Cone-Beam computed tomography evaluation of maxillary expansion in twins with cleft lip and palate
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Objective: The establishment of normal occlusal relationships in patients with cleft lip and palate using rapid maxillary expansion may promote good conditions for future rehabilitation.

Objective: This study describes the clinical case of monozygotic twins with unilateral cleft lip and palate at the age of mixed dentition, who were treated using the same rapid maxillary expansion protocol, but with two different screws (conventional and fan-type expansion screw). Results were evaluated using plaster models, intraoral and extraoral photographs, and Cone-Beam computed tomography (CBCT) scans obtained before the beginning of the treatment, (T₁).

Methods: The patients were followed up for 6 months after maxillary expansion, when the same tests requested at T₁ were obtained again for review (T₂). T₁ and T₂ results were compared using lateral cephalometric tracings and measurements of the intercanine and intermolar distances in the plaster models using a digital caliper.

Results: The two types of expansion screws corrected the transverse discrepancy in patients with cleft lip and palate. The shape of the upper arches improved at 10 days after activation.

Conclusion: CBCT scans provide detailed information about craniofacial, maxillary and mandibular changes resulting from rapid maxillary expansion. The most adequate screw for each type of malocclusion should be chosen after detailed examination of the dental arches.
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INTRODUCTION

Cleft lip and palate, a congenital malformation that has important clinical and psychosocial impacts,1 may be a single deformity (nonsyndromic origin) or part of a group of multiple congenital anomalies (syndromic origin).11 Its etiology is complex and includes genetic and environmental factors.14 Tooth anomalies in number and shape are usually present and may affect primary and permanent dentition.28 Subjects with a cleft lip often have a deficient maxillary development because of the primary surgeries (cheiloplasty and palatoplasty) performed in the first year of life. The rehabilitation of patients with cleft lip and palate may be complicated by anterior or posterior cross bite, and facial esthetics8 may be affected due to maxillary retrusion and mandibular prognathism.13 Maxillary hypoplasia in subjects with a cleft palate is often not restricted to the dentoalveolar segment, but also involves the paranasal, infraorbital and zygomatic regions.20 In some cases, the alveolar segments may collapse medially, and maxillary expansion is recommended when the maxillary is very narrow10 to reestablish the harmony of the upper arch.22

The success of maxillary expansion depends on the timing for the use of this technique, which may start during pubertal or prepubertal growth.2 The establishment of normal occlusion in patients with cleft lip and palate at the right time using palatal expansion may ensure optimal conditions for future rehabilitation, reduce tooth impaction, and promote normal growth and maxillary development.25 Several orthodontic devices have been developed for maxillary expansion.4 Some of the most frequently used are the Haas expander and modified appliances that use fan-type expansion screws. The purpose of this screw, as the name suggests, is to expand the anterior region and limit the expansion of the posterior region of the maxilla. Patients with a cleft lip and palate and maxillary atresia often require different amounts of expansion in the anterior and posterior segments.16 Therefore, before treatment, details about the suture position and the margins of the dentoalveolar and craniofacial structures should be obtained.24

Some of the standard tests for orthodontic diagnoses are panoramic radiography and lateral cephalometry, but posteroanterior (PA), occlusal and periapical radiographs may also be useful in cases that require maxillary expansion.8 In addition to conventional radiographs, computed tomography (CT) is a diagnostic imaging method that also exposes patients to radiation, but reproduces parts of the human body in any of the three spatial planes.6 The introduction of cone beam computed tomography (CBCT) made 3D images available for use in Dentistry. The advantages of CBCT over conventional CT are low radiation dose, lower cost and high image resolution.15 It is extremely valuable for diagnosis and treatment planning in cases of individuals with a cleft lip and palate because it provides better information about the appearance and size of the anatomic structures affected by the cleft, as well as the position of adjacent teeth and the airways, for example.

This study describes the clinical case of monozygotic twins with cleft lip and palate that underwent rapid maxillary expansion (RME) with two types of expander. The dental and skeletal effects of the treatment were evaluated using CBCT scans.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

Two 10-year 4-month-old male monozygotic twins at similar skeletal maturation stages, mixed dentition and cleft lip and palate sought orthodontic treatment at the Lip and Palate Rehabilitation Center (CERLAP) of the School of Dentistry of Pontifícia Universidade Católica do Rio Grande do Sul (PUCRS), Porto Alegre, Brazil. During childhood, both underwent palatoplasty and cheiloplasty, but still had a large oronasal fistula in the palatal region. For diagnosis and treatment planning, initial tests (T1) were requested: Intra- and extraoral photographs, plaster models, hand-wrist radiographs and CBCT scans of the face.

Diagnosis and treatment protocol

Patient A had right unilateral cleft palate and bilateral cleft lip, symmetric face, passive lip competence and a slightly convex profile (Figs 1 and 3). Intraoral examination revealed an Angle Class III right subdivision molar relation, a transverse maxillary deficiency and posterior and anterior cross bite. In the area of the cleft, there were two supernumerary teeth; two maxillary central incisors
were retroclined and mesially displaced. The anterior region had no space for the eruption of permanent canines, and there was early loss of primary mandibular second molars and consequent supraversion of primary maxillary second molars.

Before the beginning of the treatment in the CERLAP, a lingual archwire had already been placed in the mandibular arch (Fig 1). Cephalometric analysis revealed a Class II (ANB = 8°) skeletal pattern characterized by mandibular retraction (SNB = 75°) and vertical growth (Table 1). The first part of the treatment corrected the transverse maxillary deficiency using RME with a tooth-anchored expander and a fan-type expansion screw with maximum expansion of 10 mm and 0.2 mm activation for each 1/4 of a turn (65.05.014, Morelli Ortodontia, Sorocaba, Brazil) (Figs 5 and 8).

Patient B had right unilateral cleft lip and palate, maxillary atresia, symmetric face, no lip competence, and a slightly convex profile (Figs 2 and 4). Intraoral examination revealed an Angle Class I molar relation, as well as a transverse maxillary deficiency and posterior and anterior cross bite. The analysis of intercanine and intermolar distances (Table 2) revealed a greater constriction of the maxillary arch in the posterior region. In the area of the cleft, there were two supernumerary teeth; maxillary central incisors were retroclined and mesially displaced. The anterior region had no space for the eruption of permanent canines, and there was early loss of primary right mandibular second molar and consequent slight extrusion of primary maxillary second molar. Before the beginning of the treatment at the CERLAP, a band and loop space maintainer had already been placed in the permanent right mandibular molar. Cephalometry revealed a Class II (ANB = 7°) skeletal pattern due to mandibular deficiency (SNB = 73°), and a predominantly vertical growth pattern (Table 1). This patient underwent RME using a modified Haas appliance with a conventional screw with maximum expansion of 9 mm and 0.2 mm activation for each 1/4 of a turn (65.05.003, Morelli Ortodontia, Sorocaba, Brazil) (Figs 5 and 8).

The expansion protocol was the same for both patients: Initial activation of 0.8 mm (4/4 of a turn), followed by daily activations of 2/4 of a turn in the morning and 2/4 in the evening, to a total of 8 mm after 10 days. When activation was completed, both expansion screws were stabilized using a 0.25-mm orthodontic chromium-nickel ligature (55.01.210, Morelli Ortodontia, Sorocaba, Brazil), and the area that had the activation orifices was covered with a light-curing composite resin. Patients were regularly followed up, and 6 months after the end of the active RME phase, orthodontic review exams were obtained: Intra- and extraoral photographs, study models and CBCT scans (T2).

Lateral cephalometric tracings at T1 and T2 were compared, as well as measurements of the intercanine and intermolar distances in the plaster models using a digital caliper (727, Starret Ind. e Com. Ltda, Itu, Brazil).

CT image acquisition and readings

Images were acquired using an i-CAT scanner (Imaging Sciences International, Hatfield, PA, USA) at 8 mA, 120 kV, 40-s exposure time, Full protocol and 0.3-mm voxel resolution. For image acquisition, the patients were sitting, the Frankfort horizontal plane was parallel to the ground and the median sagittal plane was perpendicular to the ground. To keep patients in the right position, the CT scanner headrest and a Velcro tape were used.

Axial slices at 0.3 mm thickness were acquired from volume data and exported as Digital Imaging and Communications in Medicine (DICOM) files to a recordable CD-ROM. The InVivoDental Software (Anatomage, San Jose, CA, USA) and the OsiriX Imaging Software (3.5.1, Geneva, Switzerland) were used for image visualization and analysis.

RESULTS

According to cephalograms, Patient A’s skeletal pattern in the anteroposterior direction (ANB, SNA, SNB) was preserved. The comparison of cephalometric tracings at T1 and T2 revealed a slight counter clockwise rotation of the palatal plane (SN.PP). The analysis of tooth positioning showed that there was an increase in the buccal inclination of maxillary incisors, whereas mandibular incisors remained in the same position. The mandibular plane was preserved, as indicated by the cephalometric data of SN.GoGn and the Y axis.
Figure 1 - Patient A's baseline extra- and intraoral photographs and panoramic CT reconstruction using InVivoDental software.
Figure 2 - Patient B’s baseline extra- and intraoral photographs and panoramic CT reconstruction using InVivoDental software.
The examination of facial features revealed that there was a slight change in profile according to the distance of upper and lower lips from the Steiner’s S line and the consequent reduction of the convexity of the lower face (Table 1, Fig 6). In patient B, the skeletal pattern (ANB, SNA, SNB) was preserved in the anteroposterior direction. The comparison of cephalometric tracings at baseline and at 6 months after RME revealed a slight counter clockwise rotation of the palatal plane (SN.PP). There was a 2-degree increase in the buccal inclination of maxillary and mandibular incisors. In the vertical dimension, there was a 3-degree reduction in the SN.GoGn angle, but the Y-axis inclination was preserved. The examination of facial features revealed that there was a slight change in profile because of

Table 1 - Summary of cephalometric measurements.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cephalometric measures</th>
<th>Standard</th>
<th>Patient A</th>
<th>Patient B</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>T1(9 years)</td>
<td>T2(10 years)</td>
<td>T1(9 years)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SNA (degrees)</td>
<td>82°</td>
<td>83°</td>
<td>82°</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SNB (degrees)</td>
<td>80°</td>
<td>75°</td>
<td>74°</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ANB (degrees)</td>
<td>2°</td>
<td>8°</td>
<td>8°</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SND (degrees)</td>
<td>76°</td>
<td>71°</td>
<td>72°</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-NA (mm)</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-NA (degrees)</td>
<td>22°</td>
<td>-3°</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-NB (mm)</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-NB (degrees)</td>
<td>25°</td>
<td>24°</td>
<td>24°</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pg-NB (mm)</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.1 (degrees)</td>
<td>13°</td>
<td>154°</td>
<td>150°</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ocl.SN (degrees)</td>
<td>14°</td>
<td>20°</td>
<td>19°</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SN.PP (degrees)</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>12°</td>
<td>10°</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SN.GoGn (degrees)</td>
<td>32°</td>
<td>35°</td>
<td>34°</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S-UL (mm)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S-LL (mm)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Y axis (degrees)</td>
<td>59°</td>
<td>62°</td>
<td>62°</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Facial angle</td>
<td>87.8°</td>
<td>84°</td>
<td>85°</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Convexity angle</td>
<td>0°</td>
<td>16°</td>
<td>15°</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wits (mm)</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2 - Transverse measures of maxillary arch obtained from study models (in millimeters).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Measurements</th>
<th>T1 (9 years)</th>
<th>T2 (10 years)</th>
<th>Difference</th>
<th>T1 (9 years)</th>
<th>T2 (10 years)</th>
<th>Difference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Maxillary intercanine distance</td>
<td>35.88</td>
<td>39.34</td>
<td>3.46</td>
<td>33.52</td>
<td>36.18</td>
<td>2.66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maxillary intermolar distance</td>
<td>41.68</td>
<td>44.11</td>
<td>2.43</td>
<td>36.67</td>
<td>43.71</td>
<td>7.04</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 5 - Extra- and intraoral photographs and panoramic reconstruction of CT images obtained after expansion (patients A and B).

Figure 6 - Total and partial comparisons of lateral cephalometric tracings of patient A at baseline (black) and 6 months after RME (red).

Figure 7 - Total and partial comparisons of lateral cephalometric tracings of patient B at baseline (black) and 6 months after RME (red).
a reduction in the distance of upper and lower lips from the Steiner’s S line and the consequent reduction of profile convexity (Table 1, Fig 7).

The examination of the maxillary model revealed an increase in intercanine distances in relation to intermolar distances for both patients, and a greater increase in the intermolar distance in the patient that received the conventional expander (Table 2, Fig 8).

CT scans showed (Fig 12) the disjunction of frontonasal, frontomaxillary and frontozygomatic sutures, as illustrated in the CT scan obtained for patient B after expansion (T2).

**DISCUSSION**

This study described the clinical case of monozygotic twins with cleft lip and palate and mixed dentition that underwent rapid maxillary expansion (RME) with two types of expander. Treatment results were evaluated using CBCT scans.

Three-dimensional images have attracted the interest of dentists because of the difficulties and limitations to obtain diagnostic information using conventional radiographs. CBCT was developed in the 90’s as a response to the demands for three-dimensional information provided by conventional CT scans. The introduction of CBCT as a mean to obtain images of the maxillofacial region changed paradigms and promoted the transition from a two- to a three-dimensional approach for data acquisition and image reconstruction. CBCT produces images of the craniofacial complex at a submillimetric resolution, and scanning times are comparable to those of panoramic radiographs. Radiation doses are lower than those of conventional or helicoidal CT and similar to those of other types of dental radiographs.

This case report showed that RME produced a transverse increase of the maxillary arch in both patients, as shown on the CT scans acquired after expansion and in the study models (T2) (Table 2, Figs 5, 8, and 9). The shape of the maxillary arches of both patients improved (Figs 5 and 8). The distance between maxillary canines of patient A (appliance with fan-type expansion screw) was 0.8 mm greater than in patient B (appliance with conventional screw) (3.46 mm vs. 2.66 mm). The main difference between the two patients was the smaller expansion of the intermolar distance in the patient that received the fan-type expander, which limited posterior displacement (Table 2, Figs 8 and 9). According to Doruk et al, the use of fan-type expansion screws is a rational approach to RME because of the different changes in anterior and posterior transverse distances in the maxilla. Another study showed that frontal cephalometric tracings at baseline, after treatment and after retention in a sample of 34 patients with transverse maxillary deficiency revealed an increase in
the maxillary and nasal cavity widths both in patients treated with fan-type appliances and in the group treated with conventional expanders. However, this increase was significantly greater in patients treated with the conventional screw.

Differences in distances in the anterior and posterior regions may be affected by the position of the screw. In patient A, the threaded part of the fan-type expansion screw was positioned anterior-posteriorly in the region of the maxillary second premolar, that is, at a more anterior position due to its greater volume. In contrast, the threaded part of the conventional screw was positioned anterior-posteriorly in the mesial region of permanent first molars (Figs 5 and 8).

There seems to be a consensus in the literature about the fact that circum-maxillary sutures are disjointed after rapid maxillary expansion, and that RME may affect the intranasal, zygomatic-maxillary, nasomaxillary and frontonasal sutures. The disjunction of some of the circum-maxillary sutures may be seen in 3D reconstructions at the end of the active RME phase, but not in this study because CT scanning was performed 6 months after RME (Figs 10, 11 and 12).
At T₁ and T₂, maxillary canines on the side without cleft in both patients moved a greater distance towards eruption than the contralateral ones. The right maxillary canine, probably due to lack of bone in the cleft area and the presence of supernumerary teeth in the region, moved a shorter distance between T₁ and T₂.

As part of the treatment plan and in addition to corrective orthodontic treatment with fixed appliances, future secondary bone implantation for the cleft region will be preceded by extraction of supernumerary teeth in the region to ensure favorable conditions for the eruption of the right maxillary canine.

CONCLUSION

The expansion achieved with the conventional and the fan-type expansion screw corrected the transverse discrepancy in patients with cleft lip and palate and improved the shape of the upper arches. Arch shape should be carefully evaluated to choose the screw that will better treat each type of malocclusion. CBCT scans of patients with cleft lip and palate provide detailed visualizations of anatomic structures in this type of deformity, such as the cleft area and its relation to adjacent teeth, and, therefore, help to plan and make decisions about the most adequate and safest treatment choices.
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