Therapeutic ultrasound associated with copaiba oil reduces pain and improves range of motion in patients with knee osteoarthritis

Ultrassom terapêutico associado ao óleo de copaíba reduz a dor e melhora amplitude de movimento de pacientes com osteoartrite de joelho
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Abstract

Introduction: Osteoarthritis is a disease that affects millions of Brazilians. Therapeutic ultrasound has been used in its treatment, either alone or associated with drugs. Objective: The aim of this study was to evaluate the effects of ultrasound (US) associated with Copaiba oil (CO) on knee osteoarthritis. Methods: Patients were divided into three different groups: US, US+CO, CO. Ten treatment sessions were held twice a week, 30 minutes each. Pain intensity was assessed through the Visual Analog Scale (VAS) and Range of Motion (ROM) by goniometry, and muscle strength was assessed by means of the Medical Research Council Scale. Statistical analysis was performed by Cohen’s d test, student’s t test and ANOVA, considering p<0.05 as significant. Results: Pain reduced in all groups. The US+CO group (d = –3.50) presented larger effect size when compared to the other groups. Regarding ROM, the largest effect size was observed in the US+CO group for flexion (d = 0.86) and extension (d = 0.97) in comparison with the remainder groups. Muscle strength increased in the US (d = 1.54) and US+CO (d = 1.60) groups for flexion. Regarding extension, the US group presented the
Introduction

Osteoarthritis (OA) is a degenerative joint disease that affects nearly 10 million Brazilians, and this figure is expected to reach 30 million in 2020 (1). The potential of osteoarthritis for promoting disability is worth of notice. According to the Brazilian Rheumatology Society, osteoarthritis accounts for 7.5% of medical leaves, and it is ranked fourth in diseases that lead to retirement, with 6.2% (2).

Osteoarthritis is a clinical joint pain syndrome followed by different degrees of functional limitation and reduced quality of life. It is considered to be a multi-factor disorder with no defined primary cause that, after being developed, can manifest in the form of edema to joint deterioration (3). Some factors can contribute to the disease development, such as obesity and advanced age (4).

Up to now, there is no therapy to reverse changes caused by this degenerative disease. The therapy’s main objectives are to reduce pain and improve the function of joints affected. According to the American Rheumatology College (5) the main therapies applied are pharmacological therapies, non-pharmacological therapies or alternative therapies (including physical exercise and body mass control) and, for more critical cases, surgery.

Therapeutic ultrasound (US) is an alternative therapy commonly used by physiotherapists, and consists in applying ultrasonic waves that, through their vibrating effect, promote cell changes that result in alterations of the ionic gradient and acceleration of the tissue repairing process (6). A previous study showed that US has worked favorably in the therapy of myofascial pain (7), subacromial impact syndrome (8), lesions of soft tissues (9) and shoulder tendinitis (10).

Although being very popular, the efficacy of using US to treat osteoarthritis is not totally defined. In a previous study (11), US reduced pain and, therefore, increased the mobility of patients with mild knee osteoarthritis. On the other hand, Ulus et al. (12) observed that US did not bring more significant improvements to a control group for the variables of pain, physical function, disability and psychological state of patients with knee osteoarthritis. The latest Cochrane database review (13) suggests that therapeutic ultrasound should present beneficial effects on the largest effect size (d = 1.80). Conclusion: Therapeutic ultrasound associated with copaiba oil is a practical and effective therapy for the treatment of inflammatory diseases such as osteoarthritis.
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Methods

This is a randomized study developed at the Kinesiotherapy Laboratory of the Physiotherapy Department, at the Sergipe Federal University (UFS). Treatment groups were made up of 90 women — 30 in each group — with clinical diagnosis of knee osteoarthritis (ICD - M.17) grade II according to Ahlback's (28) classification, with inflammation in sub-acute stage, ambulation conditions and stable hemodynamic state. All patients were registered on the waiting list for rheumatologic care at the UFS teaching hospital outpatient unit, with ages ranging from 45 to 75 years old. No patient quit the proposed therapies.

Patients were randomly divided into three groups: ultrasound group (US); ultrasound group associated with Copaíba oil (US+CO) and a Copaíba oil group (CO). The randomized sequence was generated using a computer. Randomization occurred following the order of inclusion of each patient in the study, according to the list generated by a computer before starting the study. The randomization block was created to generate sequences of allocation and ensure balance of participants in each group at any time during the study. After each block, the number of participants in each group would be equivalent, in the 1:1 ratio.

Total treatment was carried out in ten sessions delivered twice a week, 30 minutes each. All participants were given a free and informed consent form for analysis and, if they agreed with it, they should sign the form and become volunteers of the study (CAAE-0004.0.107.000-09).

The therapeutic effect of Copaíba oil was evaluated in groups, as well as if the oil effect was optimized after using ultrasound. Patients in the US group were subject to the application of 20% pulsed ultrasound previously calibrated with intensity of 0.8 w/cm² (SATP), transducer frequency of 1 MHz (Ibramed, Sonopulse model) for 8 minutes followed with massage therapy (circular movements, with light pressure) with mineral oil for five minutes on the knee. Patients in the US+CO group were subject to the application of pulsed ultrasound, in the same standards as the US group, followed by massage therapy with Copaíba oil (100%, 0.3mL) for five minutes on the knee. The CO group patients, in turn, were treated only with massage therapy for five minutes with Copaíba oil. After massage (circular movements with light pressure) patients from

pain and function of patients with knee osteoarthritis, although the size of such effects remains unclear. The oldest version had concluded that ultrasound benefits were not higher than those of placebo (14).

In addition to its conventional use, in the last few decades, US has been used for physical therapies to promote cutaneous permeation of medicines, facilitating cutaneous absorption (15). Therefore, the topical application of drugs on the outer layer of the skin is directed by the US to the underlying tissues, increasing local concentration of the drug (16). Kozenoglu et al. (17) compared the effects of therapeutic US associated with Ibuprofen and the conventional US. They concluded that although both modalities have been efficacious for the treatment of patients with osteoarthritis, improvement with US associated with Ibuprofen was not better than that with the conventional US. In contrast, Toopchizadeh et al. (18) associated US with Dexamethasone and reached better results than with US alone on pain reduction and function improvement.

Ultrasound is usually associated with anti-inflammatory and anesthetic drugs (19). Copaíba oil (CO) is a Brazilian typical natural product with powerful anti-inflammatory activity (20, 21). It has been used in popular medicine for centuries and is one of the main Amazonian products traded in the United States and Europe (22, 23). In addition to being anti-inflammatory, Copaíba oil has antimicrobial (24), antinociceptive (25), antitumor (26) and antiseptic (27) properties. Most of these properties were discovered through in vitro experimentations. Little is known about the effect of Copaíba oil on humans, although it is commonly used to treat musculoskeletal disorders.

Considering the broad range of biological effects presented by the properties of Copaíba oil and therapeutic ultrasound, this study is based on the hypothesis that the association of both could result in a more efficacious osteoarthritis therapy since their mechanisms tend to mitigate tissue inflammation and, thus, improve patients’ algic and functional conditions. Therefore, the study objective was to evaluate the effect of therapeutic ultrasound associated with Copaíba oil on variables of pain, ranges of motion and muscle strength of patients with knee osteoarthritis.
all groups were subject to assisted, active-assisted and resisted, free-active kinesiotherapy to supplement treatment through stretching, strengthening and muscle proprioception. Exercises were the same in the three groups. Kinesiotherapy was included to ensure proper therapy to all patients, as consolidated in scientific literature for the pathology in question, since in our study hypothesis ultrasound associated with Copaiba oil was in experimentation with no assurance of its efficacy.

The variables investigated in the study were evaluated in the first and tenth treatment session. Pain intensity was assessed using the Pain Visual Analog Scale (VAS) (29). Patients were instructed to mark their level of pain on the scale. Range of motion was measured using a goniometer. Goniometry is validated and reliable for the measurement of knee joint angles (30). The muscle strength degree was evaluated through the Medical Research Council Scale. The examiner’s subjective perception was based on a scale ranging from 0 to 5, where 0, 1 and 2 degrees are tested in a position in favor of gravity. All the remainder degrees are tested in a position opposite to the force of gravity (31, 32).

The results achieved were statistically analyzed using Student’s t test and the Analysis of Variance (ANOVA), being represented by means and standard deviation (SD) values. The t test was used for intra-group evaluations of all variables. ANOVA one-way (post-Tukey’s test) was used for intergroup evaluations. The d effect, or Cohen’s d, was calculated to evaluate treatments’ effect size. Effect d corresponds to the standard difference among the means of the compared groups, divided by the standard deviation of the comparison. According to Cohen, values of 0.2, 0.5 and 0.8 stand for small, medium or large effect, respectively (33). All analyses used the Graph Pad Prism (version 5.1) software considering significance level of 95% (p<0.05) for all tests.

### Results

Age, height, weight and body mass index (BMI) of patients in different groups were measured and compared to one another (one-way ANOVA). The CO group (81 ± 7.6 Kg) presented a statistically significant difference when compared against the US group (74 ± 8.9 Kg) regarding the variable of weight with p = 0.0193. Regarding BMI, the CO group (34.5 ± 6.2 Kg/m²) was statistically different from the US group (29 ± 2.4 Kg/m²) and from the US+CO group (29.4 ± 7.2 Kg/m²), with p = 0.0011. The remainder variables did not present significant differences as shown in Table 1.

### Table 1 - Comparison of age, height, weight and BMI of patients in different groups

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Patients’ characteristics</th>
<th>US (n=30)</th>
<th>US+CO (n=30)</th>
<th>CO (n=30)</th>
<th>P value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age (years)</td>
<td>61.8 ± 12.5</td>
<td>61.1 ± 8.2</td>
<td>61.4 ± 9.9</td>
<td>0.9961</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Height (cm)</td>
<td>160 ± 10.0</td>
<td>162 ± 10.0</td>
<td>163 ± 12.3</td>
<td>0.5523</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weight (kg)</td>
<td>74.0 ± 8.9</td>
<td>77.3 ± 11.4</td>
<td>81.0 ± 7.6</td>
<td>0.0193*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI (Kg/m²)</td>
<td>29.0 ± 2.4</td>
<td>29.4 ± 7.2</td>
<td>34.5 ± 6.2</td>
<td>0.0011**</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: Data are presented in means and standard deviation, (n=30). SD = standard deviation. BMI = body mass index. US = therapeutic ultrasound. US + CO = therapeutic ultrasound + Copaiba oil. CO = Copaiba oil.

The variable pain was assessed through VAS scores. Table 2 shows that VAS scores were significantly reduced after therapies (p<0.001). The effect size of the US+CO (d= –3.50) group was larger when compared to that of the US group (d= –2.90) and the CO group (c= –1.50).
Table 2 - Differences between pain intensity before and after therapy

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Groups</th>
<th>VAS Scores</th>
<th>P value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Before</td>
<td>After</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>US (n=30)</td>
<td>3.1 ± 1.0</td>
<td>0.8 ± 0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>US + CO (n=30)</td>
<td>5.4 ± 1.9</td>
<td>0.4 ± 0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CO (n=30)</td>
<td>4.6 ± 2.2</td>
<td>1.2 ± 2.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: Results are expresses through mean and standard deviation. D effect represents the effect size of each group (n*30). VAS = Visual Analog Scale US = therapeutic ultrasound. US+CO = therapeutic ultrasound + Copaíba oil. CO = Copaíba oil.

Range of motion was evaluated by goniometry on joint movements of knee flexion and extension during the 1st and 10th therapy sessions. In the flexion movement, the US+CO (d=0.86) group presented the largest size effect when compared to the US group (d=0.57) and the CO group (d=0.07). The US (p=0.0299) and the US+CO (p=0.0015) groups presented significant differences before and after treatment (Table 3). Extension movement presented similar results. The US (p=0.0159) and US+CO (p= 0.0004) groups presented a statistical intra-group difference, where the US+CO group (d= 0.97) presented the largest size effect.

Table 3 - Range of motion assessment. Comparison of range of motion degrees of patients before and after therapy for knee flexion and extension movements

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Groups</th>
<th>ROM degrees for flexion</th>
<th>D effect</th>
<th>P value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Before</td>
<td>After</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>US (n=30)</td>
<td>96.3 ± 14.1</td>
<td>103.8 ± 11.9</td>
<td>0.57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>US + CO (n=30)</td>
<td>93.2 ± 21.0</td>
<td>108.8 ± 14.7</td>
<td>0.86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CO (n=30)</td>
<td>96.8 ± 15.4</td>
<td>98.7 ± 31.9</td>
<td>0.07</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: Results are expresses through means and standard deviation. D effect represents the effect size of each group (n*30). ROM = range of motion. US = therapeutic ultrasound. US+CO = therapeutic ultrasound + Copaíba oil. CO = Copaíba oil.

The muscle strength degree was assessed through the Medical Research Council Scale, in knee flexion and extension movements. The US (d=1.54) and US+CO (d=1.60) groups provided better response to the therapy with size effects similar in flexion movement. Regarding the muscle strengthen degree in extension, the US group reported the largest effect size (d=1.80) if compared to the remainder groups. The CO group presented the shortest effect size both in flexion (d=0.42) and extension (d=0.74).

Table 4 - Muscle strength assessment. Comparison of muscle strength degrees of patients during knee flexion and extension movements, according to the Medical Research Council Scale

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Groups</th>
<th>Muscle strength degrees for flexion</th>
<th>P value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Before</td>
<td>After</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>US (n=30)</td>
<td>3.4 ± 0.5</td>
<td>4.1 ± 0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>US + CO (n=30)</td>
<td>3.7 ± 0.5</td>
<td>4.5 ± 0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CO (n=30)</td>
<td>3.9 ± 0.3</td>
<td>4.1 ± 0.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Muscle strength degrees for flexion

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Groups</th>
<th>Before</th>
<th>After</th>
<th>P value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>US (n=30)</td>
<td>3.6 ± 0.5</td>
<td>4.5 ± 0.5</td>
<td>1.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>US + CO (n=30)</td>
<td>4.0 ± 0.4</td>
<td>4.5 ± 0.5</td>
<td>1.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CO (n=30)</td>
<td>3.9 ± 0.3</td>
<td>4.3 ± 0.7</td>
<td>0.74</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: Results are expresses through mean and standard deviation. D effect represents the effect size of each group (n*30). US = therapeutic ultrasound. US + CO = therapeutic ultrasound + Copaiba oil. CO = Copaiba oil.

Discussion

Osteoarthritis therapy aims to reduce pain and improve patients' functional state. Therapeutic ultrasound has been used in osteoarthritis therapy but studies on its efficacy are controversial. This study analyzed the effect of ultrasound associated with Copaiba oil in patients with knee osteoarthritis grade II, comparing it before and after therapy, and found positive results regarding pain, range of motion and muscle strength.

Obesity, advanced age, overweight and female sex are considered risk factors to develop osteoarthritis (34). In this study, all patients were women, with age ranging from 45 to 75 years old, mean of 61.4 ± 10.2 years, and no significant differences between groups (p=0.9961). As regards body weight, patients in the CO group (81±7.6 Kg) reported having more weight than those in the remainder groups, with a significant difference (p=0.0193). Likewise, the BMI of patients in the CO group (34.5 ± 6.2) was significantly higher than that for the other groups (p=0.0011). Although a previous study shows that obese individuals present sharper degeneration than individuals of normal weight, all patients part of the study presented osteoarthritis grade II (35).

Therapeutic ultrasound is one of the main non-pharmacological resources used to control pain. However, there are divergences about its efficacy (36). Ulus et al. (37) found no significant differences between the use of US and placebo in the VAS scores for patients with knee osteoarthritis. In our study, US reduced pain after therapy; however, effect presented larger size when compared with the use associated with Copaiba oil. Luk surapan et al. (38) found similar results. A gel traditionally used in association with US to treat musculoskeletal disorders proved to be more efficient to reduce pain than US alone. Copaiba oil presented anti-inflammatory and analgesic properties in in vitro experiences; however, there is no evidence of such effects on patients with osteoarthritis.

The association of US and active substances to relieve pain and improve functionality is used in clinical practices, but there is little scientific evidence supporting this therapy (39). Anti-inflammatory substances like Diclofenac and Ibuprofen are largely used. Rosim et al. (40) showed that previous use of US increased transdermal penetration of Diclofenac gel in healthy individuals. In patients with knee osteoarthritis, the use of US associated with Diclofenac reduced pain and improved ROM in a more significant way than US alone (41). In our study, ultrasound combined with Copaiba oil presented larger effect than ultrasound or Copaiba oil alone to enhance range of motion both in flexion and extension.

Many factors are related to mobility deficit in knee osteoarthritis, including knee pain, strength of the quadriceps and age (42). Cubukcu et al. (43) assessed 114 patients with knee osteoarthritis and concluded that pain, rigidity and duration of the disease affect the patients’ functional incapacity. Observing our results, pain reduction and expansion of ROM were proportional among groups, except for the CO group that reported no significant difference between ROM degree before and after therapy. Besides being a factor that restrains the range of motion, pain may lead patients to develop kinesiophobia (44). Therefore, pain reduction may have favored increased ROM. The result for the CO group should be justified by the high BMI of patients in this group. Holla et al. (45) report that in addition to joint degeneration, pain, rigidity and male gender, BMI is also associated with range of motion degrees. Fat accumulation can limit ROM.

Many studies have shown that patients with osteoarthritis present reduced muscle strength (46, 47). Slementa et al. (48) already reported that reduced quadriceps strength should be a risk factor for knee osteoarthritis among women. In a recent study, patients with knee osteoarthritis presented less quadriceps strength in comparison with individuals with no osteoarthritis, and this finding was more closely related to increased intramuscular fat than to the
muscle section area (47). Our results show that ultrasound alone and ultrasound associated with Copaíba oil have significantly increased muscle strength of knee flexor and extensor muscles, whereas Copaíba oil alone presented no changes. Patients tend to avoid movements when they feel pain, and this causes muscle damages. Pisters et al. (49) had previously shown that fearing movements is common among patients with osteoarthritis, leading to reduced muscle strength. Reduction of pain in the respective groups tend to reduce fear of movement as happened with the US and US+CO groups and, therefore, increase muscle strength.

Obesity is related with muscle weakness in patients with osteoarthritis (50). The response of patients treated exclusively with Copaíba oil was weaker in all variables analyzed, including muscle strength. This result could be explained by the high BMI value of patients in this group, when compared against other groups. Conroy et al. (51) observed that patients with knee osteoarthritis, with or without pain, high BMI and high quantity of intramuscular fat show weaker torque specific to the quadriceps against individuals without osteoarthritis.

This study aimed to evaluate the effects of US associated with natural oil in patients with knee osteoarthritis and found positive results on pain, range of motion and muscle strength, in line with findings previously published. However, this study had some limitations: patients and researchers were not blind; effects observed were analyzed only before and immediately after therapy with no long-term monitoring. An important issue was the size of the sample used, i.e., 30 patients in each group. Samples with between 30 and 40 participants are considered large enough to waive the use of normality tests, since these tend to be normally distributed (52).

Conclusion

Our results showed that ultrasound maximized the Copaíba oil effect and is effective to reduce pain, and increase muscle strength and range of motion. Therefore, associating US with Copaíba oil is a practical and effective therapy for knee osteoarthritis.
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