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Abstract

Introduction: Changes in mobility, postural balance and muscle strength in the aging process may cause a fall in the elderly by changing or not perceived self-efficacy in preventing falls, the goal is to compare mobility, body balance and muscle performance according to self-efficacy for falls in community-dwelling elderly women. Materials and methods: A comparative study of cross-section, with 63 community-dwelling elderly. We evaluated sociodemographic data, cognition (Mini-Mental State Examination), efficacy for falls (International Efficacy Scale for Falls, Brazil), mobility (Timed Up and Go Test), body balance by Berg Balance Scale, Balance Master System: Modified Clinical Test of Sensory Interaction on Balance (mCTSIB), Tandem walk (TW) Sit to Stand (STS) and muscular performance by isokinetic dynamometry.
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Using the cutoff point at or above 23 points, two groups, G1 with low perceived efficacy in preventing falls (n = 36) and G2 with high perceived efficacy in preventing falls (n = 27) were allocated. Student’s t test was performed for comparison between groups, with p value of 0.05. **Results:** Comparing the elderly women regarding the efficacy of falls, significant differences were observed in the variables Timed Up and Go Test (p = 0.04), speed of oscillation test mCTSIB (p = 0.01) and the isokinetic dynamometry knee extension movement, peak torque (p = 0.04) and power (p = 0.03). **Conclusion:** Comparing community-dwelling elderly women with low and high efficacy for falls, significant differences were in variables related to mobility, body balance and muscle function.
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**Introduction**

Falls in the elderly represent today an important theme within the rehabilitation process, since it can have consequences such as physical injuries, functional losses and psychological implications, such as the fear of falling (1, 2). Some researchers have referred to the fear of falling as a feeling of uneasiness with loss in confidence or balance disorders, depression, anxiety and reduction of social contacts (3). Another frequently used term, self-efficacy is defined as the abilities perceived by the individual or self-confidence in dealing with a particular situation (4).

According to Bandura (5), self-efficacy component is a self-regulatory mechanism by which people exercise control over motivation, thinking styles and emotional life. It is an individual’s coping strategy for containing threats he considers fearful. In this sense, perceived self-efficacy in preventing falls is the perception that the subject has to avoid falls, in the face of a non-dangerous everyday practices. In contrast, low self-efficacy or loss of confidence in avoiding falls is defined as the fear of falling, or inefficacy in dealing with falls in the elderly that makes an individual fearful (5).

The said term seems to be related to physical function and performance in everyday activities, suggesting that the sense of present and urgent danger resonates effects in the body that make it suitable for defensive reaction to become active (3, 4).

It has been observed that elderly with low-efficacy for falls have slow gait, greater number of
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Co-morbidities and depressive symptoms. These aspects are linked to reduced mobility, balance abnormalities with or without falls and muscle weakness, generating a negative impact on the physical and mental condition of this population (6, 7, 8).

Lopes et al. (9) found a significant moderate correlation between mobility, dynamic balance and risk of falls, emphasizing that the restriction of movement results in loss of physical conditioning, muscle atrophy, balance deficit and dependence on Activities of Daily Living (ADLs). From the above link between the variables related to the physical aspects, it is necessary to emphasize the importance of self-efficacy perceived preventing falls in the psychological context, as the belief in the ability to perform a function allows for the accomplishment of the same.

Considering that, the level of confidence that the individual has in their abilities is a strong motivator and regulator of their behavior. For example, when I believe that I can climb a ladder I realize the task, this conception can interfere in aspects such as mobility, balance and muscle strength, as the balance between the physical and mental components preserves health in biopsychosocial context.

In view of the above, despite reduced muscle strength, balance disorders and mobility being described as potential risk factors for falls in the elderly, the possible relationship of these variables with self-efficacy for falls in the elderly have not been clearly established until now. Thus, the aim of this study is to compare mobility, body balance and muscle performance according to self-efficacy for falls in elderly resident in community.

Materials and methods

This is a comparative cross-sectional study, with population of women aged from 65 to 80 years residing in the community and who were attending two groups of elderly in the city of Natal, with data collection period between March and September 2012.

The sample included 63 elderly women and was selected by voluntary demand among those who met the following inclusion criteria: being female, living in the community independently and age equal to or over 65 years. All signed the Informed Consent Form, considering the ethical aspects based on the Declaration of Helsinki and the National Health Council Resolution 196/96. The project was approved by the Research Ethics Committee (Comitê de Ética em Pesquisa – CEP) at Onofre Lopes Teaching Hospital (Hospital Universitário Onofre Lopes – HUOL), under the protocol number 637/11.

Exclusion criteria considered were: presented neurological alterations and/or musculoskeletal such as brain strokes sequelae, Parkinson’s disease, amputations in the upper and/or lower limbs, fractures of the lower limbs or spine that prevented the completion of the evaluation and cognitive status incompatible with schooling assessed by the Mini-Mental State Examination (MMSE) (10).

All participants were evaluated through a protocol containing identification and socio-demographic data (age, body weight, height, marital status, and years of schooling); regular practice physical activity; history of falls in the last six months; along with the Mini Mental State Examination (MMSE); International Falls Efficacy Scale – Among Elderly Brazilians (FES-I-BRAZIL) (4); Timed Up and Go Test (TUGT) (11); Berg Balance Scale (BBS) (12); Smart Balance Master™ tests (13) and the isokinetic test with Biodex System 3™ dynamometer (14).

MMSE is composed of 30 items, with subtests that assess spatiotemporal orientation, immediate memory, recall, attention and language. It adopted the cut-off points suggested by Brucki et al. (15).

The FES-I-BRASIL assigns values to 16 daily activities living (DAL) according to the degree of concern in the elderly fall to carry them out. As for the score that can be verified by applying the ratio, it varies from one to four per item, reaching a total score of 16 to 64 points, the adopted cut-off point is equal to or more than 23 points to characterize low efficacy perceived in avoiding fall. It should be noted that as the value of the total score increases the degree of concern about falling also rises (4). Individuals who were less effective in avoiding perceived fall were allocated to the G1 (n = 36), G2 group is composed of the elderly with high perceived efficacy in preventing fall (n = 27).

The TUGT analyzes the time spent by the individual as part of an initial position sitting with the back supported in a chair, without the aid of the upper limbs, walk a linear path of three meters up to a predetermined point marked on the floor, rotates 180°, returns and sits down again, supporting the back in the same chair. The patient is instructed to perform the test using their usual shoes, they do not talk during the implementation and do it at a normal
speed, in a safe manner. Higher values of time repre-
sent higher risks of falls (11).

The Berg Balance Scale (BBS) evaluates the per-
formance of functional balance, analyzing it quanti-
tatively based on 14 items common to everyday life,
and the tasks are performed in this order: seated po-

tition to a standing position; remain standing without

The maximum score that can be achieved is 56

points where each item has an ordinal scale of five

alternatives ranging from 0 to 4 points (14). For its

application, just a digital stopwatch, a tape measure,
a platform of 20 inches tall, a chair of 42 inches tall with
arm rests and back and another chair of 42 inches
tall with support for back and without support for
arms. Score equal or below 45 points is considered
suggestive of balance disorders, being a risk factor

of falls (12).

In relation to the Balance Master three tests
were selected: 1. modified Clinical Test of Sensory
Interaction on Balance (mCTSIB) that analyzes the
static postural balance quantifying the speed of oscil-
lation in the individual standing on the platform in
different conditions: eyes open on a firm surface; eyes
closed on a firm surface; eyes open unstable surface
(foam); closed eyes on an unstable surface (foam).

To analyze the balance on unstable surface,
we used a foam block integrated with the Balance
Master system which is 50 cm in length and breadth,
20 cm in height and a density of 0.5kg/m³. For the
closed-eye condition, a mask for blindfolding that
came with the device was used. 2-0 Tandem Walk
(TW), which quantifies the dynamic postural balance
by the characteristics of walking in tandem by the
participant (heel of one foot leaned on the toes of
the other foot), where the parameters measured are:
width of step, speed and oscillation of the trunk in
reference to the center of gravity.

The third and final test, the Sit to Stand (STS) also
evaluated dynamic postural balance quantifying the
participant’s ability to rise from a stable surface, po-
sitioned on the wooden platform of the system. This
task includes changing the centre of gravity forward
in the initial position and on the support base (feet),
followed by trunk extension in the upright position
with maintenances of the same (13).

The parameters measured in the Sit to Stand
(STS) were weight transfer time, force exerted when
climbing, speed oscillation during the rise phase and
symmetry of the left and right body hemisphere. For
implementation of the three tests, all subjects per-
formed each condition for 30 seconds (three attempts
of 10 seconds), where the device recorded the oscil-
lation of the center of gravity during each run.

Regarding isokinetic dynamometry, three series
of five concentric isokinetic contractions a rate of
60°/s were performed to evaluate the muscular
performance of the flexor group and member of the
right knee extensor, with two minutes rest between
sets. The said speed is adopted, because it is the most
suitable and safe for isokinetic in the elderly and due
to the fact that the smaller the angular velocity, the
greater the torque (16, 17). Through the concen-
tric contractions we obtained on record: agonist/

antagonist ratio, peak torque, peak torque to body

weight and average power during the movement of
the aforementioned joint.

The collected data were analyzed descriptively by
means of the statistical package SPSS version 17.0.
Kolmogorov-Smirnov test was used to check for nor-
mality, while Student’s t-test was used to compare
the means of mobility, balance and muscle strength
values between groups of low and high efficacy for
falls. At all stages of the statistical analysis, the level
of significance p < 0.05 and a confidence interval (CI)
of 95% were considered.

Results

Sixty three women were evaluated, with a mean
age of 70.6 (± 4.5) years and prevalence of low
self-efficacy for falls of 57.1%. Other sample char-
acteristics are presented in Table 1.

According to the International Falls Efficacy Scale
Among Elderly Brazilians (FES-I-BRASIL), the sample
was divided into two groups, where 36 volunteers
were assigned to the low efficacy for falls group and
another 27 volunteers in high efficiency for falls
group. On the analysis between the groups, there was
significant difference only in the variable of Timed Up
and Go Test (TUGT) (p = 0.04), as shown in Table 2.
Table 3 shows data on the Balance Master System™ tests. Difference was found only for the variable speed oscillation on firm surface with eyes opened (p = 0.01).

For the isokinetic dynamometry variables, there were no significant differences in knee extension movement with respect to the peak torque variable (p = 0.04) and power (p = 0.03), as shown in Table 4.

### Table 1 - Characteristics of the sample of 63 Community-dwelling elderly women of Natal, RN, 2012

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>Mean ± sd</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age (years)</td>
<td>70.6 ± 4.5</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Year of education</td>
<td>9.7 ± 4.9</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Body mass (kg)</td>
<td>65.1 ± 12.0</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Height (cm)</td>
<td>153.8 ± 6.1</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mini Mental State</td>
<td>27.1 ± 2.4</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Examination (MMSE) (points)</td>
<td>53.7 ± 2.0</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Timed Up and Go Test (seconds)</td>
<td>8.9 ± 1.6</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FES-I-BRASIL (points)</td>
<td>25.9 ± 7.9</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marital status</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Single</td>
<td>13 ± 20.6</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Married</td>
<td>15 ± 23.8</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Divorced</td>
<td>9 ± 14.3</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Widowed</td>
<td>26 ± 41.3</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>* Physical activity</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>22 ± 34.9</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>41 ± 65.1</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Self-efficacy</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low</td>
<td>36 ± 57.1</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>52 ± 82.5</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fall</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>11 ± 17.5</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: *Physical activity self-reported.

### Table 2 - Mean and standard deviation (± sd) of the independent variables according to self-efficacy of falls in the Community-dwelling elderly women of Natal, RN, 2012

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>FES-I-BRASIL 0–22 points</th>
<th>Above 23 points</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mean ± sd</td>
<td>Mean ± sd</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (years)</td>
<td>70.2 ± 4.5</td>
<td>70.9 ± 4.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Year of education</td>
<td>9.8 ± 5.7</td>
<td>9.7 ± 4.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Body mass (kg)</td>
<td>62.8 ± 10.1</td>
<td>66.9 ± 13.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(To be continued)
Table 2 - Mean and standard deviation (± sd) of the independent variables according to self-efficacy of falls in the Community-dwelling elderly women of Natal, RN, 2012

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>FES-I-BRASIL</th>
<th></th>
<th>FES-I-BRASIL</th>
<th></th>
<th>p</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0–22 points</td>
<td>± sd</td>
<td>Above 23 points</td>
<td>± sd</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Height (cm)</td>
<td>154.3</td>
<td>7.1</td>
<td>153.4</td>
<td>5.3</td>
<td>0.58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mini Mental State Examination (MMSE) (points)</td>
<td>27.7</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>26.7</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>0.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EEB (points)</td>
<td>54.0</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>53.5</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>0.34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Timed Up and Go Test (seconds)</td>
<td>8.5</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>9.3</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>0.04</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3 - Mean and standard deviation (± sd) of the variables in the balance master system on self-efficacy function in falls in the Community-dwelling elderly women of Natal, RN, 2012

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>FES-I-BRASIL</th>
<th></th>
<th>FES-I-BRASIL</th>
<th></th>
<th>p</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0–22 points</td>
<td>± sd</td>
<td>Above 23 points</td>
<td>± sd</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Firm surface oscillation speed with eyes open (mCTSIB) (°/s)</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Firm surface oscillation speed with closed eyes (mCTSIB) (°/s)</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>0.53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unstable surface oscillation speed with eyes open (mCTSIB) (°/s)</td>
<td>0.87</td>
<td>0.51</td>
<td>0.94</td>
<td>0.49</td>
<td>0.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unstable surface oscillation speed with eyes closed (mCTSIB) (°/s)</td>
<td>1.49</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>1.48</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Speed (TW)(cm/s)</td>
<td>18.4</td>
<td>7.6</td>
<td>17.9</td>
<td>8.3</td>
<td>0.79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Step width (TW)(cm)</td>
<td>8.6</td>
<td>2.9</td>
<td>9.5</td>
<td>3.8</td>
<td>0.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Final oscillation (TW)(°/s)</td>
<td>6.1</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>6.5</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>0.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weight transfer (STS) (s)</td>
<td>0.40</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>0.47</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>0.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Speed of oscillation COG (STS) (°/s)</td>
<td>3.4</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>0.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weight symmetry (STS) (%)</td>
<td>-6.2</td>
<td>11.2</td>
<td>-3.4</td>
<td>9.0</td>
<td>0.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rise index (STS) (% body weight)</td>
<td>11.6</td>
<td>3.8</td>
<td>11.5</td>
<td>5.3</td>
<td>0.98</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 4 - Mean and standard deviation (± sd) of the variables of the isokinetic dynamometry according to the self-efficacy of falls Community-dwelling elderly women of Natal, RN, 2012

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>FES-I-BRASIL</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0–22 points</td>
<td>Above 23 points</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mean ± sd</td>
<td>Mean ± sd</td>
<td>p</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Relation agonist antagonist (extension) (%)</td>
<td>54.3 ± 10.7</td>
<td>56.0 ± 12.2</td>
<td>0.55</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peak torque (extension) (Nm)</td>
<td>70.9 ± 13.7</td>
<td>62.5 ± 17.6</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peak torque to body weight (extension) (%)</td>
<td>104.3 ± 45.3</td>
<td>96.6 ± 30.0</td>
<td>0.41</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Power (extension) (watts)</td>
<td>39.5 ± 7.6</td>
<td>34.4 ± 10.5</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peak torque (flexion) (Nm)</td>
<td>37.8 ± 8.4</td>
<td>33.8 ± 9.1</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peak torque to body weight (flexion) (%)</td>
<td>55.5 ± 23.9</td>
<td>52.6 ± 15.1</td>
<td>0.56</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Power (flexion) (watts)</td>
<td>23.4 ± 6.9</td>
<td>20.2 ± 8.1</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Discussion

In gerontological assessment, the focus is to make a comprehensive analysis of the individual. Features such as capturing of sociodemographic data and application of mini mental state examination help in investigation facilitating identification of a probable disorder in cognition. Being a criterion for inclusion in the study, the average MEEM observed is compatible with the preserved cognition, and being an indispensable evaluation tool as Scheffer et al. (7) observed cognitive complaints and low financial resources in the elderly who had fear of falling.

From the point of view of rehabilitation, it is crucial to understand various relations between falls and the measures of muscle strength, postural control and mobility. In this sense, BBS that is widely used in research with the elderly has shown that postural balance remained within the normal patterns of age (18).

The average FES-I scale found in the study (25.9 points) puts the elderly at a level of sporadic possibility of falling, as established by Camargos (4). Self-efficacy for fall assessed by FES-I was also used in Sweden and was presented as a highly reliable questionnaire to assess fear of falling among the elderly in the Community (19). In a literature review, epidemiological studies showed 21–61% among the elderly who have experienced fear of falling independent of having been dropped; indicating that this may contribute to both the functional decline and to reduced quality of life (20).

In relation to the average score of TUGT (8.9 s), it was observed that in general the elderly women are classified in the group of subjects without problems of balance, since the cut-off point of this parameter is 20 seconds (21). However, a significant difference was found between the older of the two groups (p = 0.04) with the worst results in the group above 23 points in the FES-I. This result corroborates the findings of Aveiro et al. (22) that generalize TUGT as a test that assesses strength and power of lower limbs with regard to mobility and therefore is the best predictor for defining an individual as a faller or non faller. In contrast, although the difference between the groups, are found to be within normal parameters since none of the elderly subjects approached the 20 seconds in the testing time. It is believed that the results found here seems to point to the fact that a more compromised future for those who attained more time in the test.

In contrast, although the difference between the groups, parameters observed are within the normal range since none of the elderly subjects approached the 20 seconds in the testing time. The results presented here seem to point to a compromised future for those that attained higher time in the testing.

Still depicting the use of TUGT as a strategy for the assessment of mobility, Kumar et al. (23) observed significant association between this variable and mobility.
Conclusion

The results indicated the presence of low efficacy for falls in community-dwelling elderly, showing the effectiveness International Falls Efficacy Scale – Among Elderly Brazilians (FES-I-BRASIL) as appropriate strategy for the assessment of how the individual feels able to perform a task without the occurrence of falls. In this regard, it is suggested that comparing low and high efficacy groups for fall, there is statistically significant differences as regards mobility, static balance and muscle strength. However, new research is needed for deeper understanding of the results presented in this work.
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