Abstract

Introduction: Changes in cervical muscle function have been observed in patients with neck pain (NP) and TMD. However, the relationship between TMD severity and neck muscle strength in the presence/absence of NP is unknown. Objective: To determine the prevalence of TMD in women with and without mechanical NP and assess the cervical-scapular muscle strength and its association with TMD severity. Methods: Fifteen volunteers without neck pain (CG) and 14 women with mechanical neck pain (NPG) took part and were selected by the Neck Disability Index. The diagnosis and severity of TMD were determined by the Research Diagnostic Criteria for TMD and Temporomandibular Index (TI), respectively. The strength of the upper trapezius muscle, and cervical flexor and extensor muscles was measured by digital hand dynamometer. Results: 64.5% of women with NP and 33.3% without NP were diagnosed with TMD (p = 0.095). The NPG showed lower strength of the cervical flexor (p = 0.044) and extensor (p=0.006) muscles, and higher TI (p = 0.038) than in the CG.
also verified moderate negative correlation between T1 and the strength of dominant \( (p = 0.046, r = -0.547) \) and non-dominant \( (p = 0.007, r = -0.695) \) upper trapezius, and cervical flexors \( (p = 0.023, r = -0.606) \) in the NPG. **Conclusion:** There was no difference in the prevalence of TMD in women with and without NP. However, women with NP have lower cervical muscle strength – compared to those without NP – which was associated with greater severity of TMD. Thus, in women with NP associated with TMD, it is advisable to assess and address the severity of this dysfunction and identify the cervical-scapular muscles compromise.
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Introduction

Mechanical neck pain is a non-specific disorder of the cervical spine, characterized by pain and discomfort exacerbated by neck movement, present in periods of remission and exacerbation (1). Cervical pain is the fourth leading cause of disability in adults, after lower back pain, depression and arthralgia (2). Epidemiologic studies estimate an annual prevalence of 30 to 50% in general population (3), and a systematic review reports the average frequency of 37.2% (4). Annually, 11.5% of economically active population experience some kind of limitation resulting from cervical pain (3), affecting the quality of life and causing significant socioeconomic impact (5).

It has been shown that individuals with chronic neck pain exhibit structural and functional changes including weakness and cervical flexor and extensor muscles imbalance (5-8), a decrease in the cervical range of motion, as well as proprioceptive deficits and compromise of postural control (9). Those changes are thought to be assigned to the reflex inhibition associated with pain, causing damage to the muscular function (10) and favoring the chronicification of mechanical neck pain (6, 11). Although the biomechanical and neuroanatomic relationship between the cervical spine and the stomatognathic system has been verified in clinical and experimental studies (12-14), the link between the dysfunctions affecting these systems remains in dispute.

Cranio-cervical imbalance is associated with the coordinated action of anterior (masseter, suprhyoid, sternocleidomastoid, long muscle of head and neck) and posterior (trapezius, splenius, longissimus of head and neck) muscle chains, linked by muscular-aponeurotic structures transmitting to each other.
imbalances and tensions (15). Furthermore, the sternocleidomastoid muscle (SCM) acts as a synergist in the support and stabilization of the head and the cervical region. Its coactivation during teeth clenching varies from 3 to 10% of the maximal voluntary contraction (16), suggesting a functional relationship between the stomatognathic and cervical systems. Thus, compensations between these systems may be a necessary mechanism for achieving stability and efficiency during the masticatory function (17, 18).

In addition to the biomechanical relationship, evidence exists (19, 20) that cervical and stomatognathic systems interact mutually in the nociceptive and proprioceptive domains. The association between orofacial and cranio-cervical symptoms can be explained by the convergence of second-order nociceptive neurons, which receive cervical and trigeminal afferents in the brainstem sensory nuclear complex. So, nociception resulting from a cervical dysfunction may increase the central sensitization of the trigeminal central nucleus, leading to diffusion of pain to the craniofacial area and vice versa (11, 21).

Temporomandibular disorder is a generic term referring to painful and/or dysfunctional conditions of the mastication muscles, temporomandibular joints and structures related, with the cervical spine among them (22). A comorbidity between neck pain and TMD has been observed (23, 24), and there is evidence that TMD might be related to the decrease in cervical muscles resistance (11, 25). However, the role of TMD severity, as well as its association with the isometric strength of cervical-scapular muscles, in the presence and/or absence of neck pain, has not been described in the literature.

Furthermore, a few research addressed the classification of TMD regarding its severity. The Temporomandibular Index (26) allows for this classification, as provides scores regarding the clinical aspects relevant for the diagnosis of TMD such as: limitation of mandibular movement range, muscle and joint pain, as well as the presence of joint noise. Clinically, the utilization of this index makes possible the determination of the dysfunction severity (14) and the verification of therapeutic interventions results (27).

Therapeutic approaches, targeted to the cranio-cervical-mandibular functional unit, have been recommended for patients with primary dysfunctions, both in the cervical spine and the stomatognathic system (27, 28). Additional studies are required, however, that analyze the relationship among the changes in these systems.

The purpose of this study is to provide information to help understand the details about the interaction between the cervical spine and the temporomandibular joint (TMJ), taking into account the role of TMD severity and the cervical-scapular muscles strength. This knowledge will be able to guide clinical practice in a more objective way, thus contributing for the decision-making regarding the assessment and the elaboration of therapeutic strategies.

Based on the aforementioned, the present study purpose is to investigate the prevalence of TMD in women with and without mechanical neck pain, as well as the assessment of cervical-scapular muscles strength and their association with TMD severity in these individuals.

**Methods**

**Research characterization and the participants**

The present research was approved by the Ethics Committee of the Federal University of Pampa, Uruguaiana, RS (protocol number 0112011) comprising an observational study, cross-sectional in design. The volunteers were recruited in the municipality of Uruguaiana, among those who responded to the divulgation of this research through printed and electronic media. Fifteen women presenting with mechanical neck pain paired with 15 women without neck pain were selected by convenience. However, one volunteer with neck pain who has not completed the assessment was excluded.

Therefore, twenty-nine women, aged 21 to 42, were assessed and allocated in two groups according to the neck disability index (NDI) results (29, 30): NPG – Mechanical Neck Pain Group (n = 14), comprising women with neck pain complaint for more than three months (5) and cervical disability confirmed by the NDI score > 4; CG – Control Group (n = 15), comprising volunteers without neck pain complaint, with NDI score ≤ 4.

Research exclusion criteria were: history of trauma, fractures and surgery in the area assessed, diagnosis of cervical radiculopathy or myelopathy, fibromyalgia and inflammatory joint diseases. Moreover, the participants could not have exercised to strengthen the upper limbs and neck muscles in the last six months. All volunteers were informed about
Assessment Procedures

Disability related to neck pain was assessed through the Neck Disability Index. This is a questionnaire translated and validated for the Brazilian population (29) comprising 10 items regarding the interference of pain while performing daily activities, except for item 5, which assesses headache intensity. The alternatives are numbered from 0 to 5, describing increasing degrees of neck pain interference on the activity assessed. The score consists of the sum of scores in each of the 10 items, ranging from 0-50 points. The individual is rated as mild disability from 5 to 14 points; moderate disability, from 15 to 24 points; severe disability, from 25 to 34 points; and complete disability ranging from 35 to 50 points (30).

The diagnosis of TMD was made through clinical exam, according to the protocol established by the research diagnostic criteria for temporomandibular disorders (RDC/TMD) (31), performed by a previously trained examiner. The algorithms of the instrument were employed to establish the diagnosis and TMD classification (myofascial, disc or joint disorder).

From the results of RDC, scores were calculated for the Temporomandibular Index (TI) (26). TI comprises three sub-indices: functional index (FI), muscle index (MI) and joint index (JI). Each sub-index value is calculated by adding the scores of the items assessed, divided by the total number of items. TI consists of the mean value of the three sub-indices, ranging from 0 to 1, with the highest possible punctuation being 1, denoting higher severity of dysfunction. FI includes 12 items regarding the amplitude of mandibular movement, characterizing pain or limitation and deviations during mouth opening movement. MI measures the pain related to bilateral digital palpation of the intra and extra-oral masticatory muscles, in a total of 20 sites. JI assesses the pain evoked by digital palpation of the lateral pole and posterior ligament, and the occurrence of noise in each TMJ.

The isometric strength of cervical-scapular muscles was assessed by digital hand dynamometer Microfet 2 HHD (Hoogan Health Industries, West Jordan, UT, USA). The assessment protocol of muscle strength was based on studies by Cools et al. (32), and Cagnie et al. (6). The examiners were previously trained by a researcher with previous experience in the application of the assessment protocol of muscle strength, and the required care was taken regarding the specificity of the muscle function assessed. Before muscle strength measurement, the volunteers’ body mass was registered for subsequent data normalization, as described by Cools et al. (32).

The assessment of upper trapezius muscle was performed with the volunteer in a sitting position. The dynamometer was placed on the upper scapular region, and resistance was applied over scapular depression, while asking the scapula elevation (32) (Figure 1a). The extensor muscles strength was assessed with the volunteer positioned on a stretcher in dorsal decubitus, with the dynamometer positioned below the occipital region, and the volunteer was asked to make maximum effort to perform cervical extension against the dynamometer (Figure 1b). The cervical flexor muscles were assessed with the participant in ventral decubitus and the dynamometer placed under the frontal bone. At this point, the volunteer was asked to flex the cervical spine against the dynamometer resistance (Figure 1c). In both tests, the dynamometer was positioned so that the cervical spine remained in neutral position and, in the meantime, the participant was asked to keep the distance between the mento and stern to avoid cranio-cervical motion during the measurement process. In order to control the utilization of the trunk muscles, a safety belt was placed on the volunteer’s shoulder, maintaining the trunk fixed during the test.

Each muscle was assessed bilaterally, repeating twice. The isometric contraction was kept for 6 seconds and the volunteers were encouraged to employ maximum strength during the test by means of voice command, “push, push, push”. Each registration was followed by a one-minute resting interval. Data collection was performed in the same sequence for all the volunteers and by the same examiner.

The averages were calculated for both measures, which were expressed in kilogram-force (Kgf) and normalized by each volunteer’s body mass (Kg) (each muscle strength value/body mass) (32). The normalization of strength data is fundamental to allow the comparison of individuals of different physical completions.

Inter and intra-examiner reliability of measurements of cervical muscles strength in dorsal and ventral decubitus, performed with a digital hand dynamometer, were previously assessed in a group of healthy individuals, showing excellent levels of reproducibility and reliability (ICC>0.9) (33).
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Test for normality of variables. The strength results for each muscle were normalized by each individual body mass and expressed in terms of average and standard deviation. For analyzing the correlation between data regarding cervical-scapular muscles strength and TI, the Spearman correlation was used. The correlation was considered strong for correlation coefficient values \( r \geq 0.7 \); moderate when \( 0.3 < r < 0.7 \), and weak when \( r \) was \( \leq 0.3 \). With all the tests a significance level of \( \alpha <0.05 \) was considered. For statistical data analysis, the Statsoft STATISTICA 7.1® software was used.

Results

Fourteen women with mechanical neck pain (NP) and 15 women without neck pain (CG) took part in this study. Among women with NP, 9 showed mild disability, and 5 showed moderate disability.

The results of descriptive statistics regarding the clinical-demographic characteristics – age, weight, the neck disability index (NDI) scoring and the temporomandibular index (TI) – are shown in table 1. The groups did not differ regarding body weight. As for NDI and TI scores, significantly higher values in NPG than those in CG were observed.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 1 - Clinical-demographic characteristics of participants in control group and neck pain group</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Group</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (years)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NPG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weight (Kg)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NPG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NDI (points)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NPG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TI (points)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NPG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CG</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: NDI, Neck disability index; TI, temporomandibular index; NPG, Mechanical Neck Pain Group; CG, Control Group; *significantly different, \( \alpha = 0.05 \)
The frequency of TMD diagnosis in women with mechanical neck pain was 64.26%, while in the control group it was 33.33%. This percentage difference has not achieved a statistical significance level according to Chi-square test \((X^2 = 2.778, p = 0.095)\).

The volunteers’ distribution according to the diagnostic classification of TMD by RDC/TMD is described in Table 2.

**Table 2 - Diagnostic classification of TMD for control group (CG) and mechanical neck pain group (NPG)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Diagnoses of DTM</th>
<th>NPG ((n=14))</th>
<th>CG ((n=15))</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ia (Myofascial pain)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IIa (Disc displacement with reduction)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IIa (Arthralgia)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ia, IIa</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ia, IIIa</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ib, IIIa</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ila, IIIa</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Without diagnosis</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Multiple diagnoses (&gt;3)</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: NPG showed lower isometric muscle strength of cervical flexor \((p = 0.044)\) and extensor \((p = 0.006)\) muscles than CG (Figure 2).

From the analysis of figure 3, it can be observed that in NPG, TI scores showed a moderate negative correlation \((0.3 < r < 0.7)\), with strength values assessed for every muscle, except for the cervical extensors. In CG no significant correlation was found.
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frequency described in the present study shows values similar to those described for the general population regarding signals and symptoms of TDM (37.5%) (22).

According to the literature, individuals with mechanical neck pain present with hyperalgesia in the trigeminal region, and lower levels of pain sensitivity to the pressure of masseter and temporal muscles (36), the reduction of which is associated with the intensity and duration of symptoms in the cervical region. Thus, the chronicity of neck pain may potentialize the trigeminal sensitization, favoring pain triggering and a dysfunction of the stomatognathic system, which in this study was confirmed by the greater severity of TMD found in NPG. This result denotes how important is the utilization of indices or scales that quantify TMD severity (14), as they are able to identify subtle changes, even in the absence of a diagnosis for this dysfunction. Moreover, TI allows for the characterization and definition of the component that contributes the most for TMD severity – muscular, articular or functional – favoring the selection of strategies and specific therapeutic interventions in the stomatognathic system, and monitoring the progress of therapeutic outcomes.

Women with NP showed lower values for isometric strength of cervical flexor and extensor muscles, compared to CG, with greater reduction for extensor muscles. Changes in cranio-cervical strength and motor control in the context of neck pain have been described in the literature (1, 7, 8, 12, 13, 37, 38), and they are linked to an increase in disability levels caused by chronic neck pain (7). These modifications, including a reduction in muscle strength, may be explained by the mechanism of neural inhibition caused by pain (10) and by the change in motor strategies related to cervical spine control and stability while executing the task (38).

The investigation of the presence of TMD (34, 35) and the analysis of muscle strength in the context of neck pain (4, 6-8, 10, 12) have been performed. Additionally, this research results show the moderate negative correlation between the TI (indicating DTM severity) and the isometric strength of cervical flexor, dominant upper trapezius and non-dominant upper trapezius muscles in NPG. The same correlation was not observed in CG, which results may have been influenced by the smaller number of volunteers with the diagnosis of TMD in this group.

Therefore, the reduction of cervical-scapular muscle strength can be explained in part by the increase in

Discussion

The main findings of this study show that women with neck pain present lower strength of cervical muscles and greater TMD severity compared with women without neck pain. Additionally, in the presence of neck pain, greater TMD severity was related to lower strength of cervical-scapular muscles.

Although the prevalence of TMD diagnosis in women with and without neck pain is not statistically different (64.3% versus 33.3%, respectively), the analysis of TI revealed a difference between the groups regarding the degree of involvement caused by TMD, which was more severe in NPG. These findings are consistent with the fact that 50% of women with neck pain present with multiple simultaneous diagnoses of TMD (>3, with the association between myofascial pain and arthralgia being the most frequent disorders), while in CG this was not verified.

Previously, Vicsher et al. (34) showed that the frequency of signals and symptoms of TMD in individuals with cervical spine disorders are comparable to those found in the adult population without any disorder. In contrast, Feirão et al. (35) verified the presence of TMD in 90% of patients with neck pain receiving physical therapy treatment. In the present study, the volunteers were selected in the community and were not receiving treatment for their dysfunction. These factors might have been decisive for the differences among the prevalence found. Regarding the CG, the

Figure 3 - Dispersion graph and description of correlation values between the severity of TMD (Temporomandibular Index) and normalized isometric strength of dominant upper trapezius (DUT), non-dominant upper trapezius (NDUT), cervical extensor, and flexor muscles in women with neck pain
the damages in the generation of cervical-scapular muscles strength in women with neck pain, since these variables were not analyzed in a control group of healthy women. Thus, further research is suggested comparing individuals with and without TMD in order to better define the implications of this dysfunction for the decrease of muscle strength and neck pain.

In view of this study results, professionals must be aware that signals and symptoms in the cervical and mandibular area cannot be considered alone. The determination of TMD severity in women with neck pain, as well as the assessment of cervical-scapular muscles strength may help determine the actions necessary to reduce the disability, and plan effective interventions for the treatment of both dysfunctions.

**Conclusion**

No differences were observed in the prevalence of TMD in women with and without neck pain. Women with neck pain, however, presented lower strength of cervical flexor and extensor muscles and greater severity of TMD compared with those without neck pain. Moreover, this study showed that the increase of TMD severity is related to a decrease in the strength of cervical flexor and upper trapezius muscles in women with neck pain. The presence of this association reinforces the importance of the assessment of TMD severity and the strength of cervical-scapular muscles in women with neck pain. Such information can contribute for the elaboration of effective therapeutic strategies for the treatment of these disorders.
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