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Abstract
The goal of this work is to determine the optimal conditions for the obtaining of protein concentrates from rice bran. The effects of defattting processes applied to this product in laboratory and in industrial scales were investigated. Through two experimental designs were performed, and production conditions to obtain the protein concentrates were chosen with values of protein contents average 59.9% and 57.1%, with protein yields of 61.6% and 30.7%. The concentrates produced with industrially defatted rice bran showed higher digestibility and increased thermal stability compared with the product obtained with laboratory defatted rice bran. Both concentrates show molecular weight proteins below 50 kDa. The morphology of the precipitated proteins, analyzed by scanning electron microscopy, there was a great difference in the size of particles, which form the wet precipitates. The differences presented by the concentrates can be due to defattting processes which raw materials were submitted. Thus, studying the protein extraction conditions and knowing its characteristics is very important for the industry, because food processing requires knowing the behavior of each compound during and after processes they will be submitted.
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Practical Application: Properties of proteins can be changed by industrial process.

1 Introduction
Nowadays, the increasing demand for high quality protein constituents increased researching of these constituents. Rice bran is a waste from rice polishing process, accounting for about 8 to 11% of the grain, and can be a source of protein for the production of concentrates and isolates (Chaud et al., 2009; Parrado et al., 2006). In the state of Rio Grande do Sul (Brazil), just in December 2016, more than 600 thousand tons of rice were processed (Instituto Riograndense do Arroz, 2017). It produced about 66 thousand tons of rice bran (RB), from which the defatted rice bran (DRB) is generated, part is applied for animal feed and the remainder is a waste from rice industry (Generoso et al., 2008; Gomes et al., 2007). The large amount of rice bran generated justify its use as a source of proteins of great nutritional value.

Much research has been done on protein isolation of cereals, legumes, algae, seeds and their byproducts, assessing functional, chemical, physical, thermal and structural properties (Bandyopadhyay et al., 2008; Joshi et al., 2011; Kumar et al., 2014; Hojilla-Evangelista et al., 2014; Zhang et al., 2012). Bandyopadhyay et al. (2008) studied the functional properties of concentrated and hydrolyzed proteins of DRB. However, it is very important to investigate other properties like digestibility, molecular weight, thermal stability, structure and morphology of the protein, knowing the product characteristics against its processing. Zhao et al. (2012) stated that protein denaturation from processed rice endosperm is associated to the differences reported on the resulted protein concentrates. They considered that the denaturation of the proteins from the processed endosperm could be responsible for the differences found in the concentrates, like improved solubility, emulsifying properties, foam capacities, water/oil holding capacity and surface hydrophobicity, compared to the native endosperm protein.

Many industrial processes are able to change food components, including proteins when compared to laboratory process that enables to maintain the structure of the native protein with little changes. Pelleting is one of the processes carried out on rice bran in order to retain the coproduct. This process prevents the action of lipolytic enzymes that degrade lipids, resulting on rancidity. Prabhu et al. (1999) studied extraction, activity and stability of rice bran lipases and verified the thermal stability. The extract was incubated at different temperatures ranging from 10 to 65 °C for 15 min. It was observed that, up to 40 °C, the loss in activity is negligible. However, incubation at higher temperatures leads to rapid loss of activity. As mentioned by Maragno & Kuhn (2013), the pelletization process is a technology applied for the preservation of the bran, where an agglomeration of milled particles occurs by mechanical processes, combined with moisture, heat and pressure conditions.

Pelletization process uses reduced values of temperature and pressure, increasing process time, when compared with extrusion. However, the action of heat can denature and disable the protein of RB, when compared with a rice bran defattting in laboratory, without thermic and pressure process, which can influence the quality of proteins. These data would be useful to predict their potential utilization in specific food products and the appropriated industrial processing. In this context, the goal of this work is obtaining and characterization of protein concentrates from rice wastes. The effects of defattting processes...
applied to these products in industry and laboratory scales were investigated.

2 Materials and methods

Crude rice bran (RB) was obtained from the rice processing industry and the waste from industry defatted rice bran (DRB) were obtained from Pelotas, Rio Grande do Sul, Brazil. All chemical reagents used in this study were of analytical grade. Hexane (Synth, Diadema, Brazil), sodium hydroxide (Vetc, Rio de Janeiro, Brazil), hydrochloric acid (Dinamica, Diadema, Brazil), acrylamide for electrophoresis (Vetc, Rio de Janeiro, Brazil), Lauryl sulfate sodium salt (Proquimios, Rio de Janeiro, Brazil), Pepsin from hog stomach (Sigma-Aldeich, São Paulo, Brazil), Pancreatin from porcine pancreas (Sigma-Aldrich, São Paulo, Brasil) and Potassium Bromide Fourier transform infrared spectroscopy (FT-IR) grade (Sigma-Aldrich, São Paulo, Brazil)

2.1 Preparation and composition of the defatted bran

Rice bran was initially sieved on 42 mesh screen (0.335 mm) for the removal of husk, grits and other major components. Afterwards, RB was subjected to degreasing according to Wang et al. (1999), with hexane in a 1:3 ratio (w/v) of bran:hexane, while stirring in a shaker (Cientec, CT-712RNT, Brazil) at 50 °C for 30 minutes. The hexane with fat was removed, making the solvent replacement and more one extraction step was performed, using the same conditions previously described. After the removal of hexane, the wet defatted bran was placed in a large container and the excess of solvent to evaporate at laboratory chapel with exhaust system for 18 hours. This bran was sieved again, but in a 100 mesh sieve (0.150 mm) to obtain DRB-1 sample.

The defatted bran obtained from the industry (DRB-2) in pellet form, was ground in a Wiley mill (Tecnal, TE-650, Brazil) and sieved in a 100 mesh sieve. The defatted and sieved bran were characterized by proximal composition according to AOAC (Association of Official Analytical Chemists, 2000) and the amount of carbohydrates was determined by difference.

2.2 Obtaining the defatted rice bran protein concentrate (DRBPC)

To obtain the concentrates the pH-shift method was used (Nolsoe & Undeland, 2009), performing protein solubilization of the brans (DRB-1 and DRB-2) at alkaline pH (pH 11.0 - NaOH 1 M) and precipitation at the isoelectric point of the protein (pH 4.5 - 1 M HCl). After solubilization, the suspension was centrifuged at 8670 x g for 20 minutes at 20 °C, the supernatant was collected, which precipitated after pH adjustment of 4.5, being subjected to centrifugation under the same conditions described above. The precipitate was neutralized with water and 1.0 M NaOH, and freeze-dried (LIOTOP L108, Brazil), obtaining the dry DRBPC.

2.3 Characterization of concentrates

After evaluating each planning, the best conditions for the obtaining of the concentrates were chosen, these were subjected to other characterization analyses: proximal composition, molecular weight, digestibility, calorimetry, infrared spectroscopy and scanning electron microscopy. The moisture, protein, fat and ashes content of the concentrates were determined by official method of AOAC (Association of Official Analytical Chemists, 2000).

2.4 Molecular weight

To determine the molar mass of DRBPC-1 and DRBPC-2 concentrates polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis was performed containing sodium dodecyl sulfate (SDS-PAGE) in a concentration of 14%, according to Laemmli (1970). The concentrate samples (0.4% - w water) were treated with 100 µL 5% β-mercaptoethanol. The molecular weight of the bands was determined by comparison with a standard containing phosphorylase-b (97 kDa), albumin (66 kDa), Ovalbumin (45 kDa), carbonic anhydrase (30 kDa), trypsin inhibitor (20.1 kDa) and α-Lactalbumin (14.4 kDa) (Amersham Low Molecular Weight Calibration Kit for Electrophoresis SDS, GE Healthcare, UK).

2.5 Digestibility

To verify the digestibility of the concentrates, the method described by Akeson & Stahmann (1964) was used with modifications. For this, 1 g of the concentrate was subjected to an initial hydrolysis with 10 mL of pepsin at a concentration of 3 mg/mL for 3 hours at 37 °C. The medium was then neutralized and the hydrolysis performed with 10 mL of pancreatin at a concentration of 4 mg/mL, for 24 hours at 37 °C. The digested protein content was determined by Kjeldahl n° 920.87 (Association of Official Analytical Chemists, 2000), relating it to the initial amount of protein, subjected to digestion. A blank sample was prepared without addition of the sample, evaluating the protein content of the enzymes.

2.6 Differential Scanning Calorimetry (DSC)

Thermal stability of proteins was evaluated by differential scanning calorimetry (DSC) (DSC-Q20, TA Instruments, New Castle, USA) according to the methodology of Zhang et al. (2012). It were weighed 3 mg of concentrates into aluminum caps, sealed and heated at a rate of 10 °C/min in a temperature range from 30 to 200 °C using an empty capsule as reference. The onset (T<sub>o</sub>), denaturation (T<sub>d</sub>) and final (T<sub>f</sub>) temperatures and the enthalpy of denaturation (ΔH) were analyzed from the curves obtained using the instrument software.

2.7 Infrared Spectroscopy (FT-IR)

In order to evaluate the structure and the compounds present in the concentrates, Fourier transform infrared spectrometry was used in the 4000-400 cm<sup>-1</sup> region, and the number of scans of 45, with a resolution of 4 cm<sup>-1</sup> according to Zhao et al. (2012). For this, the diffuse reflectance technique with potassium bromide pellets was used. The spectra were obtained by IRRsolution View 1.5 program, (Prestige-21 The-210 045, Shimadzu, Japan), supplied with the equipment.
2.8 Scanning Electron Microscopy (SEM)

A scanning electron microscopy on samples of the precipitate formed in the isoelectric point of the protein (pH 4.5) was performed after to collect 10 µL of the precipitated suspension and placed on the aluminum stub, allowing it to dry in an oven at 25 °C for 16 hours. For the assay of the microscope (Jeol, JSM - 6610LV, Japan), specimens were coated with a thin layer of gold and an acceleration of 15 kV electrons was used.

2.9 Statistical analyses

Two full 2^3 factorial experimental designs were carried out, totaling 17 trials using DRB-1 and DRB-2 brans to obtain the respective DRBPC-1 and DRBPC-2 concentrates. The responses studied were dry concentrate yield (M_y), protein content (P_y) of dry concentrate (Kjeldahl %N x 5.95) and protein yield (P_y).

For the calculation of M_y and P_y, Equations 1 and 2 were used, where m_conc is the mass of the dry concentrate, m_DRB is the mass of DRB used in the process, P_conc is the percentage of dry protein concentrate and P_DRB is the content of protein in the DRB.

\[ M_y = \frac{m_{\text{conc}} \times 100}{m_{\text{DRB}}} \]  \hspace{1cm} (1)

\[ P_y = \frac{m_{\text{conc}} \times P_{\text{conc}}}{m_{\text{DRB}} \times P_{\text{DRB}}} \times 100 \]  \hspace{1cm} (2)

The response surface methodology (contour surface) was used to analyse the effects of the following parameters: mass yield, protein content and protein yield. The interaction between the variables was evaluated using the software Statistica (Version 7.0, by StatSoft, Inc., Tulsa, USA) using a confidence interval of 95%. Means were compared by Student’s t-test at the 5% level of significance by analysis of variance (ANOVA).

3 Results and discussions

3.1 Experimental design for the defatted rice bran protein concentrates (DRBPC)

Table 1 shows the mass yield values, protein content and yield of protein concentrates obtained by different conditions according to the experimental design.

The yield of solid obtained after defatting rice obtained in this work show that DRBP-1 concentrates were higher than DRBPC-2, but the average percentage of protein made up 8.4% higher in DRBPC-2. It is possible to consider the greater DRBPC-1 mass yield value because of the higher amount of non-protein compounds, so the protein yield is directly linked to mass yield. Despite this, we may consider the potential of this industrial waste as a protein source, which was higher than the 8.8% reported by Boonla et al. (2015) when worked defatted rice bran protein.

The effects of the variables DRB:H2O ratio, temperature and process time in mass yield of the concentrate, on the percentage of protein and the protein yield were verified. In DRBPC-1 it was found for the responses mass and protein yield only the variable Temperature (linear and quadratic) were significant. For protein content, beside Temperature, the DRB:H2O ratio showed a negative effect, and for DRB:H2O with time interactions, the effect was positive. In DRBPC-2 it were verified that the variables applied were most influential on protein yield and protein content. Mass yield and protein yield were significantly influenced by parameters DRB:H2O ratio (Quadratic) and Temperature (Linear), with smaller DRB:H2O ratio and higher temperature leading to the highest mass and protein yield of concentrate. In the percentage

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Trial</th>
<th>DRB:H2O (g/mL)</th>
<th>T (°C)</th>
<th>t (min)</th>
<th>DRBPC-1</th>
<th>DRBPC-2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>M_y</td>
<td>P_y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1:6</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>12.2</td>
<td>59.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>1:8</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>13.2</td>
<td>58.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>1:6</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>14.4</td>
<td>58.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>1:8</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>14.6</td>
<td>58.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>1:6</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>13.4</td>
<td>57.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>1:8</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>13.8</td>
<td>59.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>1:6</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>14.8</td>
<td>53.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>1:8</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>15.2</td>
<td>58.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>1:5.3</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>15.0</td>
<td>54.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>1:8.7</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>14.4</td>
<td>55.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>1:7</td>
<td>23.2</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>11.2</td>
<td>57.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>1:7</td>
<td>56.8</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>14.8</td>
<td>55.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>1:7</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>43.2</td>
<td>14.4</td>
<td>57.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>1:7</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>76.8</td>
<td>13.6</td>
<td>60.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>1:7</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>14.4</td>
<td>59.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>1:7</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>15.0</td>
<td>59.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>1:7</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>14.2</td>
<td>60.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

of protein, the ratio DRB:H₂O (Linear), Temperature (Linear) and (Quadratic) and the Temperature with time interaction, were the parameters that showed significant influence. In this case, the larger DRB:H₂O ratio and smaller Temperature, the greater percentage of protein has been found.

Afterwards, the analysis of variance of the significant variables were carried out, with a 95% confidence level. In DRBPC-1, the value of Fcal (5.1) was close to Ftab (3.8) and the regression coefficient proved to be low (R = 0.7383). According to Kalil et al. (2000), a model has statistical significance when the Fcal is at least 3 to 5 times greater than the Ftab, thus considering that the model was not predictive for the correlation coefficient. However, for DRBPC-2, it was observed that for the yields (Mᵢ and Pᵧ) the Fcal (13.92 and 13.03) and Ftab (3.74 and 3.74) ratios were similar and three times greater, with a correlation coefficient higher than 80% (R = 0.8157 and R = 0.8095). The percentage of protein, Fcal (17.06) and Ftab (3.26) ratio was five times greater, with a coefficient of 92%. In this case, it was considered a significant and predictive model. From the mathematical models obtained, Equation 3 for mass yields (Mᵢ), Equation 4 for protein content (Pₓ) and, Equation 5 for protein yield (Pᵧ) of DRBPC-2, it was possible to construct the response surfaces and contour diagrams (Figure 1).

\[
Mᵢ = 4.64 \cdot 1.858[DRB : H₂O] + 2.27[T]
\]  \hspace{1cm} (3)

\[
\hspace{1cm} (4)

\[
Pᵧ = 19.63[DRB : H₂O] + 7.71[T]
\]  \hspace{1cm} (5)

Were, Mᵢ is the mass yield, Pₓ is the protein content, Pᵧ is the protein yield, DRB:H₂O is the DRB and water ratio, T is the process temperature and t is the solubilization time.

As previously mentioned, the behavior of both yields was similar regarding the process conditions and the protein yield response was dependent on the mass yield. The best yields were obtained in a central condition of the DRB:H₂O ratio and higher temperature values. This may be possible because of increased solubility of the components present in the bran. Furthermore, to obtain a pure concentrate with higher protein content, it would be necessary to use lower temperatures during the process.

To proceed with this research, it was choose two treatments: for DRBPC-1, assays 14-17 were the ones which stood out in the protein content. In this case, it was considered that the concentrate obtained at the midpoint of the conditions would be ideal to be characterized later, which showed an average protein percentage of 59.9%; For DRBPC-2, was considered the protein yield the most important variable, thus using the concentrate obtained in Assay 12.

**Proximal composition of DRB and DRBPC**

Table 2 presents the values of the proximal composition of the defatted rice bran (0,150 mm) and the two defatted rice bran protein concentrate (DRBPC-1 and DRBPC-2).

The components of DRB-1 and DRB-2 showing significant difference between them. As cited by Pestana et al. (2008), the chemical characteristics of the rice bran depend on factors such as cultivar, grain treatments before the processing, processing system used and the degree of grinding to which the grain is subjected. Due to this, the values expressed in the literature for the rice bran composition varied.

![Figure 1](image-url). **Figure 1.** Response surface and contour diagrams of mass yield (My), protein yield (Py) and protein content (Pc) as function of DRB:H₂O, temperature (T) and time (t).
Another important factor to explain the differences between the components would be the processes from which the brans were submitted to in industry and laboratory. In the industry (DRB-2), a pelleting process in the whole bran was performed to inactivate lipases, using high temperature and pressure. The pelletized bran was ground and sieved (0.150 mm), causing husk fragments that might be present in the rice bran before being processed to have a reduction in size to the point of passing through the sieve and, therefore resulting in a higher fiber content and ash in the bran. However, the DRB-1 obtained in the laboratory was sieved before being defatted removing a large amount of husks and therefore presented a lower ash content.

Lipid content of DRB by industrial process on a large scale, favors the maintenance a part of lipids in the pelletized bran, unlike the process performed in the laboratory, probably because the quantity of reagents in an industrial scale was not the same applied in laboratory, despide of both processes were performed with hexane and at 50 °C.

For characterization analyses of DRBPC larger amount production was conducted in order to achieve a greater quantity of concentrates. Compared with DRB, we observed that the concentrate showed a high protein content, with no significant difference between the concentrates, and with lowering of other components such as ash and carbohydrates, in addition was possible to eliminate fibers in the first centrifugation step. Chandi & Sogi (2007) worked with three variations of rice to obtaining rice bran protein concentrates with protein content ranged of 52.46% to 58.92%, studing its functional properties. Bandypadhyay et al. (2008) produced concentrates from Indian rice bran, with proteins values (86.2%) higher than this work, but the raw material analyzed in this case had a higher protein content (20.8%).

It was observed that the content of lipids present in the concentrates was higher compared to the bran. Similar value was verified by Boonla et al. (2015), when working with defatted rice bran and at the end of the process of getting protein, obtained a concentrate with 16.32% of lipids. This fact can be considered due to the use of higher process temperatures, which allows the lipids to be dragged along with the protein during the precipitation step. The presence of the lipids could be reduced through the use of centrifugation at low temperatures, promoting their separation on the upper phase of the supernatant.

Table 2. Proximal composition (dry basis) of DRB and DRBPC.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Component</th>
<th>DRB-1</th>
<th>DRB-2</th>
<th>DRBPC-1</th>
<th>DRBPC-2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Moisture</td>
<td>14.6±0.3</td>
<td>12.0±0.1</td>
<td>3.9±0.1</td>
<td>5.4±0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Protein</td>
<td>16.7±0.5</td>
<td>17.9±0.1</td>
<td>64±1</td>
<td>62.1±0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ash</td>
<td>13.7±0.1</td>
<td>16.5±0.1</td>
<td>6.3±0.1</td>
<td>5.4±0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fat</td>
<td>5.3±0.2</td>
<td>7.7±0.7</td>
<td>20.2±0.3</td>
<td>15.1±0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fiber</td>
<td>4.2±0.7</td>
<td>7.4±0.2</td>
<td>Nd</td>
<td>Nd</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carbohydrates</td>
<td>59.9</td>
<td>50.5</td>
<td>11.9</td>
<td>17.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

DRB-1: defatted rice bran by laboratory process; DRB-2: defatted rice bran by industrial process; DRBPC-1: defatted rice bran protein concentrate of DRB-1; DRBPC-2: defatted rice bran protein concentrate of DRB-2. Nd: Not detected. Mean ± standard deviation of three determinations. Different letters in the same line indicate significant difference between the brans (DRB) and between concentrates (DRBPC) (p < 0.05).

Differential Scanning Calorimetry (DSC) of DRBPC-1 and DRBPC-2

To check the thermal stability of the concentrates, DSC analysis was performed. The values obtained by the DRBPC-1 and DRBPC-2 DSC curves were, respectively, onset temperatures of 137.74 and 133.19 °C; denaturation temperatures of 144.21 and 140.2 °C; end temperatures of 150.96 and 154.01 °C, showing that the temperatures were close among concentrates. However we noting a greater difference in enthalpy of denaturation, i.e. thermal stability of DRBPC-1 (38.84 J/g) was smaller than the DRBPC-2 (50.69 J/g). This may be due to the presence of denatured proteins in DRBPC-2. Zhao et al. (2012) also found greater stability of proteins in rice endosperm treated with pressure and temperature, which can result in a compression and aggregation of proteins.

The knowledge of the protein characteristics due to the process temperature variation is related to their thermal stability, when subjected to certain treatments during the elaboration of the food, not causing drastic changes in protein structure and modifications of its properties.

Molar mass and digestibility of DRBPC-1 and DRBPC-2

Figure 2 illustrates the bands corresponding to proteins of DRBPC-1 and DRBPC-2 concentrates, comparing the molar masses through the bands presented by a standard of known proteins.

The molecular weight of all protein concentrates were less than 50 kDa. The DRBPC-1 showed more visible bands compared to the DRBPC-2. This behavior was also observed in protein concentrates from native and heat-treated rice endosperm analyzed by Zhao et al. (2012), where the treated endosperm had reduced visibility bands compared with the concentrate of the native endosperm. The author attributed this difference between the bands to the use of elevated pressure and temperature conditions.

Ellepola et al. (2005) cited a polypeptide known as α-globulin, present in rice, and that has a molecular mass of 26 kDa. The bands presented by the concentrates between 20 and 30 kDa bands of a known standard may be due to the presence of this polypeptide, not being very visible in DRBPC-2.

The digestibility of DRBPC-1 was 93.0±0.2%, significantly differing from DRBPC-2, which was 98.0±0.4%, i.e. the
availability of amino acids in DRBPC-2 would be greater than DRBPC-1. The digestibility of rice bran is in the range of 73%, however, when proteins are concentrated they can reach values above 90% (Saunders, 1990). The separation of the proteins from the other components of the bran and the low molecular weight demonstrated by the electrophoresis facilitated the action of the digestive enzymes, increasing its digestibility. It was also observed that the fact that the amount of digested proteins of DRBPC-2 was higher compared to the DRBPC-1 may be due to the easily digestible denatured proteins presented by DRB-2 due to the industrial process. Naves et al. (2010) also obtained a 27% increase in the digestibility of pumpkin seeds with and without heat treatment.

Infrared spectrum (FT-IR) of DRBPC-1 and DRBPC-2

The infrared spectrum permits check the groups and structures that are part of the elemental matrix. Figure 3 shows the infrared spectra of concentrates.

We observed that both spectras were similar and showed that for both samples, the highest peak ranged between 1600 and 1700 cm\(^{-1}\), which are characteristic of the secondary structure of the protein, obtained by the analysis of amide-I band. This band is primarily due to stretching of C = O of the peptide bond. As presented by Ellepola et al. (2005), the spectra relating to concentrates, bands obtained by the frequencies of 1666.80 cm\(^{-1}\) (DRBPC-1) and 1667.59 cm\(^{-1}\) (DRBPC-2) are represented by the \(\beta\)-sheet conformation. The formation of this structure occurs by the reversion of 180° of polypeptide chain. It comprises a segment of 4 amino acid residues being common to the presence of asparagine, cysteine, glycine, proline and tyrosine, which are folded together and are stabilized by hydrogen bonds (Damodaran et al., 2010). Besides the amide I band, the amide II band, which would refer to the peptide bond is represented by the frequency 1549.14 cm\(^{-1}\) (DRBPC-1) and 1548.00 cm\(^{-1}\) (DRBPC-2).

It was verified the presence of the band 3310.15 cm\(^{-1}\), which may be related to the presence of the starch from the comlexation with the protein at the time of precipitation. Carbohydrates such as gums, starch and cellulose consist of polysaccharides.
containing a large amount of OH groups. The polysaccharides have broad and intense bands near 3300 cm\(^{-1}\) by stretching the O-H bond and near 1080 cm\(^{-1}\) by stretching the C-O bond. Bands between 3000-3400 cm\(^{-1}\) are related to the presence of hydroxyls corresponding to polyphenols and alcohols, and in the region of 3600 cm\(^{-1}\) is characteristic for the presence of free hydroxyls (Barbosa, 2007).

Scanning electron microscopy (SEM) of DRBPC-1 and DRBPC-2

The scanning electron microscopy and the aspect of the precipitate formed in the isoelectric point of the protein were observed in Figure 4.

On the plates, precipitates with different aspect were observed where the DRBPC-1 (A) appears in the form of lumps more separated from the aqueous portion compared with the DRBPC-2 (B), which has a more homogeneous appearance.

The structure of a protein is the result of different attractive and repulsive actions relating to the environment they are and changes, such as pH, temperature, ionic strength are able to ensure a conformational readaptation. However, drastic changes in the quaternary, tertiary and secondary structure of the protein show that there was protein denaturation (Damodaran et al., 2010). There are no chemical modification of the molecule, but transformation of one molecule in the ordered (native form) for a disordered structure which may result in changes in the way the molecules are grouped when exposed to low pH values (isoelectric point), obtaining larger or more dispersed structures. The image representation shows that DRB-1 presents a greater complexation of other components during the formation of the protein precipitate, compared to DRB-2. This fact support the results previously presented in the concentrates composition obtained in the experimental design related to protein content.

As a result, protein concentrates obtained under these conditions, can differ in their composition, as well as modified properties, such as digestibility and thermal stability, resulting in distinct products used in food.

4 Conclusion

Two protein concentrates were produced from defatted bran rice. It was verified that the protein yield of DRBPC-1 was higher than DRBPC-2 and the temperature influenced positively mass and protein yield but negatively the protein content. The protein concentrates presented some different characteristics in chemical composition, distribution of molar mass, digestibility, thermal stability and aggregation of the proteins, some more pronounced than others, resulting from the industrial stabilization of the bran. Analysing some important properties it can be considered that DRBPC-2 has better properties with regard to availability and digestibility of proteins and a greater thermal stability, can be applied in processes with high temperatures without changing its structure and therefore its physical, chemical and nutritional characteristics.
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