A reliable begomovirus inoculation method for screening *Lycopersicon esculentum* lines
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**ABSTRACT**

Due to failures of infection after mechanical inoculation of begomovirus on tomato (*Lycopersicon esculentum* Mill.) plants, an efficient and reliable begomovirus inoculation method was developed using the whitefly vector (*Bemisia tabaci*). Virus acquisition was carried out using a ‘Tube-cage’, made of a polypropylene tube, containing one to two day-old whiteflies and a tomato plant apex infected with a begomovirus isolate. After 48 h of acquisition access period, inoculation was done using a ‘Ring-cage’, containing viruliferous whiteflies, attached to the abaxial side of the leaf of young tomato plants. 48 hours after acquisition, the whiteflies were eliminated and the inoculated plants were incubated for 28 days under greenhouse conditions. Three viruliferous whiteflies per plant were enough to cause infection in susceptible tomato cv. Viradoro. This procedure was applied to test the resistance of tomato line 486-1 (resistant line) in comparison with ‘Viradoro’ (susceptible cultivar). Dot blot hybridization confirmed the susceptibility of ‘Viradoro’ and the resistance of the line 486-1, showing the efficiency of this method for screening plants for disease resistance. This method is also a useful tool in detecting the presence of virus in inoculated (lower leaves) and in non-inoculated (upper leaves) in a given plant. Using this procedure, it was observed that the resistance of the line 486-1 probably is expressed in the inoculated leaf without virus translocation to the upper leaves.
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**RESUMO**

Um método de inoculação de begomovírus confiável para a seleção de linhagens de *Lycopersicon esculentum*

Devido às falhas encontradas no método de inoculação de begomovírus em plantas de tomateiro, um método eficiente e confiável de inoculação de begomovírus foi desenvolvido utilizando como vetor a mosca-branca (*Bemisia tabaci*). A aquisição viral foi realizada em uma armadilha (‘Tube-cage’) feita a partir de um tubo de polipropileno contendo moscas-brancas de um ou dois dias de idade e o ápice de uma planta de tomate infectada com um begomovírus. Após 48 horas de período de aquisição, procedeu-se à inoculação utilizando-se uma armadilha em forma de anel (‘Ring-cage’) contendo as moscas-brancas virulíferas. A armadilha foi colocada na parte abaxial das folhas de plantulas de tomateiro. Após o período de inoculação de 48 horas, as moscas-brancas foram eliminadas e as plantas inoculadas foram incubadas por 28 dias em casa-de-vegetação. Utilizando-se este método três moscas-brancas virulíferas por planta foram suficientes para causar infecção em tomateiro susceptível cv. Viradoro. Este procedimento foi aplicado para avaliar a resistência de tomateiro linhagem 486-1 (resistente) em comparação com ‘Viradoro’. Hibridização por dot-blot confirmou a suscetibilidade de ‘Viradoro’ e a resistência da linhagem 486-1, mostrando a utilidade deste método na seleção de plantas resistentes. Este método também permitiu a avaliação da presença do vírus nas folhas inoculadas e em folhas superiores não inoculadas, indicando que o mecanismo de resistência da linhagem 486-1 poderá estar atuando nas folhas inferiores inoculadas, não havendo translocação viral para as folhas superiores.
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In tropical and subtropical countries, begomoviruses cause serious problems to tomato. The major symptoms after begomovirus infection are yellow mosaic, mottling, rugosity and leaf distortion, resulting in reduction of the number of flowers, fruits, fruit weight and quality. This disease is known to be transmitted by whiteflies (*Bemisia tabaci*). Transmission of the virus by mechanical inoculation is sporadically successful, hence, in nature the dissemination of this disease agent is supposed to hardly ever occur by mechanical means. The first report on the incidence of begomovirus-like disease in tomatoes in Brazil occurred in the 60’s (Flores et al., 1960), and later confirmed as the begomovirus Tomato golden mosaic virus (TGMV) (Matyis et al., 1975). Costa et al. (1984; 1987) described tomato infected with whitefly-transmitted geminiviruses, but their identification was not carried out and remains unknown. The expansion of begomovirus diseases on tomato was only observed during the last decade (Giordano et al., 1999). The characterization of these begomoviruses and the breeding programs aiming to introgress genes for begomovirus resistance on tomato plants are ongoing in various laboratories.

The strategy to control this disease using resistant cultivars focuses mainly on the introgression of resistance genes into tomato commercial cultivars. The introduction of the resistance using transgenic plants is a new approach to develop plants resistant to diseases. However, the current transgenic strategies introducing viral or anti-viral genes into a plant have some disadvantages such as the narrow resistance spectrum to specific virus species, and also some technical and political constraints. Besides, the conventional methods of plant breeding
The main limitation for screening resistant plants is related to the inoculation method. Evaluation of plant resistance to a virus is frequently based on mechanical inoculations. However, systemic infection of tomato begomoviruses by mechanical inoculation is sporadic. Therefore, mechanical inoculation is not a reliable method for screening tomato plants for begomovirus resistance. The particle bombardment method could be a highly efficient option. However, this method requires special equipment and is not suitable for inoculating great numbers of plants. With this method of inoculation it is difficult to control the inoculation pressure in each plant, an important factor to achieve uniformity of infection. Agroinfection is an alternative method, however due to the same reasons mentioned above, it is also not suitable for screening plants for resistance.

Inoculation of begomovirus using whitefly as vectors appears to be the best method at this moment. Hence, it became important to develop a practical and reliable method of begomovirus inoculation using whiteflies. This study introduces a convenient inoculation method, which enables the application of a uniform inoculation pressure to the plants.

**MATERIAL AND METHODS**

**Preparation of viruliferous whiteflies using the Tube-cage**

Newly emerged whitefly adults with a maximum age of 48 h were collected using a sucking apparatus from the colonies established on healthy poinsettia plants. A polypropylene tube of 50 ml (Corning) containing a detached infected tomato plant apex (isolate DFM, Tomato mottle leaf curl virus, Inoue-Nagata et al., 2006) was attached at the end of the sucking apparatus and the whiteflies were released inside the tube. This new Tube-cage was then covered with a whitefly-proof nylon screen and tightly screw-capped (Figure 1).

**Tomato inoculation using the Ring-cage**

Three, five or ten viruliferous whiteflies previously prepared using the Tube-cage method (for virus acquisition) were transferred into the newly developed leaf-cages (Figure 2) settled onto the abaxial side of tomato seedlings leaves cv. Viradoro. Tomato plants at four true-leaf staged were used for the inoculation. The whiteflies were placed in contact with the leaves of the plants to be evaluated for disease reaction using a Ring-cage. The inoculation access period was 48 h. The limited space inside the cage forces the insect to feed on the plants to be tested, increasing the efficiency of virus transmission. After 48 h of incubation at room temperature, the whiteflies were eliminated by spraying the insecticide Confidor (imidacloprid, Bayer). The inoculated plants were incubated in the greenhouse up to one month for symptom development. Leaf disks were collected from the inoculated plants to confirm virus infection and tested by dot blot hybridization according to Santos et al. (2004).

**RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

**Number of whiteflies used in the inoculation procedure**

Forty-eight hours after inoculation the mortality of the whiteflies was low (averaging 10% for both ten and five whitefly inoculation cohorts, and 16.7% for three whitefly inoculation cohort). All the plants inoculated with ten (6/6) and three whiteflies (6/6) were infected within one month. Only one out of six plants was not infected when five viruliferous whiteflies were used. Since all six plants inoculated with three viruliferous whiteflies were infected with begomovirus, the use of three whiteflies per plant for inoculation was demonstrated to be appropriate for most purposes.

**The application of the method for the pre-screening test**

In order to test the usefulness of this method for screening, two tomato lines were tested, ‘Viradoro’, the susceptible cultivar, and the tomato line 468-1, previously selected for resistance to the virus (Santana et al., 1998; 2001). Ten plants of ‘468-1’ and eight of ‘Viradoro’ were inoculated using three whiteflies. The development of symptom was observed and recorded weekly. The number of infected plants of ‘Viradoro’ and ‘468-1’, based on symptom development and dot-blot hybridization, are presented in Table 1. Based upon symptom development, tomato plants ‘Viradoro’ showed a high infection rate (7/8). On the other hand, tomato line ‘468-1’ showed only one plant with symptom. To confirm the virus infection, dot blot hybridization was employed using the leaf materials collected 28 days after inoculation. Seven out of eight plants of ‘Viradoro’

Table 1. Symptom expression and infection of begomovirus on inoculated tomato plants (Expressão de sintomas e infecção de begomovirus em plantas de tomate inoculadas). Brasília, Embrapa Hortaliças, 2005.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Viradoro symptom</th>
<th>Dot-Blot symptom</th>
<th>468-1 symptom</th>
<th>Dot-Blot symptom</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>7-days</td>
<td>1/81</td>
<td>0/41</td>
<td>0/10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14-days</td>
<td>6/8</td>
<td>3/4</td>
<td>0/10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21-days</td>
<td>7/8</td>
<td>3/4</td>
<td>0/10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28-days</td>
<td>7/8</td>
<td>7/8</td>
<td>1/10</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1Number of plants with symptoms (before the slash) out of the total (after the slash); 2Number of plants positively detected (before the slash) out of the total (after the slash) detected by dot blot hybridization (‘Número de plantas com sintomas (antes da barra) em relação ao total (após a barra) detectadas por hibridização “dot blot”’).
showed positive signals and two out of ten tomato plants of the line ‘468-1’ showed positive infection (data not shown). The result indicated that the procedure could differentiate distinct levels of virus susceptibility (resistance) occurring in tomato varieties.

The virus distribution and the development of the infection process in tomato plants can define the mechanism of resistance. One type of resistance, for instance, is related to long distance virus migration in plants. The virus can replicate in the inoculated leaf but is not able to translocate in the whole plant. Since this method allows the differentiation of the inoculated leaf from the non-inoculated ones on a single plant basis, the inoculated leaf and one top leaf were collected separately for both tomato germplasm during a 28-day period. Four plants of each line were separated and the presence of virus was evaluated at 7, 14, 21, and 28 days after inoculation by dot blot hybridization (Figure 3). The virus was detected in the inoculated and in the non-inoculated leaves (top) of ‘Viradoro’ within 14 days after inoculation (a.i.) (Figure 3). The virus could also be detected in these leaves up to 28 days a.i. No positive signals were obtained from samples of the inoculated and of the top leaves, when tomato ‘468-1’ was used as test plants. These results suggested that the resistance involved in tomato ‘468-1’ is not related to the inhibition of long-distance migration of the virus, but most probably with cell-to-cell movement or within even in earlier stages of virus infection. For the Ty-1 gene (tolerance to Tomato yellow leaf curl virus – TYLCV) the resistance, at low titer inoculum, is expressed through a significant reduction of the viral accumulation in the inoculated tissue, and at high titer inoculum through a significant limitation of the long-distance translocation of the virus (Michelson et al., 1994). However for the same virus, a different tomato line neither developed disease symptoms nor accumulated high virus titer (Friedmann et al., 1998).

The use of polypropylene (PP) tube cage for virus acquisition and leaf cage for inoculation provided important

![Figure 1. Tube-cage with infected leaf material and whitefly (“Tube-cage” com material foliar infectado e mosca-branca). Brasília, Embrapa Hortaliças, 2005.](image1)

![Figure 2. Ring-cage. The leaf is sandwiched between big and small rings of plastic tube and whitflies were introduced through the small hole by using sucking-blowing apparatus assembled with a narrow silicon tube and yellow tip with wide hole by cutting the top and a piece of screen between them. After the transferrence of the whitflies, the small hole was sealed with a piece of cotton (“Ring-cage”). A folha é colocada entre anéis largos e estreitos de tubos plásticos e moscas-brancas foram introduzidas através de um pequeno orifício usando um aparato sugador, montado com um tubo de silicone estreito com extremidade de ponteira amarela com a ponta alargada por corte e uma barreira de tela entre eles. Após a transferência das moscas-brancas, o pequeno orifício é selado com de algodão). Embrapa Hortaliças, 2005.](image2)
Furthermore, it turned out to be a good numbers of whitefly individuals and demanding a small method, and proving to be an efficient and easy reliable. This inoculation procedure much more uniform and the results more procedure makes inoculation pressure viruliferous whiteflies. In addition, this takes a very short time to prepare the inoculation process. It is also whiteflies per plant for proceeding with whiteflies. The leaf cage allows the inoculation during the screening improvement of whitefly mediated alternative methods for the obtaining viruliferous tool to study the mechanism of begomovirus resistance on tomato.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We are grateful to Élcio Vieira da Silva Lopes (in memorian) for his technical assistance.

REFERENCES


INOUE-NAGATA AK; MARTIN D; BOITEUX LS; GIORDANO LB; BEZERRA IC; ÁVILA AC. Evidence for new viral species emergence via recombination among isolates of the Brazilian tomato-infecting Begomovirus complex. Pesquis-sa Agropecuária Brasileira, no prelo.


MICHELS S; ZAMIR D; CHOSEN R. 1994. Accumulation and translocation of Tomato Yellow Leaf Curl Virus (TYLCV) in a Lycopersicon esculentum esculentum breeding line containing the L. chilense TYLCV tolerance gene Ty-1. Phytopathology 34: 928-933.

PICÓ B; JOSÉ DÍEZ MA; NUEZ F. 1996. Viral diseases causing the greatest economic losses to the tomato crop. II. The tomato yellow leaf curl virus – a review. Scientia Horticulturae 67: 151-196.


SANTANAFM, RIBEIRO SG; MOREIRA JÚNIOR D; GIORDANO LB. 1998. Evaluation of tomatoes with resistance to a bipartite begomovirus from the Federal District and Pernambuco, in Congresso Latino Americano e do Caribe sobre Mosca-branca e Geminivírus, Recife, PE.
