Phylogeographic analyses of the pampas cat (Leopardus colocola; Carnivora, Felidae) reveal a complex demographic history
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Abstract

The pampas cat is a small felid that occurs in open habitats throughout much of South America. Previous studies have revealed intriguing patterns of morphological differentiation and genetic structure among its populations, as well as molecular evidence for hybridization with the closely related L. tigrinus. Here we report phylogeographic analyses encompassing most of its distribution (focusing particularly on Brazilian specimens, which had been poorly sampled in previous studies), using a novel dataset comprising 2,143 bp of the mitogenome, along with previously reported mtDNA sequences. Our data revealed strong population structure and supported a west-to-east colonization process in this species’ history. We detected two population expansion events, one older (ca. 200 thousand years ago [kya]) in western South America and another more recent (ca. 60-50 kya) in eastern areas, coinciding with the expansion of savanna environments in Brazil. Analyses including L. tigrinus individuals bearing introgressed mtDNA from L. colocola showed a complete lack of shared haplotypes between species, indicating that their hybridization was ancient. Finally, we observed a close relationship between Brazilian/Uruguayan L. colocola haplotypes and those sampled in L. tigrinus, indicating that their hybridization was likely related to the demographic expansion of L. colocola into eastern South America.
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Introduction

The formation of the Panamanian Isthmus led to the colonization of South America by several lineages of North American mammals, some of which gave rise to endemic Neotropical adaptive radiations (Eizirik, 2012). This is the case of the genus Leopardus (Mammalia, Carnivora, Felidae), composed by at least eight species of small and medium-sized cats that occur in a variety of habitats across the Neotropics, and whose diversification began 3 to 5 million years ago (MYA) (Nowell and Jackson, 1996; Eisenberg and Redford, 1999; Johnson et al., 2006; Trigo et al., 2013; Li et al., 2016).

The pampas cat (Leopardus colocola) is considered one of the least known species of this genus (Silveira 1995; Nowell and Jackson, 1996). It presents an extensive geographic distribution (Figure 1), occurring from Ecuador (or perhaps southwestern Colombia) to the Strait of Magellan. It is mainly associated with open habitats, such as the Argentinean and Uruguayan pampas, Bolivian and Paraguayan Chaco and the high altitude fields along the Andean mountain chain, but may also be found in forested habitats. In Brazil, it is restricted to open habitats such as the Pampas biome in southern Brazil and the Cerrado and Pantanal biomes in the central and northeastern parts of the country (Silveira, 1995; Nowell and Jackson, 1996; Eisenberg and Redford, 1999; Pereira et al., 2002; Ruiz-Garcia et al., 2003; Villalba and Delgado, 2005; Godoi et al., 2010, Queirolo et al., 2013, Lucherini et al., 2016). The pampas cat is considered Near Threatened worldwide, but its distinctive evolutionary units (see below) can all be consid-
ered as Vulnerable individually (Queirolo et al., 2013, Lucherini et al., 2016), or perhaps even more severely threatened, pending on regional in-depth analyses.

Substantial morphological variation has been observed across pampas cat regional populations, leading to considerable controversy regarding its species-level taxonomic status (see Kitchener et al. [2017] for a recent review). Garcia-Perea (1994), for example, based on cranial morphology and coat patterns, suggested the subdivision of the pampas cat into three distinct species (*L. colocolo*, *L. braccatus* and *L. pajeros*), each containing subspecies-level units. A subsequent molecular study based on three mitochondrial genes (16S rDNA, *ATP8* and *ND5*) indicated strong genetic structuring among different pampas cat populations (Johnson et al., 1999), but did not support the species-level subdivision proposed by Garcia-Perea (1994), given that the observed phylogroups were rather recently diverged. Nevertheless, a subsequent systematic treatise (Wozencraft, 2005) recognized the three species proposed by Garcia-Perea (1994), and a more recent morphological analysis (Nascimento FO, 2010, Doctoral thesis, Universidade de São Paulo, São Paulo) further proposed the subdivision of the complex into six distinct species.

The existence of highly structured populations in this cat species has been supported by recent molecular studies (Napolitano et al., 2008; Cossios et al., 2009), although not always matching the proposed morphological partitions. The study of Napolitano et al. (2008) was concentrated in areas of northern Chile, where the authors found a lack of haplotype sharing with neighbouring geographic areas, supporting the hypothesis that some portions of the pampas cat distribution have experience significant periods of demographic isolation from other regions. The subsequent study by Cossios et al. (2009) reported analyses of mitochondrial genes (*ND5*, control region and *ATP8*) and microsatellite loci for a data set focused on the central Andes. These authors found strong genetic differentiation among several regional populations, supporting the recognition of at least four Management Units (MU) for conservation purposes.

In spite of the advances provided by these genetic analyses, the evolutionary history of the pampas cat remains incompletely understood, mainly because these studies have focused on partial sampling of its geographic distribution. Populations of central and southern Brazil, for example, were poorly represented (or unrepresented) in these earlier investigations, and thus their evolutionary relationships with those from western South America remain obscure.

Interestingly, Brazilian populations of *L. colocolo* present an additional layer of evolutionary complexity, as we have documented that they underwent a massive historical process of hybridization and unidirectional introgression affecting a congeneric species, *Leopardus tigrinus* (Trigo et al., 2013). Remarkably, this process has led to a complete replacement of the *L. tigrinus* mitochondrial genome by that originating from *L. colocolo*, with no evidence of this historical admixture having been so far detected in any nuclear marker (Trigo et al., 2013). This uncommon pattern is likely a consequence of ancient episodes of hybridization, probably involving primary matings between *L. colocolo* females and *L. tigrinus* males, followed by backcrossing of female hybrids to male *L. tigrinus* for multiple generations. Such crosses are expected to dilute the signal of introgression in the nuclear genome due to several generations of cumulative backcrossing, explaining the genetic pattern we have observed.

In addition, according to Trigo et al. (2013), *L. tigrinus* was found to be mainly associated to two Brazilian Biomes, Cerrado and Caatinga, which tend to present open/dry vegetation types that are also the typical habitats used by *L. colocolo* in Brazil, in stark contrast to the Atlantic Forest associated with *L. guttulus*. This observation led us to hypothesize that its ancient hybridization with *L. colocolo* might have been involved with adaptation of *L. tigrinus* to such open biomes. Such observations suggest an intriguing evolutionary process that still requires further investigation, including the estimation of its temporal, spatial and demographic contexts. At this time, the only genetic system that allows an assessment of these issues is the mitochondrial DNA (mtDNA), since it so far holds the only available record of this ancient episode of hybridization.

In this context, the main goal of this present study was to investigate the evolutionary history of *L. colocolo* based on the analysis of mtDNA segments, aiming to characterize its phylogeographic patterns and demographic history, as well as to gain additional insights into its hybridization/introgression event with *L. tigrinus*. In particular, we pursued the following specific objectives: 1) to assess the genetic relationships between western *L. colocolo* populations and those from eastern South America (Brazil and Uruguay); 2) to estimate the geographic origin and age of the mitochondrial DNA haplotypes introgressed into *L. tigrinus*, and 3) to analyze the correlation between the genetically identified groups and the morphology-based taxa proposed by Garcia-Perea (1994). Clarifying these issues is relevant not only from an evolutionary biology and taxonomy standpoint, but should also have significant impacts on conservation and management strategies on behalf of this species.

**Materials and Methods**

**Sample collection**

We generated mtDNA sequence data from 40 *L. colocolo* individuals from Brazil, Argentina, Uruguay, Chile and Bolivia, as well as 28 *L. tigrinus* from central and northeastern Brazil (see Figure 1 and Supplemental Table S1). Blood samples were collected from wild animals captured for ecological studies, as well as from captive individuals (preferentially with known geographic origin), and
were preserved in a salt saturated solution (100 mM Tris, 100 mM EDTA, 2% SDS). Tissue samples were obtained from road-killed specimens and maintained in 96% ethanol. Samples of *Leopardus pardalis* (ocelot) and *Leopardus wiedii* (margay) were also included as outgroups in some of the analyses.

**DNA extraction, amplification and sequencing**

DNA extraction was performed using a standard phenol/chloroform protocol (Sambrook et al., 1989) or using the DNeasy Blood and Tissue Kit (Qiagen, Hilden, Germany) or PureLink™ Genomic DNA Mini Kit (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA, USA) following the manufacturers’ instructions. All DNA samples were quantified in a 1% agarose gel stained with GelRed® (Biotium Inc., Fremont, CA, USA) using the LowMass DNA Ladder (Invitrogen).

We amplified four mtDNA segments using the Polymerase Chain Reaction (PCR; Saiki et al., 1985): (I) the 5’ portion of the *ND5* gene, using primers described by Trigo et al. (2008); (II) the complete *cytochrome b* gene [*Cytb*] using primers reported by Tchaicka et al. (2007) or those described by Irwin et al. (1991) and Koepfli and Wayne (1998), which divide the gene into two sub-segments as an alternative approach for use with degraded DNA samples; (III) a segment of the *ATP8* gene using primers described by Johnson et al. (1998); and (IV) the last portion of the first hypervariable segment of the mtDNA control region [*CR*], using primers described by Tchaicka et al. (2007) and Cossios et al. (2009).

PCR amplifications were performed in a final volume of 20 µL, containing 1X PCR buffer (Invitrogen), 0.2 µM of each primer, 0.2 mM dNTPs, 1.5-2.0 mM MgCl₂ (Invitrogen), 0.2 U Platinum® Taq Polymerase (Invitrogen) and 5-20 ng of genomic DNA. The addition of 0.2% Triton X-100 to the PCR reaction was used to remove PCR inhibitors in the case of the *ND5*, *Cyt-b* and control region segments. For these three segments, the PCR conditions were identical and began with one step of 94°C for 3 min, 5 cycles (Touchdown) of 94°C for 45 s, 55-51°C for 45 s, 72°C for 1 min 30 s followed by 40 cycles of 94°C for 45 s, 50°C for 30 s, 72°C for 1 min 30 s and final extension of 72°C for 30 min. Thermocycling conditions for the *ATP8* gene consisted of an initial denaturing step at 94°C for 3 min fol-
allowed by 35 cycles of 94°C for 45 s, 50°C for 45 s, 72°C for 1 min 30 s and final extension of 72°C for 10 min.

PCR products were visualized on a 1% agarose gel stained with GelRed® (Biotium Inc.) and then purified using a protocol based on precipitation with ammonium acetate and isopropanol, or the enzymatic method with the enzymes Exonuclease I (EXO I) and Shrimp Alkaline Phosphatase (SAP). Both strands of each PCR product were sequenced using the DYEnamic ET Dye Terminator Sequencing Kit (GE Healthcare) and analyzed in a MegaBACE 1000 automated sequencer (GE Healthcare). For the mtDNA control region, due to the occurrence of a repetitive region which generates high levels of heteroplasmcy (see Eizirik et al., 1998 and Kim et al., 2001 for more examples in other felids), which hampered the stable annealing of the forward primer, only the reverse strand was sequenced. In this case, samples were amplified and sequenced at least twice independently to assess the reproducibility of the observed sequence.

The visualization of electropherograms, as well as the construction of double-strand consensus sequences for each sample, were performed with Geneious Pro 5.5.2 (Drummond et al., 2011). As a complement to data generated in this study, we added sequences previously obtained by Trigo et al. (2013) for the ND5 gene, which include 15 L. colocola and 21 L. tigrinus individuals (KF679939, KF679949, KF679950, KF679952 - KF679955, KF679958 – KF679961). Shorter sequences for ATP8, ND5 and control region (133, 270 and 170 base pairs [bp], respectively) reported by Cossios et al. (2009) and covering the Andean portion of the L. colocola distribution were also included (FJ648644, FJ648646, FJ648647, FJ648654, FJ648655, FJ648659 – FJ648662, FJ648665, FJ648667, FJ648668, FJ648670, FJ648672, FJ648673, FJ648675, FJ648679, FJ648681, FJ648683) (Figure 1). Novel sequences generated in this study were deposited in GenBank.

Descriptive analyses and phylogenetic inference

DNA sequences were aligned using the MUSCLE algorithm (Edgar, 2004) implemented in MEGA 5 (Tamura et al., 2011). The alignments were checked and edited manually when necessary. Basic statistics of genetic diversity, including the number of variable sites (V), number of parsimony-informative sites (PI), number of haplotypes (h), nucleotide diversity (π) and haplotype diversity (Hd) were estimated using MEGA 5, ARLEQUIN v.3.5 (Excoffier and Lischer, 2010) and DnaSP 5.0 (Librado and Rozas 2009).

Two data sets were established for use in the analyses described below: (i) Data set A (DSA) with all sequences generated in this study as well as data reported by Trigo et al. (2013); and (ii) Data set B (DSB) with all the sequences from DSA, complemented by segments reported by Cossios et al. (2009). The sequences analyzed by Cossios et al. (2009) were shorter in length, so DSB has a broader geographic coverage but a matrix with more missing data.

Phylogenetic analyses were performed with only one representative of each haplotype, and initially employed two different optimality criteria: Bayesian Inference (BI) and Maximum Likelihood (ML). The software jModelTest 0.1.1 (Guindon and Gascuel, 2003; Posada, 2008) was used to determine the best model of nucleotide substitution, applying the Akaike Information Criteria (AIC; Akaike, 1974). For the Bayesian inference, the best model was inferred separately for each of the four mitochondrial segments. We used PhyML 3.0 (Guindon et al., 2010) for the ML analyses, with trees inferred using a heuristic search with a random starting tree, Subtree Pruning Regrafting (SPR) + Nearest-Neighbor-Interchange (NNI), and support estimated by 1,000 bootstrap pseudoreplicates. For initial BI analyses we used MrBayes 3.1 (Ronquist and Huelsenbeck, 2003), including two independent Markov Chain Monte Carlo (MCMC) runs, each containing four Metropolis-coupled chains (one cold and three heated) for five million generations. Trees were sampled every 100 generations, discarding the first 2,500 trees as burn-in. The program Tracer 1.5 (Rambaut and Drummond, 2007) was used for visualization and analyses of MrBayes output files.

Final phylogenetic analyses employed the relaxed Bayesian approach implemented in BEAST 1.6.2 (Drummond and Rambaut, 2007), based on a two-stage strategy. We initially ran the software to estimate the substitution rate of each mitochondrial segment (ND5, Cytb, ATP8 and CR), using only a few divergent haplotypes and three node-based calibrations. These were based on the credibility intervals estimated previously (Johnson et al., 2006) for three nodes within the genus Leopardus: 1) the basal diversification of the genus (2.02 – 4.25 MYA); 2) the divergence between L. pardalis and L. wiedii (1.01 – 2.41 Mya); and 3) the basal divergence in the clade (L. jacobita + L. colocola + L. tigrinus + L. Geoffroyi + L. guigna) (1.68 – 3.56 Mya). For these runs we used a uniform prior, an uncorrelated lognormal relaxed molecular clock and a Yule prior for the tree, and performed the same analysis separately for the two data sets (DSA and DSB). Trees were linked and the substitution model was set as unlinked, allowing the incorporation of the different substitution models estimated for each segment with jModelTest. We ran BEAST for 50,000,000 steps, sampling every 5,000 iterations, after a discarded burn-in of 50,000 steps. Convergence to the stationary distribution and sufficient sampling were assessed with TRACER. The mean substitution rate (per site per year) obtained in these analyses were as follows: DSA: ND5 = 2.52 x 10⁻⁸, Cytb = 2.48 x 10⁻⁸, ATP8 = 2.93 x 10⁻⁸, CR = 3.53 x 10⁻⁸; DSB: ND5 = 2.53 x 10⁻⁸, Cytb = 2.42 x 10⁻⁸, ATP8 = 3.87 x 10⁻⁸, CR = 4.89 x 10⁻⁸.

The second set of BEAST analyses incorporated the mean substitution rates estimated in the first round for each mitochondrial partition, aiming to simultaneously estimate
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the phylogenetic tree and the TMRCA (the time to the most recent common ancestor) of each pampas cat phylogroup. In this round, we included one copy of all identified haplotypes and assumed a strict clock and a coalescent (constant size) tree prior. The analysis was performed separately for each data set (DSA and DSB) and was run for 100,000,000 steps, sampling every 10,000 iterations, after a discarded burn-in of 100,000 steps, taking into account the stabilization of the traces and the sampled parameters.

Demographic history and population genetic structure

In addition to the phylogeny-based approaches, haplotype networks were constructed using the median-joining approach (Bandelt et al., 1999) implemented in NETWORK 4.6.0.0 (http://www.fluxus-engineering.com/sharenet.htm) to depict phylogenetic, geographic and potential ancestor-descendant relationships among sequences. In parallel, population structure analyses were performed initially with the software BAPS (Bayesian Analysis of Population Structure) (Corander and Tang, 2007; Corander et al., 2008). We ran the mixture model to assess the most probable number of genetic groups present in our sample, using a range from 1 to 10, and repeated the analysis five times to check for stability.

As a measure of differentiation among geographic groups, we estimated the fixation index \( F_{ST} \) using an Analysis of Molecular Variance (AMOVA) approach (Excoffier et al., 1992) implemented in ARLEQUIN. The correlation between genetic and geographic distances was assessed using a Mantel test (Mantel, 1967) with 100,000 permutations.

To obtain estimates of matrilineal gene flow among different geographic populations, we used the coalescent-based Bayesian method implemented in LAMARC (Kuhner, 2006). This software was used to estimate the parameter \( \theta \) (effective population size) scaled by the mutation rate (\( \mu \)), along with pairwise migration rates (\( M \)) for all the defined populations. The number of migrants per generation was obtained by multiplying \( M \) by \( \theta \) of the recipient population. We performed three independent runs of the Bayesian search strategy, including one long chain of 3,000,000 steps with a sampling increment of 100 (resulting in a total of 30,000 sampled trees), following a burn-in period of 3,000 sampled genealogies. The same analysis was conducted independently for both data sets (DSA and DSB), and the results were visualized in TRACER.

To estimate historical demographic parameters and to evaluate possible scenarios for the colonization of eastern South America by \( L. \) colocola, we performed Fu’s \( F_{S} \) neutrality test (Fu, 1997) and Mismatch Distribution Analyses (Rogers and Harpending, 1992) with DnaSP and ARLEQUIN. These tests are used with mtDNA data (assuming selective neutrality) to detect demographic events such as expansions, contractions and bottlenecks (Ramirez-Soriano et al., 2008). Additionally, to estimate possible changes in population size over time, Bayesian Skyline plots were estimated using BEAST. For this purpose, we used the mean substitution rates and their respective 95% credibility intervals estimated by the first round of BEAST analyses (see above) as a normal prior. The MCMC chain was run with 50,000,000 steps, sampling every 5,000 iterations after a discarded burn-in of 50,000 steps.

Results

Genetic diversity

We sequenced a total of 2,143 bp of mitochondrial DNA for 40 \( L. \) colocola and 28 \( L. \) tigrinus samples (\( ND_{5} = 567 \) bp, \( Cyt-b = 1028 \) bp, \( ATP_{8} = 133 \) bp, \( CR = 415 \) bp), and designated this alignment as Data set A (DSA). Additionally, we added to these data the shorter sequences reported by Cossios et al. (2009), corresponding to 19 haplotypes, so as to construct Data set B (DSB). The analyses with all segments concatenated led to the resolution of 68 haplotypes for the ingroup (\( L. \) colocola + \( L. \) tigrinus) in DSB, 58 of them being sampled only once, and 49 also being represented in DSA (Table 1; see description of haplotypes in Table S1). The concatenated data sets had high haplotypic and low nucleotide diversity, indicating a pattern of rapid and recent diversification. Interestingly, all 19 haplotypes identified in \( L. \) tigrinus samples were exclusive of that population, with no sharing with \( L. \) colocola individuals.

Phylogenetic analyses

All phylogenetic trees constructed with both data sets and both criteria (BI and ML) were congruent with respect to their main topological features. Therefore, only the results of the final analyses, using the relaxed Bayesian approach implemented in BEAST, are shown (Figure 2). Haplotypes identified in all \( L. \) colocola and \( L. \) tigrinus were grouped into a monophyletic cluster with maximum probability based on both data sets. The TMRCAs estimated for this clade with DSA and DSB were very similar, with a mean ranging from 759 to 874 thousand years ago (kya), and 95% credibility intervals between 516 kya and 1.163 Mya. Three samples from central Brazil were placed in a basal cluster relative to all \( L. \) colocola and \( L. \) tigrinus haplotypes, corresponding to two individuals (bLti72 and bLt196) previously recognized as \( L. \) guttatus by Trigo et al. (2013), and one additional sample (bLt209), included in this study. The formation of this cluster, representing \( L. \) guttatus, supports the inference of a possible area of sympatry between this species and \( L. \) tigrinus in central Brazil.

Evidence of strong geographic structure was found in both DSA and DSB trees, highlighting the basal position of haplotypes sampled in western regions of South America, and the identification of a well-supported internal group.
containing all Brazilian and Uruguayan samples of *L. colocola* and all *L. tigrinus* (Figure 2). Brazilian and Uruguayan populations formed the most recent monophyletic cluster based on DSA, and the second based on DSB, with

### Table 1 - Mitochondrial DNA diversity in *Leopardus colocola* and *L. tigrinus*. Diversity estimates are shown for each data set considered (DSA and DSB), and for each segment independently.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mitochondrial Segment</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>L (bp)</th>
<th>V</th>
<th>h</th>
<th>Hd (SD)(^1)</th>
<th>π (SD)(^1)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>ATP8</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DSA</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>133</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.341 (± 0.077)</td>
<td>0.004 (± 0.001)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DSB</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>133</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>0.600 (± 0.063)</td>
<td>0.0109 (± 0.00142)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>ND5</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DSA</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>567</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>0.859 (± 0.025)</td>
<td>0.005 (± 0.0009)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DSB</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>271</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>0.732 (± 0.048)</td>
<td>0.008 (± 0.001)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Cytb</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DSA</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>1028</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>0.878 (± 0.019)</td>
<td>0.00618 (± 0.001)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Control Region</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DSA</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>415</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>0.982 (± 0.007)</td>
<td>0.03475 (± 0.00482)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DSB</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>171</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>0.983 (± 0.006)</td>
<td>0.06965 (± 0.004)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Concatenation</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DSA</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>2143</td>
<td>124</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>0.985 (± 0.007)</td>
<td>0.01624 (± 0.0018)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DSB</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>574</td>
<td>97</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>0.9834 (± 0.0059)</td>
<td>0.02776 (± 0.00386)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes: \(^1\) Calculation performed with complete deletion and \(p\)-distance.

Abbreviations: \(N\) = sequence numbers; \(L\) = sequence length in base pairs (bp); \(V\) = polymorphic sites; \(h\) = number of haplotypes; \(Hd\) = haplotypic diversity; \(π\) = nucleotide diversity; SD = standard deviation.

---

**Figure 2** - Phylogenetic relationships among *Leopardus colocola* and *L. tigrinus* mitochondrial haplotypes, as assessed with dataset A (DSA) and dataset B (DSB), and depicted in Bayesian trees generated with BEAST. Insets depict each of the main nodes, with posterior probability (P) and node age in million years ago, with respective 95% credibility intervals. The five colors associated to *L. colocola* haplotypes are the same used in Figure 4 to highlight the five genetic groups identified in this species. The grey and orange colors indicate the haplotypes found only in *L. tigrinus* individuals.
estimated TMRCA dating from 266 to 287 kya, with a 95% confidence interval of 164 – 406 kya. However, the two data sets resulted in different views of the phylogenetic relationships between this group and those found in the western portion of South America. DSA indicated reciprocal monophyly between western and eastern populations, while DSB rendered the western region paraphyletic in relation to eastern populations. Cossios et al. (2009) also observed this same paraphyletic pattern, and considering that DSB includes a broader geographic representation of \textit{L. colocola} genetic variation, we consider that this phylogenetic resolution is more plausible at the present time.

Within the Brazilian/Uruguayan cluster, two major clades could be recognized, each of them with an internal subdivision into two subgroups, leading to the identification of four different clusters. Clusters 1 and 3 (Figure 2) contain only haplotypes sampled in \textit{L. colocola}, with the former including specimens from central and northeastern Brazil, in addition to one sample from Catamarca/Argentina and one sample of \textit{L. tigrinus} from northeastern Brazil. Cluster 3, on the other hand, included all the haplotypes sampled in \textit{L. colocola} individuals from southern Brazil and Uruguay. Haplotypes found in \textit{L. tigrinus} were subdivided into Clusters 2 and 4, with the majority of \textit{L. tigrinus} from northeastern Brazil being associated to Cluster 2 and \textit{L. tigrinus} from central Brazil to Cluster 4 (Figure 2).

The estimated TMRCAs indicated that the divergence of haplotypes from central and northeastern Brazilian \textit{L. colocola} occurred before the southern Brazilian and Uruguayan diversification, with a mean value of 92 kya for DSA and 104 kya for DSB in the first population, and 66 kya by both data sets for the second population. Divergence of haplotypes found in \textit{L. tigrinus} seems to have occurred approximately at the same time, or shortly before it, with estimated dates ranging from 118 to 70 kya.

Haplotypes from western South America comprised the basal groups and were subdivided into several clusters: 5 and 6 for DSA and 5 – 9 for DSB. A detailed evaluation of DSB indicated that the most basal groups (7, 8 and 9) were restricted to areas in the southern portion of western South America (south of latitude 19º S), including Argentina, Chile and southern Bolivia. Cluster 6 was comprised uniquely by haplotypes sampled in the northern portion of western South America (north of latitude 19º S). Cluster 5 grouped the haplotypes most related to the eastern samples, and presented a mixed composition with individuals sampled in the northern and southern portions of western South America. In particular, this cluster contained samples from latitudes 17 – 25º S, in a partially intermediate geographic position between the northern and southern groups.

Haplotypic relationships

The haplotype networks indicated a similar geographic structure to that observed in the phylogenetic analyses for eastern South America (SA) populations, with central and northeastern \textit{L. colocola} segregated from southern Brazil and Uruguay, and also from \textit{L. tigrinus} (Figure 3A,B). Interestingly, haplotypes found in \textit{L. tigrinus} samples showed a more basal position in both networks in relation to those found in Brazilian and Uruguayan \textit{L. colocola}. In the DSB network (calculated with complete deletion of sites with missing information; Figure 3B), the sequences contained in the groups of central and northeastern Brazil (including \textit{L. colocola} and \textit{L. tigrinus} specimens) had a star-shaped pattern, suggesting a possible demographic expansion, which could be connected to the inferred haplotype diversification.

As also evidenced in the phylogenetic analyses, haplotypes from western SA appeared as the most basal lineages in the phylogeographic structure of \textit{L. colocola}. For
DSA, one haplotype originating from northern Chile (H49) was the most basal, while for DSB, the basal position was occupied mainly by haplotypes from southern portions of the Andes (below latitude 19°S, including Bolivia, Argentina and Chile).

Genetic structure

Genetic structure and gene flow analyses were only performed for DSB, due to its broader coverage of the L. colocola geographic distribution. The results from BAPS analyses performed with DSB were initially unstable and identified 4-5 genetic groups. In all of the five runs performed, two groups were stable and in agreement with the phylogenetic and network analyses, including mostly haplotypes sampled in eastern SA. Haplotypes from western SA were subdivided into 2 or 3 different groups, with an unstable allocation of individuals among different runs.

Considering that these samples comprised mainly the shorter sequences reported by Cossíos et al. (2009), we decided to perform the same analyses with only the segments available for all samples (575 bp), in order to remove some possible noise induced by a large amount of missing data. This strategy led to stable results, with five groups identified with the same combination of haplotypes across all five runs: Group 1 (CNB), including all haplotypes from Clusters 1 and 2 (see Figure 2B) formed by central and northeastern Brazilian samples; Group 2 (SBU), comprising Clusters 3 and 4 with southern Brazilian and Uruguayan samples; Group 3 (SW), with haplotypes sampled in southwestern SA below latitude 19°S, and corresponding to Clusters 7 and 8 in Figure 2B; Group 4 (NW) with haplotypes from northwestern SA (above latitude 19°S), corresponding to Cluster 6, in addition to the two haplotypes from central Chile (H46 and H47), which formed Cluster 9 in the phylogenetic analyses; and Group 5 (CW), including a mixture of haplotypes from the northern and southern parts of western SA (Cluster 5 in Figure 2B) being named here as the central-western population (Figure 4).

Levels of genetic differentiation among these five groups were evaluated through ΦST indices. All resulting values were statistically significant and considered high, indicating a strong genetic differentiation between these clusters (Table 2). The highest ΦST values were obtained in comparisons between the two eastern populations (CNB and SBU) and two of the three western populations (NW and CW), with SW being the western group most closely related to the eastern groups. The lowest ΦST values were found, in general, in comparisons between different western groups, except for NW vs. CW. This was quite intriguing, given the geographic proximity between these groups, but the results obtained for the Mantel test analysis also reflected this pattern. In spite of significant correlations among genetic and geographic distance (full data set: $r = 0.337, P = 0.000$; eastern group: $r = 0.276, P = 0.000$; western group: $r = 0.281, P = 0.000$), the correlation was relatively low mainly due to the occurrence of haplotypes with higher genetic distance but very close geographic origin (see Supplemental Figure S1).

Gene flow

Historical patterns of migration among populations were estimated for a scheme with only four populations. This included the groups identified with the BAPS analysis, with the exclusion of the CW group due to its small sample size. The haplotypes assigned to this group were allocated to the two other subpopulations of western SA according to their geographic origin in relation to latitude 19°S. Effective migration was estimated to be less than one migrant per generation, indicating limited dispersal and gene flow (Table 3). Two predominant directions of migration were observed using DSB, one from western to eastern regions of South America, and another from central and northeastern Brazil to southern Brazil and Uruguay (Table 3 and Figure 4). Estimates of migrant numbers yielded the highest values...
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Table 2 - Pairwise $\Phi_{ST}$ values between defined geographical populations for $L.\ colocola$.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>CNB</th>
<th>SB U</th>
<th>NW</th>
<th>SW</th>
<th>CW</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CNB</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.550</td>
<td>0.663</td>
<td>0.587</td>
<td>0.620</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SB U</td>
<td></td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.813</td>
<td>0.578</td>
<td>0.845</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NW</td>
<td>0.663</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.397</td>
<td>0.614</td>
<td>0.423</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SW</td>
<td>0.587</td>
<td>0.578</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.614</td>
<td>0.042</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CW</td>
<td>0.620</td>
<td>0.845</td>
<td>0.614</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CNB – Central and north-eastern Brazil; SB U – Southern Brazil and Uruguay; NW – north-western South America; SW – South-western South America; CW – Central-western South America.

* $p < 0.0001$ for all comparisons.

between NW and SW, CNB and SBU, and CNB and SW (Table 3).

Demographic history

Mismatch distribution analyses and neutrality tests were used to test the hypothesis of a recent population expansion in $L.\ colocola$. For this, we used only DSB. The mismatch distribution for the entire data set resulted in a bimodal graph, which would be consistent with a heterogeneous genetic composition of the data set. The analyses conducted with western and eastern regions independently revealed a unimodal pattern (although slightly irregular) only for the former, with a mode around 30 differences suggesting a rapid and relatively old population expansion (see Figure S2). On the other hand, Fu’s $F_s$ neutrality test resulted in negative and significant values for both populations analyzed independently and also for the entire data set (entire data set: -24.11; eastern: -15.91; western: -11.17; $p < 0.05$), indicating possible events of demographic expansion in all the assessed data sets.

The Bayesian Skyline plot showed a constant population size until about 300 kya, with a strong signal of population expansion in recent times. For western SA, we observed a strong signal of population expansion starting around 200 kya (Figure 5A). On the other hand, for the eastern SA group, a more recent signal of demographic expansion was detected around 60 – 50 kya (Figure 5B).

Discussion

Phylogenetic relationships and genetic structure

Mitochondrial analyses revealed a strong genetic structure across the $L.\ colocola$ distribution, in agreement with previous studies of this species (Johnson et al., 1999; Napolitano et al., 2008; Cossios et al., 2009). Several monophyletic clusters were identified in the phylogenetic analyses, in strong concordance with the groups found by Cossios et al. (2009) for the central Andes. According to the BAPS analyses, these clusters could be joined into five main genetic groups distributed in west-east and north-south directions. However, these lineages were not completely allopatric, given the co-occurrence of unrelated haplotypes at some localities, mainly in central South America (see Figure 4), as was also reported by Cossios et al. (2009) and Napolitano et al. (2008). This pattern suggests that this particular region presents a more complex history that favored events of longstanding isolation with posterior contact between different populations at different times during the evolution of this species.

Historical connections between the western and eastern portions of the pampas cat distribution seem to have mainly occurred via southern populations of the west. Migration analyses indicated that the highest effective migration occurred from western to eastern populations, especially to central and north-eastern Brazil, probably taking the diagonal dry corridor formed by the interconnection of three tropical/subtropical open biomes: Caatinga (a seasonally dry tropical forest in northeastern Brazil), Cerrado (central Brazilian savanna), and Chaco (in northeastern Argentina, western Paraguay and south-eastern Bolivia).

Table 3 - Estimation of migration rates between geographical populations of $L.\ colocola$ based on mitochondrial DNA from data set B (DSB). Migration rate is scaled by mutation rate per site per generation. $Nm$ is the estimated number of migrants entering a population per generation, and is obtained by multiplication of migration rates vs. theta for the receiving population. The left columns show the highest migration rates estimated for each pair of population, showing the predominant migration directions from western to eastern regions of South America, and from central and northeastern Brazil to southern Brazil and Uruguay.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source population</th>
<th>Receiving population</th>
<th>Migration (95% confidence interval)</th>
<th>$Nm$</th>
<th>Source population</th>
<th>Receiving population</th>
<th>Migration (95% confidence interval)</th>
<th>$Nm$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NW</td>
<td>CNB</td>
<td>5.499 (0.010 – 24.701)</td>
<td>0.154</td>
<td>CNB</td>
<td>NW</td>
<td>5.149 (0.010 – 24.289)</td>
<td>0.293</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SW</td>
<td>CNB</td>
<td>7.552 (0.010 – 30.288)</td>
<td>0.211</td>
<td>CNB</td>
<td>SW</td>
<td>1.991 (0.010 – 9.288)</td>
<td>0.234</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NW</td>
<td>SBU</td>
<td>32.725 (0.010 – 158.245)</td>
<td>0.087</td>
<td>SBU</td>
<td>NW</td>
<td>4.171 (0.010 – 21.359)</td>
<td>0.237</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SW</td>
<td>SBU</td>
<td>42.116 (0.010 – 210.927)</td>
<td>0.112</td>
<td>SBU</td>
<td>SW</td>
<td>2.057 (0.010 – 9.836)</td>
<td>0.242</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NW</td>
<td>SBU</td>
<td>10.907 (0.010 – 44.687)</td>
<td>0.619</td>
<td>NW</td>
<td>SW</td>
<td>6.119 (0.010 – 18.975)</td>
<td>0.721</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CNB</td>
<td>SBU</td>
<td>82.371 (0.010 – 312.711)</td>
<td>0.219</td>
<td>SBU</td>
<td>CNB</td>
<td>15.002 (0.010 – 45.779)</td>
<td>0.420</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

NW – North-western South America
SW – South-western South America;
CNB – Central and North-eastern Brazil
SBU – Southern Brazil and Uruguay
Several studies document the importance of this biome connection to the migration and gene flow of open-habitat vertebrates (Werneck et al., 2012 and references therein), and our data suggest that this region may have been important for the establishment of the historical relationships between western and eastern pampas cat populations. A high migration rate was also estimated for the eastern portion of South America, from central/northeastern Brazil to southeastern Brazil/Uruguay. In the past, this historical migration could be favored during glacial periods associated with the reduction of forested environments (Behling, 1998, 2002) that subsequently have likely isolated these two populations.

In spite of the migration results, recent gene flow between the five lineages identified here seems to be relatively low, taking into account their high genetic differentiation, especially between eastern and western regions of South America. In fact, although haplotype co-occurrence was identified at some localities, the five lineages identified here were almost completely segregated geographically.

Among the three major groups identified in western South America, the northwestern one was mainly associated to the Montane Grasslands of Peru and northern Bolivia, while the southwestern one was documented in a variety of biomes, including the temperate grasslands (Patagonian Steppe), Mediterranean Forests and Montane grasslands of Argentina, southern Bolivia and Chile. The boundary between these two populations apparently lies around parallel 18-19° S as was also documented by Cossios et al. (2009). The third group (central-western) was identified around 17° – 25° S, at an intermediate position between the former two populations, in a transitional zone between highland steppes to dry forested lowlands (García-Perey, 1994).

The latitudes comprising the central-western population and the limit between the occurrence of the north and southwestern ones, approximately correspond to a specific dry subtropical region of the Andes, known as the “dry diagonal” (Ammann et al., 2001; Kull et al., 2002). According to those authors, this area is characterized by extremely dry conditions and constitutes a transitional zone between two circulation systems found in South America, which have specific impacts on the climate of this area, leading to a northern region with higher precipitation and a southern region with low precipitation. These different local environmental conditions in the north and south, an also inside the dry diagonal, may have favored the evolution of differently adapted forms. In fact, this region has already been suggested to have played an important role in determining the genetic structure of other Andean species, such as the vicuña (Vicugna vicugna) (Marín et al., 2007). Interestingly, genetic differentiation found between the north and southwestern populations was lower than those found between these same populations and the central-western group that seems to be geographically closer. This pattern might indicate that some historical gene flow was present between the northern and southern populations along the Andes, with longer periods of isolation relative to the central-western population.

In eastern regions of pampas cat occurrence, the two identified populations were also subdivided according to different biomes. Northern populations are restricted to two Brazilian biomes, Pantanal (humid grasslands connected to the Chaco biome [although there is no evidence of L. colocolo in the Chaco – Cuellar et al., 2006, Lucherini et al., 2016]) and Cerrado, while southern populations are restricted to the Pampas steppes of southern Brazil, Uruguay and northeastern Argentina. Although higher values of genetic differentiation were generally found between eastern and western populations, the genetic differentiation found between these eastern lineages was also quite high. In fact, no haplotype found in L. colocola specimens was shared or found in sympatry between these two populations (exceptions included only the haplotypes found in L. tigrinus individuals who carried some haplotypes from the southern group).

Probably the gene flow between these two populations was interrupted by the return of forested environments in Brazil around 17 kya in the Late Pleistocene (Ledu et al., 1996). In addition, the southeastern population restricted to the Pampas biome seems to be the most
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isolated unit under present conditions. No geographic pop-
ulation shared any haplotype with this unit, and despite its
graphic proximity to the southwestern group, a high ge-
etic differentiation was found between these populations. 
Although the absence of haplotype sharing with other re-
gions could be only due to a sampling artifact, current geo-
graphic conditions contribute to the interpretation of an 
isolated unit under present conditions. No geographic pop-
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Finally, our data corroborate those reported by John-
son et al. (1999), by indicating that the Andean region may
not present a strong barrier to this species, since haplotypes
from the same lineage/group were found on both sides of
the Cordillera. This is not necessarily surprising, since the
Andean Cordillera seems also not to be an effective barrier
to gene flow even for some small rodents (e.g., Smith et al.,
2001; Palma et al., 2005; Rodriguez-Serrano et al., 2006;
Cañón et al., 2010). On the other hand, the Paraná River, a
tributary of the Plata River Basin, seems to be a more effec-
tive barrier for L. colocola, as it is for other large mammals,
such as the marsh deer Blastocerus dichotomus (Márquez
et al., 2006).

Demographic history and hybridization/introgression
with L. tigrinus

Two episodes of demographic expansion were de-
tected by our analyses: one older in western South America
(around 200 kya), and one more recent in eastern SA (60-50
kya). These episodes were supported by the skyline plots
and neutrality tests, even though they were not as visible in
the mismatch distribution analyses. This latter result could
be attributed to an insufficient sampling, or a more complex
demography history in addition to a simple event of popula-
tion expansion. In fact, the haplotype networks depicted
few cases consistent with an expansion pattern, indicating a
more complex history of pampas cat populations. The esti-
at ed ages for these demographic events, in addition to the
results gleaned from the networks, phylogenetic and migra-
tion rate analyses, support the inference that ancestral haplotypes from pampas cat occurred in western South America, especially in the southwestern portion of the con-
tinent. Nevertheless, additional evidence from the haplo-
type networks illustrate the complexity of pampas cat
mtDNA history, with lineages/groups found in the north
and central-west areas apparently derived from those found
in central Brazil. This phylogeographic pattern suggests a
demographic colonization from southwestern to central
Brazil, possibly via the dry corridor, with a posterior colo-
nization of the western regions in the northern part of the
continent.

The estimated age for both events of population ex-
pansion were coincident with two independent Pleistocene
colder periods (Ehlers and Gibbard, 2007). This Quaternary
epoch seems to play an important role in the biogeographic
pattern of several organisms, mainly due to the strong cli-
matic fluctuations inferred for that time, with important
consequences on environment conditions (Hewitt, 1996,
2001, 2004). In general, interglacial periods characterized
by more humid and warmer conditions were associated
with demographic expansion of forest-adapted species.
However, the association of colder and drier conditions of
the glacial periods with demographic expansion of open-habitat organism is not so clear, with different species pre-
senting variable responses to these climatic oscillations
(Turchetto-Zolet et al., 2013).

In Brazil, the age of the most recent expansion in-
ferred for L. colocola is coincident with a colder and drier
period in the country, which was associated with a retrac-
tion of forested environments and expansion of subtropical
grasslands (Ledru et al., 1996; Behling, 1998, 2002). Addi-
tionally, some studies have also demonstrated a possible
correlation between grassland expansions favored in gla-
cial periods and geographic movements of open-habitat
plants (Jakob et al., 2009; Cosacov et al., 2010) and ani-
mals (Wüster et al., 2005; Marín et al., 2007; Prado et al.,
2012). Therefore, we conclude that this open-habitat cat
may have expanded its geographic distribution into previ-
sously unavailable areas, following the establishment of
more open habitats.

The demographic history of L. colocola became even
more complex when we examined the haplotypes found in
L. tigrinus individuals. Interestingly, these haplotypes
seem to be ancestral to those currently found in L. colocola
from Brazil, Uruguay and north and central-western popu-
lations, thus constituting an important record of the evolu-
tionary history of this species. This pattern, in addition to
the absence of haplotype sharing between L. tigrinus and L.
colocola individuals, is highly intriguing and suggests that
the introgression process from the latter species into L.
tigrinus is quite old. The recognition of an ancient process
would explain the intermediate position of L. tigrinus
haplotypes, indicating that introgression events occurred
before the geographic expansion and differentiation of east-
ern populations. In fact, according to our estimated dates,
the diversification of L. colocola haplotypes from eastern
South America occurred at a similar time as the diversifica-
tion of L. tigrinus haplotypes (mean values around 118 – 70
kya for L. tigrinus and 100 – 60 kya for L. colocola). Ther-
efore, we postulate that hybridization/introgression events
occurred in central Brazil soon after the colonization of
eastern South America, thus being connected with the first
demographic expansion detected in this study. The L.
colocola haplotypes present in the individuals that arrived
in eastern SA seem to remain only (likely bearing addi-
tional mutations) in the L. tigrinus population, and may
have become extinct in the current eastern populations of L.
colocola.
Whether hybridization between *L. tigrinus* and *L. colocolo*, leading to complete mitochondrial introgression into the former species, occurred before, during, or after the divergence of *L. tigrinus* and *L. guttatus* is still uncertain. Available estimates for divergence of these species are 103 kya – 1.038 Mya (Trigo et al., 2013). This estimation would indicate that *L. tigrinus* and *L. guttatus* diverged before the introgression from *L. colocolo* into the former. However, this estimate was obtained based on only two introns of the Y chromosome containing few variable sites, leading to an extremely broad confidence interval. Considering the minimum value (103 kya), hybridization and introgression from *L. colocolo* could have occurred at an early stage of the divergence between the two tigrina species, and thus could have played a role in this divergence process.

**Taxonomic considerations**

Three distinct species have been proposed for the pampas cat by Garcia-Perea (1994): *Leopardus colocolo*, *Leopardus pajeros* and *L. braccatus*. This proposed classification was followed by Wozencraft (2005) and extended by Nascimento (2010, Doctoral thesis, Universidade de São Paulo, São Paulo). Previous molecular studies (Johnson et al., 1999; Napolitano et al., 2008; Cossios et al., 2009) did observe strong population structure but did not find support for this species-level categorization, given the recent estimated age of the inferred phylogroups. These earlier molecular studies were based on limited geographic coverage, which in some cases precluded a more comprehensive comparison with the morphological proposals. Given the broader sampling we achieved in the present study, we attempt here an extended comparison with specific and subspecific categories proposed for the pampas cat group.

*L. colocolo* recognized by Garcia-Perea (1994) was subdivided into two subspecies: *L. c. wolffsohni* from the highland steppes of northern Chile and *L. c. colocolo* from forests of central Chile. According to our results, samples collected in northern Chile harbored a haplotype that is clearly connected to populations found in the southwestern region on the eastern slope of the Andes, in a group that is recognized as *L. pajeros* by Garcia-Perea (1994). In addition, Cossios et al. (2009) demonstrated that sequences obtained by Napolitano et al. (2008) for northern Chile form a clear monophyletic group with haplotypes representing the central-western population identified by our data. These findings indicate that the population in northern Chile, in spite of the Andean Cordillera, is closely related to pampas cat from the eastern Andes, thus not supporting its recognition as a distinct species.

On the other hand, samples evaluated here from central Chile, corresponding to *L. c. colocolo*, present more complex relationships. While one sample was clearly associated to the southwestern group, in a pattern similar to that obtained from northern Chile across all analyses, two other samples were more closely assigned to southern or northwestern populations, depending on the analysis performed. These results indicate a more unstable position of this population, requiring a larger sample to define its phylogenetic position more precisely.

For *L. pajeros*, seven subspecies were recognized by Garcia-Perea (1994), although the author herself recognized that only three groups (northern, central and southern) could be found along this taxon’s geographic distribution, taking into account habitat and morphological similarities. The northern portion of the *L. pajeros* distribution was represented by three subspecies: *L. p. thomasi* from Ecuador, *L. p. garleppi* from Peru and *L. p. steinbachi* from Bolivia. Unfortunately, we did not have access to samples representing *L. p. thomasi* and *L. p. steinbachi*, but the geographic distribution of *L. p. garleppi* is in great concordance with the northwestern group found in this study, this taxon might also be a synonym of garleppi.

The central and southern distribution of *L. pajeros* includes the subspecies *L. p. budini* and *L. p. crespoi* from montane areas of northwestern Argentina, *L. p. pajeros* from central Argentina with a possible boundary in the Pampa Province of Argentina, around latitude 38°S, and *L. p. crucinus* from southern Chile and Argentina. *L. p. crespoi* is only known from the type specimen and Garcia-Perea (1994) considered that this may be a synonym of *L. p. budini* due to morphological and habitat similarities. The geographic distribution of these two subspecies approximates the central-western population identified in our study, in a transitional area between highland steppes and lowland dry forests. The geographic distribution of *L. p. pajeros* strongly corresponds to the southern group found in our analyses, with only an extension of its distribution over that which would be recognized as *L. p. crucinus*, approximately around latitude 41° S. However, additional sampling from areas south of this area would be important to evaluate the existence of an additional subspecies in southernmost Argentina and Chile.

The eastern populations of pampas cat were subdivided by Garcia-Perea (1994) into two subspecies of *L. braccatus*: *L. b. braccatus* for Central Brazil and *L. b. munoi* for southern Brazil, Uruguay and northeastern Argentina. According to that author, morphological differences in skulls are not clear for these subspecies, but there are relevant distinctions in coat pattern and body size. In agreement with this view, our results indicate a strong ge-
netic differentiation between these populations, indicating that they consist of two separate evolutionary units.

Overall, our study allowed the identification of at least five genetic groups in *L. colocola*, which were largely consistent with currently recognized subspecies (Kitchener et al., 2017). This organization is also mostly in agreement with the results obtained by Nascimento (2010, Doctoral thesis, Universidade de São Paulo, São Paulo), although that author considered all these populations to represent distinct species. Based on our data, the pampas cat can so far be viewed conservatively as a complex species with marked population structure across its range. The evolutionary history of this cat seems to have been defined by vicariant isolation of lineages during lengthy periods, followed by population expansion into new habitats or into previously inhabited areas, leading to new secondary contact zones and the complex genetic patterns observed here. Additional analyses, integrating mitochondrial and nuclear data, as well as detailed morphological and ecological information, will be required to further assess the hypothesis that these regional pampas cat populations have achieved species-level distinctiveness. Regardless of the ultimate resolution of this taxonomic discussion, for conservation purposes these regional populations should be considered distinct Evolutionarily Significant Units (ESUs), and thus be the focus of separate assessment and management actions.
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