PHYSICAL PROPERTIES OF DIFFERENT SOYBEAN CULTIVARS DURING DRYING
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ABSTRACT
The knowledge of physical properties of grains is important for the optimization of postharvest operations. Thus, this study aimed to evaluate the effect of moisture content over physical properties of different cultivars of soybean. Soybean of cultivars NS7901RR, TMG1180RR, P98Y70 and TMG132RR were used, with initial moisture content of 0.32, 0.27, 0.25 and 0.21 dry basis (d.b.), respectively. In order to dry the samples, an oven of forced air circulation was used. Samples were dried at temperature of 50 ºC, being the drying procedure stopped when the moisture content of soybean was equal or inferior than 0.15 (d.b.). During drying, for interested moisture contents, physical properties were determined: bulk density, equivalent diameter, sphericity, circularity and surface/volume ratio. It was noticed that all physical properties analyzed presented a direct relationship with moisture content. With exception of the equivalent diameter, all the remaining physical properties increased linearly with moisture content reduction. Physical differences were observed among soybean cultivars during drying. However, variation of analyzed properties occurred in different proportions during drying for each cultivar.

INTRODUCTION
Soybean, Glycine max (L.) Merrill is an important commodity in the Brazilian agricultural scenario. Valorization of this product is associated, among other factors, by its different use in the industry due to its chemical composition, in other words, is a product that possess elevated contents of oil and protein, around 20% and 40%, respectively.

Foodstuff consumer has been more demanding of quality in the final product. Thus, in the case of grain and cereals, is mandatory that production and processing stages are accomplished adequately, aiming to preserve qualitative attributes of the product.

Being that stated, drying is the most used method to assure final product quality, since it reduces the amount of water present in the material, thus decreasing its biological activity and chemical and physical changes that may occur during storage (Corrêa et al., 2007). Berbert et al. (2008) emphasizes that moisture content is one of the factor that are more significant in the prevention of grain deterioration, in which maintaining low both moisture content and temperature of the product, microorganism’s incidence and respiration rate of the grain are minimized.

However, if poorly conducted, drying may affect negatively the quality of the product due to, mainly, temperature and relative humidity conditions, which generates elevated rates of water removal (Resende et al., 2012). Drying allows the removal of water from the product, but, parallely, this process causes damages at the cellular structures of the product, leading to shape changes and decrease in its characteristics dimensions (Mayor & Sereno, 2004).

Moisture is the variable that most affects the physical properties of agricultural products, as observed by Araujo et al., (2015), Araujo et al., (2014), Oliveira et al., (2013), among others. Along with moisture content, other variables also impact the physical properties, such as drying air temperature (Coradi et al., 2015; Oliveira et al., 2010), and seeding period (Bornhofen et al., 2015). Physical properties of grain and cereals have direct application on quality evaluation or optimization and development of machinery used in grain handling, from seeding until storage.

Therefore, it is essential to know the physical properties of this products and the factor that affects it. Goneli et al. (2011) stated that the knowledge of physical
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properties, during drying, is also relevant for the correct post-harvest management. Information about size, volume, porosity, density and others are basic information for projection and monitoring of drying and storage of several agricultural products.

Being that stated, the objective of this study is to evaluate the effect of moisture content variation over the physical properties of different soybean cultivars.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

Soybean of cultivars NS7901RR, TMG1180RR, P98Y70 and TMG132RR were used. These are cultivars with expected cycles of 110, 115, 120 and 133 days, respectively. Cultivars were cultivated according to traditional techniques at the first harvest of the year 2014/2015 at farms located in Sinop city, MT.

Initially grain was cleaned and selected; the damaged ones and all kind of strange materials have been removed. Soybean grains from cultivars NS7901RR, TMG1180RR, P98Y70 and TMG132RR presented an initial moisture content of 0.32, 0.27, 0.21 and 0.25 dry basis (d.b.), respectively. Moisture content was determined using the oven method at 105 ± 1ºC during 24 h, with three repetitions (Brasil, 2009).

Grains were submitted to drying in an oven with air forced circulation, set at temperature of 50ºC. Drying was made in perforated trays of galvanized plates (Ø = 2.5 mm), with average dimensions of 320 × 260 × 50 mm, with 750 g of grain in each tray. Monitoring of this process was made by mass difference, knowing the initial moisture content of the product. Drying was interrupted when samples reached a moisture content of 0.15 (d.b.) or lower.

The mass of samples was obtained by means of an analytical scale with 0.01 g of resolution. During the process, at moisture content of interest, drying was interrupted and physical properties were determined.

Bulk density ($\rho_{bp}$) was determined with the relationship between the mass and volume of grains, measured in a graduated cylinder with a volume of 1000 mL. During drying, the soybean was put in the cylinder, in which were read the volume of the grain mass, and afterwards, grain mass was weighed with the aid of an analytical scale with 0.01 g of resolution. This procedure was made for each moisture content and each cultivar, with two repetitions.

For shape determination, 12 soybean grains of each cultivar were selected and dried in separate. During drying, mass and main dimensions were determined, as illustrated in Figure 1. Main dimension characteristics of these grains were made with a digital caliper with resolution of 0.01 mm. The mass of each grain was weighed with the aid of an analytical scale with 0.01 g of resolution.

\[
\text{FIGURE 1. Schematic draw of a soybean, in which “a”, “b” and “c” are the highest, the average and the lowest characteristic dimension, respectively.}
\]

The equivalent diameter ($D_e$), which corresponds to the mean dimension, was determined by [eq. (1)].

\[
D_e = \left(\frac{a \cdot b \cdot c}{\sqrt{a \cdot b \cdot c}}\right)^{\frac{1}{3}}
\]  

in which,

- $D_e$ - equivalent diameter of soybean, mm;
- $a$ - the highest characteristic dimension of soybean, mm;
- $b$ - the average characteristic dimension of soybean, mm, and
- $c$ - the lowest characteristic dimension of soybean, mm.

The sphericity of the soybean grains ($\phi$) was accomplished by [eq. (2)].

\[
\phi = \frac{D_e}{a} \times 100 = \frac{\sqrt[3]{a \cdot b \cdot c}}{a} \times 100
\]  

in which,

- $\phi$ - sphericity, %.

Circularities of soybean grains ($C_\chi$) were calculated for the three dimensions of soybean into a plan, in other words, projection of the highest dimension ($C_1$), the average dimension ($C_2$) and the lowest dimension ($C_3$), according to [eq. (3)].

\[
C_\chi = \left[\frac{D_1}{D_e}\right] \times 100
\]  

in which,

- $C_\chi$ - circularity for the projection of dimension “$\chi$”, %;
- $D_1$ - diameter of the highest inscribed circle at the product, mm, and
- $D_e$ - diameter of the lowest inscribed circle at the product mm.
In order to obtain the volume and superficial area, required to calculate the surface-volume ratio (SV), it was assumed that soybean grains (Figure 1) have a shape approximated to a scalene triaxial spheroid. Soybean volume was obtained by [eq. (4)].

\[ V_s = \frac{\pi}{6}(a \ b \ c) \]  

in which,

\[ V_s \] - soybean volume, mm\(^3\).

Superficial area (S) was calculated according to [eq. (5)], known as Knud Thomsen’s (Mele et al., 2016). Knud Thomsen’s equation, used as constant “\(z\)”, results in a maximum error of 1.061% in the estimation of superficial area of the spheroid.

\[ S = 4\pi \left[ \left( \frac{a}{2} \right)^z \left( \frac{b}{2} \right)^z + \left( \frac{a}{2} \right)^z \left( \frac{c}{2} \right)^z + \left( \frac{b}{2} \right)^z \left( \frac{c}{2} \right)^z \right] \]  

in which,

\[ S \] - superficial area, mm\(^2\), and

\[ z \] - approximation constant equivalent to 1.6075.

The surface-volume relationship of soybean grains was calculated by [eq. (6)].

\[ SV = \frac{S}{V_s} \]  

in which:

\[ SV \] - surface-volume ratio, mm\(^{-1}\).

Experimental data of physical properties were submitted to analysis of variance followed by linear regression, being selected the mathematical model more adequate to express the relationship among these physical characteristics and the soybean moisture content. The adjustment degree of the coefficients of each model was evaluated by the “t” test, with significance level of 5% of probability.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Figure 2 presents the experimental and estimated values of bulk density of the soybean grains of the analyzed cultivars, for different moisture contents.

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{d} &= 758.32 - 126.59 \text{U} & \text{V} &= 744.01 - 182.96 \text{U} & \text{W} &= 744.47 - 198.43 \text{U} & \Theta &= 732.96 - 155.71 \text{U} \\
R^2(\%) &= 95.6 & R^2(\%) &= 97.3 & R^2(\%) &= 96.5 & R^2(\%) &= 96.7
\end{align*}
\]

(‘) Significant by the “t” test: \(p\)-value<0.0001

FIGURE 2. Observed and estimated values of bulk density as a function of moisture content throughout drying for different soybean cultivars.

It was observed that bulk density of soybean grains, independently of the cultivar analyzed, increased linearly with moisture content reduction. Linear dependences of density with moisture content are frequently observed during drying of different agricultural products, such as beans (Resende et al., 2008) and soybean (Wandkar et al., 2012), which presented an increment of this property, and for paddy rice (Zareiforush et al., 2009) and sunflower (Figueiredo et al., 2011), that bulk density decreased with moisture content reduction.

Experimental values of bulk density of soybean varied between 691 and 739 kg m\(^{-3}\) for a moisture content range of 0.32 to 0.12 (d.b.). These values are higher than the results reported by Alencar et al. (2009) and similar to the values reported by Botelho et al. (2015) and Wandkar et al. (2012).
Bulk density is one of the main physical properties used to evaluate the products quality. For the entire range of moisture content studied, there were differences between cultivars, being the cultivar NS7901RR the one that presented the highest values of bulk density, followed by TMG132RR, P98Y70 and TMG1180RR cultivars. One important factor is that the cultivation conditions may affect the quality of the cultivar performance.

Soybean bulk density dependence on moisture content (Figure 2), for all cultivars studied, was satisfactorily represented \( (p\text{-value} < 0.0001) \) by a first degree polynomial equation, based on elevated determination coefficients \( (R^2 > 95.0\%) \).

Figure 3 presents the observed and estimated values of equivalent diameter of soybean grains for the studied cultivars as a function of moisture content.

It can be noticed that the equivalent diameter of soybean continuously decreased and it is proportional to moisture content reduction throughout drying process for all cultivars analyzed. This trend was also observed by Goneli et al. (2011), Wandkar et al. (2012) and Araujo et al. (2014) for castor fruits, soybeans and peanut grain, respectively.

Equivalent diameter (or geometric diameter) reflects, in the case of soybean, the average size of the grain, allowing the characterization of the studied cultivars by this property. It can be said that cultivar NS7901RR, is the one that has bigger grain, whilst P98Y70 is the cultivar with lower size of grain, independently of the moisture content. However, observing the slope of the adjusted equations, the same cultivars were the ones that presented higher variations of equivalent diameter throughout drying (Figure 3). This can be an indicator that the average size of grains is directly related to the reduction of its characteristics dimensions during drying.

Decrease of the products size is due to the reduction of its dimensions by loss of water, being such phenomenon denominated as volumetric shrinkage, which is observed for most agricultural products, among them, fig (Corrêa Filho et al., 2015), banana (Leite et al., 2015), beans (Oliveira et al., 2014) and soybeans (Oliveira et al., 2013; Smaniotto et al., 2015). Starting from the reduction of characteristics dimensions, dryer’s designers may improve and/or design drying systems, more efficient, considering factors such as air flow direction, product movement in the dryer, among other parameters and processes (Araujo et al., 2015).

Observed and estimated values of sphericity of soybean grains in function of moisture content throughout drying are presented in Figure 4.
By means of Figure 4, it can be noticed that similar to bulk density, sphericity of soybean grains, regardless of the cultivar, increased their values during drying, thus presenting an inverse relationship with moisture content reduction.

It can be verified that occurred differences regarding the proportion that this property varied during drying, when cultivars are compared. Cultivar TMG1180RR presented higher values of sphericity, followed by cultivars P98Y70, NS7901RR and TMG132RR. However, magnitudes of this variation during drying occurred differently among cultivars, in other words, cultivar TMG132RR presented higher variation, whilst cultivars P98Y70, NS7901RR and TMG1180RR varied in a lower proportion, but similar between them (Figure 4).

Sphericity is an index that determines how much the product with a certain shape approximates to a sphere. Thus, studies of the variations that may occur regarding this and others physical properties related to size and shape of the product, are required because it demonstrates the importance of these parameters to recommend discs for plantation and sieves for processing. This enables optimization of the equipment, reducing percentage of break loss and product damage during stages of plantation, harvest and post-harvest.

Values of sphericity, for the cultivars studied, varied between 84.6 and 88.8% for moisture content range from 0.33 to 0.10 (d.b.), proving elevated sphericity usually observed for soybeans grains. Similar values were reported by Tavakoli et al. (2009) and Shirkole et al. (2011) studying the dependence of physical properties of soybean grains with moisture content.

Figures 5, 6 and 7 presents the observed and estimated values of circularity for the projection of the highest dimension (C₃), the average dimension (C₂) and the lowest dimension (C₁) of soybean grains as a function of moisture content.
\[ d = 90.8138 - 10.0876 \theta \]
\[ R^2(\%) = 95.0 \]

\[ \nabla = 91.4101 - 10.3137 \theta \]
\[ R^2(\%) = 98.9 \]

\[ W = 88.3097 - 21.2451 \theta \]
\[ R^2(\%) = 96.3 \]

\[ \Rightarrow = 77.3649 - 19.1113 \theta \]
\[ R^2(\%) = 96.4 \]

\[ \nabla = 79.3281 - 14.5395 \theta \]
\[ R^2(\%) = 90.8 \]

\[ W = 78.6120 - 24.4074 \theta \]
\[ R^2(\%) = 97.7 \]

\[ \Rightarrow = 78.9510 - 16.3764 \theta \]
\[ R^2(\%) = 97.5 \]

\((^\ast)\) Significant by the “t” test: \( p\)-value < 0.0021

**FIGURE 5.** Observed and estimated values of circularity for the projection of the highest dimension (\(C_1\)) of soybean as a function of moisture content for different cultivars.

**FIGURE 6.** Observed and estimated values of circularity for the projection of the average dimension (\(C_2\)) of soybean as a function of moisture content for different cultivars.
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It can be noticed, from Figures 5, 6 and 7 that circularities $C_1$, $C_2$ and $C_3$ of soybean grains for all cultivars, presented similar trend as sphericity data (Figure 4), in other words, increased linearly with reduction of moisture content.

Cultivar TMG132RR presented higher variation for circularities $C_1$ and $C_2$, whilst cultivars NS7901RR and TMG1180RR presented lower variation for respective circularities (Figure 5 and Figure 6). Cultivars P98Y70 and TMG132RR presented, respectively, higher and lower variation for circularity $C_3$ (Figure 7). It can be observed that cultivar P98Y70 presented the most homogeneous variation among their circularities, indicating that the variation of the characteristics dimensions was uniform during drying.

Thus, it can be concluded that there are differences regarding circularity during drying when the cultivars are compared. This result, such as the remaining found at the present study, reinforces that continuous studies are required in order to evaluate the physical properties, aiming not only the product itself, but also the genetic singularities potentiated by varietal characteristic.

Circularities ($C_1$, $C_2$ and $C_3$) varied in different proportions as a function of moisture content due to uniform reductions of their principal characteristics dimensions (Figure 1). This trend is observed for most of agricultural products throughout drying, such as chickpeas (Eissa et al., 2010), soybean (Shirkole et al., 2011), coffee (Botelho et al., 2016), among others.

At the present study, both sphericity and circularities increased with decrease of moisture content. This trend was also observed by Siqueira et al. (2012), working with jatropha. Araujo et al. (2015), Botelho et al. (2016) and Coradi et al. (2015), working with peanuts, coffee and sunflower, respectively, did not observed this trend.

For the moisture content range in which were observed variations of circularities, for all cultivars, were adjusted polynomial equations of first order, which described significantly ($p$-value<0.05) the dependence of these variables by moisture content (Figure 5, 6 and 7).

Figure 8 presents the observed and estimated values of the surface-volume relationship of soybean grains for the cultivars studied throughout drying.
It can be observed that the values of surface-volume relationship increased, regardless of the cultivar, in a linear way with the decrease of moisture content (Figure 8). Cultivar TMG1180RR presented higher values of surface-volume relationship and higher variation for this property, followed by TMG132RR, P98Y70 and NS7901RR with lower values, and cultivar TMG132RR with lower variation.

Usually, for most agricultural products, both superficial area and volume decrease with moisture content decrease, as reported by Tavakoli et al. (2009), Siqueira et al. (2012), Araujo et al. (2015) and Coradi et al. (2015). Such physical properties are dependent, basically, by its principal dimension characteristics of the product. Thus, disproportionality in which these physical properties vary is the explanation for the tendency of the surface-volume relationship of soybean grains during drying, in other words, volume of soybean grains varied in a lower proportion than its superficial area.

Evaluation of this property is extremely important for drying studies, because the higher surface-volume relationship of a certain product, easier will be the heat and mass transfer (Botelho et al., 2015).

As reported previously for other physical properties, dependence of this variable with moisture content was satisfactory described by a polynomial model of first order (p-value < 0.0132) (Figure 8).

CONCLUSIONS

All physical properties analyzed presented a direct relationship with moisture content. With the exception of equivalent diameter, remaining physical properties (bulk density, sphericity, circularity and surface-volume relationship) increased linearly with moisture content decrease.

Physical differences between cultivars were kept for all properties analyzed, however, variation during drying occurred in different proportions.
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