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Abstract: The lack of skilled labor is a major constraint within the civil construction sector. Major works on the subject show that despite the increasing efforts to improve the workforce in recent years, many have proven unsatisfactory. Given employers’ high demand for qualified employees, we created a training program based on Andragogy, a theory for adult learning. There are numerous contributions to the field literature seeking to understand how adults learn, but they fail to provide practical applications of Andragogy, mainly regarding continuous professional education. Thus, we followed training programs focused on construction workers in order to analyze whether andragогical assumptions have been employed. Questionnaires were applied to determine the degree to which students apply each principle of learning for adults. Next, we developed a training program for structural masonry, divided into two courses in order to identify difficulties and the application of Andragogy through the actions proposed. This enables us to endorse the importance of taking this theory into consideration in courses focused on civil construction, since satisfactory outcomes were reached in terms of learning and motivation for participation in the program.
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1 Introduction

The building and construction industry is still characterized by a low degree of mechanization and extensive use of manpower. Thus, interventions to improve the workforce have been seen as a strategic issue for companies, because with new construction technology, better quality products do not depend only on techniques or equipment, but to a large measure on the way employees work.

A number of initiatives have been carried out in the sector to help workers obtain new skills, implemented by specialized training agencies, the building material industry, and by construction companies themselves.
However, according to data presented by ABRAMAT (2007), these efforts still fall short of what is required.

In addition, despite the higher investments in workforce skills since 2007, most companies still rate the training programs as unsatisfactory.

The findings of the study developed by Oliveira (2010) clarify that, from the point of view of employees, many aspects of courses or training do not encourage learning, and may be responsible for the lack of success of these workers. The main negative points were: use of repetitive content, lack of student participation in the discussion of themes, theme definition unrelated to the work environment, and lack of a good relationship between instructor and students.

Therefore, it is essential to disseminate methodologies to improve training for the public in this sector. Although the literature on Andragogy—the science of how adults learn—addresses various theoretical studies, most do not have practical application. Few are focused on professional education, which needs a revision of current proposals, centering it on the subjects and their circumstances.

Therefore, this work seeks to contribute to the development of civil construction training programs, using Andragogy as a means to better meet the training needs of this public.

To that end, we first sought to understand how the process has been taking place in the sector by conducting case studies. The objective was to verify if the theory is being inserted into the programs, as well as to analyze how learners evaluate the contributions of this theory to the courses.

Next, a training proposal is presented, as well as its application in two situations. The objective was to understand how this theory can be applied in civil construction, in order to help in the development of the new profile required by the current market scenario.

2 Concepts about Andragogy

Two models appear in education research to describe how individuals learn: Andragogy and pedagogy.

The term Andragogy is derived from the Greek words “andros”, which means man, and “gogia”, which means to guide or lead. Thus, it means leading adults. The term pedagogy is derived from the Greek words “paidós” (child) and “gogia”, that is, child learning (Draganov et al., 2011).

Andragogy differs from pedagogy in that it has a specific methodology and is aimed at higher age groups. The main difference is that the former takes into account the tacit knowledge and experience accumulated by adults throughout life. Because it is strongly linked to qualification for work, it considers professional experience as a fundamental element of its educational methods (Arroyo, 1996).

Henschke (1998) posited that Andragogy seeks to identify how adults learn, and how to involve them in the learning process. Unlike pedagogy, it is centered on the idea that students are encouraged to bring their experiences to the class.

Wlodkowski (2008) and Moore (2010) comment that adult learning tends to be more complex because of the variables that influence it, such as teaching styles, motivation to participate, cultural issues, self-esteem, learning experiences, and personal problems.

Knowles (1995) based Andragogy on the following six assumptions, which he considered essential principles of adult learning:

1) The learners’ self-concept: adults take responsibility for their own decisions and life, feeling the need to be treated as self-directed. Thus, they resist situations where they feel that others are imposing their will on them;

2) The role of prior experiences: adults have a pool of experience accumulated throughout their life, and would like to apply them in the classroom to help in understanding the subject being studied;

3) Readiness to learn: adults are ready to learn what they need to know to perform better in real-life situations;

4) Orientation to learning: the interests of adults are directed towards the development of the skills they use in their social life or their profession;

5) The need for knowledge: adults need to know why they need to learn something. They direct their life and learning interests and learn more effectively if the subject matter is meaningful;

6) Motivation to learn: adults respond better to internal motivations (self-esteem, higher quality of life, desire for greater job satisfaction), which are more intense than external ones (better jobs, promotions, pay increases). If the students are not motivated to learn, they do not attend class and may drop out of the course.

Some researchers have seen Andragogy as a theory of adult education, while others see it as an approach, a set of principles, a set of assumptions, or a guideline for educational practice. Regardless of the definition, it contributes greatly to adult education (Chan, 2010).

In this sense, although this science has its flaws, it is still the most student-centered of all educational programs (Rodgers, 2000).
The andragogical model is procedural. Thus, the facilitator prepares in advance a set of procedures to involve learners, which according to Knowles et al. (2011) should contain some specific elements. That is, the operationalization of the six principles can be verified through the elements of the process of Andragogy, which were studied and presented by Knowles (1995) in his work *Designs for Adult Learning*, and later explained by Damião (1996), Gitterman (2004), Nogueira (2004), and Illeris (2006). Chart 1 presents a summary of these authors’ descriptions of each of the elements.

The related literature presents various studies about professional formation, management by competences, and Andragogy, but separately. In analyzing these concepts, it is possible to see that the subjects supplement each other.

Goes & Pilatti (2012) emphasize the importance of studying a system of vocational training that includes competences (knowledge, skills, and attitudes) transmitted through Andragogy.

Ovando (1990), Levenson et al. (2006) and Antonello (2007) cite two reasons for studying Andragogy as a way of developing knowledge, skills, and attitudes. The first is that professional training is more self-directed and must meet the objectives of all the subjects involved in the process. Second, the current labor market situation requires a review of current qualification procedures and proposals, focused on the subjects and their circumstances.

There is a lack of studies on vocational training, although the theory of Andragogy is fundamental to all adult learning situations (Wilson, 2005). Most of the work is focused on formal education, presenting theoretical discussions about the importance of theory for the learning process, and few practical studies can be found that contribute to the understanding of how it can be operationalized.

Some studies in the area of formal education sought to identify typical adults’ preferences between the andragogical and pedagogical theories. In this area, research with undergraduate students by Delahaye et al. (1994) and Zaidatun et al. (2008) can be highlighted. Research developed with this same approach can be found in professional education, such as that conducted by Ismail & Azman (2010). The results show that some students may prefer both theories concomitantly because they require some kind of guidance from their teachers.

Wilson (2005) conducted a study of graduate students with the objective of developing and validating an instrument to measure the use of Andragogy in the learning process. From this instrument, other research works examined the extent to which this theory has been used in formal education. Along the same lines, the research of Shinoda et al. (2011) can be cited

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Elements of the process</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Preparing learners</td>
<td>Adult learners should be prepared to be self-directed, as most may still be conditioned to depend on a teacher.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Setting the climate</td>
<td>The teaching environment should be pleasant and informal. While the physical climate must have adequate accessibility, toilets, comfortable chairs, good acoustics, and ventilation, the adequate psychological environment is achieved through the clear definition of the objectives, openness to questions, and tolerance for errors.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Planning</td>
<td>The student should be involved, in collaboration with the facilitator, in planning the course actions. People feel more involved when they participate in decision making.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diagnosing learning</td>
<td>The learners’ perception of what they intend to achieve is the beginning of the construction of the competency model. This favors self-motivation, self-assessment, and reflection. To that end, it is important to identify the points that must be worked out in concert with the students in the learning process.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Formulation of learning objectives</td>
<td>After identifying the needs, the learning objectives should be defined. This step consists in transforming the identified needs into measurable objectives.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Designing learning plans</td>
<td>The creation of the learning program should allow the students to decide on the learning goals they would like to achieve. The plans should include the activities to be carried out, the methodologies that will be used, and the duration of these activities.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Operating the program</td>
<td>This means carrying out the learning activities planned. A crucial factor in the operation of the program is the teacher. Teachers should be trained to adhere to the principles of andragogy. Techniques that employ the experience of adults are more effective than transmission techniques.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Evaluating learning outcomes</td>
<td>At this stage, the results should be evaluated and the learning needs re-diagnosed. It is important not only to collect data about how students feel after the learning process and what they feel is missing or unclear, but also to perform pre-tests to assess specific gains in relation to the changes noted in the learner.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Chart 1. Elements of the andragogical process.
in postgraduate teaching in administration, which found that most andragogical process elements are not considered.

Similarly, studies by Scalabrin (2007) and Hopstock (2008) sought to verify compliance with the principles of Andragogy in professional training courses in various areas, noting non-compliance with its principles.

Thus, from the analysis of the literature, it is possible to understand that the research focus was limited to the identification of preferences between theories, or compliance with the principles. And of the studies cited, none refers to the construction sector. In this sense, the contribution of the proposal presented in this work is the discussion on ways to apply the theory in courses for the field of civil construction.

3 Research method

This study is part of a project entitled Integrative System for Design and Execution of Building Systems in Modular Masonry Coordination (SISMOD), developed in partnership between the federal universities of three states (Alagoas, Ceará, and Santa Catarina) and the intervening company S3ENG Inteligência Aplicada à Engenharia, of Santa Catarina. This project, developed through Brazil’s research funding agency (FINEP), aims to develop an integrative system (software) applied to the design and production of building systems in social interest masonry housing programs, based on modular coordination and connectivity of components in the context of open industrialization. It has several goals, notably the creation of a training model for the workforce, the methodology and results of which constitute the focus of this work.

Thus, to define the training program, the stages presented in Figure 1 were defined.

According to Figure 1, this study contemplates the development of a training program, applied in two companies, as a way of discussing the inserted actions and their results. It was generated from the literature review and case studies in civil construction training courses.

4 Case studies

This stage had the objective of analyzing how Andragogy is applied in some training experiences in civil construction. We tried to analyze the influence of each principle on the students’ satisfaction with the courses.

To this end, the case study was adopted as a research method, since according to Yin (2001), it is recommended for situations in which one tries to relate several aspects of the same phenomenon. It should be used when a single- or multi-case depth analysis is desired. Hence, we analyzed ten civil construction courses offered by an institution specializing in training for the industry’s development. All courses were offered in the western region of the state of Paraná, in the cities of Cascavel, Toledo, Vera Cruz, and Catanduvas. Data collection took place between August of 2013 and August of 2014. Table 1 presents a characterization of each.

The courses were taught by eight different instructors, because one instructor taught courses 2 and 5, and another taught courses 4 and 9. Of the eight instructors, two have taught for more than five years, while the other six have less than three years of experience. All of them work in the area related to the course.

A total of 183 students participated in the program, of which 51% worked in civil construction. The predominant age group was 30 to 50 years.

![Figure 1. Stages of the study.](image-url)
followed by 20 to 30 years (31%) and then 50 to 55 years.

4.1 Analysis of the andragogical elements in the courses

To identify how the elements of Andragogy were contemplated in the courses examined, the technique of direct observation was used. This observation consists of a detailed analysis for the collection of information (Martins, 2008). In an observational study, the observer gathers data through direct contact with the phenomena observed (Chizzotti, 2003), and describes them without interfering (Gonçalves, 2005).

The courses were analyzed in order to identify actions in relation to each andragogical elements (Chart 1). To that end, the researchers attended the classes, recording the actions in relation to each element.

The monitoring was carried out during several moments (beginning of the course, theoretical and practical classes, and evaluations), to guarantee the recording of all the steps. The following is a description of each observed element.

- Learners’ preparation: no actions were identified to teach students to be self-directed. At the beginning of each course the proposal was presented, and students were not allowed to indicate how they would like it to be conducted;
- Climate: two courses did not meet this item satisfactorily because of poor lighting, uncomfortable chairs, and improvised rooms. Students were not always allowed to ask questions, and the content was transmitted continuously in the theoretical classes. As positive points, coffee was offered in a course before the presentation, an opportunity for the instructor and students to talk informally;
- Program planning: the participation of the students in planning the actions, such as suggesting themes and ways of conducting the activities, was not considered in any course;
- Diagnosis of needs, formulation of objectives, and design of learning plans: no actions were observed to identify the points to be covered with students, or to establish content based on their experiences and by identifying gaps in their knowledge;
- Program operation: according to information obtained from instructors, none of them received specific training for working with adults. Of the eight instructors, only two participated in pedagogical meetings;
- Evaluation of the program: two types of evaluation were carried out, to measure students’ satisfaction with the course and evaluation of the knowledge obtained, through written tests and practical applications in the laboratory.

It was possible to verify that none of the andragogical elements were used correctly. It is worth mentioning that, in short courses, the initial stage (involving the students in the planning or diagnosis of needs) can be hampered by lack of time.

Likewise, engaging the student in program planning can be one of the most difficult steps to operationalize, considering the need to have contact with the audience before the course. During the courses attended, the students registered, and the next contact with the instructor occurred at the beginning of the course. However, allowing the student to participate in the planning presented at the beginning, allowing changes, or choosing between some alternatives, can be a solution to make the process better conform to the indicated procedures for adult learning situations.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Courses</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>No. of hours</th>
<th>Period</th>
<th>Days of week</th>
<th>No. of students</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>01</td>
<td>Crane Operator and signalman</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>Evening/Day</td>
<td>Tues / Wed / Thu / Sat</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>02</td>
<td>Ceramic coating specialist</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>Evening</td>
<td>Tues-Thurs</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>03</td>
<td>Ceramic coating specialist</td>
<td>160</td>
<td>Evening</td>
<td>Mon-Thurs</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>04</td>
<td>Ceramic coating specialist</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>Evening</td>
<td>Tues-Thurs</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>05</td>
<td>Masonry bricklayer</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>Evening</td>
<td>Wed-Fri</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>06</td>
<td>Masonry bricklayer</td>
<td>160</td>
<td>Evening</td>
<td>Mon-Thurs</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>07</td>
<td>Ceramic coating specialist</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>Evening</td>
<td>Tue / Wed / Thu / Sat</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>08</td>
<td>Home electrician</td>
<td>160</td>
<td>Evening</td>
<td>Mon-Fri</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>09</td>
<td>Masonry bricklayer</td>
<td>160</td>
<td>Day</td>
<td>Mon-Thurs</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Hydraulic installer</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>Evening</td>
<td>Mon &amp; Fri</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
As a result, the analysis is presented below from the point of view of the students participating in the courses.

4.2 Analysis of andragogical principles contemplated in the courses

To identify whether the andragogical principles were being satisfactorily used in the courses examined, a questionnaire was applied to the participants, adapted from the study by Wilson (2005). Next, the validation was performed to verify the analysis of the understanding of items (semantic analysis), and the relevance of the items to the construct they represent (content analysis).

For the semantic analysis, the questionnaire was applied to a group of civil construction employees to identify difficulties in understanding the items. After the reformulation, the content was validated through evaluation by the judges. This stage was carried out by a group of eight researchers from the area of training and management of civil construction, with knowledge in human resources management, and a group of eight professionals from the field of pedagogy, with experience in professional education.

According to Alexandre & Coluci (2011), there is no consensus in the literature regarding the ideal number of judges, with between six and twenty variously suggested.

The judges’ task was to analyze the issues, verifying their association with the assessed principle, indicating their agreement or non-agreement. In order to consider the validity of the content, items that reached at least 70% of concordance among the judges were used (Pasquali, 2004). Next, the questionnaire was reformulated, with 24 questions on a scale of 0 to 10: never (0); little (1, 2, 3); medium (4, 5, 6); and very (7, 8, 9, 10).

The questions were clustered into five groups because, according to the judges’ analysis, principles 3 and 4 are complementary, and suggested the combination of the items to avoid repetition of concepts. Thus, the instrument was divided into the following items: Principle 1 – Learners’ self-concept (5 questions); Principle 2 - The role of learners’ experience (4 questions); Principle 3 - Readiness to learn and orientation to learning (7 questions); Principle 4 - The need for knowledge (4 questions); Principle 5 - Motivation to learn (4 questions). The questionnaire is presented in the Appendix A to this paper.

The questionnaire was applied at the end of each course. At that time, the reaction evaluation (questionnaire used by the institution that was offering the course) was also carried out on content, teacher, material, resources, and structure. Before each student responded, the purpose and method of completion was explained. The profile of the participants and of the instructor was also collected through a specific form.

4.2.1 Influence of principles on course satisfaction

To identify the influence of the andragogical principles on course satisfaction, the results of the questionnaires were subjected to a multiple linear regression analysis—a statistical method that uses the relationship between two or more variables, so that one variable can be estimated from the others (Dancey & Reidy, 2006).

This model assesses the significance of an independent variable through the P-Value Test, comparing its value for each independent variable with a given confidence level, which, in the case of this work, was 5%. Thus, the smaller the value of P is, the more significant is the variable for the model. For the analysis conducted, the dependent variable was the reaction to the course, and the independent variables were the principles of Andragogy.

Multicollinearity analysis was also performed, which is associated with the correlation between the independent variables. A multiple linear regression model assumes that the explanatory variables are linearly independent (without multicollinearity). For this verification, the Variance Inflation Factor (VIF) values were analyzed, considering that the closer to zero they are, the lower the multicollinearity. As acceptance criterion, values of VIF to 10 are considered. From these values, the existence of multicollinearity is considered (Pestana & Gageiro, 2000).

After the outlier detection procedures and checking for normality of the variables, the sample remained at 175 observations. Table 2 shows the results of the multiple linear regression analysis, considering the five variables.

It can be seen that principles 2, 3, and 4 were associated, and contributed significantly to course satisfaction (p value below 5%). The results indicate that for each increase of one unit in principles 2, 3, and 4, there is an increase in satisfaction with the course of 0.116, 0.492, and 0.867, respectively.

### Table 2. Multiple Linear Regression.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>β</th>
<th>P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Principle 1</td>
<td>0.042</td>
<td>0.435</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Principle 2</td>
<td>0.116</td>
<td>0.004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Principle 3</td>
<td>0.492</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Principle 4</td>
<td>0.867</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Principle 5</td>
<td>0.063</td>
<td>0.633</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

R²=0.896, R² Adjusted=0.892, P of model < 0.0001
The results showed that the three principles were positively related to satisfaction with the course. In this sense, the more students’ experience is considered (Principle 2), discussed, and respected, the more the students are satisfied with the course.

Likewise, the more the course is associated with situations that occur in practice, being important for the correct performance of the function (Principle 3), and the better the importance of what is being learned is explained (Principle 4), the greater the satisfaction with the course.

Principle 1, which refers to the need to be self-directed, indicating how one would like to learn or conduct one’s learning activities, was not significant for course satisfaction ($P = 0.435$). Thus, for students who participated in the research, it was not important to be self-directed, to decide how to learn in training courses.

Principle 5, which refers to motivation, was also not associated with satisfaction with the course. Students are more satisfied with the way the course is conducted, considering their experiences, helping to solve day-to-day problems, and understanding why the course is important, than with the results in terms of the benefits they can obtain with the course.

### 4.2.2 Compliance with the principles

Figure 2 presents the results assigned by the students to each principle, analyzed individually. The outer line, in blue, represents the maximum score that the students could assign to the principle, and the red represents the assigned score.

It is possible to see that the principles were not fulfilled totally, from the point of view of the students. For principle 1, on average, 52% of the maximum score that could be obtained was reached. In other words, students were not allowed to indicate how they would like to learn, present suggestions, and opinions.

Principle 2, which refers to the learner’s experience, reached 58.6% of the maximum score. Thus, in the students’ opinion, their experiences were not always discussed to help in understanding the course.

Regarding principle 3, students responded that the subjects were associated with situations they need...
to know to perform the function, reaching 69.8% of the maximum score.

Principle 4, which refers to the need for knowledge, reached just over 50% of the maximum score, indicating that the courses did not make clear the importance of what was being studied.

Principle 5, which refers to motivation, was the one that most approached the maximum scores. That is, the students were motivated to participate, depending on their internal or external motivations.

The case studies made it possible to verify that the elements of Andragogy were not included in the planning of the courses examined, as most of the principles were not satisfactorily met.

The courses are still developed with the pedagogical focus, with the content being transmitted in a continuous way by the teacher, without considering the needs of the students. In this sense, this study confirms the importance of teacher training to ensure that the elements and principles are met. This is because—with the exception of the motivation principle, which depends more on the student’s internal motivations and personal interests—the concepts of Andragogy depend on the instructor’s performance.

Next, we present the considerations used in the creation of the training course, using the adult learning theory.

5 Definition of the capacity-building course

Following the conduction of the case studies, as well as the literature analysis, a training program for the civil construction field was proposed. It was then applied to two groups of employees from two structural masonry projects, seeking to gather information about the operationalization of the program and difficulties encountered.

The selected projects are four-story structural masonry buildings, one of which is built with ceramic blocks, under construction in the city of Fortaleza, Ceará, shown in Figure 3, and the other with concrete blocks, under construction in Maceió, Alagoas, shown in Figure 4.

The courses were attended by 37 employees, responsible for various stages of the project such as block setting, application of grout, framing, as well as master builders and contractors.

Next, the steps of the program are described, as suggested by Ferreira (2012). Subsequently, the actions of Andragogy inserted in each one are presented.

5.1 Analysis

In this step, the characteristics of the profile to be trained were identified, considering Brazil’s NBR 15968: 2011 (ABNT, 2011), which addresses the mason’s professional profile. Therefore, the proposed program includes competencies 1 and 5, which relate to planning and organizing one’s own workplace and performing structural masonry.

5.2 Planning

In this stage, the first proposal was defined:

- Objectives: to train employees through the competences, abilities, and attitudes necessary for the execution of structural masonry work;
- Needs: from an evaluation in the works, a survey was made on how the services were being executed. To that end, a form was developed that contemplates the steps for execution of the masonry work, considering knowledge, skills, and attitudes, according to NBR 15968: 2011 (ABNT, 2011). Through this form, a group of employees from each project was accompanied, for a period of a month and a half, before the course. For each item of the form it was noted whether the procedure was performed properly, using the scale: meets (2), partially meets (1), and does not meet (0). Six measurements were taken before each course, which were used to diagnose the initial needs of the training. It is worth mentioning that the employees were not
informed about this measurement, to prevent their changing the form of execution;

- Duration: the duration of 16 hours over two days was defined, each consisting of four hours theoretical and four hours practical. The workload was defined together with those responsible for the company and the work teams (masters and foremen), so as not to become a very tiring course and to not interfere in the work schedule. It was proposed that the theoretical class would be in the morning, because the employees are rested, as indicated by Seewald (2004);

- Methodology: two methods were defined: the conceptual, whose strategy is to learn by theory, and the practical. According to Knowles et al. (2011), the basis of the methods must be that the more active the learner’s role in their learning, the broader and deeper their learning will be. Because of this, the technique of content exposition should not be ignored, as long as the instructor uses the students’ experiences to construct new concepts. Thus, for the conceptual method, indicated for situations in which the assimilation of knowledge is sought, the oral explanation and the debate techniques were defined. The explanation technique was conducted so that the student could participate, considering the principles of Andragogy, as will be presented in the following items. Audiovisual resources (data presentations, videos, building materials, and projects) were used to facilitate oral explanation and debates. In the practical classes, activities were performed on real work situations, for problem-solving as well as skills development;

- Infrastructure: for the theoretical classes, a setting with comfortable chairs and desks, good acoustics, adequate lighting, and ventilation was proposed;

- Instructor: the instructor’s role was defined based on the following principles: experience in training programs; motivation for the function; ability to transmit knowledge in a simple and organized manner; and knowledge on the subject. In view of this, the instructor selected was a person who has worked in the structural masonry construction process since 1992, developing training programs for masonry production teams. The instructor participated in the study of Andragogy, as well as the preparation of the training proposal. Although some instructors may have more facility to teach according to adult education theory, they need to undergo specific training to work with this audience.

5.3 Development

This stage consists of the development of the course modules, according to the competencies and other items defined in the planning stage. Thus, the topics to be covered in the course were defined, together with the printed material for the students (manuals, projects, certificates), and the methodologies, which included the conceptual method, with oral explanatory and debates techniques, as well as the practical method composed of activities at the construction site.

5.4 Implementation

This refers to the implementation of the proposed program. All employees were invited to attend the course.

5.5 Evaluation

The evaluation was proposed through the application of the knowledge, skills, and attitudes in the work developed in the day-to-day.

Next, we present the actions to contemplate the principles and elements of Andragogy, as well as a description of their application in the two courses.

6 Application of the capacity-building program

This item presents the actions used to consider Andragogy in the proposed program, during the application of the courses in the two selected projects. Likewise, the results obtained in each step are presented. Figure 5 indicates the elements of Andragogy and the steps in which they were inserted.
6.1 Learner preparation

For a person to be considered self-directed, he or she must be proactive, in a state of readiness, awareness, and attitude that allows them to predict, recognize, and take responsibility for intervening and making things happen in a timely manner.

Considering the profile of construction workers, with their limitations and low level of education, preparing them to be self-directed is a task that would require a lot of time, and perhaps not lead to satisfactory results. The results of the survey conducted in the training courses showed that students do not consider it important to be self-directed. They believe that they do not need to have control of what they should learn, which can instead be directed by the instructor.

In this sense, no actions were developed to teach the student to be self-directed. However, they were allowed to define subjects and ways of carrying out activities and evaluating the program. These are considered favorable actions, in which situations are not imposed, as advocated by the learner preparation element.

It was verified, in the ten courses observed, that the participation of the students was limited to the possibility of evaluating the program at the end of the course. However, this was not allowed to interfere in the manner of teaching the course, in order to meet the needs of the group.

During the two applications of the program, however, the students were able to participate in the definition of subjects and activities. During these courses, several students suggested subjects, showing their motivation to participate in what was being discussed once their views about what was important for them to learn were taken into consideration.

This enabled us to conclude that the students do not necessarily need to define the whole process alone, but their participation makes the process more interesting for themselves.

6.2 Climate

The climate was contemplated in two moments, at the planning and implementation stage of the course. In the planning stage, it was considered in relation to the physical aspect, with a pleasant teaching environment, comfortable chairs, ventilation, and adequate acoustics.

In this sense, for Building A, which provided adequate room (Figure 6), the course was intended for practical and theoretical application in the construction site. For Building B, the relocation of the class to the Federal University of Alagoas was necessary for the theoretical part (Figure 7).

At the implementation stage, the proposal aimed to provide a learning environment without pressure, in order to meet the psychological aspect of the principle of climate. Some actions were taken to ensure this environment:

- Integration activity: coffee was offered at the beginning of the course, allowing the instructor to meet informally with the students in order to make them feel at ease;
- Presentation: instructor and students introduced themselves at the beginning of the course, and talked about their experiences and difficulties with the subject;
- Objectives: at the beginning of the course, the importance of what was being presented was explained, and participants were asked to express their expectations regarding the program;
- Opening for questions: during the course, the participation of the students was requested, always respecting their contributions according to the professional experience of each one.
In the courses examined, the case studies observed anxiety on the part of the students, both at the beginning and during each course. But in the courses conducted, the instructor’s ability to convince students that the proposal would not be a transmission of knowledge, with them sitting listening passively, made the students feel that it would be a moment of conversation on subjects of their interest, in which they could speak openly and be respected.

The instructor’s presentation was focused on his experience, and on the difficulties encountered throughout his professional life. This allowed many students relate to the same difficulties, and expose theirs during their own presentations.

This is in contrast to what took place in the courses previously examined, where individual presentations, when they occurred, were limited to the identification of name and function. The sense of the observers was that the students did not feel free to reveal their difficulties or to speak more on the subject, since they viewed the instructor as a master of all knowledge and were afraid to express their challenges.

6.3 Program planning

The principle called program planning, which refers to the student’s possibility to participate in all actions, was contemplated in the implementation stage. Students were allowed to define topics. This happened mainly at the beginning of the course, when the group’s difficulties were identified. Hence, some themes initially planned based on the on-site survey were re-adapted. It was also proposed that the students be involved in the organization of classrooms and in the selection of building materials and tools that they would like to use. For example, they requested to test different mortar application tool, and this was provided for use on the second day of the course.

6.4 Diagnosis of needs, formulation of objectives, and design of learning plans

These elements were not considered in the planning stage, but in the implementation phase, since they were to be defined together with the students.

Therefore, in the planning stage, the needs and objectives were outlined through the professional profile and the needs found in the work. However, these actions aimed to define durations and contents to develop an initial proposal for discussion with students. At the beginning of the course the proposal was discussed with the students, with adaptations being made to include their considerations.

The following actions were defined to include the three elements in the implementation phase:

a) Diagnosis of needs: common problems in structural masonry projects were presented, and issues identified in the monitoring of the work (found through the application of a form before the course). Also, images and videos with adequate procedures of execution were shown, with the objective of making the participants identify what could be improved. At that moment, several students participated, and identified difficulties and points for improvement. For example, it was found that the joints were showing variations above that allowed, and had uneven filling. Through the group discussion, the possible causes were identified, such as blocks with dimensional variations, poor consistency of the mortar, lack of skill of the employee, or use of inadequate equipment. Thus, two strategies were used to diagnose needs: through the form, which served to elaborate the initial program of the course, and through the group discussion. Although the instructor knew in advance what the group needed to improve the professional profile for the role, the group discussion served to make the students aware of each need, as well as to list other unidentified ones in the follow-up to the work. All the needs listed were used to define the items discussed during the course;

b) Formulation of the objectives: after the needs diagnosis, goals were listed up to the end of the course about what needed improvement. For example, reduce thickness variation and improve joint filling;

c) Design of learning plans: at this point, after identifying the points that could be improved, it was defined how the activities would be carried out. For example, for the need to improve the execution of the joints, the following activities were defined:

- Acceptance criteria for the blocks: a practical activity was performed to analyze the dimensional variations of the blocks that are used on site (Figure 8), with each student bringing a block to the course. With this, it was possible to perceive the importance of this control, which can lead to the replacement of the lot, and allow for execution of walls with smaller variations of the joints;
• Other techniques of execution: theoretical and practical activities were performed to show other techniques to reduce joint variation;
• New tools: a practical activity was carried out with the use of another mortar application tool, aiming to improve the filling of the joints (Figure 9).

During the discussions, it was possible to observe that the students participated and remained attentive, since the subjects were being directed to situations that the group had identified as interesting for discussion.

6.5 Program implementation

The implementation of the program consisted of conducting the planned activities. These activities had been pre-planned, based on needs identified in the projects and re-planned with the students. This activity depends greatly on the ability and experience of the instructor to improvise, so as to insert needs identified by the students into the program. Thus, as the course proposal envisaged its completion in two days, some items could be added for the second day, so as to address the points suggested by the students.

During the operation of the program, experiential techniques were used, through the presentation of videos of service execution and use of materials, and images projects and material handling to verify compliance with the standards.

Likewise, the activities were planned so that the expository technique, in which the instructor presents some definitions, did not extend for a period of time greater than 15 minutes, since, according to Cavalcanti (1999), the information received in this interval is remembered best. After that time, the students cannot concentrate because their attention becomes dispersed. Thus, activities such as videos and exercises were planned to intercalate with theoretical activities.

The principles of Andragogy were used during the operation of the program. Thus, the three principles that proved to be significant for the satisfaction with the courses in the study carried out in civil construction training, were met, as explained in the following sections.

6.5.1 The role of learners’ experience

All themes were started by considering the students’ experiences. Students were then encouraged to think about other possibilities, and the standardized procedure was presented. After that, a discussion was held about difficulties and results obtained through both forms. Finally, solutions were studied to comply with the standard procedure, and negotiations were carried out (Figure 10).

As an example, the application of mortar may be cited. The procedure used in one of the works was the partial application (Figure 11), whereas the standardized procedure involves the total application (Figure 12).

Videos were presented with both forms of application. The following information was presented:
• A wall made with partial mortar application has its resistance reduced by around 20%;
• If in the structural calculation of partial mortar application was considered, this information would be indicated in the project. Otherwise, the full application should be used.

Because the project used in the construction did not specify the type of mortar application, the group understood that mortar should be applied to all surfaces of the block. One of the difficulties presented by the students was in relation to the tool used, so it was arranged that they would test another one in the practical activity.

Hence, the students’ experience was taken into consideration and discussions were carried out about the implications of the results. However, changes were negotiated, taking into account their difficulties.

6.5.2 Readiness to learn and orientation of learning

All the situations presented during the courses were based on real situations, so that students could relate them to their current profession. The examples were based on the difficulties identified in the monitoring of the projects. An example that can be cited is about the settlement of the blocks in places with reinforcement. In this case, it was found, on site, that the steel rebars were fixed on the slab during concreting. Thus, it was necessary to pass the blocks over the frame, as can be seen in Figure 13. Employees presented difficulties with regard to this issue, such as increased physical wear and decreased productivity.

At that moment a project was consulted which did not provide for the fixing of the steel bar on the slab. After this, the instructor presented the proposal to leave only the transverse reinforcement on the slab. In this procedure, the wall is raised to the last row, and the steel rebar is then inserted through the top. The clamping of the steel on hold is done with wire, through the inspection hole shown in Figure 13.

Because this discussion was associated with a difficulty found on a daily basis, students’ interest and participation were noted.

6.5.3 The need for knowledge

All the themes were presented according to their importance to the final product. For example, one of the needs diagnosed with the students was that the joints were not filled with mortar. With respect to this

Often, students receive the information as if the procedure they perform is inappropriate. However, it was found that the project also failed to present the information. Similarly, for this situation, they were not using a suitable tool. Thus, the way the students receive the information is different from the pedagogical approach, since they can intervene and use their experience to improve the process.
subject, results of tests were presented proving that the resistance of the wall can decrease:

- By up to 30% if joints vary in thickness;
- By up to 33% if horizontal joints are poorly filled;
- By around 20% if partial filling is performed (Figure 11).

The proposal contemplated the motivation to learn, presenting possibilities of professional growth from the training, although the motivation principle was shown insignificant to satisfaction with the course.

In this sense, a motivational video was presented about the importance of civil construction employees to other sectors. Also presented was a video focusing on the need for change, comparing the construction industry with others, making them realize that without change there is no evolution. And that to evolve, it is necessary to be willing to learn, in order to meet internal motivations (higher quality of life and job satisfaction), besides the external ones (better salaries and promotions), as recommended by the motivation principle.

Likewise, the learner’s self-concept principle was not associated with satisfaction with the courses. However, some actions taken to address the elements of Andragogy can be associated with this principle, such as allowing students to suggest how they would like to learn (subject matter and manner of conducting activities).

6.6 Evaluation

Students were allowed to identify subjects that were not clear at the end of each course module, as well as during informal conversations in intervals. A course and learning evaluation was also carried out.

The course evaluation was carried out using the same questionnaire used in all ten courses studied. Through it, each student was able to evaluate contents, methodology, instructor, resources, and use of the andragogical principles.

All the participants completed the evaluation, reaching an average score of 115 out of a maximum of 120 points for each item, as shown in Figure 14.

In the case studies carried out in the 10 training courses, the average student response was 85 points, representing 70% of the maximum evaluation, while the proposal presented 95% of the maximum grade.

Figure 15 presents the scores obtained for each principle.

It is possible to verify that the principles were met in more than 97% of responses, except principle 1, regarding the need to be self-directed, which was met in 92%.

Hence, it is possible to observe that, according to the students, the principles were satisfactorily met with the actions proposed.

The evaluation of learning was carried out by comparing the application of knowledge, skills, and attitudes by the employees in practice, before and after the course. This evaluation was conducted using the same form used to identify the needs. The monitoring was carried out with a group of employees from each site for a period of a month and a half, before and after conducting the courses. Fifteen employees were selected to be followed, since the analysis consisted of a weekly evaluation of how the employees performed their activities, through a list of knowledge, skills, and attitudes. However, of the selected employees, only 11 remained in the same role after the course, to allow comparison before and after.

Figure 16 presents the results obtained for the employees analyzed. It shows the percentage of each employee’s activities that were conducted in an appropriate way.

The employees’ average score before the course was 62%, while after the course this rose to 84%. In some items, the necessary changes could not be observed immediately after the application of the course, because they depend on strategies of the
company, which must be adopted later. One such example refers to the supply of defective blocks, which continued to be provided by the same company, making it difficult to execute uniform joints, and accounting for the fact that the employees' scores did not reach the maximum.

7 Final considerations

The results presented throughout the work of the actions used to insert the elements and principles of Andragogy, as well as the analysis of the applications during the courses, allow us to conclude that the elements and principles of this theory do not present complex concepts which are difficult to apply. Professionals working with adults should understand and employ it. It was possible to verify that the theory is composed of simple concepts, but which contribute to the development of knowledge, skills, and attitudes, by placing the student at the center of the process, and addressing their experiences, difficulties, and limitations.

The theory of teaching adults is not new, but as seen in the literature review, it has been questioned lately due to the lack of its application in adult learning situations.

The analysis of the courses examined allowed us to conclude that the use of pedagogical methods still predominates, which may occur due to the lack of knowledge of those responsible for conducting the courses (who for the most part do not receive training for this), or to the ease of using the pedagogical approach. Although only ten courses were followed, which may not represent the reality of all learning situations in civil construction, it was possible to verify that practically none of the concepts of Andragogy were used in the courses. Hence, the need to train the instructors for this adult-oriented training is evident.

Considering that an adult student can learn with a pedagogical approach, what became clear is that motivation, involvement, and discussions took place more intensively in the two applications of the Andragogy program than in the ten courses examined. It was possible to see a group discussing difficulties and ways to solve them, not simply assimilating a definition about how the process should be happening. Consequently, the results in terms of commitment, especially for behavior change, may be more effective.

Having students learn about different ways of performing according to standardized procedures, making them think about the difficulties and results that can be obtained, and negotiating for changes, causes the students to participate in the process. This is contrary to what was observed in the courses analyzed, where the normalized theme is presented as a rule, and as the only proposal to be discussed.

Andragogy, with its elements and principles, advocates involvement, and letting the students realize that they are the most important element of the course; that they can define, discuss, present opinions, and also outline the goals they consider important.

Even though a proficiency profile for structural masons was followed for preparation of the initial proposal of the course, it was possible to re-adapt according to the needs of the students. Similarly, some profile items are required and cannot be disregarded in the execution process. Thus, Andragogy was used to show the importance of considering each stage and the consequences of non-compliance. However, the consideration of their suggestions for implementing changes made the process more dynamic, which allowed us to verify in practice the satisfactory results of learning and the behavior change.

Regarding the students' understanding, the principles were satisfactorily met using the proposed actions, and the satisfaction with the course reached close to the maximum possible marks.

In summary, as a result of this research, it was possible to present a discussion about Andragogy within the context of capacity building. The analysis enabled the identification of actions that can help in the development of more effective programs, in which students can participate and propose solutions to difficulties they encounter, thereby providing a greater application of knowledge, skills, and attitudes in practice.
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Appendix A. Questionnaire - Evaluation.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>QUESTIONS</th>
<th>NEVER</th>
<th>LITTLE</th>
<th>AVERAGE</th>
<th>A LOT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1) At the beginning of the course was I allowed to indicate what I would like to learn?</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2) Did I realize that I could participate all the time by presenting my opinions and suggestions?</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3) Did I know that the course would help me do my job better?</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4) Was I allowed to indicate how I would like classes to be?</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5) Was I allowed to make an assessment of what I learned?</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6) Have my work experiences helped me to understand what was presented in the course?</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7) During the course was I allowed to talk about my experience on the subject?</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8) Have my experiences been respected in the course?</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9) Have my experiences been discussed during the course?</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10) Was all the course material relevant to me performing my function correctly?</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11) Did I learn what I needed in the course to perform my function?</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12) Was I able to learn from examples of how things happen in practice?</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13) Will what I learned help me make fewer mistakes at work?</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14) Did the course help lessen the difficulties I have in my work?</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15) Can I employ all that was taught in my work?</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16) Can the knowledge acquired in this course be immediately applied in my work?</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17) Have I realized from the course that my work can be improved?</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18) Did I understand that participation in the course will allow me to perform my work with more quality?</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19) Did the course raise any doubts that I did not have before?</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20) Was it explained why it was important to learn the contents of the course?</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21) Did I have a personal interest in learning about the subject of the course to better perform my work?</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22) Did the course motivate me to learn more about it?</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23) Will I be having an opportunity to grow in my job because of this course?</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24) Did I feel motivated to participate during the lessons?</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>