Effect of Global Postural Reeducation on cardiovascular system of healthy subjects
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Abstract

Objective: To evaluate the effect of Global Postural Reeducation (GPR) on cardiovascular system by heart rate variability (HRV), blood pressure (BP) and heart rate (HR). Materials and methods: Seventeen healthy men (22.47 ± 3.02 years) were submitted to the postures frog on the floor, frog on the air, sitting, standing against the wall and inclined standing, two postures per session. The systolic and diastolic blood pressure (SBP and DBP) and HR were recorded. The intervals between heartbeats were collected during the whole session (Polar S810i). The frequency domain was analyzed (Wavelet Transform), the low frequency (LF) and high frequency (HF) were obtained. The data were analyzed by ANOVA and Tukey (p < 0.05). Results: Increased LF/HF ratio was observed in the frog on the floor (1 ± 0.1 vs. 2 ± 0.3 p < 0.05) and on the air postures (1 ± 0.1 vs. 2 ± 0.2 p < 0.01). There was an increase in SBP in the postures frog on the floor (123 ± 2 vs. 136 ± 4 p < 0.05), frog on the air (122 ± 2 vs. 133 ± 3 p < 0.05), standing against the wall (123 ± 2 vs. 136 ± 4 p < 0.05), inclined standing (124 ± 3 vs. 146 ± 5 p < 0.05). There was increase of DBP in the postures frog on the floor (69 ± 2 vs. 81 ± 2 p < 0.01), frog on the air (72 ± 2 vs. 83 ± 3 p < 0.05), sitting (85 ± 2 vs. 102 ± 3 p < 0.01). There was increase in HR in the postures frog on the air (67 ± 2 vs. 77 ± 3 p < 0.05) and inclined
standing ($88 \pm 3.5$ vs. $101 \pm 3$, $p < 0.05$). **Conclusion:** The increase in LF/HF ratio and also the BP and HR indicates high sympathetic activity, possibly related to the work isometric developed during GPR method.
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**Introduction**

Different studies have shown that external stimulus can affect Heart Rate (HR), an important physiological feature in subject’s homeostasis. This occurs not only in simple activity such as postural changes but mainly during intense physical effort such as physical exercise (1).

Temporal and spectral analyses of heart rate variability (HRV) are excellent markers for autonomic cardiac function. These analyses can be done with minimal expense, with a commercial HR monitor easily used in the field and sporting events (2).

The cardiovascular autonomic control is the main method for physiological adjustments because it responds to different situation such as stress, physical exercise and postural changes in normal and pathological conditions (3). At present, HRV is use to predict the mortality risk in different clinical conditions for example in diabetic neuropathology, myocardial infarction and surgery (4).

In addition, HRV has been used to estimate sympathetic and parasympathetic heart tone during manual therapeutic procedures that include manipulation of the thoracic (5) and cervical vertebrae, where an increase in low frequency (LF) and high frequency (HF) ratio was observed (6). Cervical articular mobilization predominantly caused parasympathetic activity before and during therapeutic procedures, with a raise in the sympathetic activity after the procedure (7). During osteopathic manipulation, a vagal response overcame sympathetic tone (8). After myofascial trigger-point massage on the shoulder, neck and head, parasympathetic activity increased (9).

In addition to HRV, other parameters such as blood pressure (BP) and HR are used to evaluate cardiovascular responsiveness to different stimulation. Mota et al. (10), who used BP, HR and other parameters to evaluate cardiovascular response to sitting posture of the Global Posture Re-Education (GPR) method, found an increase in both parameters during the posture.

In the clinical practice, GPR has been widely used as a physical therapeutic treatment for postural change, mainly in spine disorders and has shown good results. Nevertheless, a review of literature
found only limited information about the influence of GPR on the cardiovascular system and a patient’s risk involved with this therapy due to the physical strain required during postures.

Studies have analyzed the effect of GPR on posture and flexibility of young adults (11); scoliosis (12); thoracic hyperkyphosis (13); plantar distribution and center of force (14). In the only study that correlated GPR and cardiovascular system, Mota et al. (10) previously mentioned.

The aim of the present study was to evaluate the effect of Global Postural Reeducation (GPR) on cardiovascular system by heart rate variability (HRV), blood pressure (BP) and heart rate (HR).

Methods

This study is an experimental, uncontrolled prospective study. The study was approved by the Research Ethics Committee of the University of the Paraiba Valley (UNIVAP) under H126/CEP/2008 according to the Helsinki declaration and Brazilian National Council for Ethical Research (CONEP 196/96).

Casuistic

Twenty subjects were recruited, and three of them were excluded for missing one or more treatment sessions. The sample was constituted of 17 health young adult men of 22.47 ± 3.02 years, 1.77 ± 0.08 m tall, body mass of 71.38 ± 12.58 kg, body mass index of 22.69 ± 3.37 kg/m², systolic blood pressure of 123.71 ± 9.29 mmHg and diastolic blood pressure of 72.12 ± 6.84 mmHg. Volunteer’s physical activity level was determined by the International Physical Activity Questionnaire (IPAQ) version 6 and they were all classified as active.

All the subjects signed a free consent form and they were between 18 and 28 years old. Volunteers with any previously diagnosed cardiovascular pathology or with risk factors such as hypertension, diabetes, or obesity were excluded. In addition, volunteers who used medicines with cardio stimulant or cardio depressor effect and those that missed any GPR session were not considered for the study. Females were excluded due to the influence of menstrual cycle on autonomic tonus regulation (15).

Subjects were oriented not to ingest any kind of alcoholic or caffeine beverage, avoid physical exercise 12 hours before GPR session, sleep as well as possible and not ingest food 2 hours before sessions. These warnings follow the recommendation of França et al. (7), Melo et al. (16), Lucini et al. (17).

GPR sessions

All subjects were submitted to ten sessions of GPR, twice a week and each posture was maintained for 15 min with 5 minutes (min) of resting between each posture. Two postures were applied for each session, one for the anterior and other for the posterior musculature chain, as described by Abreu et al. (11).

When a subject was performed a posture, verbal and physical commands were used to keep the subject in correct postural alignment, correcting the posture when necessary to avoid postural compensation and to maximize stretching (18). The subject performed constant isometric contractions of the muscles under tension each inhale followed by prolonged exhale with the maximum lowering of ribs and abdominals for muscle contraction to stretch the respiratory muscles. During this time, the therapist helped the subject maintain the axial growth.

Collecting RR-intervals of Heart beats and Blood pressure

The RR-intervals of the electrocardiograms were obtained with a HR monitor (Polar®, S810i) validated by Porto and Junqueira Jr. (2). The sensor was positioned just below pectoral muscle. The RR-intervals were collected for the entire 45 min session including 5 min before the first posture, during 15 min of first posture, for 5 min after performing the posture, during 5 min before the second posture, during the 15 min of the second posture and finally 5 min after the second posture.

Blood pressure and HR were collected in all postures four times with 5 min intervals between each collect. The data were obtained with an automatic monitor (Techline®, KD-575).

All procedures were performed in a quiet, controlled humidity (50 to 60%) and temperature (24 to 28 °C) room.
the wall between 0 and 15 min (p < 0.05); standing posture tilted between 0 and 15 min (p < 0.05) and between 0 and 10 min (p < 0.05). A significant increase in DBP in frog postures on the floor between 0 and 15 min (p < 0.01); hip joint angle between 0 and 15 min (p < 0.05); seated between 0 and 5 min (p < 0.05) between 0 and 10 min (p < 0.01) and between 0 and 15 min (p < 0.01).

For HR values, a gradual increase was observed during posture execution (Table 2). The only significant differences in HR was in frog in the air posture for the time between 0 and 15 min (p < 0.05) and in standing against the wall for time between 0 and 15 min (p < 0.05).

Discussion

In the present study, the LF/HF ratio increased in frog and in the air posture, which points to greater sympathetic activity than parasympathetic activity, as affirmed by Zaza and Lombard (20). The increased activity in bulb encephalic may be a consequence of isometric contraction in involved muscle chains, which probably kept the BP and HR higher during the posture. It was verified that systolic and diastolic blood pressure increased considerably during all the posture. During the observed cardiovascular alterations, the adjustment in HR and cardiac output probably interact with fluctuations of vasomotor tone to maintain the blood pressure in a physiologic range.

According to Soares and Nóbrega (21), Fardy et al. (22), Berne and Levy (23), McArdle, Katch and Katch (24) and the arterial baroreflex is the major autonomic mechanism directly involved in this reflex response. BP increased the arteries which activate baroreceptors causing a decrease in HR. In addition, the vasodilatation in peripheral vascular tree prevents an abnormally high level for BP during exercise. Baroreceptor afferent fibers, which are located in the glossopharyngeal (carotid) and the vagus nerve (aorta) and the mechanoceptors, which are located in the left ventricle, right atrium and large veins, are both responsible for a sympathetic inhibition from the cardiovascular center and respond to changes in BP. These afferent fibers enter the brainstem and pass through a bundle, connect with interneurons in the reticular formation. These interneurons project to the autonomic preganglionic neurons, adjusting the autonomic nervous system, which leads to the control of HR and BP.

Data analysis

The data were verified using extreme values and the D’Agostino test for normal distribution for all parameters. ANOVA with Tukey post-hoc test was applied to compare analyzed parameters in different moments of the postures with the significant level of p < 0.05. All tests were performed in Bioestat 4.0 software.

Data acquired by the HR monitor (Polar, S810i) were transferred to a computer and processed with Polar Precision Performance Software and exported in txt file format. Using Excel software, the artifacts were manually removed. Then the data were loaded into Matlab® 6.1 and analyzed with *analisevfc* software (19) to calculate the frequency domain parameters in the time intervals from 0 to 300 sec (rest period), 300 to 1200 (first posture period) and 1200 to 1500 sec (recovery period). The same procedure was utilized for the second posture.

*Analisevfc* software based on wavelet transform to calculate the spectral power of RR-intervals was utilized. For each time interval described above, the following parameters were calculated: (a) the mean of low frequency spectral power (LF, 0.04 to 0.15 Hz), which refer to sympathetic action; (b) the mean of high frequency spectral power (HF, 0.15 to 0.4 Hz) for parasympathetic action; and (c) LF/HF ratio, which shows sympathetic vagal balance. Difference among rest, posture and recovery periods were analyzed.

Blood pressure (systolic and diastolic) and HR data at 0, 5, 10 and 15 min of posture performance were expressed as mean ± standard error.

Results

The ANOVA test showed an increase in LF/HF ratio during the frog posture compared to the rest from 1 ± 0.3 to 2 ± 0.3 (p < 0.01) and during the air posture compared to the rest from 1 ± 0.1 to 2 ± 0.2 (p < 0.01) and between the rest period and the recovery from 1 ± 0.1 to 2 ± 0.2 (p < 0.05). For the other parameters no significant differences were observed. The HRV values are presented in Figures 1, 2 and 3 by means of box plots of the mean and standard error.

A slow increase in BP was observed over time in all of the postures (Table 1). There was a significant increase in SBP in frog posture on the floor for comparisons of means between 0 and 15 min (p < 0.05) and between 5 and 15 min (p < 0.05); hip joint angle between 0 and 15 min (p < 0.05); standing against the wall between 0 and 15 min (p < 0.05), 5 and 15 min (p < 0.05); standing posture tilted between 0 and 15 min (p < 0.05) and between 0 and 10 min (p < 0.05). A significant increase in DBP in frog postures on the floor between 0 and 15 min (p < 0.01); hip joint angle between 0 and 15 min (p < 0.05); seated between 0 and 5 min (p < 0.05) between 0 and 10 min (p < 0.01) and between 0 and 15 min (p < 0.01).
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Figure 1 - Boxplot of the mean plus one standard error of the LF values (sympathetic area) during the rest (Rest), the postures: A) frog on the floor (FFP), B) frog in the air (FAP), C) sitting (SP), D) standing against the wall (SAWP), and E) standing with anterior tilt (SATP) and during the recovery (Rec)
Source: Research data.

Figure 2 - Boxplot of the mean and standard error of the HF values (parasympathetic area) during the rest, during the postures: A) frog on the floor (FFP), B) frog on the air (FAP), C) sitting (SP), D) standing against the wall (SAWP), and E) standing with anterior tilt (SATP) and the recovery
Source: Research data.
Figure 3 - Boxplot of the mean and standard error values of LH/HF ratio during the rest (Rest), during the postures: A) frog on the floor (FFP), B) frog on the air (FAP), C) sitting (SP), D) standing against the wall (SAWP), and E) standing with anterior tilt (SATP), and during the recovery

Note: a vs Rest (p < 0.01); b vs Rest (p < 0.05); ANOVA and Tukey p < 0.05.

Source: Research data.

Table 1 - Mean and standard error of systolic and diastolic blood pressure at 0, 5, 10 and 15 min during the postures frog on the floor (FFP), frog in the air (FAP), sitting (SP), standing against the wall (SWP) and standing with anterior tilt (STP)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Posture</th>
<th>Systolic blood pressure (mmHg)</th>
<th>Diastolic blood pressure (mmHg)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FFP</td>
<td>123 ± 2.2</td>
<td>122 ± 3.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FAP</td>
<td>122 ± 1.9</td>
<td>124 ± 2.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SP</td>
<td>132 ± 3.1</td>
<td>144 ± 4.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SWP</td>
<td>123 ± 2.3</td>
<td>122 ± 2.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>STP</td>
<td>124 ± 2.9</td>
<td>140 ± 4.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: a vs 0 and 5 min (p < 0.05); b vs 0 min (p < 0.05); c vs 0 and 10 min (p < 0.05); d vs 0 min (p < 0.01); e vs 5 min (p < 0.01); ANOVA and Tukey p < 0.05.

Source: Research data.
Table 2 - Mean and standard error of the heart rate at: 0, 5, 10, 15 min during the postures frog on the floor (FFP), frog in the air (FAP), sitting (SP), standing against the wall (SWP) and standing with anterior tilt (STP)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Posture</th>
<th>Heart-rate (bpm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FFP</td>
<td>72 ± 2.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FAP</td>
<td>67 ± 2.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SP</td>
<td>80 ± 3.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SWP</td>
<td>92 ± 3.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>STP</td>
<td>88 ± 3.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: a vs 0 min (p < 0.05); b vs 0 min (p < 0.05); ANOVA and Tukey p < 0.05.
Source: Research data.

Mota et al. (10) studied the effect of sitting posture in healthy young adults on BP and HR and showed results similar to those described by the present study where an increase in sympathetic activity was observed. In Mota et al. the sitting posture was performed in three series with three min of duration instead of continuously for 15 min as in present study. The time of 15 min posture is according to the creator of method Souchard (18) and to Castro and Lopes (25).

According to Brum et al. (26) the muscle contraction generates increased energy demand in active muscle and to supply this demand, physiological adjustments in the cardiovascular system are necessary. Spadacini et al. (27) observed a combination of decreased in vagal activity and an enhanced sympathetic activity, even in low intensity exercises.

The results of this study are in agreement with Brum et al. (26), Williams (28) and Gandevia and Hobbs (29) who said that during isometric exercise an increase in HR and peripheral vascular resistance results in a marked increase of blood pressure. These effects are correlated to muscular contractions that promote mechanical obstruction of blood flux in the contracted muscle accumulating metabolites able to activate the chemoreceptor, which enhances sympathetic response in the cardiovascular system. These effects will be directly proportional to intensity, duration and muscular mass involved in the exercise, being greater the larger these factors.

Another important factor that must be emphasized is that many of the LF, HF and LF/HF ratio values did not return to the resting period values, probably because the recovery time was insufficient. This should be verified depth, as the recovery period must be increased so that the values are next to the initial rest.

Further studies should be conducted to better understand the effects of GPR on physiological systems. The results of this study showed an increased cardiovascular work in healthy individuals during the implementation of RPG. Therefore, it is important to develop further studies predicting cardiovascular risk in patients with cardiovascular disease in clinical practice this method has been applied in many patients, such as those with hemiparetic stroke (30). Moreover, it would be interesting to determine whether the practice can improve the RPG or not HRV, and thereby contribute or not to improve the functioning of the cardiovascular system.

Conclusion

The increase in LF/HF ration and also in BP and HR indicates a predominance of sympathetic activity in relation to parasympathetic possibly associated with isometric activity developed by the muscle chains during the application of the GPR method.
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