einstein

Official Publication of the Instituto Israelita de Ensino e Pesquisa Albert Einstein

ISSN: 1679-4508 | e-ISSN: 2317-6385

How to cite this article:

Accorsi TA, Paixão MR, Piorino EM, De Amicis K, Köhler KF, Souza Jr JL; INTEGRATE Investigators (EINsTein EmerGency ReseArch TEam). Epipericardial fat necrosis as a differential diagnosis of acute chest pain: a case report and algorithm proposal for diagnostic approach. einstein (São Paulo). 2023;21:eRC0183.

Corresponding author:

Tarso Augusto Duenhas Accorsi Avenida Albert Einstein, 627/701, Building E -Morumbi Zip code: 05652-900 - São Paulo, SP, Brazil Phone: (55 11) 2151-0200 Email: tarsoa@einstein.br

Received on: May 20, 2022

Accepted on: Nov 7, 2022

Copyright the authors

This content is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License.

CASE REPORT

Epipericardial fat necrosis as a differential diagnosis of acute chest pain: a case report and algorithm proposal for diagnostic approach

Tarso Augusto Duenhas Accorsi¹, Milena Ribeiro Paixão¹, Erick de Moraes Santos Piorino¹, Karine De Amicis¹, Karen Francine Köhler¹, José Leão de Souza Jr¹; INTEGRATE Investigators (EINsTein EmerGency ReseArch TEam)

¹ Hospital Israelita Albert Einstein, São Paulo, SP, Brazil.

DOI: 10.31744/einstein journal/2023RC0183

ABSTRACT

Chest pain is a frequent, potentially life-threatening condition in the emergency department and requires immediate investigation and treatment. This case report highlights a rare differential diagnosis of pleuritic chest pain: epipericardial fat necrosis. A 29-year-old man presented with normal clinical evaluation, electrocardiography, point-of-care ultrasound, and unremarkable laboratory tests. The initial hypothesis was acute pleuritis. Chest radiography revealed pericardiac nonspecific findings, and computed tomography revealed epicardial fat necrosis. Despite the rarity of this condition, accurate diagnosis allows for better practices. An algorithm for a diagnostic approach is proposed.

Keywords: Chest pain; Fat necrosis; Pericardium; Emergency medicine; Algorithms

INTRODUCTION

Epipericardial fat necrosis (EFN) is a rare, benign cause of pleuritic chest pain in young patients that can mimic situations such as pericarditis, pulmonary embolism, and myocardial infarction.⁽¹⁾ Although the first reported EFN case in the indexed literature was in 1957 and some reports focusing on tomography findings have been published since then, until now, there are no comprehensive guidelines for diagnosis suspicion and treatment. Herein, we report a case of a patient with acute pleuritic chest pain presented to the emergency department (ED).^(2,3) This report aimed to highlight the possible diagnosis of EFN in low-risk patients and to review the literature focusing on red flags for an accurate diagnostic approach. Moreover, an algorithm for a diagnostic approach is suggested.

CASE REPORT

A 29-year-old man presented to the ED with 6-day non-traumatic pleuritic chest pain. The pain was stabbing, intense, and severe in the dorsal decubitus position. On admission, the vital signs were as follows: blood pressure, 136/89 mmHg; heart rate, 92 beats per minute; respiratory rate, 15 breaths per minute; oxygen saturation, 98% in ambient air; and temperature, 36.6°C. Cardiovascular

and pulmonary examinations showed no pericardial friction rubbing and no other remarkable findings. Electrocardiography revealed early repolarization (Figure 1). Chest radiography revealed elevation of the left dome of the diaphragm, tenuous blurring of the cardiac silhouette, and opacity of the anterior base of the thorax (Figure 2). Point-of-care ultrasonography showed no signs of pericarditis or cardiac effusion.

The C-reactive protein was 7.3mg/L (normal range <5mg/L), and the hemogram, D-dimer, and troponin levels were within the normal range. He underwent chest computed tomography (CT) to diagnose EFN (Figure 3 and 4). He was discharged with a mid-term anti-inflammatory strategy.

This study was approved by the Research Ethics of *Hospital israelita Albert Einstein* under CAAE: 52727421.2.0000.0071; # 5.131.433.



Figure 1. Electrocardiogram: early repolarization



Figure 2. Chest radiograph: elevation of the left dome of the diaphragm and blurring of the cardiac silhouette in the posteroanterior view and opacity of the anterior base of the thorax in the lateral view (white arrows)



Figure 3. Computed tomography of the chest on axial view evidencing a rounded lesion (arrow) with fat attenuation and variable degrees of densification of the adipose planes



Figure 4. Computed tomography of the chest on coronal view evidencing a rounded lesion (arrow) with fat attenuation and variable degrees of densification of the adipose planes

DISCUSSION

Non-traumatic acute chest pain represents 8% of all ED encounters per year in the United States.⁽⁴⁾ Acute coronary syndrome is the leading life-threatening condition and reason for initial work-up.⁽⁵⁾ Regarding non-cardiovascular etiologies, musculoskeletal chest pain is the most prevalent etiology (44.7%), followed by psychiatric (4%), gastrointestinal tract (2.6%), and pulmonary disorders (2.2%). Nevertheless, approximately 46% of patients are discharged with a non-specific diagnosis of chest pain, which may include possible undiagnosed cardiovascular causes.⁽⁶⁾ Despite the appropriate tendency for early discharge, some conditions may require further investigation and specific treatment.⁽⁷⁾

Epipericardial fat necrosis usually presents as a newonset pleuritic chest pain in young healthy patients.^(8,9) It is a benign, self-limiting condition; however, it may be associated with anxiety, multiple tests to investigate severe chest pain, and ED readmissions.⁽¹⁰⁾ Although its pathogenesis remains uncertain, the main hypotheses are ischemia resulting from spontaneous torsion of the vascular pedicle or capillary rupture due to an increase in intrathoracic pressure related to the Valsalva maneuver.^(2,3) Usually, EFN diagnosis is incidental after imaging tests are ordered based on other cardiac and pulmonary suspicions.⁽¹¹⁾ There are case reports in the indexed literature and some reviews; however, to date, clinicians are unfamiliar with this condition. Diagnosis is almost always incidental, and previous studies have not suggested a comprehensive approach for suspected cases.⁽¹²⁾

The main manifestation of EFN is pleuritic pain, typically characterized by sharp and localized thoracic or shoulder pain and exacerbated by deep breathing, coughing, or chest movement.⁽¹³⁾ Typical physical examination findings include tachycardia, tachypnea, and even cardiac friction rub can be found.⁽⁸⁾ Potentially serious conditions may be related to these manifestations, such as pericarditis, pneumothorax, pulmonary embolism, acute coronary syndrome, and acute aortic syndrome.^(14,15)

Epipericardial fat necrosis rarely manifests as electrocardiogram changes (eventually nonspecific repolarization findings).⁽¹²⁾ Blood samples may reveal mild increase in C-reactive protein, D-dimer, and white blood cell levels. Chest radiography rarely shows pericardial thickening, paracardiac opacity, pleural effusion, or atelectasis.^(12,14) Epipericardial fat necrosis was observed in 0.26% of the radiographs performed to investigate chest pain in the ED.⁽¹⁶⁾ There are very few current reports on point-of-care ultrasound and echocardiography in this setting. Some researchers have found hyperechoic nodules in epicardial fat surrounded by a hypoechoic halo and hyperechogenic adjacent fat tissue. The gold standard for EFN diagnosis is pericardial thickening and an encapsulated fatty lesion with dense strands on CT or magnetic resonance imaging.⁽¹⁷⁻¹⁹⁾ A retrospective review of 7,463 chest CT scans performed in the ED to investigate chest pain found 2.15% of EFN, with and without pleural effusion.⁽²⁰⁾ Radiologists should be aware of this suspicion as EFN is frequently misdiagnosed even with these examinations.⁽²¹⁾ Differential diagnoses include primary fatty tumors (lipoma, liposarcoma, teratoma, and thymolipoma), diaphragmatic hernias, and mediastinitis.⁽¹⁸⁾ To clarify this hypothesis and manage EFN, an algorithm for a diagnostic approach is proposed (Figure 5).



^{*} Examiners should be aware of the EFN hypothesis.

Treatment includes anti-inflammatory therapy and follow-up chest CT at 4-8 weeks to confirm healing and rule out tumors. The prognosis is good, and there have been no reports of chronic pain, recurrence, chronic pericarditis, or tamponade.^(5-7,10-13,16-21)

CONCLUSION

This case report describes the most common presentation of epipericardial fat necrosis in young healthy patients with pleuritic acute chest pain and negative emergency department work-up for more prevalent cardiovascular and pulmonary etiologies. Chest radiography revealed mild changes. The limited image resolution and lack of suspicion for this disorder did not lead to a diagnosis at the first emergency department visit. Chest tomography, performed to explore the differential diagnosis after readmission, revealed epipericardial fat necrosis. The patient's condition improved with nonsteroidal antiinflammatory therapy. This is an illustrative case to keep epipericardial fat necrosis diagnosis in mind.

AUTHORS CONTRIBUTION

Tarso Augusto Duenhas Accorsi: conceptualization, methodology, writing - original draft; writing - review, and editing. Milena Ribeiro Paixão: conceptualization, methodology, writing - original draft, writing review, and editing. Erick de Moraes Santos Piorino: conceptualization, data curation, methodology. Karine De Amicis: project administration, writing - original draft, writing - review, and editing. Karen Francine Köhler: project administration, writing - reviewing, and editing. José Leão de Souza Jr: conceptualization and supervision.

AUTHORS' INFORMATION

Accorsi TA: http://orcid.org/0000-0002-8023-3466 Paixão MR: http://orcid.org/0000-0002-1565-3915 Piorino EM: http://orcid.org/0000-0003-1278-0932 De Amicis K: http://orcid.org/0000-0002-9936-2436 Köhler KF: http://orcid.org/0000-0002-8348-4623 Souza Jr JL: http://orcid.org/0000-0001-6017-7682

REFERENCES

- Pineda V, Cáceres J, Andreu J, Vilar J, Domingo ML. Epipericardial fat necrosis: radiologic diagnosis and follow-up. AJR Am J Roentgenol. 2005;185(5):1234-6.
- Ataya D, Chowdhry AA, Mohammed TL. Epipericardial fat pad necrosis: computed tomography findings and literature review. J Thorac Imaging. 2011;26(4):W140-2. Review.
- Lacasse MC, Prenovault J, Lavoie A, Chartrand-Lefebvre C. Pericardial fat necrosis presenting as acute pleuritic chest pain. J Emerg Med. 2013; 44(2):e269-71.
- Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC). National Center for Health Statistics. National Hospital Ambulatory Medical Care Survey: 2017 Emergency Department Summary Tables. USA: CDC; 2017 [cited 2022 Mar 17]. Available from: https://www.cdc.gov/nchs/data/nhamcs/web_ tables/2017_ed_web_tables-508.pdf
- 5. Frieling T. Non-Cardiac Chest Pain. Visc Med. 2018;34(2):92-6. Review.
- Wertli MM, Dangma TD, Müller SE, Gort LM, Klauser BS, Melzer L, et al. Non-cardiac chest pain patients in the emergency department: Do physicians have a plan how to diagnose and treat them? A retrospective study. PLoS One. 2019;14(2):e0211615.
- Moran B, Bryan S, Farrar T, Salud C, Visser G, Decuba R, et al. Diagnostic evaluation of nontraumatic chest pain in athletes. Curr Sports Med Rep. 2017;16(2):84-94. Review.
- Alomari L, Khushaim A. Diagnosis of epipericardial Fat necrosis on multimodality imaging in a pediatric patient: a case report and review of the literature. J Radiol Case Rep. 2020;14(5):16-24.
- Mortensen SG, Buchmann P, Lappegård KT. Epipericardial fat necrosis: a case report and a review of the literature. Clin Med Insights Case Rep. 2020;13:1179547620940769.
- Artunduaga M, Fuqua BL, Pierry C, Soto Giordani GA, Roman-Colon AM. Imaging diagnosis of epipericardial fat necrosis in children. Pediatr Radiol. 2020;50(2):285-8.
- Zafar MR, Mustafa SF, Shahbaz A, Warraich S, Altaf A. Epipericardial fat necrosis: a concise review of literature. Cureus. 2021;13(2):e13106. Review.
- Berchuck JE, Patel S. An often-overlooked etiology of pleuritic chest pain. J Gen Intern Med. 2016;31(1):138.
- MacDuff A, Arnold A, Harvey J; BTS Pleural Disease Guideline Group. Management of spontaneous pneumothorax: British Thoracic Society Pleural Disease Guideline 2010. Thorax. 2010;65 Suppl 2:ii18-31.
- Light RW. Parapneumonic effusions and empyema. Proc Am Thorac Soc. 2006;3(1):75-80. Review.
- Giassi KS, Costa AN, Bachion GH, Apanavicius A, Filho JR, Kairalla RA, et al. Epipericardial fat necrosis: an underdiagnosed condition. Br J Radiol. 2014;87(1038):20140118.

STEMI: ST-segment elevation myocardial infarction; NSTEMI: non (persistent) ST-segment elevation myocardial infarction; EFN: epipericardial fat necrosis; CT: computed tomography.

Figure 5. Proposed algorithm for acute pleuritic chest pain in the emergency department

- Díaz J, Alegría J, Pérez D, Medina C. Epipericardial fat necrosis: sonographic findings and their correlation with computed tomography. J Ultrasound Med. 2016;35(10):2279-83.
- Koutouzi G, Maniotis C, Falkenberg M, Zachrisson K. Epipericardial fat necrosis. Acta Cardiol. 2015;70(6):736.
- Bhatt MY, Martínez-Jiménez S, Rosado-de-Christenson ML, Watson KR, Walker CM, Kunin JR. Imaging manifestations of mediastinal fat necrosis. Case Rep Radiol. 2013;2013:323579.
- Giassi KS, Costa AN, Bachion GH, Kairalla RA, Filho JR. Epipericardial fat necrosis: who should be a candidate? AJR Am J Roentgenol. 2016; 207(4):773-7.
- Giassi KS, Costa AN, Kairalla RA, Parga Filho JR. Epipericardial fat necrosis: increasing the rate of diagnosis by disseminating knowledge within a single institution. Radiol Bras. 2018;51(1):62-3.
- 21. Ferretti GR, Rigaud D. Acute chest pain related to pericardial fat necrosis. Can Respir J. 2016;2016:1948325.