Coat Protein and RNAs of Cole latent virus Are Not Present Within Chloroplasts of Chenopodium quinoa-Infected Cells*
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ABSTRACT

Cole latent virus (CoLV), genus Carlavirus, was studied by electron microscopy and biochemical approaches with respect both to the ultrastructure of the Chenopodium quinoa infected cells and to its association with chloroplasts. The CoLV was observed to be present as scattered particles interspersed with membranous vesicles and ribosomes or as dense masses of virus particles. These virus particles reacted by immunolabelling with a polyclonal antibody to CoLV. Morphologically, chloroplasts, mitochondria and nuclei appeared to be unaltered by virus infection and virus particles were not detected in these organelles. However, virus particle aggregates were frequently associated with the outer membrane of chloroplasts and occasionally with peroxisomes. Chloroplasts were purified by Percoll gradient, and the coat protein and virus-associated RNAs were extracted and analyzed by Western and Northern blots respectively. Coat protein and CoLV-associated RNAs were not detected within this organelle. The results presented in this work indicate that the association CoLV/chloroplasts, observed in the ultrastructural studies, might be a casual event in the host cell, and that the virus does not replicate inside the organelle.
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INTRODUCTION

Cole latent virus (CoLV) was first detected in cole (Brassica oleracea L. var. acephala) in the State of São Paulo, Brazil (A. S. Costa, unpublished results). Based on its pathological and morphological characteristics (filamentous particles c. 650 nm in length), CoLV was considered to be a member of the genus Carlavirus (Kitajima et al., 1970; Brunt et al., 2000).

More recently, molecular evidence was obtained supporting the recognition of CoLV as a distinct species of the Carlavirus genus (Belintani et al., 2002). The CoLV genomic RNA of 8.3 Kb is polyadenylated. Two unencapsidated polyadenilated subgenomic RNAs (2.6 and 1.3 Kb) and three double-stranded RNAs (8.3, 2.6 and 1.3 Kbp) are produced in CoLV-infected plants. By using a carlavirus specific primer and a CoLV cDNA, a 3'-terminus fragment was amplified, cloned and sequenced. It had the highest homology with the carlavirus Potato virus M (62%). However, these two viruses differ in some biological properties, including host range, indicating that they are distinct viruses.

Thin sections of cole root and leaf infected by CoLV, showed the occurrence of particle aggregates and vesiculated areas in the cytoplasm in most of the cells, except within the tracheids and sieve tubes (Kitajima et al., 1970).
Electron microscopic examination of infected tissues has demonstrated that carlaviruses are confined to the cytoplasm of epidermis and mesophyll cells and less frequently found in phloem (Tu & Hiruki, 1970; Thongmeearkon et al., 1984; Weintraub, 1993), xylem (Rudzinska-Langwald, 1990) and occasionally within plasmodesmata (Weintraub, 1993). Tissues infected by carlaviruses undergo cytological modifications involving the presence of abundant individual virus particles and/or aggregates and membranous inclusions (Koenig 1982). No virus particles were found in chloroplasts, mitochondria and nuclei. However, virus particles were detected closely associated and often attached to the membrane of chloroplasts, mitochondria, tonoplasts and nuclei (Tu & Hiruki, 1970; De Bokx & Waterreus, 1971; Bos & Rubio-Huertos, 1972; Rubio-Huertos & Bos, 1973; Brunt et al., 1976; Kuschki et al., 1978; Hearon, 1982; Rudzinska-Langwald, 1990).

In the present study, we report the ultrastructure of the cells in CoLV-incited local lesions in *Chenopodium quinoa* Willd and a biochemical investigation into the association of CoLV and the chloroplasts of infected cells.

**MATERIAL AND METHODS**

### Plant and virus

The CoLV isolate utilized in this work was the same described by Belintani & Gaspar (2002). *C. quinoa* plants were mechanically inoculated with CoLV suspended in 0.02 M phosphate buffer pH 7.2 containing 0.02 M sodium sulphite and Celite at 0.05 g/ml. Plants were kept in a greenhouse at 28 ºC. Infected plants produced local lesions about eight days after inoculation.

### Fixation and embedding

Local lesions induced by CoLV and samples of uninfected *C. quinoa* leaves were processed as described by Espinha & Gaspar (1999). Ultrathin sections (60 nm) were cut on a Reichert Ultracut ultramicrotome using a diamond knife and mounted on Butvar-coated grids. Thin-sections were post stained with uranyl acetate and lead citrate and examined in a Zeiss EM 906 electron microscope at 80 kV. For immunolabelling, the protocol described by Gaspar et al. (1990) was followed. The anti-CoLV polyclonal antiserum (a donation of Dr. Avelino Rodrigues de Oliveira, UNICAMP) was cross-absorbed with proteins prepared from healthy *C. quinoa* (Fasseas et al., 1989).

### Chloroplast isolation

Chloroplasts from healthy leaves and from areas containing the local lesions induced by CoLV were purified following Más et al. (2000) with modifications. Tissues were gently homogenized at 4 ºC with two volumes of grinding buffer [Tris.HCl 0.05 M pH 7.2 containing DTT 0.001 M, EGTA 0.002 M, BSA 0.1% (w/v) and Sorbitol 0.35 M], filtrated sequentially through nylon filters (80 µm and 20 µm) and then centrifuged at 1000 g for 10 min at 4 ºC. The pellet was resuspended in grinding buffer and the chloroplasts purified in a gradient (40% and 85%) of Percoll centrifuged at 13000 g for 7 min at 4 ºC. The chloroplasts sedimented at the 40-85% interface were collected, diluted with four volumes of the grinding buffer and centrifuged at 1000 g for 10 min at 4 ºC. After three cycles of washing with grinding buffer, the final pellet containing the purified chloroplasts was resuspended and the quality of the chloroplasts was determined by phase contrast light microscopy. Proteins and RNAs were extracted and analyzed as described below.

### Protein and nucleic acid extraction

Proteins and RNAs were extracted from chloroplasts using the commercial product TRizol as recommended by the manufacturer (Gibco BRL). The proteins were resuspended in sodium dodecyl sulfate (SDS) 1% and the RNAs in DEPC-treated water and stored at -70 ºC.

### Protein analysis

Proteins were resolved by SDS-PAGE and then Western transferred to nitrocellulose membrane by electro blotting in a Mini Transfer Cell at 100 V for 2 h in Tris.HCl 0.02 M pH 8.3, 0.15 M glycine, and 20% (v/v) methanol at room temperature, following the manufacturer instructions (Bio Rad). Membranes were blocked (BSA 3%) and incubated with the primary antibodies (anti-CoLV and anti-Ribulose bifosfate carboxylase) diluted 1:1,000 in PBS-Tween-20 buffer. The antigen-antibody complexes were detected with alkaline phosphatase-conjugated goat anti-rabbit IgG (Sigma), using bromochloroindolyl phosphate (BCIP) and nitroblue tetrazolium (NBT) as a substrate.

### cDNA probe synthesis and RNA analysis

The CoLV was purified following the protocol described by Belintani et al. (2002). A cDNA probe was prepared by incorporation of digoxigenin (DIG-dUTP; Boehringer Mannheim) using 500 ng of RNA isolated from purified CoLV as a template. 10 pmol oligo d(T) as a primer and 40 unid. of reverse transcriptase (Superscript II RNase H; Gibco BRL). The RNAs were electrophoresed in formamide/formaldehyde agarose gel, visualized by ethidium bromide staining and Northern transferred under vacuum to nylon membrane. The nucleic acids were fixed under UV radiation at 150 mJoule and hybridized with the CoLV probe at 50 ºC for 20 h. The membranes were washed in SSC 2x containing SDS 0.1% (2 x 5 min; room temperature) and SSC 1x with SDS 1% (2 x 15 min; 68 ºC) and used for chemiluminescent detection with the DIG luminescent detection kit following the manufacturer instructions (Amersham Pharmacia).

**RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

The cytopathology caused by CoLV in local lesions induced in *C. quinoa* was shown to be very similar to that induced by the same virus in systemically infected cole plant.
(Kitajima et al., 1970) and by other members of the Carlavirus genus (Tu & Hiruki, 1970; Brunt et al., 1976; Hearon, 1982; Koenig, 1982, Thongmeearkon et al., 1984; Weintraub, 1993; Rudzinska-Langwald, 1990). The CoLV can be seen in cytoplasm as scattered particles interspersed with membranous vesicles and ribosomes (Figure 1A) a common feature found in cells infected by many plant viruses and considered to be the site of virus multiplication (Martelli & Russo, 1977). The CoLV can also be seen as dense accumulations where the particles were present as more or less parallel arrays which, in cross section, sometimes reveal a para-crystalline arrangement (Figure 1B) where each particle, 12-14 nm in diameter, is surrounded by six equi-distant virions (Figure 1B, inset). An axial canal (internal dark ring), about 5 nm in diameter, can also be seen in each virus particle. The virus particles reacted by immuno-labelling with a polyclonal antibody to CoLV (Figure 2).

Morphologically, chloroplasts, mitochondria and nuclei appeared to be unaltered by CoLV infection and virus particles or aggregates were not found inside these organelles. However, virus particle aggregates were frequently associated with the outer membrane of the chloroplasts (Figure 3A) and occasionally with peroxisomes (Figure 3B). It has been shown

FIG. 1 - Electron micrographs showing (A) scattered particles (arrows) interspersed with membranous vesicles (*) and ribosomes (r) in the cytoplasm (c) and (B) Cole latent virus inclusion (v) showing, on the left, a paracrystalline arrangement. The inset presents details of each particle surrounded by six equi-distant virions. Axial canals (internal dark rings) are shown (arrows). (m) mitochondria; (vc) vacuole; (ch) chloroplast. Figure 1A, B, Bar = 200 nm; Inset, Bar = 50 nm.

FIG. 2 - Leaf section probed with anti-CoLV antiserum and labeled with colloidal gold. Essentially only virus particles are labeled. (c) cytoplasm; (vc) vacuole. Bar = 200 nm.

FIG. 3 - Electron micrographs showing Cole latent virus particle aggregates (v) associated with (A) the outer membrane of the chloroplast (ch) and (B) with peroxisome (px). (c) cytoplasm; (n) nucleus; (cw) cell wall of Chenopodium quinoa. Figure 3A, B, Bar = 200 nm.
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that species of the *Carlavirus* genus have close external association with cellular organelles, principally with chloroplasts (Kitajima *et al.*, 1970; Tu & Hiruki, 1971; Hiruki & Shukla, 1973; Hiruki & Tu, 1972), but the significance of these interactions, if any, has yet to be determined.

In order to better investigate the association of CoLV with the chloroplasts of infected cells, these organelles were isolated on Percoll gradients and the proteins and RNAs extracted and analyzed. When proteins were separated by SDS-PAGE (Figure 4A), Western transferred to nitrocellulose membranes and incubated with the CoLV-specific antiserum (Figure 4B), no reaction was observed with the chloroplast fractions obtained from healthy and CoLV-infected leaves. However, a clear reaction was seen with the CoLV-coat protein used as a positive control. The same chloroplast samples reacted positively (Figure 4C) with an antiserum to Ribulose bisphosphate carboxylase (Rubisco) used as a marker for the integrity of the isolated chloroplasts. Gel electrophoresis and Northern hybridization with the CoLV-specific cDNA probe produced no hybridization signal with the nucleic acids extracted from chloroplasts of healthy and CoLV-infected leaves, but, rather, a strong signal with the CoLV-genomic RNA used as a control (Figure 5). Similar results were also obtained with chloroplasts isolated from cole systemically infected by CoLV (data not shown).

Foster & Mills (1991) have proposed that the presence of canonical prokaryotic Shine Dalgarno sequences (which could be involved in chloroplast ribosome binding) within carlaviruses genome and the association of virus particles with chloroplasts may indicate that this organelle has a role in the infection process of carlaviruses. The Shine Dalgarno sequences are located upstream from the proposed initiation codons (ATG) of the carlavirus 25 kDa and coat protein genes. As the coat protein molecules are normally synthesized in large quantities in the infected cells, one should expect a large production of coat protein inside the chloroplasts, enough to be detected by the Western blot technique. However, the results described in the present work enable us to conclude that the coat protein and RNAs associated with CoLV are not present inside the chloroplasts of infected cells, indicating that the external association of CoLV with chloroplasts, observed in ultrastructural studies, might be a casual event in the host cell and that the virus does not replicate within these organelles. Thus, the role of the Shine Dalgarno sequences in the carlaviruses genome, if any, is yet to be determined.

It has been demonstrated that chloroplasts have been associated with the replication of some viruses (Schoeltz & Zaitlin, 1989; de Graaf *et al.*, 1993), but it seems more probable that the multiplication site of CoLV is the cytoplasm and in association with membranous vesicles (Figure 1), which seems to be a general characteristic of those viruses with single-stranded RNA and positive polarity (de Zoeten *et al.*, 1974; Reinke & de Zoeten, 1991; Más *et al.*, 2000).
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