Sleep quality in patients with chronic low back pain
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Abstract

**Introduction:** Sleep performs a restorative function for the body. Medical conditions such as Chronic Low Back Pain (CLBP) may cause sleep changes and impair sleep quality. **Objectives:** To identify the prevalence of sleep disorders among individuals with CLBP, and investigate whether there is an association between these disorders and perceived functional disability. **Materials and methods:** This observational, descriptive study was conducted with 51 patients seen at the Clinic of the School of Physical Therapy of Santa Catarina State University. Data were collected through interviews addressing socio-demographic and clinical data, and administration of the Roland-Morris Disability Questionnaire (RMDQ) and Pittsburgh Sleep Quality Index (PSQI). **Results:** Participants had high levels of functional disability (mean, 16.71 ± 4.16 score points). 82.35% of patients had poor sleep quality (mean, 10.22 ± 4.84 score points). The PSQI components with the highest mean scores were: sleep latency and sleep disturbances. There was also a weak association between functional disability and sleep quality (Spearman = 0.31; p = 0.025), i.e., the higher the disability, the worse the quality of sleep. **Conclusion:** We found a high prevalence of sleep disorders among patients with CLBP, and a weak association with the level of perceived disability. It is important to conduct further studies on
the relationship between these factors and sleep quality. Moreover, there is a need for a multidisciplinary approach in the treatment of CLBP, in order to treat sleep disorders and improve patients' quality of life.
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Introduction

Chronic low back pain (CLBP) can be defined as pain that affects the lumbar, lumbosacral or sacroiliac regions, and lasts for more than three months (1). CLBP is a major cause of work absenteeism, temporary or permanent disability, and even incapacitation, and results in high economic costs for social security systems and health care services (2, 3).

Pain is the major complaint of individuals with CLBP and it may negatively affect the quality of life of these patients by limiting their functional capacity and ability to perform activities of daily living and/or work (4). Pain also affects other quality-of-life parameters, such as psychological well-being and sleep. (1) Studies indicate that over 50% of people with CLBP have sleep-related problems (5 - 8).

Sleep performs a restorative function for the body and, when it becomes ineffective, it can cause significant physiological and psychological changes. In the long term, these changes can also contribute to the emergence of other diseases (9, 10). Sleep disorders in people with chronic pain, as in the case of CLBP, cover a wide range of factors and are characterized by complaints of reduced sleep efficiency and sleep duration, increased sleep latency (time to fall asleep), fragmentation of sleep stages, and daytime sleepiness (not feeling rested during the day) (11, 12). These disorders have a negative effect on mood, severity of perceived pain, willingness to perform daytime activities, and other quality-of-life aspects (13, 14). Moreover, it may also affect patients’ recovery by reducing their willingness to participate in rehabilitation programs.

Experimental studies suggest suggest the possibility that the relationship between sleep disturbance and pain might be reciprocal, such that pain disturbs sleep continuity/quality and poor sleep further exacerbates pain (15). Persistent pain may lead to lasting functional changes to the neural systems that regulate both sleep and pain. However, this mechanism is still poorly understood and many aspects still need to be investigated as both conditions ("sleep disorders" and "Chronic Low Back Pain") are considered to be complex and multi-factorial.

Although several recent studies associate sleep disorders with low back pain (LBP) (8, 12, 16-18),
and report a poorer overall sleep quality in individuals with LBP, there is still little information on the relationship between sleep quality and level of disability caused by lower back pain (8, 19).

Given that the intensity of CLBP varies among individuals, impacting their functional capacity and quality of life in different ways, studies investigating this relationship can contribute to the understanding of the interaction between chronic low back pain and sleep.

Given the above, the aim of this study was to identify the prevalence of sleep disorders among individuals with CLBP, and investigate whether there is an association between these disorders and perceived functional disability.

Methods

This observational, descriptive study was conducted with 51 patients with CLBP seen at the Clinic of the School of Physical Therapy of Santa Catarina State University. The study project was approved by the Ethics Committee for Research with Human Beings of the College of Health and Sport Science, UDESC (opinion 159.184).

The sample selection started by screening patients’ medical records. Inclusion criteria were: age between 30 and 65 years, and main complaint of "low back pain" lasting for more than three months or clinical diagnosis of CLBP. Exclusion criteria were: presence of other musculoskeletal or neurological disorders; diagnosis of depression; and postoperative pain.

After identifying the patients who had CLBP, we contacted them by phone in order to confirm whether they really met the inclusion criteria, and invited those who did to participate in the study. Data were collected through interviews addressing socio-demographic and clinical data, and administration of the Pittsburgh Sleep Quality Index (PSQI) and the Roland-Morris Disability Questionnaire (RMDQ) - both validated for use in the Brazilian population. (20) The RMDQ is a common tool used to assess perceived functional disability in people with low back pain. It is a self-administered questionnaire scored on a 24-point scale from (no disability) to 24 (severe disability). In this study we have used 15 points as cut-off (21). Scores lower than 15 have been interpreted as "low level of disability" and scores equal to or greater than 15 were regarded as "high level of disability".

As for the Pittsburgh Sleep Quality Index (PSQI) (22) it is a self-rated 19-item instrument intended to assess sleep quality and sleep disturbance in clinical populations. It yields seven components scores, namely: subjective sleep quality, sleep latency (time to fall asleep), sleep duration, habitual sleep efficiency (ratio of hours slept as compared to hours spent in bed), sleep disturbances, use of sleeping medications, and daytime dysfunction. Each component is given a score ranging from zero to three, and the sum of all components yields a global PSQI score between 0 and 21. The higher the overall score, the poorer the quality of sleep. Scores greater than 5 indicate poor sleep quality.

All instruments were completed by the researchers, who had been trained to collect data for this study. All interviews were conducted at the Clinic of the School of Physical Therapy of Santa Catarina State University (UDESC).

Statistical analysis was performed in two stages. We performed descriptive statistics, including measures of central tendency, frequencies and percentages, mean, variability and standard deviation. The Shapiro-Wilk test was applied to verify the normal distribution of the data. The relationship between "Functional Disability" and "Sleep Quality" was calculated using Spearman’s correlation test (r). The significance level was set at p < 0.05. Correlation strength was classified as follows: low, r ≤ 0.4; moderate, r = 0.4 to 0.5; and high, r ≥ 0.5. (23) Statistical analysis was performed using SPSS (Statistical Package for Social Sciences) version 17.

Results

15% (9) of the 60 individuals contacted to participate in the study did not attend the interview. Hence, the final sample consisted of 51 subjects. Participants’ main characteristics are summarized in Table 1.

According to the data in Table 1, the mean age of respondents was 50.63 years (± 8.47), and most of them (63%) were women. In addition, the leading cause of low back pain were discopathies (82%). With regard to the professional situation, we found that 49% of participants were active workers. Homemakers were included in this group. Almost one third of respondents
(31%) were away from their professional activities due to chronic low back pain. We also found that participants had very different education levels.

As for the quality of sleep (measured with the PSQI), 82.35% of patients had a total score greater than 5 (mean, 10.22 ± 4.84), which indicates poor sleep quality. Higher mean values were noted for the components of sleep latency (2.02) and sleep disturbances (1.75). The latter was also the component that presented the highest prevalence among patients with altered sleep patterns, followed by the components subjective sleep quality (92.2%) and sleep latency (84.3%). By contrast, the components that presented the lowest prevalences among participants were use of sleeping medication and daytime dysfunction, with means of 1.04 and 1.00, respectively. The mean score achieved by participants in the Roland-Morris Disability Questionnaire was 16.71 (Table 2), indicating high level of disability.

Correlation analysis indicated that functional disability correlated positively with sleep quality (r = 0.31; p = 0.025). This correlation was low but linear, suggesting that higher levels of disability may be associated with poorer quality of sleep (Figure 1).

Table 1 - Clinical and socio-demographic characteristics of individuals with chronic low back pain (VAS)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>SD</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Age</strong></td>
<td>50.63</td>
<td>8.47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Gender</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>37%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>63%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Time since onset of symptoms</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 months - 1 year</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>47%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-2 years</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>18%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 years or more</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>35%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Cause</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nonspecific</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Discopathy</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>82%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other*</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>10%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Professional situation</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Active</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>49%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Away due to illness</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>31%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Retired</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>18%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unemployed</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Education</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Incomplete primary school</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>33%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Complete primary school</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>18%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Incomplete high school</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High school diploma</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>25%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Incomplete higher education</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Complete higher education</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>14%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: * scoliosis, spondylolysis, osteophytosis.
Table 2 - Mean PSQI scores, prevalence of individuals with and without changes in PSQI scores, and mean RMDQ scores

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PSQI components</th>
<th>Mean scores N = 51</th>
<th>PSQI ≤ 5 N = 9</th>
<th>PSQI ≥ 5 N = 41</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>SD</td>
<td>Freq.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subjective sleep quality</td>
<td>1.47</td>
<td>0.86</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sleep latency</td>
<td>2.02</td>
<td>1.12</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sleep duration</td>
<td>1.55</td>
<td>1.19</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Habitual sleep efficiency</td>
<td>1.39</td>
<td>1.34</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 1 - Association between scores obtained in the Pittsburgh Sleep Quality Index (PSQI) and in the Roland-Morris Disability Questionnaire (RMDQ)

Legend: PSQI = Pittsburgh Sleep Quality Index; RMDQ = Roland-Morris Disability Questionnaire

Source: Research data
Discussion

Our results confirm a high prevalence of sleep disorders among patients with CLBP, and a weak association with the level of perceived disability. Other studies have not found an association between sleep quality and perceived functional disability, despite the fact that instruments to assess functional capacity are widely used in studies on CLBP.

Most of the 51 individuals who participated in the study were women, as in other previous studies. The mean age of participants was 50.63 years, which is close to mean age found in other studies with the same population. In our study, 49% of participants continued as active workers despite the limitations caused by low back pain. 30% of patients were away from work due to the disease.

Several studies have found a higher prevalence of sleep disorders in patients with CLBP and most of these studies indicate that more than 50% of patients have sleep-related problems. The diversity of instruments and methodological procedures used in these studies, however, make it difficult to compare results across studies. The prevalence found in our study was higher (82.35%) than that found in other studies, probably due to the higher cutoff point used by other researchers to rank sleep quality. In the study by O'Donoghue, which also investigated sleep disturbances, there was also a high prevalence of individuals who scored greater than 5 in the PSQI (86.6%). This prevalence was much higher than that found in the control group (6.6%).

The total PSQI score achieved indicates that most respondents have poor sleep quality. This corroborates the results of other studies. The mean PSQI score in our study (10.22 ± 4.84) was similar to the mean PSQI scores found in other studies that have investigated sleep disturbances in patients with CLBP. The mean scores found in these studies ranged between 10.4 and 10.9. Smith (15) suggests that the poor sleep quality found in this population is associated with the persistent and long-lasting pain, which ends up interfering with sleep, due to the same location in the neural control systems. Thus, pain interferes with sleep and, in turn, sleep disturbances increase pain, thus creating a cycle in which one factor causes or worsens the other. Nevertheless, it is believed that other biological (age and gender) and psychosocial (ability of coping with the disease, anxiety, depressive symptoms) factors may also affect the "pain/sleep" interaction, making the understanding of this phenomenon even more complex.

In addition, in the IQSP, the highest scores were noted for the components of sleep latency and sleep disturbances. While the first aspect (sleep latency) is related to difficulties falling asleep or maintaining sleep continuity, the second aspect (sleep disturbances) refers to sleep interruptions (like waking up in the middle of the night, earlier than intended or to use the bathroom, due to breathing difficulties, coughing or snoring, or feeling cold or hot, having nightmares, feeling pain, among other reasons). These data are in line with the results described in the systematic review by Kelly et al. (12), who have found that consistent evidence associating CLBP with: sleep disorders; reduced sleep time and sleep quality; increased sleep latency; lower sleep efficiency (ratio of hours slept as compared to hours spent in bed); and daytime dysfunction.

Results from the RMDQ indicated moderate perceived functional disability (mean score, 16.71 ± 4.16). However, most respondents (49.01%) continued as active workers, which suggests that, many patients continue their work activities, despite functional losses. This may further contribute to the maintenance of the "pain-strain" cycle.

Some studies, such as the ones conducted by MARIN et al. and O’DONOGHUE et al., associate sleep quality with the intensity of pain perceived by patients and measured with the Visual Analog Scale (VAS). Conversely, Alsaadi et al. have found that pain intensity was weakly associated with sleep disturbances, and suggested that other factors besides pain may interfere with sleep. In this study we chose to use a tool that measures perceived disability, because it seems to be a more stable measure and because it has been used in many other studies conducted with people with CLBP.

Our results indicate a positive association between sleep quality and functional disability. Higher levels of disability are associated with poorer sleep quality. Our results also suggest that, because the association between functional disability and sleep disturbances is weak, other factors may be associated with poor sleep quality. Moreover, changes in sleep quality may have a multicausal origin, which requires further studies on the cause of these disorders. Moreover, the size of the sample and the inexistence of a control group are recognized as limitations of this study.
It is important that health care providers from different disciplines participate in the treatment of CLBP and associated conditions, because they can highly affect patients' quality of life. Thus, we suggest the provision of a multidisciplinary care service to patients with CLBP, as well as the contemplation of other aspects, such as sleep quality, in order to optimize the results and provide patients with comprehensive care.

Conclusion

We have found a high prevalence of sleep disorders among patients with CLBP, and a weak association with the level of perceived disability. It is important to conduct further studies on the relationship between these factors and sleep quality. Moreover, there is a need for a multidisciplinary approach in the treatment of CLBP, in order to treat sleep disorders and improve patients' quality of life.

References


Received: 06/07/2013
Recebido: 07/06/2013
Approved: 06/11/2015
Aprovado: 11/06/2015