TITRIMETRIC AND SPECTROPHOTOMETRIC ASSAY OF BUPROPION HYDROCHLORIDE IN PHARMACEUTICALS USING MERCURY(II) NITRATE
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Abstract: Two simple, rapid and accurate methods for the determination of bupropion hydrochloride (BUP) in pure and in pharmaceutical preparations are described. Both methods are based on the measurement of the chloride of its hydrochloride. In the titrimetric method, the chloride content of bupropion hydrochloride is determined by titrating with mercury(II)nitrate using diphenylcarbazone–bromophenol blue as indicator. Titrimetric method is applicable over a range 2–20 mg of BUP and the reaction stoichiometry is found to be 2:1 (BUP: Hg(NO₃)₂). The spectrophotometric method involves the addition of a measured excess of mercury(II) nitrate reagent in formate buffer to the drug, and after ensuring the reaction had gone to completion, the unreacted mercury(II) is treated with a fixed amount of diphenylcarbazone, and absorbance measured at 515 nm. The absorbance is found to decrease linearly with increasing concentration of BUP and the calibration curve is linear over 1.0–15.0 μg mL⁻¹ BUP. The proposed methods were successfully applied to the determination of BUP in commercially available dosage forms with good accuracy and precision, and without detectable interference by excipients. The accuracy was further ascertained by placebo blank and synthetic mixture analyses and also by recovery experiments via standard-addition procedure.
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Introduction

Bupropion hydrochloride (BUP), chemically known as 1-(3-chlorophenyl)-2-[(1,1-dimethylethyl) amino]-1-propanone hydrochloride (Fig. 1) [1], is the water soluble salt of an aminoketone [2], with a pKa of 7.9 [3] and it is known also with the generic name of amfebutamone hydrochloride. Bupropion is structurally related to phenylethylamines, cathinone (a CNS stimulant from leaves of Catha edulis) and to the anorectic drug diethylpropion [4, 5]. Bupropion is a second-generation antidepressant agent that is also used in the management of smoking cessation [6]. Since its introduction in 1989, the most extensive-ly used technique for the quantitation of BUP is HPLC but, most of the procedures using this technique are devoted to biological fluids like plasma [7, 8, 9], plasma and serum [10] and dog plasma [11]. Even such techniques as radioimmunoassay [12], GC [13], LC [14, 15], LC/ESI/MS/MS [16], LC-MS [17] and LC-MS/MS [18, 19] are also confined to biological fluids including dog plasma [12], human plasma [13, 14, 15], waste water [16], rat everted gut sacs [17], plasma and urine [18] and human, mouse and rat plasma [19]. A limited number of methods have been reported for the quantitation of BUP in dosage forms. Methods based on several techniques such as non aqueous titration [20], HPLC [20, 21, 22], and GLC [23]
are found in the literature for the determination of BUP in pure form and in tablets.

Figure 1. Structure of bupropion hydrochloride

There is only one report on the titrimetric [29] determination of BUP, which involves neutralization of the drug with perchloric acid in non-aqueous medium. As per our literature survey, no visible spectrophotometric method has ever been reported for the determination of BUP in pharmaceuticals.

The purpose of this investigation was to develop simple, rapid, accurate and inexpensive procedures for the quantitation of BUP in pure form and in pharmaceutical formulations. The titrimetric procedure involves the titration of the chloride content of the hydrochloride of the drug in acidic condition with mercury(II) nitrate while the spectrophotometric method uses an indirect procedure based on the measurement of the decrease in absorbance of mercury(II)-diphenylcarbazone complex at 515 nm in acidic condition.

Experimental details

Apparatus

A Systronics model 106 digital spectrophotometer provided with 1-cm matched quartz cells was used for all absorbance measurements.

Materials

Pharmaceutical grade bupropion hydrochloride (BUP) pure was received from GlaxoSmithKline Pharmaceuticals, Mumbai, India. The following pharmaceutical preparations were purchased from commercial sources in the local market and subjected to analysis: Bupron-SR-150 from Sun pharmaceutical industries, Jammu, India, and Eission-ER-150 from psyscomedies, Ludhiana, Punjab, India.

Reagents

All the reagents used were of analytical-reagent grade and double distilled water was used throughout the investigation.

Mercury(II) nitrate (0.01 mol L\(^{-1}\)). Prepared by dissolving 1.713 g of the chemical (S.d fine-chem. Ltd., Mumbai, India) in distilled water and diluting to 500 mL. The solution was standardized using pure potassium chloride [24]. The stock solution was diluted to 0.005 mol L\(^{-1}\) for the titrimetric work and diluted stepwise to obtain 50 μg mL\(^{-1}\) Hg(II) for the spectrophotometric work.

Diphenylcarbazone–bromophenol blue mixed indicator for titrimetry. The mixed indicator was prepared by dissolving 0.25 g of diphenylcarbazone (The British Drug Houses LTD, Poole, England) and 0.025 g of bromophenol blue (LOBA Chemie Pvt LTD, Mumbai, India) in 50 mL of ethanol.

Diphenylcarbazone for spectrophotometry (0.02 %). Prepared by dissolving 20 mg of the reagent in 15 mL of ethanol and diluting to 100 mL with distilled water.

Formate buffer. It was prepared by dissolving 0.52 g sodium formate (LOBA Chemie Pvt LTD, Mumbai, India, assay 99.0 %) in 70 mL of distilled water. pH adjusted to 3.4 with 0.5 mol L\(^{-1}\) nitric acid and finally diluted to 100 mL with water.

Nitric acid (0.05 mol L\(^{-1}\)). Concentrated nitric acid (Merck, Mumbai, India; sp. gr. 1.41) was diluted appropriately with water to get 0.05 mol L\(^{-1}\).

Gum Arabic (2 %). Prepared by dissolving 2 g of acacia (LOBA Chemie Pvt LTD, Mumbai, India) in 100 mL of hot water and the solution was filtered when it is turbid.

Standard solution of bupropion hydrochloride. A stock standard solution equivalent to 2.0 mg mL\(^{-1}\) of BUP was prepared by dissolving accurately weighed 200 mg of pure drug in water and diluting to the mark in a 100 mL calibrated flask with water. This solution was used in titrimetric work. The stock solution equivalent to 2000 μg mL\(^{-1}\) of BUP was diluted appropriately with water to get a working concentration of 100 μg mL\(^{-1}\) BUP for use in spectrophotometric work.

Assay Procedures

Titrimetry. A 10 mL aliquot of the drug solution containing 2–20 mg BUP was transferred into a 100 mL conical flask and two drops of diphenylcarbazone–bromophenol blue mixed indicator were added. Nitric acid (0.05 mol L\(^{-1}\)) was added dropwise to obtain the yellow color. The contents were then titrated by adding mercury(II) nitrate (0.005 mol L\(^{-1}\)) slowly from a 10 mL burette with continuous stirring by a magnetic stirrer to a blue-violet end-point. The drug content in the aliquot was calculated from:

\[
\text{Amount(mg)} = \frac{V \times M_w \times R}{0.5}
\]

where V = volume of mercury(II) nitrate consumed in mL; M_w = molecular weight of the drug and R = molarity of mercury(II) nitrate solution.

Spectrophotometry. Different aliquots of a standard BUP solution (0.00–1.50 mL, 100 μg mL\(^{-1}\)) were accurately transferred into a series of 10 mL volumetric flasks and the total volume was adjusted to 1.5 mL by adding requisite volume of water. Then, 1 mL of the formate buffer and five drops of gum arabic stabilizer (2%) were added. To each flask was then added 2 mL of 50 μg mL\(^{-1}\) mercury(II) solution. The flasks were stoppered, the contents mixed well and allowed to stand for 10 min with occasional shaking. Finally, 2 mL of diphenylcarbazone reagent (0.02 %) was added to each flask, diluted to the mark with water, mixed well and the absorbance of each solution was measured at 515 nm.

Assay Procedure for Tablets. Ten tablets were weighed accurately and ground into a fine powder. An amount of the powder equivalent to 200 mg of BUP was accurately weighed into a 100 mL calibrated flask containing about 60 mL of water. The solution was shaken thoroughly for about 15 min, diluted to the mark with water, and filtered using a Whatman No. 42 filter paper. First 10 mL portion of the filtrate was rejected and a convenient aliquot of filtrate (containing 2.0 mg mL\(^{-1}\) BUP) was taken for assay by titrimetric procedure. Another convenient aliquot of filtrate (containing 2000 μg mL\(^{-1}\) BUP) was diluted with water to get 100 μg mL\(^{-1}\) of BUP for use in spectrophotometric method. Suitable aliquots were then subjected to analysis following the procedures described earlier.

Results and Discussion

The proposed methods, in essence, are based on the determination of the chloride content of the bupropion hydrochloride.

Method development

Titrimetric method. In this procedure, the drug was determined by measuring its chloride content by titrating with mercury(II) nitrate using diphenylcarbazone–bromophenol blue as indicator to a blue-violet end-point [25]. This has served as a basis for the assay of many pharmaceuticals [26-29]. This principle is used in the determination of the BUP which ionizes in aqueous solution to give the chloride ion which can be titrated with mercuric ion (e.g., with a solution of mercury(II) nitrate acidified with nitric acid) as follow:

\[
2CF + Hg^{2+} \rightarrow HgCl_2
\]

This reaction is essentially stoichiometric, since slightly dissociated mercuric chloride is produced. The end point may be detected with diphenylcarbazone, which forms a blue-violet complex with mercuric ion [24] as in Fig. 2.
Hg^{2+} + 2 O═C═N═N═C═O + 2 H^{+}  

mercuric ion       diphenylcarbazone
blue-violet complex of mercuric- diphenylcarbazone

Figure 2. Formation of mercury(II)-diphenylcarbazone complex

Although diphenylcarbazone is a suitable indicator for the titration of chloride with Hg^{2+} [30] diphenylcarbazone- bromophenol blue mixed indicator was found to give better results as reported by Clarke [31]. Best results were obtained at pH 3.2–3.4 which was adjusted by adding a requisite amount of 0.05 mol L\(^{-1}\) nitric acid. Bromophenol blue indicator covers the same pH range (3.0 to 3.6) and makes the first detectable change from its alkaline blue to acid yellow at approximately pH 3.6. It therefore can serve the dual purpose of masking premature color and adjusting pH [31]. The reaction stoichiometry was calculated to be 2:1 (BUP: Hg^{2+}) in the 2-20 mg range investigated and the quantitative calculations were based on this ratio.

Spectrophotometric method. The spectrophotometric method is based on the reaction of the chloride ion of the drug by a known excess of mercury(II) to form soluble mercuric chloride, and the subsequent determination of the unreacted mercury(II) by interacting with diphenylcarbazone under acidic pH conditions (Fig. 3), and measuring the absorbance at 515 nm (Fig. 4).

BUP + Known excess of Hg^{2+} → HgCl\(_2\) + Unreacted Hg\(^{2+}\)

Unreacted Hg\(^{2+}\) + Diphenylcarbazone + H^{+} → Blue violet complex of Hg(II)-Diphenylcarbazone (Absorbance measured at 515 nm)

Figure 3. Reaction scheme for spectrophotometric method

In this method, the absorbance decreased linearly with increasing concentration of drug. BUP when added in increasing concentrations to a fixed concentration of Hg(II) consumes the latter and there will be a concomitant decrease in the concentration of Hg(II). When a fixed concentration of diphenylcarbazone is added to decreasing concentration of Hg(II), a concomitant fall in the concentration of mercury(II)-diphenylcarbazone complex is obtained. This is observed as a proportional decrease in the absorbance of the reaction mixture on increasing the concentration of BUP.

The first step in the spectrophotometric method was to evaluate the upper limit of mercury(II) which can be determined using diphenylcarbazone and this was found by treating different amounts of mercury(II) with diphenylcarbazone under the conditions described under the “ assay procedures”. The study showed that Beer’s law is obeyed up to 10 µg mL\(^{-1}\) of mercury(II) at room temperature (30°C). Hence, different amounts of the drug were treated with 2 mL of 50 µg mL\(^{-1}\) mercury(II) solution and the unreacted mercury(II) was determined following the procedure described earlier.

Since the various parameters involved in the determination of chloride using the Hg(II)-diphenylcarbazone system are well established [25], the procedure for the determination of BUP was optimized. Since the useful pH range for chloride determination is very narrow (the optimum lies between 3.3 and 3.5), the formate-formic acid buffer of pH 3.4, which neither complexes mercury nor contains chloride ions, was used, and one mL of buffer was found optimum for the reaction between the drug and Hg(II) in a reasonable time of 10 min. A very small amount of gum arabic was necessary to stabilize the mercury–diphenylcarbazone complex. The color development was instantaneous and the developed color found to be stable for one hour even in the presence of reaction product, and after that very small particles were found to be formed.

Figure 4. Absorption spectra for mercury(II)-diphenylcarbazone complex in the presence of reaction product (10 µg mL\(^{-1}\)BUP)
Method Validation

Analytical parameters. A linear relation is found between absorbance and concentration of BUP in 1-15 μg mL⁻¹ range, and Beer’s law is obeyed in the reverse manner; the equation of the line being

\[ Y = 0.6588 \times X + 0.0331 \]

where Y is the absorbance and X is concentration in μg mL⁻¹. The correlation coefficient (r) of the calibration plot is calculated to be (0.9996) confirming a linear decrease in absorbance with increasing the concentration. The molar absorptivity is calculated to be \(8.6 \times 10^3\) L mol⁻¹ cm⁻¹, and Sandell sensitivity being 0.0321 μg cm². The limits of detection (LOD) and quantification (LOQ) are calculated to be 0.33 and 1.00 μg mL⁻¹, respectively.

Accuracy and precision. The accuracy of an analytical method expresses the closeness between the reference value and the found value [32]. Accuracy was evaluated as percentage relative error between the measured concentrations and taken concentrations for BUP (Bias %). The results obtained are compiled in Table 1 and showed that the accuracy is good for both methods. The precision of the methods was calculated in terms of intermediate precision (intra-day and inter-day) [33]. Three different concentration of BUP (within the working limits) were analysed in seven replicates during the same day (intra-day precision) and five consecutive days (inter-day precision). The RSD (%) values of intra-day and inter-day studies showed that the precision was good for both methods (Table 1).

Table 1. Evaluation of intra-day and inter-day precision and accuracy

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Method*</th>
<th>X</th>
<th>intra-day (n=7)</th>
<th>inter-day (n=5)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>BUP</td>
<td>Precisionb</td>
<td>Accuracy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>found</td>
<td>(μg mL⁻¹)</td>
<td>(μg mL⁻¹)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.00</td>
<td>4.95</td>
<td>1.54</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10.0</td>
<td>9.91</td>
<td>0.63</td>
<td>0.90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15.0</td>
<td>14.89</td>
<td>0.66</td>
<td>0.73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>3.94</td>
<td>2.74</td>
<td>1.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8.0</td>
<td>7.75</td>
<td>2.25</td>
<td>0.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12.0</td>
<td>11.91</td>
<td>1.24</td>
<td>0.75</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* BUP taken/found in titrimetric method is in mg and the same in spectrophotometric method is in μg mL⁻¹.

Mean ± standard error, b. Relative standard deviation (%), c. Relative Error (%).

Selectivity. To determine the selectivity of the methods, the analytical placebo was prepared and subjected to analysis by the proposed methods. It was confirmed that the change in the titrant value and absorbance with respect to the water blank was caused only by the analyte. To identify the interference by common tablet excipients, a synthetic mixture with the composition: BUP (200 mg), talc (80 mg), starch (160 mg), calcium gluconate (80 mg), lactose (80 mg), sodium alginate (40 mg) and magnesium stearate (40 mg), was prepared and subjected to analysis by the proposed methods after solution preparation using the procedure described for tablets. The percent recoveries of BUP were 101.20 ± 0.83 (n =5) and 103.50 ± 1.49 (n =5) by titrimetry and spectrophotometry, respectively, suggesting no interference by the excipients in the assay of BUP under the described optimum conditions.

Application to formulations. The proposed methods were successfully applied to the determination of BUP in two representative tablets bupron-SR-150 and ession-ER-150. The results obtained are shown in Table 2 and were compared with those obtained by the official method [20] by means of student’s t- and F-tests at 95% confidence level. The official method involves the visual titration of the drug with acetic perchorlic acid in non aqueous medium using crystal violet indicator. In all cases, the average results obtained by the proposed methods and official method were statistically identical, as the difference between the average values had no significance at 95% confidence level with respect to accuracy and precision.

Table 2. Comparison of assay results by proposed and official methods

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Tablet</th>
<th>Nominal amount, mg</th>
<th>Found (% of nominal amount ± SD)*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Titrimetric method</td>
<td>Spectrophotometric method</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Proposed methods</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Bupron SR 150 98.33±1.26 97.94±1.43
SR 150 100.3±0.64 99.68±1.48
Ession ER 150 99.75±1.08 98.13±1.65
ER 150 101.4±1.35 100.50±2.51

* Mean value of five determinations,
Conclusions

Two useful methods for the determination of BUP have been developed and validated. The assay results demonstrate that it is possible to use mercury(II) nitrate, which is stable enough and need no special precautions during storage or use, as a reagent for determination of BUP in authentic samples. The only titrimetric method currently available [20] uses non aqueous medium. The proposed titrimetric method is simple, rapid, free from critical experimental variables, uses aqueous medium and applicable over a wide range (2-20 mg). The spectrophotometric method is the first visible spectrophotometric method for determination of BUP and it is free from the usual analytical complications like heating and extraction. Moreover, the methods are accurate, reproducible, adequately sensitive and free from interference by common additives and excipients. The wide applicability of the new procedures for routine quality control is well established by the assay of BUP in pure form and in pharmaceutical preparations.
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