liquid chromatography, and the effects of adding of Rosemary lyophilized extract (RLE) in chicken burgers, in order to avoid the lipid oxidation during cold storage at 4 °C.

2 Materials and methods

2.1 Chemicals

Gallic acid, ferulic acid, caffeic acid, p-coumaric acid, trans-cinnamic acid, kaempferol, rutin, and quercetin were obtained from Sigma–Aldrich (Hamburg, Germany). DPPH (2,2-diphenyl-1-picrylhydrazyl), ABTS\(^{\bullet-}\) (2,2′-azino-di(3-ethylbenzthiazoline-6-sulphonic acid), TPTZ (2,4,6-Tri(2-pyridyl)-s-triazine), trichloroacetic acid (TCA), Folín–Ciocalteu phenol reagents, Trolox, linoleic acid, β-carotene, and Tween 40 were obtained from Sigma Aldrich (Sternheim, Germany). Ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid (EDTA), thiobarbituric acid (TBA), chloroform, ethyl alcohol and methanol were purchased from Vetec (Sao Paulo, Brazil).

2.2 Preparation of Rosemary lyophilized extract (RLE)

The preparation and the analysis of RLE were described by Carpes et al. (2008). The Rosmarinus officinalis samples were cultivated in Pato Branco, Paraná, Brazil. The leaves were collected, dried in a air circulation oven at 35 °C and ground in analytical mill. The leaves (2 g) were incubated with 15 mL of 80% ethanol (v/v), at 70 °C in water bath for 30 min. Afterwards, the extract was filtered and stored at −5 °C. The supernatant was lyophilized (Liotop L101, São Carlos, Brazil). The Rosemary lyophilized extract was named as RLE and used in total phenols, flavonoids, antioxidant activity, and HPLC analysis. The extraction was performed in triplicate.

2.3 Total phenols and total flavonoids

Total phenolic compounds were quantified by Folín–Ciocalteu method described by Singleton et al. (1999), using gallic acid as the standard. The results were expressed as mg GAE g\(^{-1}\) of sample (GAE: Gallic Acid Equivalent). The content of total flavonoids was quantified according to Carpes et al. (2008). Results were interpolated with quercetin calibration curve and presented in mg QE g\(^{-1}\) of sample (QE: Quercetin Equivalent).

2.4 HPLC-DAD-UV-Vis profile

The analysis of phenolic compounds in RLE was carried out using a Dionex Ultimate 3000 chromatograph equipped with an Acclaim\(^{\circledR}\) 120 C18 column at 40 °C with a flow rate of 1.0 mL min\(^{-1}\) and a photodiode array detector (HPLC/PDA). The mobile phases were carried out according to Rodrigues et al. (2015) and Chromeleon software was used in this experiment. Extracts were filtered through a 0.22 µm filter nylon syringe (Millipore, São Paulo, Brazil). Ferulic acid, gallic acid, p-coumaric acid, trans-cinnamic acid, kaempferol, rutin, and quercetin were examined. The assays were carried out in triplicate.

2.5 Antioxidant capacity tests

DPPH radical assay

The DPPH free radical scavenging activity was realized according Brand-Williams et al. (1995). 0.5 mL of RLE 80 g/L, 3.0 mL of ethanol and 0.3 mL of 0.5 mM DPPH solution in ethanol were mixed. The absorbance was measured using a spectrophotometer (Bel Photonics 2000, Piracicaba, Brazil) at 517 nm. The concentration required to obtain a 50% antioxidant effect value (EC50) was calculated by linear regression of graphs where the axis of the abscissas is the concentration in mg/mL and the ordinate axis is the antioxidant activity (%). The assays were carried out in triplicate. Standard solutions of BHT (butylated hydroxytoluene), BHA (butylated hydroxyanisole) and α-tocopherol were used at final concentrations of 0.1 mg mL\(^{-1}\), as positive controls.

ABTS\(^{+}\) scavenging activity

The ABTS assay was performed according to Re et al. (1999). ABTS solution (1 mL) was diluted with ethanol (60 mL) until the absorbance 0.70 at 734 nm in spectrophotometer (UV-VIS Bel Photonics 2000 Piracicaba, Brazil). Trolox was used as reference and the result was expressed as mmol/g of TEAC (Trolox-equivalent antioxidant capacity). All assays were made in triplicate.

Coupled oxidation of β-carotene/linoleic acid assay

The β-carotene bleaching assay was determined according to Ahn et al. (2004). About 10 mg of β-carotene, 40 mg of linoleic acid and 400 mg Tween 40 were measured, and dissolved in 100 mL of chloroform. An aliquot of this emulsion (3 mL) and 300 µL of the RLE (6.67 mg mL\(^{-1}\)) was mixed and absorbance read immediately at 470 nm, and at 20 min intervals for 2 hours incubation at 50 °C. Antioxidant activity was presented as percent of inhibition. The BHT, BHA e α-tocopherol were evaluated in the final concentration of 0.1 mg mL\(^{-1}\) at 470 nm. The assays were effectuated in triplicate.

Ferric reducing antioxidant potential (FRAP) assay

The FRAP assay was performed as described by Pulido et al. (2000). 25 mL of 0.3 M acetate buffer, 2.5 mL of 10 mM TPTZ solution, and 2.5 mL of 20 mM ferric chloride were used to obtain the reagent. 3 mL of FRAP reagent freshly was added to 100 µL of the extract and incubated in a water bath for 30 min at 37 °C.
Table 1. Phenolic compounds identified by HPLC in RLE.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Phenolic compounds</th>
<th>Wave length (nm)</th>
<th>Retention time (min)</th>
<th>Concentration (mg 100 g⁻¹)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gallic acid</td>
<td>280</td>
<td>6.77</td>
<td>4.04 ± 0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Catechin</td>
<td>280</td>
<td>10.37</td>
<td>12.55 ± 0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>p-coumaric acid</td>
<td>320</td>
<td>18.60</td>
<td>3.02 ± 0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>p-cinnamic acid</td>
<td>280</td>
<td>18.69</td>
<td>1.29 ± 0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ferulic acid</td>
<td>320</td>
<td>20.01</td>
<td>4.07 ± 0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rutin</td>
<td>370</td>
<td>25.14</td>
<td>33.06 ± 0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quercetin</td>
<td>370</td>
<td>33.52</td>
<td>10.17 ± 0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kaempferol</td>
<td>370</td>
<td>36.84</td>
<td>1.52 ± 0.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Values mean ± standard deviation; RLE: Rosemary lyophilized extract; Means followed by the same letters in the same column are not statistically different from each other, by Tukey test (p < 0.05).
3.2 Antioxidant activities

The antioxidant capacity of RLE determined by distinct in-vitro methods as DPPH, ABTS, FRAP and β-carotene/linoleic acid (Table 2). Ethanol extract of Rosemary had lower antioxidant activities than the commercial antioxidants by the DPPH method (EC50 = 127.33 ± 0.12 µg/mL) and by the method of scavenging of the radical ABTS•+ (203.11 ± 1.10 µmol g−1 of Trolox). Alpha-tocopherol showed the highest antioxidant activity in the DPPH and ABTS•+ tests.

The EC50 values of RLE were very low (127.33 µg/mL), indicating a higher antioxidant activity in Rosemary (Table 2). Erkan et al. (2008) that reported a EC50 value of 54.0 µg mL−1 in Rosemary methanolic extracts using a Soxhlet apparatus, i.e., a lower than those found in this study (Table 2). Rodriguez-Rojo et al. (2012) studied Rosemary leaves collected in Spain (DPPH) and obtained values of EC50 of 45 and 17 µg mL−1 for ethanolic and aqueous extraction, respectively. It is suggested that the choice of solvent must be primarily related to the use of the extract; in the case of an aqueous extract of Rosemary, which is rich in rosmarinic acid, would be effective as an antioxidant in hydrophilic systems, while in lipophilic systems, ethanolic extracts would be more appropriate, owing to their higher content of carnosic acid. This refers that physicochemical nature of the phenolic compounds in the extracts may be more important for the antioxidant activity than the total phenols.

Justo et al. (2008) investigated the antioxidant properties of ethanolic extracts of Rosemary by the DPPH (EC50) and ABTS•+ methods, and found values of EC50 varying from 120 to 9 µg mL−1 and values of 190.96 ± 13.35 µM of Trolox g−1 to 231.51 ± 11.90 µM of Trolox g−1 by ABTS•+ method.

The β-carotene is oxidized during β-carotene bleaching assay, and as a result of this, smaller molecules are broken, the system loses its color (Carpes et al., 2008) and the yellowish discolouration of the β-carotene can be measured spectrophotometrically. Rosemary lyophilized extract showed 92.31% of inhibition by the β-carotene method (extract concentration of 6.67 mg g−1). When comparing the results of the RLE with the positive controls (BHA, BHT and alpha-tocopherol) by Tukey test, it can be seen that the RLE showed the lowest antioxidant capacity (Table 2). On the other hand, in the FRAP method, the RLE presented an antioxidant activity of 347.70 ± 0.69 µmol Fe2+/g, while the commercial antioxidants (BHA and BHT) showed higher values. This difference can be explained by the purity of the commercial additives, differing from the complexity of the studied RLE. In addition, consumers have increasingly preferred products containing natural additives because of concerns about adverse health effects of synthetic antioxidant such as BHT and BHA (Rother et al., 2016; Reis et al., 2017). These results of the antioxidant assays clearly indicate that Rosemary extract have strong antioxidant capacity and can be used as natural antioxidant to preserve foods (Justo et al., 2008; Guo et al., 2016).

3.3 Effect of Rosemary lyophilized extract on oxidative stability in chicken burgers

The oxidative degradation of lipids is one of the main reactions that occur in food products and can be inhibited by the use of preservatives in meat and meat products (Georgantelis et al., 2007; Guyon et al., 2016). Lipids are subject to a series of reactions which may lead to change in their structure and can affect the nutritional quality of the food products (Shahidi & Zhong, 2010).

TBARS test (thiobarbituric acid reactive substances) evaluates the quality of food product and is the most appropriate test for evaluating rancidity due to its simplicity and efficiency (Raharjo & Sofos, 1993). In the meat products the TBARS test is important during meat preparation (grinding and mixing) and cooking, which favour the formation of malonaldehyde, a by-product of the decomposition of hydroperoxides formed during the oxidation process (Selani et al., 2011). The results obtained in the evaluation of lipid oxidation by TBARS in the three treatments are shown in Table 3.

On the day of processing, there was no significant difference among control (T1) and the burgers containing synthetic antioxidant (T2) or RLE (T3) (p>0.05). After seven days, the samples treated with BHT and RLE showed lower concentrations of malonaldehyde in comparison with the control (Table 3).

It was possible to show that the levels of malonaldehyde increased over 7 days of storage in all cases; however, from 14 days, the TBARS values declined with storage time. According to Ninan et al. (2008) the decrease is probably due to the interaction of lipid oxidized products (as malonaldehyde) with proteins. However, as reviewed by Angelini (2010), another possibility for decrease of the TBARS values can be explained by the

---

**Table 2. Antioxidant activity in RLE and commercial antioxidants.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Samples</th>
<th>DPPH (µg/mL)</th>
<th>β-carotene/linoleic acid (%)</th>
<th>ABTS µmol/g de Trolox</th>
<th>FRAP (µmol Fe2+/g)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>RLE</td>
<td>127.33 ± 0.12</td>
<td>92.31 ± 0.46</td>
<td>203.11 ± 1.10</td>
<td>347.70 ± 0.69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BHT</td>
<td>114.66 ± 0.07</td>
<td>63.68 ± 0.18</td>
<td>3806.77 ± 73.53</td>
<td>2310.11 ± 70.59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BHA</td>
<td>72.25 ± 0.07</td>
<td>68.06 ± 0.22</td>
<td>4743.04 ± 66.37</td>
<td>2717.79 ± 171.46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alpha-tocopherol</td>
<td>61.22 ± 0.06</td>
<td>70.29 ± 0.17</td>
<td>4775.75 ± 90.27</td>
<td>1328.80 ± 22.09</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

RLE: Rosemary lyophilized extract; BHT: butylated hydroxytoluene; BHA: butylated hydroxyanisole EC50: minimum concentration necessary to inhibit the concentration of DPPH by 50%; *Concentration used 6.67 mg/mL; **Concentration used 0.1 mg/mL; Mean ± standard deviation; Means followed by the same letters in the same column are not statistically different from each other, by Tukey test (p<0.05).
Table 3. Average of TBARS (mg of malonaldehyde Kg⁻¹ of sample) values in chicken burgers.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Treatments</th>
<th>0</th>
<th>7</th>
<th>14</th>
<th>21</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>T1</td>
<td>2.09 ± 0.16</td>
<td>2.23 ± 0.03</td>
<td>1.89 ± 0.23</td>
<td>2.92 ± 0.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T2</td>
<td>2.07 ± 0.04</td>
<td>2.14 ± 0.07</td>
<td>1.86 ± 0.03</td>
<td>1.54 ± 0.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T3</td>
<td>2.08 ± 0.16</td>
<td>2.16 ± 0.02</td>
<td>1.83 ± 0.02</td>
<td>1.51 ± 0.06</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

T1: Control- without antioxidant; T2: commercial antioxidant- BHT (butylated hydroxytoluene); T3: Rosemary lyophilized extract. Mean ± standard deviation. Means followed by the same lower-case letters in the same row are not statistically different from each other, by Tukey test (p <0.05). Means followed by the same capital letters in the same column are not statistically different from each other, by Tukey test (p <0.05).

Table 4. Percent decrease in TBARS values in chicken burgers treated with/without antioxidants and stored at 4 °C for 21 days.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Treatment</th>
<th>Percent decrease in TBARS compared to control (T1)*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T2</td>
<td>0.96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T3</td>
<td>0.48</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Data not statistically analysed, but percentages were computed from the statistical data in Table 3. T1: Control- without antioxidant; T2- commercial antioxidant - BHT (butylated hydroxytoluene); T3- Rosemary lyophilized extract.

oxidation products formed not be detected by this methodology. At 21 days, the chicken burgers without antioxidants (T1) had the highest content of malonaldehyde and there was significant difference (p<0.05) between the burgers containing BHT and those containing RLE (Table 3).

Estévez et al. (2007) found 0.1% sage essential oil was more effective in inhibiting the generation of malonaldehyde in liver pâté during 90 days at 4 °C than 0.02% BHT. Also, the addition of 0.05, 0.10 and 0.15% of Salvia officinalis in Chinese sausage had lower TBARS values compared to controls (Zhang et al., 2013). According to Brannan (2008), the oxidative rancidity occurs during frozen storage of beef and chicken. The microbiological and enzymatic reactions can be inhibited by low freezing temperatures however, the lipid oxidation continues in a reduced rate.

According to Al-Kahtani et al. (1996) and Selani et al. (2011) meat products are considered in a good state for consumption if they have values of below 3 mg of malonaldehyde kg⁻¹. According to these findings, the sausage containing RLE would be considered in good condition after 21 days of storage (1.51 mg malonaldehyde/kg sample) (Table 3). Therefore, the concentrations of RLE used in chicken burger were sufficient to maintain oxidative stability.

When compared to the control after 21 days of storage, the presence of BHT showed a reduction in TBARS values of 47.26%. At the end of storage time (21 days), the chicken burger containing RLE inhibited 48.29% of lipid oxidation in comparison to the control chicken burger (Table 4). This demonstrates the effectiveness of the Rosemary as antioxidant to prevent lipid oxidation in chicken burger during storage.

Teruel et al. (2015) studied the bioactive compounds from Rosmarinus officinalis in chicken nuggets during nine months of the storage at -18 °C, and found TBARS values of 4.07 to 5.88 mg malonaldehyde/kg sample. The effects of using natural herb extracts in inhibiting lipid oxidation were also reported by Ibrahim et al. (2010) who analysed burgers containing extracts of jojoba, jatropha, ginseng and ginger. The extracts showed lower TBARS values than the control, inhibiting lipid oxidation during storage, at where lowest value of TBARS was found in burgers with the addition of ginseng extract.

4 Conclusion

This study confirms that RLE had strong anti-oxidative effects in chicken burgers, probably due to high antioxidant activity of the phenolic compounds such as acid gallic, catechin, p-coumaric acid, ferulic acid, p-cinnamic acid, rutin, quercetin, and kaempferol. The high capacity antioxidant of these compounds, combined with storage in a refrigerated temperature, the RLE has potential to be used in chicken burgers. Finding natural antioxidant equivalent or better than commercial antioxidants is an important challenge for food technology, principally due to the adverse health effects caused by synthetic antioxidants. Thus, the RLE provide good alternatives for consumer demand for healthy meat products.
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