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ABSTRACT

Estimates of heritability and phenotypic and genetic correlations between egg number, weight, specific gravity, mass, and estimated shell weight were obtained, along with phenotypic and genetic correlations of specific gravity and weight with body weight, weight change, metabolizable energy intake, residual feed consumption, and weight and age at sexual maturity. Data were from 350 White Leghorn hens by 50 sires and 175 dams. Heritabilities of the egg traits ranged from 0.20 to 0.55, increasing with age of bird from 26 to 54 weeks of age. Their standard errors ranged from 0.07 (all data) to 0.17 (26 to 29 weeks). Phenotypic correlations ranged from 0.80 to -0.13, and genetic correlations from 0.91 to -0.27, depending on egg trait. The highest phenotypic and genetic correlations were between egg number and mass. Genetic correlations for specific gravity and estimated shell weight were, with body weight, -0.02 and 0.56; weight change, 0.29 and 0.44; daily metabolizable energy intake, -0.10 and 0.33; residual consumption, -0.16 and 0.11; age at sexual maturity, -0.61 and -0.46, and weight at sexual maturity, 0.02 and 0.63. Results should contribute to the design of efficient selection programs for economically important traits in hens.

INTRODUCTION

Egg shell quality embodies characteristics such as shell thickness, shell weight, egg specific gravity, shell strength, resistance to breakage, texture, color, etc. In order to study egg shell problems or to select for changes in egg shell characteristics, reliable measurement techniques to define shell characteristics must be available. Measurements such as shell thickness and shell puncture pressure result in destruction of the egg and permit only one measurement per egg. Other tests, such as egg specific gravity, beta-particles and ultrasound, do not destroy the egg and multiple measurements can be obtained. The importance of shell quality, various techniques for evaluating shell quality, and effective utilization of techniques involved have been reviewed by several authors, including Farnsworth and Nordskog (1955), Carter (1968), Nagai and Gowe (1969a,b), Perek and Snapir (1970), Rodda (1972), Potts and Washburn (1974, 1983), Potts et al. (1974), Hamilton et al. (1979), Hamilton (1982), Hunton (1982), Grunder et al. (1989, 1991), Muir and Patterson (1990), Narushin (1997), and others. Most methods used have been capable of distinguishing differences in shell quality due to breed, strain and individual birds. However, most breeding programs put little selection pressure on shell quality, possibly because of the risk of diverting selection pressure away from egg production, egg weight, and other traits (Hunton, 1982), as well as the lack of an effective, non-destructive, evaluation procedure.

Measurement techniques that can be made early in the production period of the hen are most useful to the breeder, and this seems to be feasible for shell quality evaluations (Nagai and Gowe, 1969a,b). The effectiveness of each technique may vary among breeds and strains tested (Potts et al., 1974); therefore, equations to predict shell quality may differ among strains. Egg specific gravity, with appropriate corrections, has been found to be an acceptable estimator of shell quality (Wells, 1967; Potts and Washburn, 1974; Potts et al., 1974; Hunton, 1982; Abdallah et al., 1993). When taking heritability, genetic correlations and ease of measurement of different shell quality traits into consideration, specific gravity has been the best shell quality trait to use in selection programs (Grunder et al., 1989). However, egg weight is important in determining egg specific gravity, and the two traits are negatively correlated. Abdallah et al. (1993) indicated that percent shell and shell weight per unit of surface area were more sensitive estimates of shell quality (incidence of cracked eggs) than specific gravity. Harms et al. (1990) developed formulas for estimating egg specific gravity or shell weight when only one of the traits was measured. The formulas later were modified to increase accuracy and sensitivity of estimates (Harms et al., 1994).

Objectives of the current study were to estimate genetic parameters for various characteristics of hens and eggs, so that efficient multi-trait selection programs can be developed which will maximize economic return.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

The data used in this study were collected in conjunction with studies reported earlier by Sabri (1988) and Sabri et al. (1991a,b). Data were obtained from 350 pedigreed hens by 50 sires and 175 dams of a White Leghorn type genetic line (Hy-Line International, Dallas Center, Iowa, USA).
RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Overall means for EN, EW, EM, and SWe were 20.7 eggs/period, 54.3 g, 40.5 g/day, 1.0813, and 4.91 g, respectively (Table I). EN, EM, SG, and SWe decreased with increased age, whereas EW increased with increased age. All changes with age were statistically significant. Weighted regression analyses of period effects (Table I) indicated a linear decline in SG of 0.00177 per period. All changes were either curvilinear, with a quadratic equation describing EN and cubic equations describing EW, SWe, and EM. The trend was to increase over time for EW but to decrease in the other response variables. The decrease in SG after peak egg production and the increased EW with increased age have been previously reported (for example, see Abdallah et al., 1995). Period effects were included in subsequent analyses of genetic and phenotypic parameters.

Heritabilities estimated using data from all periods for egg traits were moderate (Table II). These values generally agreed with those of several others, e.g., Grunder et al. (1989), and Muir and Patterson (1990). Heritabilities for period 1 (early) were higher, although not differing statistically, than for period 7 (late) for EN, SG and SWe, with an increase in the standard error of the estimates with increased age. This agreed with Grunder et al. (1989) who estimated heritability of several egg traits at middle intervals of production (42 to 45 weeks of age) and late (67 to 68 weeks of age). They stated that estimates were almost always higher when measured early compared with late in the laying year. Hagger and Abplanalp (1978) and Sabri et al. (1991a) found the same trend when estimating heritability of residual feed consumption, a measure of feed efficiency for egg production. They suggested that the genetic potential of egg production and related traits are well expressed at peak egg production, which minimized effects of environmental factors compared to later times of the laying year. Higher heritabilities at early stages of the laying year are advantageous because early selection decisions can be made.

### Phenotypic correlations

Phenotypic correlations for egg traits were found

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Trait</th>
<th>Period 1</th>
<th>Period 7</th>
<th>All periods</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(26-30 weeks)</td>
<td>(50-54 weeks)</td>
<td>(26-54 weeks)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EN</td>
<td>0.271 ± 0.173</td>
<td>0.196 ± 0.195</td>
<td>0.302 ± 0.071</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EW</td>
<td>0.457 ± 0.174</td>
<td>0.501 ± 0.194</td>
<td>0.490 ± 0.071</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EM</td>
<td>0.307 ± 0.174</td>
<td>0.427 ± 0.194</td>
<td>0.400 ± 0.071</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SG</td>
<td>0.453 ± 0.174</td>
<td>0.232 ± 0.195</td>
<td>0.310 ± 0.071</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SWe</td>
<td>0.553 ± 0.173</td>
<td>0.285 ± 0.196</td>
<td>0.343 ± 0.071</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

For abbreviations see legend to Table I.
Genetics of eggs

Positive correlations were found between EN and EM, EW and EM, EW and SWe, and SG and SWe. Phenotypic correlations of both SG and SW with economic traits were low. These agreed in sign and generally in value with those of other workers (Muir and Patterson, 1990; Abdallah et al., 1993).

Grunder et al. (1991) reported low phenotypic correlations between egg production traits and shell quality traits or percentage intact eggs and concluded that egg production traits were not good indicators of shell quality or percentage intact eggs, and vice versa. According to Abdallah et al. (1993), SG could be used as a method for predicting the percentage of cracked eggs if SG were determined precisely and if EW were taken into consideration.

### Genetic correlations

Negative genetic correlations were found between SG and EN, EW, and EM (Table III). The negative genetic correlation between EN and EM agreed in sign with those reported by several, for example Grunder et al. (1991). Grunder et al. (1989) reported that EW had a consistently negative but low genetic correlation with percentage intact eggs and a low genetic correlation with SG. Although a heavier egg is expected to have a heavier shell, the high positive genetic correlations between SWe and EW, as well as between SWe and SG, reflect a part/whole correlation because EW and SG were used to calculate shell weight.

Genetic correlations between SG and some economically important traits generally were low in value, except the correlation with SMAGE of -0.611 (Table IV). On the other hand, SWe showed positive genetic correlations with BW, BWC, SMBW and EM of 0.328 to 0.628, but a negative genetic correlation with SMAGE. Grunder et al. (1991) estimated the genetic correlations between SG and economically important traits (EN, hen-day rate of production, henhoused egg production, SWe, SMAGE, Haugh unit at 240 and 450 days, BW at 365 days, fertility, hatchability and viability). They concluded that genetic correlations with SG were such that they would allow improvement in percentage intact egg without seriously compromising selection objectives for other traits. Using SG as a selection criterion might slightly reduce EW, EM (Table III), and SMAGE (Table IV). However, using SWe as a selection criterion might slightly decrease EN, increase EW (Table III), and positively affect BW traits (Table IV). The expected small effect of the two traits SG and SWe on EN is balanced by their effect on EW. Both SG and SWe traits are not expected to affect EM output or feed efficiency for egg production (RES). Grunder et al. (1989) stated that heritability of percent intact eggs was low, but had a high genetic correlation with SG, as well as other measures of shell quality. These authors suggested that SG would be the best egg shell quality trait for a selection program.

SG and SWe are traits that are easily measured, and multiple measurements are possible if desired. They have the additional advantage that the egg is not destroyed. However, care should be taken to control solution and egg temperature when measuring SG. The use of SG increments of 0.001 or 0.0025 should improve sensitivity within and among laboratories (Harms et al., 1994). Sensitivity and accuracy can also be improved by adjusting for changes in the density of egg contents due to age of the hens (Sloan et al., 1993) and other factors. The results of this study also suggest the potential for using early measurements of SWe in selection programs, which has definite advantages

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Trait</th>
<th>EN</th>
<th>EW</th>
<th>EM</th>
<th>SG</th>
<th>SWe</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>EN</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-0.132</td>
<td>0.831</td>
<td>0.019</td>
<td>-0.060</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EW</td>
<td>0.262 ± 0.128</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.206</td>
<td>-0.167</td>
<td>0.545</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EM</td>
<td>0.910 ± 0.033</td>
<td>0.120 ± 0.101</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-0.035</td>
<td>0.097</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SG</td>
<td>-0.101 ± 0.145</td>
<td>-0.162 ± 0.120</td>
<td>-0.118 ± 0.129</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.798</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SWe</td>
<td>-0.265 ± 0.146</td>
<td>0.605 ± 0.074</td>
<td>0.002 ± 0.122</td>
<td>0.686 ± 0.060</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

For abbreviations see legend to Table I.

### Table IV

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Trait</th>
<th>BW</th>
<th>BWC</th>
<th>ME</th>
<th>RES</th>
<th>SMAGE</th>
<th>SMBW</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SG</td>
<td>-0.019 ± 0.089</td>
<td>0.294 ± 0.219</td>
<td>-0.099 ± 0.093</td>
<td>-0.157 ± 0.149</td>
<td>-0.611 ± 0.153</td>
<td>0.022 ± 0.115</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.022</td>
<td>0.119</td>
<td>0.051</td>
<td>0.006</td>
<td>-0.031</td>
<td>0.018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SWe</td>
<td>0.559 ± 0.221</td>
<td>0.444 ± 0.079</td>
<td>0.328 ± 0.084</td>
<td>0.119 ± 0.142</td>
<td>-0.456 ± 0.139</td>
<td>0.628 ± 0.105</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.148</td>
<td>0.225</td>
<td>0.221</td>
<td>-0.002</td>
<td>0.040</td>
<td>0.185</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1Traits are BW (body weight), BWC (body weight change), ME (metabolizable energy intake per day), RES (residual feed consumption), SMAGE (sexual maturity age), SMBW (sexual maturity body weight), SG (egg specific gravity), and SWe (estimated shell weight).
to breeders (Hagger and Abplanalp, 1978; Sabri et al., 1991a). Grunder et al. (1989) suggested that SG would be the best egg shell quality trait for a selection program based on heritability, genetic correlation with percent intact eggs, and ease of measurement. However, negative genetic correlations of SG with EW and EM would suggest that SWc has greater potential for use in breeding programs for improving egg shell quality and as an indicator of the hen’s ability to metabolize and secrete calcium and other egg shell components.
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RESUMO

Estimativas de herdabilidade e correlações fenotípicas e genéticas entre o número de ovos, peso, gravidade específica, massa e peso estimado da casca foram obtidas, assim como correlações fenotípicas e genéticas de gravidade específica e peso com peso corporal, alterações ponderais, ingestão de energia metabolizável, consumo alimentar residual e peso e idade ao atingir a maturidade sexual. Os dados foram obtidos de 350 galinhas da raça Leghorn Branca obtidas de 50 pais e 175 mães. A herdabilidade dos caracteres dos ovos variou de 0,20 a 0,55, aumentando com a idade da ave de 26 a 54 semanas. O desvio padrão variou de 0,07 (todos os dados) a 0,17 (26 a 29 semanas). As correlações fenotípicas variaram de 0,80 a -0,13 e as correlações genéticas de 0,91 a -0,27, dependendo do caráter do ovo. As correlações fenotípicas e genéticas mais elevadas foram entre o número de ovos e a massa. As correlações genéticas para gravidade específica e peso estimado da casca foram, com o peso corporal, -0,02 e 0,56; com alterações do peso, 0,29 e 0,44; com a ingestão diária de energia metabolizável, -0,10 e 0,33; com o consumo residual, -0,16 e 0,11; com a idade quando do amadurecimento sexual, -0,61 e -0,46, e com o peso quando do amadurecimento sexual, 0,02 e 0,63. Os resultados devem contribuir para o delineamento de programas eficazes de seleção para caracteres economicamente importantes em galinhas.
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