Evolutionary dynamics of the karyotype of the wasp Trypoxylon (Trypargilum) nitidum (Hymenoptera, Sphecidae) from the Rio Doce State Park, Minas Gerais, Brazil
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Abstract
Cytogenetic analysis based on the distribution of C-bands showed two groups of karyotypes in a Trypoxylon nitidum population from the Rio Doce Park, State of Minas Gerais, Brazil. One of these groups, that was identical to a previously described karyotype (n = 15; 2n = 30), had a stable chromosome number and was rich in acrocentric chromosomes, whereas the other had a variable chromosome number (n = 12 to 14; 2n = 25 to 28) and was rich in pseudo-acrocentric chromosomes. We propose a hypothesis explaining the dynamics of the modifications which occurred in the karyotype of this species, based on the minimum interaction theory of Imai et al. (1986, 1988, 1994) and on the chromosome rearrangements and heteromorphisms observed by us.
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Introduction
The occurrence of interspecific and intraspecific karyotypic variation is common in ants, especially in the Myrmecia (pilosula) species complex described by Imai et al. (1994), which is highly heterogeneous at the chromosome level (2n = 2-32) and comprises at least five karyotypically separate species, some of them presenting individuals with highly distinct karyotypes.

The diploid chromosome number of the species of genus Trypoxylon described by Hoshiba and Imai (1993), Gomes (1995), and Gomes et al. (1995, 1997) varies, at the interspecific level, from 18 to 34. The karyotype variation includes Robertsonian rearrangements (centric fission and fusion), inversions, translocations, functional centromere change and increase in the amount of heterochromatin. Intraspecific variation in the genus has been reported by Gomes (1995) and by Araújo et al. (2000) for T. albitarse, with individuals presenting karyotypes from 2n = 32 to 2n = 34. This variation was attributed to the presence of B chromosomes.

Trypoxylon (Trypargilum) nitidum is a Sphecidae of solitary habits, found only in the western region of the Southern Hemisphere, where it nests in preexisting cavities. Its karyotype was described by Gomes (1995). The Rio Doce Park population investigated in this study presented not only the standard karyotype, but also a related karyotype divergent in chromosome number and morphology. The objectives of the present study were to investigate the chromosomal rearrangements involved in this variation and to determine the evolutionary dynamics of this karyotype.

Material and Methods
The specimens were captured with the aid of trap-nests consisting of bamboo stem sections of various lengths and diameters. The traps were distributed within the area of the Rio Doce State Park, MG, (19°30’ S, 41°1’W) and periodically inspected for occupancy. A total of 34 individuals, which were in the pre-pupa phase, were cytogenetically analyzed, and at least one specimen per nest was maintained in an incubator (28 °C) until adult eclosion, in order to be identified by a taxonomist. Metaphase chromosomes were prepared from cerebral ganglions of pre-pupae, according to the method of Imai et al. (1988) with modifications, and C-bands were obtained by the technique of Sumner (1972), modified by Pompolo and Takahashi (1990).

We analyzed about 20 metaphases per individual, and photographed those of best quality. The chromosomes were arranged in three groups: group A consisting mainly of metacentrics, group B of pseudo-acrocentrics, and group C of acrocentrics. Chromosome nomenclature was based on...
the heterochromatin distribution pattern, as proposed by Imai (1991).

Results

In our sample from the Rio Doce Park, we found 13 individuals with the 2n = 30 and n = 15 karyotype previously described by Gomes (1995) in a sample from Viçosa, MG (Table 1).

The karyotype of these individuals was composed of one pair of small group A metacentric chromosomes, five group B pairs of pseudo-acrocentrics, and nine group C pairs of acrocentrics (Figure 1a and b).

We also found individuals with karyotypes which were numerically and morphologically distinct from those described previously. On the average, two individuals per nest were cytogenetically analyzed, and neither the karyotype pattern of individuals from the same nest, nor that of individuals from different nests showed any consistence. As a rule, the karyotypes of these individuals consisted of 3 group A pairs of metacentrics (MC and M), 8 group B pairs of pseudo-acrocentrics (AM), and one group C pair of acrocentrics (A). However, among the investigated females, 13 variant karyotypes were found, with a chromosome number ranging from 2n = 25 to 2n = 28. This variation was due to heteromorphisms observed in the three group A pairs, and to the presence of one or two almost fully heterochromatic and unpaired pseudo-acrocentric chromosomes (Figure 2a). Differences were also observed in the heterochromatic arm of chromosome pairs 5B, 6B, and 7B (Figure 2a).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Karyotype</th>
<th>Nest number</th>
<th>Number of specimens analyzed</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2n (n)</td>
<td>Formula</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>2M&lt;sup&gt;C&lt;/sup&gt; + 10A&lt;sup&gt;M&lt;/sup&gt; + 18A</td>
<td>*1, 3, 4, 6, 8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28</td>
<td>4M&lt;sup&gt;C&lt;/sup&gt; + 18A&lt;sup&gt;M&lt;/sup&gt; + 6A</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27</td>
<td>2M&lt;sup&gt;C&lt;/sup&gt; + 2M&lt;sup&gt;E&lt;/sup&gt; + 18A&lt;sup&gt;M&lt;/sup&gt; + 6A</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>2M&lt;sup&gt;C&lt;/sup&gt; + 2M&lt;sup&gt;E&lt;/sup&gt; + 18A&lt;sup&gt;M&lt;/sup&gt; + 6A</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>3M&lt;sup&gt;C&lt;/sup&gt; + 1M&lt;sup&gt;F&lt;/sup&gt; + 17A&lt;sup&gt;M&lt;/sup&gt; + 5A</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>3M&lt;sup&gt;C&lt;/sup&gt; + 2M&lt;sup&gt;E&lt;/sup&gt; + 16A&lt;sup&gt;M&lt;/sup&gt; + 6A</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>3M&lt;sup&gt;C&lt;/sup&gt; + 2M&lt;sup&gt;E&lt;/sup&gt; + 17A&lt;sup&gt;M&lt;/sup&gt; + 6A</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>3M&lt;sup&gt;C&lt;/sup&gt; + 1M&lt;sup&gt;F&lt;/sup&gt; + 16A&lt;sup&gt;M&lt;/sup&gt; + 6A</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>4M&lt;sup&gt;C&lt;/sup&gt; + 1M&lt;sup&gt;F&lt;/sup&gt; + 17A&lt;sup&gt;M&lt;/sup&gt; + 5A</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>4M&lt;sup&gt;C&lt;/sup&gt; + 1M&lt;sup&gt;F&lt;/sup&gt; + 16A&lt;sup&gt;M&lt;/sup&gt; + 6A</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>4M&lt;sup&gt;C&lt;/sup&gt; + 1M&lt;sup&gt;F&lt;/sup&gt; + 16A&lt;sup&gt;M&lt;/sup&gt; + 6A</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>1M&lt;sup&gt;C&lt;/sup&gt; + 5A&lt;sup&gt;M&lt;/sup&gt; + 9A</td>
<td>*1, 2, 4, 5, 7, 8, 9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>2M&lt;sup&gt;C&lt;/sup&gt; + 8A&lt;sup&gt;M&lt;/sup&gt; + 4A</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>2M&lt;sup&gt;C&lt;/sup&gt; + 1M&lt;sup&gt;F&lt;/sup&gt; + 8A&lt;sup&gt;M&lt;/sup&gt; + 2A</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>2M&lt;sup&gt;C&lt;/sup&gt; + 1M&lt;sup&gt;F&lt;/sup&gt; + 8A&lt;sup&gt;M&lt;/sup&gt; + 2A</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>2M&lt;sup&gt;C&lt;/sup&gt; + 1M&lt;sup&gt;F&lt;/sup&gt; + 7A&lt;sup&gt;M&lt;/sup&gt; + 1A</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>2M&lt;sup&gt;C&lt;/sup&gt; + 1M&lt;sup&gt;F&lt;/sup&gt; + 9A&lt;sup&gt;M&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Karyotype as described by Gomes (1995).

Figure 1 - a) Standard karyotype of a Trypoxylon nitidum female (2n = 30), after standard staining. b) Standard karyotype of a T. nitidum male (n = 15) after C-banding. Bar = 5 µm.
In males, there were six different karyotypes, ranging from $n=12$ to $n=14$ (Table 1), due to a heteromorphism of chromosome 1A, associated with the presence or absence of an almost fully heterochromatic pseudo-acrocentric chromosome. In these individuals, only chromosome 7B presented size fluctuations of the heterochromatic arm (Figure 2b).

**Discussion**

Despite the clear cytogenetic differences described here, individuals with the karyotype illustrated in Figure 2 were morphologically identical to those with the previously described *T. nitidum* karyotype (Figure 1).

Considering all the specimens studied as being representative of *T. nitidum*, and comparing the two karyotypic groups, we note a sharp decrease in the number of group C acrocentric chromosomes, along with an increase in the number of pseudo-acrocentric group B chromosomes. Pseudo-acrocentric chromosomes were described by Imai *et al.* (1988) as acrocentrics with relatively long heterochromatic arms, which were formed by a rapid increase in

---

**Figure 2** - a) Karyotype of a *Trypoxylon nitidum* female after C-banding. In the brackets are the C-banded heteromorphic pairs which generated the variation from $2n=25$ to $2n=28$. b) Karyotype of a *T. nitidum* male ($n=12$) after C-banding. In the brackets are the C-banded heteromorphic pairs which generated the variation from $n=12$ to $n=14$. * = B chromosomes. Bar = 5 µm.
heterochromatin. These researchers considered the extreme growth of the short heterochromatic arms of acrocentric chromosomes as a ‘struggle’ to recover a stable telomere replication system from a bare residual centromere generated by centric fission. This kind of rearrangement is frequent in Hymenoptera, especially in species with a high chromosome number, such as Myrmecia brevinoda that represents an extreme case with its 84 pseudoacrocentric chromosomes (according to Imai et al. (1977, 1988). Thus, starting from the assumption that the standard T. nitidum karyotype (2n = 30) was established in the past by successive centric fissions, the recent increase in the number of pseudoacrocentric chromosomes may be explained by a rapid increase in the heterochromatin of acrocentric chromosomes.

It seems unlikely that such a process would occur without any selective pressure, since the longer the arms the higher the risk of reciprocal translocation. It thus appears that some heterochromatin elimination mechanism has been adopted by the cell, possibly a series of translocations between pseudoacrocentric chromosomes (or between pseudoacrocentric and acrocentric chromosomes), eventually resulting in the formation of metacentric group A pairs. This hypothesis is mainly based on the heteromorphism of pairs 2A and 3A, with apparent homologies observed between certain regions of metacentric, acrocentric and pseudoacrocentric chromosomes (Figure 2a), and also on the presence of apparently dicentric chromosomes (Figure 2a, pair 2A), which are characteristic of this type of rearrangement (Imai et al., 1994). Although important in the process of heterochromatin elimination from the pseudoacrocentric chromosomes, this type of rearrangement is considered rare in the evolution of animal karyotypes (White, 1973). It was nevertheless observed by Imai et al. (1994) in ant species of the Myrmecia pilosula complex, and was also identified in wasps of the genus Vespa by Hoshiba et al. (1989) as one of the events in metacentric chromosome formation, by which pair 1A also seems to have been formed. However, in order to achieve greater karyotype stability, this pair of chromosomes may still be undergoing fission, as demonstrated by the one-armed heteromorphism pattern that, according to Imai et al. (1994), is characteristic of this kind of rearrangement.

The almost fully heterochromatic and unpaired pseudoacrocentric chromosomes shown in Figure 2 may represent free heterochromatin blocks resulting from the translocations described above. Based on evidence showing that the heterochromatic blocks formed by a heterochromatin increase may involve multiple centromeres and usually inactive telomeres (Imai, 1991), it can be assumed that these blocks have acquired mitotic stability as a result of reactivation of these sequences, starting from appropriate structural rearrangements, and may therefore be considered as extra chromosomes.

Thus, we suggest that a series of fissions occurred in the past in a metacentric ancestral karyotype with a low chromosome number may have initiated the acrocentric-rich form 2n = 30. A sudden heterochromatin increase in these chromosomes may have generated the high instability presented by the pseudoacrocentric karyotype, leading to translocation and formation of a metacentric karyotype. After elimination of excess chromatin, a new fission cycle may have started, a process which, based on the inferred dynamics of pair 1A, we suggest may still be occurring in this population.

The diploid number of euchromatic arms (2AN = 30), identical in all the wasps analyzed, may explain the occurrence and viability of individual wasps bearing multiple rearrangements which seem to involve only elimination of heterochromatic regions. According to the minimum interaction theory (Imai et al., 1986, 1988, 1994), whatever the effect on chromosome morphology or number, these changes may play the role of minimizing nonspecific chromosome interactions during interphase.

Although the fate of the population we studied is uncertain, at least two paths may be inferred from the chromosomal changes observed: one, that chromosomal rearrangements will generate a reproductive barrier between the two karyotype groups, giving rise to a new taxon; the other one, leading to the reestablishment of the standard karyotype by centric fissions, after heterochromatin elimination.

The hypothesis that there are two reproductively isolated groups is supported by the fact that variant karyotypes do not present the high number of acrocentric chromosomes observed in group C of the standard karyotype, suggesting that no hybridization between the 2n = 30 karyotypes and the others seems to be occurring. On the other hand, the high number of variants observed within the same nest and among different nests suggests that wasps with different karyotypes are mating with each other. Therefore, if reproductive isolation is occurring, it appears to be incipient.
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