Functional and technological attributes of probiotic yoghurt prepared with *Dahi* micro-flora during refrigerated storage
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Abstract

In the present investigation functional, technological, and rheological attributes of probiotic yoghurt were studied during refrigerated storage (4 °C) for 28 days. The different preparations of probiotic yoghurts were made from dahi microflora which was documented as probiotics. Three strains of lactic acid bacteria (LAB) i.e. *S. thermophilus* (S02FT), *L. bulgaricus* (TLB06FT) and *L. acidophilus* (LA06FT) were selected for formulations of probiotic yoghurt. Three sets of probiotic yoghurt including Y1: S02FT and TLB06FT (1:1 ratio), Y2: S02FT and TLB06FT (1:2 ratio) and Y3: S02FT, TLB06FT and LA06FT (1:1:1 ratio) along with Y0 as a control (non-probiotic strains) were prepared and stored at 4 °C for 28 days. The results showed that technological attributes of Y3 preparation had better lactic acid production (75% at 0 day and 93% at 28 days) as compared to control. Moreover, Y3 preparation had lesser synersis (20% at 0 day and 35% at 28 days) as compared to other treatments i.e. Y1, Y2, Y0 that had 24, 70 and 18% synersis at 0 day of storage respectively). However, viscosity of Y3 probiotic yoghurt indicated higher values (3001 cP at 0 days and 1310 cP at 28 days) among the rest of preparations. Functional attributes of Y3 showed the better results such as viability of probiotics (40×10⁶ CFU/ml at 0 days and 1.16×10⁶ CFU/ml at 28 days) and antibacterial activity (7.5 mm at 0 days 7 mm at 28 days) compared to other preparations. Conclusively, Y3 formulation attained the higher sensory attributes due to its better lactic acid production, viscosity and lesser synersis values during entire study duration in formulated yoghurt.
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Practical Application: Fermented milk products and used as yoghurt.

1 Introduction

*Dahi* is indigenous probiotic yoghurt prepared from wild strains of lactic acid bacteria which is deliberated as natural therapy for gastro-intestinal problems from decades (Patel et al., 2018). It is a rich source of friendly bacteria along with other useful food constituents like omega-3 fatty acids, whey proteins, minerals and vitamins (Weerathilake et al., 2014). It has whithish to light pale color depending upon the source of milk, having sweet sour taste, very pleasant aroma and soft texture (Harun-ur-Rashid et al., 2007). The consumption of *Dahi* is increasing gradually due to its potential beneficial effects on physiological functions. Main contribution in the therapeutic nature of *Dahi* is due to the presence of various probiotic strains including *L. mesenteroides* spp. cremoris, *L. bulgaricus*, *S. thermophilus*, *L. reuteri*, *L. casei*, *L. planetarium* and *L. acidophilus* etc. These strains are not only imparting probiotic features but also conferring the technological preference (Tsiraki et al., 2017). Additionally, viability of these strains in human body has great significance and is affected by several factors such as type of microorganisms, their symbiotic relationships, source of isolation and tolerance to acid and bile etc. (Kavas & Gokhan, 2016). Characterization of these wild strains and their application for the preparation of probiotic milk products is more relevant and attractive to both general public and food manufacturers (Giraffa, 2012).

The demand of probiotic products has increased significantly in the recent years because consumers are more concern about their health (Mattila-Sandholm et al., 2002). According to a survey, it has been estimated that global probiotic market is growing at the rate of 11.7% annually (Keogh & O’Kennedy, 1998) therefore; different agencies are attempting to target various foods for probiotic inclusion. They are adding probiotics in confectionary, fruit drinks and fermented dairy products to get maximum health benefit (Theunissen & Witthuhn, 2004). Bio-yoghurt or probiotic yoghurt is another popular fermented dairy product that is being used for provision of probiotic cultures to consumers (Ali et al., 2013). There are many...
processing operations that help the probiotic bacteria to maintain and enhance probiotic features including, addition of antibiotic, cold and heat stress etc. (Granato et al., 2010). Similarly, addition of oxidase enzymes helps in viability of these probiotics during storages (Cruz et al., 2013). However, many reports revealed that probiotic bacteria loss their viability and capabilities during storage (Akalin et al., 2012). This might be due antagonistic effects of mix culture that cease the production of antibacterial compound or loss of probiotic nature. In this context, the present study was designed to investigate the functional, technological and rheological attributes of probiotic yoghurt formulated by wild strain of bacteria isolated from locally available dahi during refrigeration storage.

2 Materials and methods

Bacterial strains and growth media: The isolated wild strains of lactic acid bacteria from dahi such as L. acidophilus (LA06FT), L. bulgaricus (TLB06FT) and S. thermophillus (S02FT) were collected from Culture Collection Center of Food Microbiology Laboratory (CCC-FBL), Department of Food Technology, PMAS-Arid Agriculture University Rawalpindi, Pakistan. For lactobacilli selective media of MRS-CM 0359 (de Man, Rogosa Sharpe) and for lactococci M-17 (Oxide, Ltd. Hampshire England) were used. Indicator strains like Listeria monocytogenes-ATCC19115, Staphylococcus aureus-ATCC 6538, Pseudomonas aeruginosa-ATCC 25923 and Escherichia coliATCC-25922 were contributed by Pakistan Institute of Medical Sciences (PIMS).

Preparation of Probiotic yoghurt: Three combination of probiotic yoghurt were prepared along with control (yoghurt with non-probiotic strains). The basis for these combinations are to identify the best possible combination for technological, functional and sensory evolution aspect. Three probiotic yoghurt were prepared by using different combination of lactic acid bacteria (LAB) detail of preparations was as under

Y0: L. bulgaricus (TLB07FT) and S. thermophilus (ST01FT) in 1:2 ratio i.e. control with non-probiotic
Y1: L. bulgaricus (TLB06FT) and S. thermophilus (ST02FT) in 1:1 ratio.
Y2: L. bulgaricus (TLB06FT) and S. thermophilus (ST02FT) in 1:2 ratio.
Y3: L. bulgaricus (TLB06FT), S. thermophilus (ST02FT) and L. acidophilus (LA06FT) in 1:1:1 ratio.

The preparation was incubated at 42 °C for 3 hrs. The prepared yoghurts were stored at 4 °C and were analyzed for set parameters on 7 days intervals.

Procedure for yoghurt manufacturing: Probiotic yoghurt was prepared according to the procedure described by Wang et al., (2010). Buffaloe’s milk was standardized and homogenized to 3.5% and 12 g/ 100 mL total sold and then supplemented with 5g/100mL of sucrose. The mixture was homogenized and heated on water bath for 5 min at 95 °C and cooled quickly to 42 °C. The culture in above combinations were added separately, mixed and transferred two glass cups. These cups were incubated at 42 °C and shifted to refrigerator when pH dropped to 4.6. Schematic flow diagram for the preparation of yoghurt is presented in Figure 1.

Technological attributes of probiotic yoghurt in term of change in pH: pH was estimated as per the procedure described by Association of Official Analytical Chemists (2000) method no. 981.12 and expressed as –log of H+.

Titratable acidity: Titratable acidity of probiotic yoghurts were measured according to the method described in the Association of Official Analytical Chemists (2000) method no. 967.16. Some procedural changes were made to execute the experiment. Yoghurt sample (4.5mL) was mixed with equal amount of distilled water in test tube (10mL capacity) and homogenized using the vortex mixture for 10 min. Resultant sample was titrate with 1N NaOH by using the phenolphthalein indicator. Results were expressed as Gram Equivalent Weight in term of Lactic acid.

Syneresis: Syneresis of prepared probiotic yoghurt was estimated by the process of centrifugation as reported by Keogh & O’Kennedy (1998). Twenty gram of sample was taken in centrifuge tubes and mixture was centrifuged for 10 min at 1000g.

\[ \text{Syneresis} \% = \frac{\text{Weight of supernatant (g)}}{\text{Weight of yoghurt sample (g)}} \times 100 \]  

(Equation 1)

Viscosity: The apparent viscosity of the samples was determined by the method as described by Wang et al. (2010). Viscosity was measured on Brookfield DV-1 Viscometer equipped with spindle No. 2 running at 100 g (Brookfield Engineering Laboratories, Inc, Middle-bore, MA, USA). Results were noted at 30th second and torque was kept between 10 to 100%.

Functional attributes of probiotic yoghurt: antagonistic activity of lactic acid bacteria: Antagonistic activity was examined to assess the compatibility of three strains used for yoghurt production. Cell free supernatant of overnight grown culture was applied against selected strains and results were expressed as inhibition zone (mm) as reported by Saccaro et al. (2009).

![Figure 1. Schematic flow diagram for the preparation of yoghurt.](Food Sci. Technol, Campinas, 39(2): 267-273, Apr.-June 2019)
Total viable count: Total viable count in yoghurt was measured by serial dilution method as reported by Wang et al. (2010). MRS and M-17 selective media for Lactococci and Streptococci were used, respectively.

Antimicrobial activity of whey: Paper disc method was applied to determine the antimicrobial activity in the whey as per the reported method of Hoover & Harlander (1993). Supernatant free from cell was collected by centrifugation for 10 min at 8000 rpm and its pH was adjusted at 5.5.

Resultant sample was sterilized by passing through 0.45 µm filter. Sterilized sample (10 µm) was applied against the indicator strains. The antibacterial activity was measured as reciprocal of titer in the highest dilution (2^n) that inhibit the indicator strain i.e. AU = 2^n × 1000/10 µL.

Sensory evaluation of yoghurt: The formulated probiotic yoghurts were evaluated by the trained panel of seven judges as per nine point’s hedonic scale for sensory evaluation described by Larmond (1977).

Statistical analysis: Means and standard deviation were calculated from three replicates by using the Microsoft excel (2007). The results of sensory evaluation is analyzed by the analysis of variance technique using the MSTAT-C statistical package (when significant differences, i.e. P < 0.05, were found), and Duncan’s multiple range test (DMRT) was used to determine the differences among the means (Steel et al., 1997).

3 Results and discussion

pH: The pH values of probiotic yoghurt were exhibited the significant variations with respect to strains, storage and their interaction. Change in pH during refrigerated storages was illustrated in the Figure 2a. At 0 day pH of control (Y0) sample was 4.50 and for other preparations it was noticed as 4.65 (Y1), 4.63 (Y2) and 4.60 (Y3). There was a gradual decreased in pH was noticed during storage and after 28 days the values of pH was found as 4.28, 4.25, 4.23 and 4.22 for Y0, Y1, Y2 and Y3 respectively. The slight change in pH during cold storage is known as post acidification phenomenon previously reported.

![Figure 2](image-url). Technological attributes of probiotic yoghurt as (a) pH, (b) titrateable acidity (c) syneresis and (d) viscosity.
by Donkor et al. (2007). They reported that the pH of yoghurt was declined from 4.45 to 4.21 during cold storage. Similarly, Wang et al. (2010) also observed the non-momentous gradual decline in pH whereas significantly (P < 0.05) decrease was reported during storage of this parameter. In present study the decreasing trend of pH was found higher in Y3 as compared to other preparations which are indication of higher level of viability of cultured probiotic bacteria. Earlier, Kavas & Gokhan (2016) delineated that the pH level of probiotic yoghurt was decreased.

**Titratable acidity:** Titratable acidity (TA) of formulated yoghurt’s combination was varied significantly in both treatments and storage intervals (Figure 2b). First day the maximum TA was exhibited by Y0 (0.75%) followed by Y3 (0.72%), Y2 (0.70%) and Y1 (0.68%), respectively however, the values of TAs were improved steadily during storage (28 days) in all combinations. Maximum increase was noticed in Y3 wherein TA reached to 0.93% (v/v) due to the highest viability of probiotic bacteria in Y3 formulation. The present study results are in line with the finding of Georgala et al. (1995), they observed similar changes in the TA of yoghurt during storage. It was observed that Y3 preparation had the highest value of lactic acid contents as in this formulations due to addition of third strain i.e. L. acidophilus was included. Later, Khandelwal et al. (2014) reported that additional of L. acidophilus not only enhanced the lactic acid production rate but also improve the sensory characteristics of yoghurt at 28 days storage of yoghurt.

**Syneresis:** Data for syneresis in the various combination of yoghurt showed momentous (P < 0.05) differences as illustrated in the Figure 2c. The maximum syneresis was observed in Y0 (24%) and the lowest value of this trait was observed in Y3 (19.5%) at 0 day. There was gradual increased in syneresis in all combinations of probiotic yoghurt with increase of storage interval. Trend for whey separation among different combinations showed that the maximum whey was removed from Y0 followed by Y2, Y3 and Y1 respectively, at 28 days of storage. This increasing trend was in accord with the results of Lee & Lucey (2004) that who delineated whey separation from yoghurt was increased with prolonged storage time owing to excessive rearrangement of particles that form gel network in yoghurt which further effects on other rheological parameters. Later, Olson & Aryana (2008), reported that the extent of syneresis of yoghurt increased by decreasing the pH value with the passage of time as illustrated by our results. Our findings were in agreement with finding of Nguyen et al. (2014), they revealed the buffalo milk yoghurt prepared by using the L. acidophilus had better firmness after 28 days storage interval as in our study.

**Viscosity:** The apparent viscosity of probiotic yoghurts and the controlled was illustrated in the Figure 2d. The viscosity of probiotic yoghurt was decreased (P < 0.05) in all treatments and the highest viscosity noted in Y3 followed by Y2 and Y1 whereas the lowest value of this parameter was noticed in Y0. Similarly, storage time also impacted on the viscosity and showed gradual decreased in viscosity value with the passage of time in all treatments. Present findings supported the earlier investigation of Wang et al. (2010) that indicated apparent viscosity of yoghurts made with different combinations culture bacteria showed variations significantly. In the same pattern findings of Donkor et al. (2007) are also harmony with our results as they reported that the decrease in viscosity of probiotic yoghurt after prolog storages and deeply influence by types and concentration of culture bacteria. In contradiction with Aryana & Mcgrew (2007) findings that observed gradual reduction in the apparent viscosity of probiotic yoghurt but interaction between time interval and treatments was non-significant possibly due the activity of bacteria enzymes on the matrix of casein micelle over the time.

**Antagonistic activity of lactic acid bacteria:** The antagonistic effect among the selected strains was presented in the Table 1. There was no prominent zone of inhibition indicating non-antagonistic behavior of these strains. It might be due to the same source of isolation or due to the presence of these strains in similar kind of environments. The present results were different from the previous finding of Saccaro et al. (2009) who examined the antagonistic effect against L. acidophilus when grown in co-culture in L. rhamnosus, B. animalis sub spp. lactis and yoghurt cultures. Similar findings were also reported by Vinderola et al. (2002) who observed that growth of L. acidophilus is inhibited by the both S. thermophilus and L. bulgaricus.

**Viability of lactic acid bacteria during storage:** Viable counts of various combination of yoghurt are shown in the Figure 3a. This was observed that viable count progressively decrease in all combinations and found the highest count was noticed in Y3 because three group of culture present which belongs to different species. Gradual decrease in the viable count in all combination with the passage of time was also observed. These results are in line with the findings of Wang et al. (2010) who reported the viability of probiotic bacteria increased initially in the first half of study and then it started to decline with the passage of time. The increase in viable cells of Y1 might be due to the presence to L. acidophilus in this combination that may attribute protolytic activities that favor the cell viability because milk is lacking many amino acids and protolytic activity. Our investigation also support the findings of Bandiera et al. (2013) studies who revealed that L. casei showed viability up to 10^8 CFU/g during storage period of 21 days. However, they concluded that there are non-significant differences for population of L. casei. There was no statistical variation between the population of L. casei and formulation except for the first time of storage.

**Antibacterial activity of whey:** Antimicrobial action of yoghurt water that is commonly known as whey was noted to determine in vitro behavior of probiotic bacteria especially when grown with complex food systems and results are presented on

Table 1. Antagonistic effect of selected probiotic strains against each other.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Probiotic cultures Code</th>
<th>S02FT (AU/ml)</th>
<th>TLB06FT (AU/ml)</th>
<th>LA06FT (AU/ml)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>L. acidophilus LA06FT</td>
<td>300 ± 2.0</td>
<td>200 ± 5.0</td>
<td>000 ± 0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S. thermophilus S02FT</td>
<td>000 ± 0.0</td>
<td>100 ± 1.0</td>
<td>300 ± 2.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L. bulgaricus TLB06FT</td>
<td>200 ± 3.0</td>
<td>000 ± 0.0</td>
<td>100 ± 2.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

000 ± 0.0: indicates that no inhibition zone was present.
Figure 3b. It was observed that whey taken from Y0 has zero antimicrobial activity while other combination differ significantly (P < 0.05). Increasing trend was observed in all combination except Y0 after 7 day of storage and then it decreasing trend started. Y3 has the better antibacterial activity on the first day (750 AU/ml) that increased after 7 days to 1000 AU/ml. At the end of storage activity declined to 700 AU/ml. The maximum activity was observed in Y3 as this combination has three probiotic cultures including L. acidophilus that further revealed that there was symbiotic relationship among cultured bacteria. Y3 combination has best keeping quality and overall acceptability at the end of storage. In the literature, Varghese & Haridas (2007) analyzed the whey obtained from yoghurt for antibacterial activity and found that it has antibacterial activity against S. aureus and many other pathogenic bacteria.

Sensory evaluation of yoghurt in term of texture: Texture is one of important parameter to assess the sensory quality of probiotic yoghurt. Grading score for texture of various probiotic yoghurts are presented Figure 4a. Maximum acceptable texture was observed at 14 days of storage in Y3 combination. It was noted that texture of this preparation become better after two week storage and then started to decline. Present findings confirm the previous results that Y3 preparation has higher apparent viscosity as compare to other preparation This attribute of yoghurt was earlier reported by Aryana & Mcgrew (2007) that addition of some of prebiotic like inulin HP improve the texture and body yoghurt. In the same way Goncu & Alpkent (2005) noted significant variation in their findings for concentration of strains of culture bacteria on texture of cheese. In the same lines, Khandelwal et al. (2014) reported continues loss of texture during refrigerated storage of yoghurt-cheese.

Color: This is an important attribute of sensory parameter that attracts the consumers. Scores point for various combination of yoghurt is illustrated in the Figure 4b. Significant difference (P<0.05) was observed in three combinations. At Zero day the highest score was denoted to Y0 followed by Y3, Y1 and Y2 respectively. Maximum changes at the 28 days of storage were observed in Y0 and minimum in Y3 possible due to the preventive effects of probiotic strains. These results correlate with the findings of Sarkar et al. (1996) who found wide variations in the scores of colour of yoghurt. However, Aryana & Mcgrew (2007) determined that there was no difference in the colour of yoghurt due to storage. Our results are in line with the finding of Khandelwal et al. (2014) who reported the decreasing trend in the color of yogurt-cheese with the passage of time.

Flavour: Data regarding flavour mean score was illustrated in the Figure 4c that revealed at 0 days flavour of the yoghurt obtained with various preparations is less accepted than the subsequent intervals during storage. Most acceptable flavour of yoghurt was reported for Y3 it might be due to presence of the different strains of lactic acid bacteria including acetaldehyde producing strains that enhance the flavor during storage. Acetaldehyde production by lactic acid bacteria was previously reported by Hess et al. (1997) and Aryana & Mcgrew (2007). Previously, Sarkar et al. (1996) reported that the yoghurt taste was increased during few days of initial storage and subsequently it declined throughout entire storage period.

Overall acceptability: Overall acceptability of yoghurt results were presented in Figure 4d. The highest score of overall acceptability of prepared probiotic yoghurt was found in Y3, followed by Y0, Y2, and Y1 respectively, at 0 day. Chemical results of Y3 are better than Control while sensory score is higher in control. However, this pattern was changed at the end of study. The significant variation in these results was result of rheological and biochemical change in the in yoghurt preparations. Y3 had maximum acceptability, as bacterial culture of this combination showed the highest viable count during storage. It was further noted that all combinations except Y0 day in the acceptable region. Scores given to overall acceptability are consistence with values of other parameter like colour and flavour. Previously, it
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4 Recommendation

It is recommended that probiotic yoghurt with multi strains (Y3) has better keep quality, good flavour and sensation values and longer viability of probiotic bacteria. Future studies may be focus on economic assessment for the identification of viable strategies for the commercialization of these technologies.
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