Enhancement of carer skills and patient function in the non-pharmacological management of frontotemporal dementia (FTD)

A call for randomised controlled studies
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ABSTRACT. FTD is a unique condition which manifests with a range of behavioural symptoms, marked dysfunction in activities of daily living (ADL) and increased levels of carer burden as compared to carers of other dementias. No efficacious pharmacological interventions to treat FTD currently exist, and research on pharmacological symptom management is variable. The few studies on non-pharmacological interventions in FTD focus on either the carer or the patients’ symptoms, and lack methodological rigour. This paper reviews and discusses current studies utilising non-pharmacological approaches, exposing the clear need for more rigorous methodologies to be applied in this field. Finally, a successful randomised controlled trial helped reduce behaviours of concern in dementia, and through implementing participation in tailored activities, the FTD-specific Tailored Activities Program (TAP) is presented. Crucially, this protocol has scope to target both the person with FTD and their carer. This paper highlights that studies in this area would help to elucidate the potential for using activities to reduce characteristic behaviours in FTD, improving quality of life and the caregiving experience in FTD.
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INTRODUCTION

Frontotemporal dementia (FTD) is a term used to describe a progressive neurodegenerative disorder associated with atrophy in the frontal and temporal lobes of the brain.1 The three main clinical variants of FTD are classified based on their early symptoms, comprising a behavioural variant (bvFTD) and two language variants.2 The language variants are further classified depending on their pattern of language impairment: semantic dementia (svPPA) and progressive non-fluent aphasia (nfv-PPA).3,4 SvPPA is also associated with marked behavioural symptoms.5,6 Symptom overlap can occur between the variants as disease spreads later in the course of disease progression.7,8 Regardless of variant, FTD affects functional ability from an early stage, especially more complex activities.

In 2011, a set of revised diagnostic criteria was proposed for the bvFTD. With the revised criteria, a diagnosis of “possible” bvFTD requires three of the six clinically discriminated characteristics: loss of inhibition, apathy/inertia, loss of empathy, perseveration/compulsive behaviours, hyperorality and dysexecutive neuropsychological profile. “Probable” bvFTD requires the additional features of functional disability and characteristic neuroimaging, whereas bvFTD “with definitive frontotemporal lobar degeneration” requires histopathological confirmation or evidence of pathogenic mutation.2,9,10 Therefore, investigating functionality is essential for the diagnosis and it is also relevant for the treatment of the syndrome, given that the impact on activities of daily living (ADLs) can be used as a clinical parameter.

Functional ability, as measured by ADLs, is more impeded in FTD than in Alzheimer’s disease (AD).11-13 In a previous study, we found that impairments in complex, instrumental ADLs (IADLs) such as managing finances and medications, are similarly impaired across FTD subtypes, while deficits in basic ADLs (BADLs) such as showering, dressing and eating differ.12 Patients with nfv-PPA were found to present with the least change in BADL performance, followed by svPPA, with bvFTD patients being the most impaired subgroup. Over time, however, svPPA have been shown to decline at a slower rate than both bvFTD and nfv-PPA.14,15

Impairments in function lead to progressive and marked dependence on the carer; in addition, behavioural symptoms associated with FTD frequently make dementia caregiving even more challenging.16 Behavioural symptoms are at the core of FTD and the severity of behavioural symptoms appears to be related to the setting in which the patient lives. People with FTD living at home present with more severe levels of behav-
The search terms frontotemporal dementia, frontotemporal lobar degeneration, and Pick’s disease, were combined with the terms treatment, intervention, non-pharmacological, support, carer, caregiver, and therapy. Articles were considered for inclusion if they discussed non-pharmacological interventions within an FTD cohort. Due to the lack of studies with rigorous methodology for clinical trials, studies of all levels of evidence (n=16) which met these inclusion criteria were considered for review. In other words, the number of papers available was so small that we included them all in this review. It should be noted that two of these studies considered for review were written in Japanese and thus were not accessed in full text.

Non-pharmacological interventions in FTD. It is becoming increasingly recognised that people with FTD and their carers have unique needs for support. These include skills to manage profound behavioural and language changes, issues surrounding finances and availability of appropriate care services as a result of the often younger onset of the disease, combined with greater levels of carer stress and burden than in other types of dementia such as AD.\(^{29,32}\) Perhaps not surprisingly, FTD carers appear significantly less satisfied with support from specialist health care providers than AD carers.\(^{39}\) This finding highlights the lack of appropriate services that address specific issues in FTD, and the pressing need for further investigation into FTD-specific non-pharmacological intervention strategies. Mendez\(^{34}\) reported that non-pharmacological management strategies in FTD should primarily include behavioural interventions, education and a focus on the carer. To date, crucially, no randomised controlled trials have taken place to evaluate the benefit of any non-pharmacological interventions in FTD. Table 1 summarises all current studies available.

Carer-based interventions. Interventions promoting education. German studies\(^{35,36}\) have reported on an FTD-specific carer support group (n=8) aimed at providing information, advice and support. Seven physician-facilitated structured sessions were conducted, which were designed to be both educational and therapeutic. Outcomes were analysed immediately post intervention via interviews, and again after six months via mailed questionnaires, with a qualitative approach. Overall, carers reported improved understanding and knowledge of the disease, but less than half reported having learnt strategies to care for themselves better as carers. Nonetheless, no control group was included in the study.

With similar aims to the German studies, Banks et al.\(^{29}\) reported on an intervention based on a series of FTD-specific conferences for FTD carers, which incorporated an educational lecture session followed by a facilitated support group. Evaluation forms were used to gather qualitative feedback from carers who attended these sessions. While some feedback was positive regarding information and support provided at the sessions, other feedback was rather negative and stated that the lecture content was impractical. This study also did not have a control group, and lacked quantification from which to obtain greater interpretation of the results.

An online video-conferencing education and support group for FTD spousal carers (n=6), which involved 10 weekly one-hour sessions facilitated by a health-care professional was trialled in Canada.\(^{37}\) This online intervention was based on evidence from efficacious dementia carer support groups previously reported,\(^{38,39}\) where these groups were adapted to suit FTD cohorts. Qualitative analysis conducted post intervention (via interview) identified that carers reported social support and accessibility as positive aspects to the intervention. Reduced burden was also reported; levels of stress, however, remained unchanged, and the study lacked a control group to avoid the Hawthorne effect, where bias may have been introduced by participants knowingly receiving an intervention.\(^{40}\)

Structured interventions promoting carers’ skills. Riedijk et al.\(^{39}\) reported that carers who use passive coping strategies were more likely to have high levels of burden and decreased health-related quality of life (QOL). The importance of carer education and identifying support services was also highlighted by Mohandas and Rajmohan.\(^{41}\) Following on from these, a recent study investigated the impact of a structured intervention program for FTD carers to specifically teach skills on cognitive appraisal and coping strategies, including education on seeking support. The main outcomes were reduction of burden and enhancement of coping skills.\(^{42}\) The intervention was based on a previously developed intervention for general dementia carers,\(^{43}\) and adapted to suit FTD populations. The program involved an intervention group (n=9) and a control group (n=12), and was run in weekly sessions for 15 weeks (2 hours each). The study reported that both carer burden and carer reaction to behaviours decreased significantly in the intervention group, which persisted at 12 months follow-up.\(^{42}\) Transferability of the skills learnt in the intervention was demonstrated through the qualitative analysis of a fictitious problem-solving scenario.\(^{44}\) However, similarly
Table 1. Summary of current studies of non-pharmacological interventions for FTD.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Intervention</th>
<th>Target</th>
<th>Methodology</th>
<th>Level Evidence (NHMRC)</th>
<th>Sample size</th>
<th>Outcomes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Litvan</td>
<td>2001</td>
<td>• Potential use of neurotransmitter replacement, biologic treatment, and carer management</td>
<td>• Approaches to improve FTD management</td>
<td>• Expert opinion; no data</td>
<td>**</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>• Specific BPSD were altered with the patient through use of behavioral modification techniques</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lough &amp; Hodges</td>
<td>2002</td>
<td>• Behavioural modification techniques</td>
<td>• Behavioural management</td>
<td>• Case report</td>
<td>IV</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>• Improved understanding and knowledge of FTD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diehl et al.</td>
<td>2003a, 2003b</td>
<td>• Support group for FTD carers (providing information/advice/support)</td>
<td>• Carer education</td>
<td>• Pilot; Mixed methods study with one treatment group; Qualitative</td>
<td>IV</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>• Some carers reported information and support was positive, while some gave negative feedback regarding impracticality of session content</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Banks et al.</td>
<td>2006</td>
<td>• 3-part series of FTD-specific conferences for carers over one year</td>
<td>• Carer education and support</td>
<td>• Descriptive; Qualitative</td>
<td>**</td>
<td>Session 1 (n=59) + Session 2 (n=51) + Session 3 (n=49)</td>
<td>• Some carers reported information and support was positive, while some gave negative feedback regarding impracticality of session content</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Merrilees</td>
<td>2007</td>
<td>• Potential use of A-B-C Model</td>
<td>• Behavioural management</td>
<td>• Expert opinion; no data</td>
<td>**</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>• N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grinberg et al.</td>
<td>2008 and</td>
<td>• Integrating a day program specialised for persons with FTD into an already established day program</td>
<td>• Behavioural management</td>
<td>• Descriptive; Qualitative</td>
<td>**</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>• Qualitatively reported to be positive outcomes, issues with frequent predominance of older group members and distance to attend</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grinberg &amp; Phillips</td>
<td>2009</td>
<td>• Tailor-made individual, small group and specialised activities</td>
<td>• Carer education and respite</td>
<td>• Increase QOL for patients, family and carers</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yamakawa et al.</td>
<td>2008</td>
<td>• Environmental intervention A (doors shut to remove stimulus of seeing beds to sleep on during the day) and intervention B (staff walking with participant to increase activity levels) were compared</td>
<td>• Behavioural management</td>
<td>• Objective (power IC tags) and subjective measures; SSD</td>
<td>IV</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>• Sleep-wake cycles restored with intervention A; with intervention B nighttime ambulation increased significantly</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marziali and Climans</td>
<td>2009</td>
<td>• Online video conferencing education and support group for FTD spousal carers</td>
<td>• Carer education and support</td>
<td>• Feasibility study; qualitative</td>
<td>**</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>• Carers reported social support and accessibility as positive aspects of the intervention</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• Reduced burden was reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• Levels of stress remained unchanged</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Patient-based interventions. The current lack of randomised controlled trials on non-pharmacological interventions in FTD extends to patient-based interventions. In this area, the majority of studies have been based on either case studies or narratives and expert opinion articles. Behavioural modifications and specific FTD-related training for staff members have been described where a multidisciplinary FTD-specific program was implemented within an existing day program at an adult day centre. The aim of the program is to reduce BPSD by more than 50% in FTD patients. The intervention of shutting doors during the day was shown to significantly reduce the patient's evening and night-time disturbances. Lough and Hodges reported on a single-subject design where an environmental intervention was used with an FTD patient to restore sleep-wake cycles. Clinical improvement, as well as improvement in the participant's quality of life, was reported.

Despite these positive outcomes, the studies mentioned above are of a limited transferability due to limitations in study design, such as lack of randomisation and small sample sizes. Rigorous studies are required to reproduce the promising findings, and to further support the establishment of patient-based interventions in FTD.
Expert advice on non-pharmacological interventions to date. Litvan\textsuperscript{49} highlighted the need for improved carer management in FTD, recommending carer education, emotional support, treatment of psychiatric morbidities, and provision of respite care. The need to offer appropriate support for FTD carers was also discussed by a Canadian exploratory study suggesting the internet as a platform for accessible FTD educational resources.\textsuperscript{50}

The antecedent-behaviour-consequences (ABC) model is an approach used by family carers for management of behavioural symptoms in FTD.\textsuperscript{32} In this model, brain atrophy is considered the antecedent [A]; behavioural symptoms secondary to this atrophy is considered the behaviour [B], and carer response to this behaviour is the consequence [C]. Specific examples of BPSD were used to explicate this behavioural modification based intervention, with suggested interventions ranging from environmental, behavioural, pharmacologic and physical.\textsuperscript{51} Although these approaches seem coherent in the FTD context, a pressing need for research studies to verify the efficacy of these recommendations exists.

Improving function in FTD: an intervention that integrates patient and carer issues concomitantly. To date, most interventions in FTD have focused on either carer burden or behavioural disturbances, with limited number of studies with interventions focused on activity. Two Japanese studies aimed at promoting improved function have been found in FTD. Ikeda et al.\textsuperscript{52} reported on the benefit of individualised activities based on previous interests for reducing BPSD in people with FTD (n=4), while another study presented an OT approach\textsuperscript{53} involving family education in conjunction with patient-based interventions to increase QOL and improve care in FTD.

However, limited information is available as both studies were published in Japanese journals.

A promising intervention, the Tailored Activities Program (TAP), has yet to be trialled in FTD. This approach incorporates the aforementioned concepts of using individualised activities with patients in conjunction with carer education, and thus shows great potential for success in this cohort. Developed by Gitlin et al.,\textsuperscript{54} TAP is a community-based occupational therapy based intervention designed to reduce BPSD by prescribing personalised activities. Importantly, these activities are based on preserved capabilities and previous interests and roles, with scope for transferability as the dementia progresses.

Crucially, the TAP pilot study\textsuperscript{54} was a two-group randomised controlled trial (n=60) conducted with general dementia patients. Results demonstrated a treatment effect for reduced incidences of BPSD overall, with significant decreases in shadowing, repetitive questioning, agitation and argumentation. Following the intervention, carers reported greater activity engagement and ability for patients to keep busy, as well as fewer hours on duty or doing things for the patient. Carer skills increased through increased mastery, self-efficacy using activities, and greater use of simplification techniques. These initial outcomes of enhanced activity engagement and reduced BPSD along with enhanced caregiving skills, suggest TAP warrants consideration as a good candidate for non-pharmacological intervention within FTD populations living in the community. FTD-specific services, as well as general services able to cater for FTD patients are lacking.\textsuperscript{55} Development of an FTD-specific TAP protocol potentially provides translational opportunity for the development of an appropriate community-based intervention for people with FTD.

TAP requires active carer involvement, from activity development to generalisation of strategies and downgrading of activity for future decline in function. Similar use of problem solving strategies seem to have contributed to the positive carer outcomes reported by Mioshi et al.,\textsuperscript{52} and Robinson.\textsuperscript{56} Both studies have highlighted the importance of FTD carers to learn problem solving techniques to develop strategies to assist with patient function by themselves. This finding appears to be the key to success, because carers are instilled with an increased sense of control by taking an active approach to resolving specific problems. This concept was also suggested by Talerico and Evans,\textsuperscript{57} who proposed working with carers to implement personalised environmental and behavioural interventions to promote improved function and QOL in a person with FTD.

One of the challenges to improve function in FTD is to address the marked frontal deficits that characterise the disease, such as apathy and executive dysfunction.\textsuperscript{58,59} The TAP intervention involves structuring activities based on single rather than multiple tasks,\textsuperscript{60} a strategy also suggested by Massimo and Grossman\textsuperscript{27} to assist with impairments in executive functioning in FTD.\textsuperscript{2,59} Other studies\textsuperscript{61} have also advocated the importance of providing interventions which match the functional level of the person with FTD, while Robinson\textsuperscript{56} proposed the potential use of strategies to enhance attention and use procedural/implicit learning strategies despite the degenerative nature of FTD. Moreover, the TAP intervention also involves setting up the environment to facilitate initiation and sequencing,\textsuperscript{62} another potentially important consideration in FTD where apathy frequently prevents initiation of tasks.\textsuperscript{58,62} and exec-
The paucity of reliable, accessible information regarding services for FTD dyads living in the community is an issue discussed by a number of studies. These studies have argued that carers need detailed information about the condition in order to improve their understanding of the disease process, and thus better cope with their own emotional reactions. This concept leads to the recommendation to involve professionals with expert knowledge in FTD to work with affected families for greater efficacy. The TAP intervention involves providing general dementia information to carers, which can therefore be tailored for FTD-specific information.

Finally, TAP also includes carer stress-reducing techniques, an important consideration in FTD interventions, especially in light of marked levels of stress reported by carers.

**Conclusions and future directions.** FTD is a unique condition which manifests with a range of behavioural symptoms, marked ADL dysfunction and increased levels of carer burden as compared to carers of other dementias such as AD. No efficacious pharmacological interventions to treat FTD exist, and research involving non-pharmacological interventions to manage symptoms is variable. A few studies regarding non-pharmacological interventions in FTD have been published; however, the need for rigorous methodologies to be applied is clear. A promising approach is the use of an FTD-specific TAP protocol, which can target both the person with FTD and their carer. Such a program has scope to elucidate the potential for using activity to improve patient function and reduce behavioural changes, while improving carers’ skills and reducing difficulties in the caregiving experience in FTD.
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