THERMAL INSULATION WITH RECYCLED MATERIAL IN CREEPS FOR PIGLETS
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ABSTRACT
In the swine intensive farming, one of the biggest problems is to meet the thermal requirements of piglets in heated creeps, which demand high consumption of electricity and increase in production costs. The aim of this study was to evaluate the thermal efficiency and the consumption of electric energy in heated and internal insulated creeps, made of recycled material, when compared to creeps without thermal insulation. The treatments were: control (TCON): creep heated through suspended electric resistance, controlled by thermostat; thermal insulation with recycled material (TPK). The experiment was carried out for 21 days. In the treatments, the temperature (ºC) and relative humidity (RH) of the air, consumption of electric energy (kWh), specific consumption (kWh kg\textsuperscript{-1}), specific cost (R\$ kg\textsuperscript{-1}), weight gain (kg) and daily weight gain (kg day\textsuperscript{-1}) were measured. The indoor insulation creep kept the upper air temperature (33.05ºC) to the control treatment without thermal insulation (32.6ºC) and was more efficient in the use of electric energy (2.46 kWh) in comparison to the non-insulated creep (3.48 kWh). The heat-insulated creep shelter consumed the equivalent of 1.02 kWh more than the insulation treatment to maintain the thermal comfort condition of piglets between 30 and 34 °C. The conclusion is that the use of recycled material as thermal insulation in heated creeps reduces heat losses and economy electricity.

INTRODUCTION
In the swine maternity phase, one of the largest problems is meeting the calories demand of the newborn piglets with the use of heated creeps, which require greater consumption of electricity and increase in production costs. The monthly electricity consumption in swine’s farms in Brazil represents 4% of the total production cost (EMBRAPA, 2017). Therefore, alternatives of thermal insulation are sought for the facilities, in order to promote better thermal condition to the animals and to save electrical energy.

The newborn piglets have a poorly developed thermoregulation system which makes them sensitive to low temperature (Perdomo et al., 1987). Therefore, the newborn piglets should be kept inside the creep with air temperature between 30 and 34°C to avoid hypothermia (Vasdal et al., 2010). The use of heated creeps helps to maintain the homeothermia of piglets (Barros et al., 2010) and the maintenance of the temperature in the creeps depends on its ability to isolate and reduce heat losses.

The efficient use of electric energy in heated creeps depends on the thermal efficiency of the thermal insulation. Sabino et al. (2012) report that the temperature inside the creeps can be affected by the material used in the construction of the creeps and by the heat dissipation to the external environment. Fiorelli et al. (2009) used the material based on long-life packaging in zootechnical facilities and provided thermal comfort to the animals. Sartor et al. (2015) evidenced that the coating of creeps with long-life packaging exert the function of thermal insulation and provide better condition of thermal comfort to the piglets.

In this context, the use of recycled material, made with long-life packaging, is a viable alternative in the thermal insulation of creeps to promote the thermal comfort of the animals and to save electricity. Daré et al. (2005) show that the composition of the long-life packaging...
(aluminum, cardboard and plastic) acts as a thermal insulation inside the installations.

The aim of this study was to evaluate the thermal efficiency and the consumption of electric energy in a heated creep, coated with a recycled thermal insulation board when compared to non-insulated heated creep.

**MATERIAL AND METHODS**

The experiment was carried out in the maternity ward at Granja Comercial de Suínos, located in the municipality of Capela do Alto, SP. The climate of the region, according to the classification of Köppen, is Cfa-Suhtropical humid, with latitude 33° 28’ 14””, longitude 47° 44’ 05”” and altitude of 625 m. The study period was from the piglets’ birth to weaning, 21 days.

The creeps used were constructed in masonry with an area of 0.62m² and a volume of 0.46m³. The creeps were internally coated with recycled thermal insulation (thickness of 1 cm) and heated with an electric resistance of 250 W of nominal power and a digital thermostat that triggered the resistances in the air temperature range of 30 to 34°C (Vasdal et al., 2010), considered the comfort zone for suckling piglets.

The digital thermostat used in the experiment was the N321 model, manufactured by NOVUS company. The temperature sensor used was a NTC thermistor (Negative Temperature Coefficient, with a measurement capacity of -50 to 120°C and a precision of 0.6°C). The thermostat triggered the heating by controlling the actuators based on the internal temperature range of the creeps. This system features an on/off switching of the magnetic contactor, activating the resistors when the internal temperature of the room is below the lower temperature limit (30°C) and turning off the resistors when the internal temperature reaches a value higher than the temperature limit (34°C).

The insulation board is a commercial product made up of long-life packaging waste, subjected to the pressing process at a temperature of 180°C. This material is composed of 70% cardboard, 20% plastic, 5% aluminum and 5% other recyclable wastes.

The thermal conduction coefficient (k) of the insulation board is 0.01 W.m⁻¹°C⁻¹ and was calculated based on the Energy Conservation Law (Incropera & Dewitt, 1985). The thermal conductivity value characterizes the board as a material with low thermal conductivity (Baêta & Souza, 2012).

The experiment consisted of the following treatments:

Control treatment (TCON) - Heating resistor with suspended electric resistance (250W) and thermostat temperature control.

Treatment with thermal insulation (TPK) - Creep heated with suspended electric resistance (250W), thermostat temperature control and internal insulation (recycled material).

The air temperature and relative air humidity were measured inside the creep and in the external environment using transmitters (RHT-WM model, NOVUS brand, with accuracy ±0.5°C) connected to registers and accumulators of data (data recorded in intervals of 15 minutes).

The piglets were weighed individually at the beginning and end of the experiment (19 days) to calculate the daily weight gain (DWG), according to [eq. (1)].

\[
DWG = \frac{PWG}{ND}
\]

That:

- \(DWG\) = daily weight gain (kg/day);
- \(PWG\) = period weight gain (kg);
- \(ND\) = number of days the animals remain in the maternity ward.

The electrical energy consumption by the electrical resistances was measured by the Kron Mult-K Graphic with accuracy of current voltage, 0.2% power and 0.5% energy, of individual electronic energy with data storage (every 15 min).

The specific consumption (kWh kg⁻¹ of live weight) was determined with the data of energy consumption (kWh) between the treatments in relation to the product generated (daily weight gain), according to [eq. (2)].

\[
Csp_i = \frac{DC_i}{DWG_i \times N}
\]

That:

- \(Csp_i\) = specific consumption of electric energy, (kWh kg⁻¹ of live weight);
- \(DC_i\) = daily consumption of electricity, (kWh day⁻¹);
- \(DWG_i\) = average daily weight gain, (kg animal⁻¹ day⁻¹);
- \(N\) = number of animals.

The specific cost (\(Sc_i\)) was calculated with data of the specific consumption (kWh kg⁻¹ of live weight) and of the electricity consumption tariff for the rural sector effective in 2014, according to [eq. (3)].

\[
Sc_i = Csp_i \times T
\]

That:

- \(Sc_i\) = specific cost (R$ kg⁻¹) daily (i),
- \(T\) = energy consumption tariff (R$ 0.22186130 kWh) for the rural area (CPFL).

The statistical analysis of the data was done by the Student t-test (\(P < 0.05\)) with the aid of the STATGRAPHICS plus software version 4.1.
RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The internal air temperature of the creeps differed (P <0.05) between treatments (Table 1). The TPK treatment temperature was higher (33.05°C) numerically to the TCON treatment (32.6°C), due to the thermal efficiency of the thermal insulation in reducing the heat losses from the internal environment of the creep to the external environment, along the time, as shown in Figure 1. The creeps coated with recycled material insulation (TPK) maintained the internal heat over longer periods of time (Figure 1) when compared to the non-heat insulated creep (TCON) that remained with the heating system on for a longer period of time to maintain the temperature of the air in the piglets thermal comfort zone, resulting in an increase of the electric power consumption (Figure 2).

In both treatments, the air temperature was maintained in the thermal comfort range (30-34°C) for the piglets, according to reference values of Vasdal et al. (2010). The air temperature (32.6 °C) in the TCON treatment was similar to that found by Pandorfi et al. (2005), in which heated creeps with electrical resistance provided average temperature values of 32.9°C. Sartor et al. (2015) evidenced that the coating of creeps with only the reuse of long-life packaging improved the thermal comfort condition for piglets in heated creeps.

TABLE 1. Average values of air temperature, relative humidity in the treatments.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Treatments</th>
<th>Air temperature (°C)</th>
<th>Relative Humidity (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TPK</td>
<td>33.05 (±0.02) a</td>
<td>48.4 (±0.19) a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TCON</td>
<td>32.6 (±0.02) b</td>
<td>47.7 (±0.19) b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maternity ward</td>
<td>23.0 (±0.04) c</td>
<td>55.8 (±0.04) c</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Average with different letters in the column differ from each other by Student’s t-test (P <0.05).

The relative air humidity inside the creeps differed (P <0.05) among treatments (Table 1). In the TPK shelter, the relative humidity increased with increasing air temperature. Since the relative humidity of the air happens as a function of air temperature, the higher the air temperature is, the greater will be the air capacity to retain the water vapor, without affecting the thermoregulation and performance of the piglets (Barros et al., 2015). The relative air humidity did not reach the comfort range of 50-70%, recommended by Miranda et al. (2012) in both treatments. According to Huynh et al. (2005), the daily weight gain of piglets is impaired when the combination of high temperature and high relative humidity occurs which was not observed in this experiment.

FIGURE 1. Illustration of the behavior of the internal air temperature (°C) and electric current (A) of the TPK shelter and maternity ward (°C).
FIGURE 2. Illustration of the behavior of the internal air temperature (ºC) and electric current (A) of the TCON shelter and maternity ward (ºC).

The piglets daily weight gain did not differ (P <0.05) between treatments (Table 2). The piglets submitted to the same thermal comfort temperature from 30 to 34ºC showed the homogeneous weight gain, influenced by the same temperature variation. Ziron & Hoy (2003) found similar daily weight gain, as they report that piglets gained daily weight gain of 0.221 kg in warm shelters with a temperature of 32.2ºC.

**TABLE 2. Average daily weight gain (kg) and weaning weight of the piglets (kg).**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Treatments</th>
<th>Initial weight</th>
<th>DWG (kg)</th>
<th>Weight at weaning (kg)</th>
<th>Nº piglets/litter</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TPK</td>
<td>1.47 (±0.03)</td>
<td>0.220 (±0.02)</td>
<td>5.70 (±0.16)</td>
<td>12.5 (±0.38)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TCON</td>
<td>1.48 (±0.03)</td>
<td>0.221 (±0.02)</td>
<td>5.71 (±0.15)</td>
<td>11.33 (±0.38)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The piglets weight at weaning did not differ (P <0.05) between treatments. The piglets had weight at weaning of the approximately considered good (average weight of 6.63 kg) by Fernandes et al. (2011). Jarvis et al. (2008) evaluated the effect of the piglets weaning age and found that piglets weaned at 21 days of age weighed about 6.10 kg.

**TABLE 3. Daily consumption of electricity (DCi), specific consumption (Csp), and specific cost (Sc).**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Treatments</th>
<th>DCi (kWh day⁻¹)</th>
<th>Csp (kWh kg⁻¹ of live weight)</th>
<th>Sc (R$ kg⁻¹ of live weight)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TPK</td>
<td>2.46 (±0.26) b</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TCON</td>
<td>3.48 (±0.32) a</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>0.05</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Average with different letters in the column differ from each other by Student’s t-test (P <0.05).

The average daily consumption of electrical energy (DCi) differed (P <0.05) between treatments (Table 3). The use of the recycled material, based on long life packaging, as thermal insulation in the coating of the creep, reduced the daily consumption of electric energy by 1.02 kWh, except in the TPK treatment. This shows that the isolation of the creep has reduced the heat loss to the external environment and has triggered less the heating system.

The use of the ecological board as thermal insulation and temperature control was efficient, retained the internal heat in the heated creep, reduced the consumption of electricity and, therefore, resulted in the reduction of costs to produce 1 kg of live pig. In a similar study, the use of automatic temperature control in the heating system improves comfort conditions for animals and reduces the use of electric energy (Sarubbi et al., 2008). The efficient use of electricity is an important step in pig production, as it improves performance, welfare of pigs and reduces production costs (MacDonald, 2002). Thus, the use of the recycled board as a thermal insulation and temperature...
control thermally conditioned the heated creep shelters and reduced the consumption of electric energy. Besides the saving of electric energy in the heating of the creep, the use of recycled materials contributes to the preservation of the environment.

**CONCLUSIONS**

The use of thermal insulation board made from recycled material in creep shelters keeps the heat longer and reduces the consumption of electrical energy by heating sources.
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