The onion (*Allium cepa* L.) is the third vegetable crop of economical importance in Brazil with a total harvested area of 62,750 hectares and a total production of 1.3 million tons (Embrapa Hortaliças, 2008). Generally speaking, the use of conventional tillage system (CT) for onion production predominates in Brazil, however, due to its benefits the no-tillage system (NT) has been adopted in some production areas of Brazil, mainly, in Santa Catarina, São Paulo, Minas Gerais and Goiás States (Madeira & Oliveira, 2005).

According to Gilley *et al.* (1990) and Derpsch *et al.* (1991), the advantages of NT include reduced machinery traffic, soil structure improvement, increasing infiltration and soil-water retention, water loss reduction by evaporation and runoff, superior crop root system development, improved control of weeds, erosion processes reduction, and increased water use efficiency.

Field research on the use of NT systems for vegetable production is scarce and management practices that include irrigation frequency and amount of applied water are, in general, the same as recommended for CT. As a result of the inadequate crop water management it is common to notice higher intensity of diseases in NT which reduces crop yield and harvest quality affecting farm revenue reducing loss of the known benefits obtained with this planting system.

Water conservation with the NT systems mainly occurs due to the crop residues left on soil surface which act as a physical barrier against water evaporation (Derpsch *et al*., 1991).

During the early development stage, onion plants only cover a fraction of the soil surface, therefore, soil evaporation accounts for most of the crop evapotranspiration. Then, plant water use during this stage is less mainly for crops with lower capability of soil coverage (Stone & Moreira, 2000). On the other hand, the transpiration process becomes predominant as plants grow and cover larger fractions of soil surface. According to Allen *et al.* (1998), crop evapotranspiration can be reduced in as much as 25% during the early stage and between 5 and 10% during the following development stages.
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The objective of the present study was to evaluate the effects of crop residue covers (0.0; 4.5; 9.0; 13.5 t ha⁻¹ millet dry matter) on water use and production of onion cultivated in no-tillage planting system (NT) as compared to conventional tillage system (CT). The study was carried out at Embrapa Hortaliças, Brazil, under the typical Savanna biome. Irrigations were performed using a sprinkle irrigation system when soil-water tension reached between 25 and 30 kPa. The experimental design was randomized blocks with three replications. Total net water depth applied to NT treatment was 19% smaller than the CT treatment, however, water savings increased to 30% for the first 30 days following seedlings transplant. Crop biomass, bulb size and yield, and rate of rotten bulbs were not significantly affected by treatments. The water productivity index increased linearly with increasing crop residue in NT conditions. Water productivity index of NT treatments with crop residue was on average 30% higher than that in the CT system (8.13 kg m⁻³).

**RESUMO**

O objetivo do presente estudo foi avaliar o efeito do nível de palhada no solo (0.0; 4.5; 9.0; 13.5 t ha⁻¹ de matéria seca de milheto) em sistema de plantio direto (PD) sobre o uso de água e produção de cebola, tendo como controle o sistema de plantio convencional (PC). O ensaio foi conduzido na Embrapa Hortaliças, em região típica do bioma Cerrado. As irrigações foram realizadas por aspersão a todo o acaso com três repetições. A lâmina de água aplicada em PD foi de até 19% menor que no tratamento PC durante o ciclo da cultura, sendo que durante os primeiros 30 dias do ciclo após o transplante das mudas a economia chegou a 30%. O desenvolvimento de plantas, o tamanho e o rendimento de bulbos, e a taxa de bulbos podres não foram afetados significativamente pelos tratamentos. O índice de produtividade da água no PD aumentou linearmente com o aumento do nível de palhada, sendo que o índice nos tratamentos PD com palhada foi em média 30% maior que em PC (8,13 kg m⁻³).

**Palavras-chave:** *Allium cepa* L., cultivo mínimo, eficiência do uso de água, irrigação, Cerrado.
during the maximum development stage for a condition of 50% of surface soil coverage with crop residues. Landers (1995) reported water conservation in NT varying between 10 and 20% in oxisols of the Central Brazil.

The objective of the present study was to quantify both irrigation water use and production of onion when cultivated in NT systems with different levels of crop residue covers as compared to CT, in a typical condition of climate and soil in the Brazilian Savannahs.

**MATERIAL AND METHODS**

Field plots were set up in the Embrapa Hortaliça’s experimental station, Brasília, Brazil, during the dry season of 2007 in the area for the management of NT. The soil was a typical dystrophic Red Oxisol of Savannah with clay texture and water retention of 1.2 mm cm⁻¹ (Embrapa, 2006). According to the Köppen classification, the climate is a Cwa, i.e., temperate humid with dry winter and hot summer.

The experimental design was randomized blocks with five treatments and three replications. The treatments consisted of four rates of millet crop residue covering the soil (0.0; 4.5; 9.0; 13.5 t ha⁻¹ of dry mass) in NT and one control treatment without millet residue (CT). In order to build up the soil cover, the millet crop was cultivated at different sowing densities in the plots. At harvest and following millet dry mass plots sampling a final adjustment was done by adding or subtracting crop residue to match each treatment level was done by adding or subtracting crop mass plots sampling a final adjustment. At harvest and following millet dry mass plots sampling a final adjustment was done by adding or subtracting crop mass plots sampling a final adjustment. At harvest and following millet dry mass plots sampling a final adjustment was done by adding or subtracting crop mass plots sampling a final adjustment.

Irrigation events followed a schedule based on soil-water tension between 25 and 30 kPa at crop 50% effective rooting depth (Marouelli et al., 2005), i.e., 0.08 m from transplant to beginning of bulb forming, and 0.15 m afterward. The soil water tension was measured using tensiometers installed one per plot. The depth of applied water in each irrigation event was sufficient to bring soil moisture content to field capacity (6 kPa) at the onion effective rooting profile. The effective root depth was considered as the profile depth with 80% of onion roots concentration. The effective root depth was visually evaluated by opening a trench perpendicular to crop rows, in the buffer surrounding of CT plots. The crop irrigation events ceased when about 50% of leaves senesced and the tops have fallen naturally (Marouelli et al., 2005).

The crop was harvested 111 days after transplant. The following crop variables were evaluated: number and average weight of non-marketable bulbs (<35 mm); number and average weight of marketable bulbs (class 2: diameter from 35 - 50 mm, class 3: 50-70 mm, class 4: 70-90 mm, and class 5: >90 mm); and canopy biomass (13% wet basis). The crop production variables were evaluated after bulb curing 30 days after harvest. To access post-harvest conservation of bulbs, the percentage of number of rotten bulbs caused by bacteria and fungus at 30 and 60 days after harvest were evaluated.

The water productivity index was derived from bulb yield and total depth of applied water which is given by the ratio of marketable bulb yield to the volume of water effectively applied through irrigation per unit area (Jensen, 2007). The water applied was determined based on the average depth from four pluviometers diagonally installed above the crop canopy in one plot at each treatment.

The ANOVA was applied to the data and the variables affected by the different crop residue covers in NT were further analyzed by a linear regression using orthogonal polynomials. The multiple comparison Dunnett “t” test was used to confront CT to NT treatment averages at 5% maximum level of significance.

**RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

The total rainfall occurred during the test period was 4 mm, which probably had no effect on the results. Throughout the entire crop cycle irrigation events varied between 31 and 39 for NT, and for CT totaled 39 events (Table 1). Correspondently, the total depth of water applied during crop cycle ranged between 525 and 625 mm for NT, and totaled 646 mm for CT (Table 1). Hence, compared to CT the water savings with NT were as much as 19%. Stone & Moreira (2000) and Marouelli et al. (2006) also verified significant water savings in studies with soil surface coverage with crop residues. Landers (1995) and Allen et al. (1998) reported reduction in the average water use of 15% in NT systems of different crops.

The current reduction in water
applied with the NT system was twice higher than that observed in studies by Marouelli et al. (2006) with processing tomatoes under similar soil and climate conditions. Considering both crop soil coverage capabilities, the water losses by evaporation of onion in CT are proportionally higher than that of processing tomatoes cultivated in NT (Allen et al., 1998).

The water savings in NT are due to effect of the soil coverage which works as a barrier preventing water evaporation from soil surface. According to Stone & Moreira (2000), the crop residue cover initially acts in the soil-water evaporation process by reducing the daily evaporation rate due to higher solar radiation reflection, and consequently, the crop evapotranspiration.

If considered only the first 30 days after seedling transplant, the depth of water required for NT treatments with residue covers was reduced between 20 and 30% as compared to CT. On the other hand, water savings in NT treatments were smaller for the last 30 days of irrigation ranging between 5 and 10% as compared to CT (Table 1). The most water savings in NT treatments during the onion initial development stage were due to the small fraction of soil covered by plants and to the fact surface evaporation accounts for the most part of irrigation demand. At the end of crop cycle, when the plants cover most of the soil surface, transpiration becomes the major process in transferring water; hence, water saving is attenuated (Derpsch et al., 1991; Stone & Moreira, 2000).

Neither NT nor CT treatments affected significantly (p>0.05) the crop biomass production (in average 2.14 t ha⁻¹), total number of bulbs per unit area (in average 44.3 bulbs m⁻²), and the weight of marketable bulbs (in average 137.4 g). Consequently, bulb yields of class 2 (in average 2.4 t ha⁻¹), class 3 (in average 33.7 t ha⁻¹), and class 4 (in average 20.1 t ha⁻¹) and marketable bulbs (in average 56.2 t ha⁻¹) were not affected by the treatments. The bulb yield of class 5 was very small.

Apparenty, the results of bulb yields reported above differ from those reported by Madeira & Oliveira (2005), who showed significant differences in onion bulb yield for both NT and CT. The possible lack of yield differences between both NT and CT treatments under the current study was due to individualized irrigation water management by treatment, with irrigations carried out at the right time (soil-water tension 25-30 kPa) and in adequate amount to meet the crop water needs, i.e., no excess or shortage. On the other hand, Madeira & Oliveira (2005) applied uniform irrigation events for both NT and CT planting systems, which may have promoted conditions of excess or shortage of water for either system, hence affecting bulb yield.

### Table 1. Number of irrigations and water depth effectively applied to onion cultivated in no-tillage (NT), with levels of crop residue cover up to 13.5 t ha⁻¹, and conventional tillage systems (CT) during the first 30 days after seedlings transplant, throughout crop cycle, and during the last 30 days of irrigation, and water savings in NT treatments compared to CT (quantidade total de irrigações e lâmina de água efetivamente aplicada na cultura de cebola em sistemas de plantio direto (NT), com níveis de palhada até 13.5 t ha⁻¹, e de plantio convencional (CT) durante os primeiros 30 dias após o transplante de mudas, ao longo do ciclo e durante os últimos 30 dias de irrigação, e economia do uso de água nos tratamentos NT em relação ao CT). Brasília, Embrapa Hortaliças, 2007.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Treatment</th>
<th>No. of irrigations</th>
<th>First 30 days</th>
<th>Last 30 days</th>
<th>Entire cycle (111 days)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Water depth (mm)</td>
<td>Water depth (mm)</td>
<td>Water savings (%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NT 0,0 t/ha</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>117</td>
<td>305</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NT 4,5 t/ha</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>97</td>
<td>295</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NT 9,0 t/ha</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>289</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NT 13,5 t/ha</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>281</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CT</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>121</td>
<td>312</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>625</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>564</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>540</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>525</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

![Figure 1](image.png)
Similarly to the yield component variables, NT and CT treatments did not significantly affect the postharvest conservation of bulbs as evaluated by the number of rotten bulbs at 30 days (in average 3.4%) and 60 days (in average 12.4%) of storage. According to Marouelli et al. (2005), excess irrigation affects onion bulb storage conservation, in special during maturation crop development stage. In the present study, however, despite of the total depth of irrigation water have varied between treatments, the conditions of soil moisture that the plants were submitted in all treatments were similar. Therefore, no onion bulb storage conservation variation was expected as a function of treatments.

The water productivity index was significantly affected by treatments (p<0.05). By the Dunnett test (p<0.05), the water index of the control treatment CT (8.13 kg m⁻³) did not differ from the NT₀,0ha⁻¹, but was statistically less than NT treatments with crop residues.

The water productivity index increased linearly with increasing level of crop residues in NT system, ranging from 9.07 to 10.67 kg m⁻³ (Figure 1) showing no significance (p<0.05) for polynomial coefficients of second and third order. Based on the adjusted regression equation an increase of 1.08 kg of onion per 10 m² of water was observed for each ton of crop residue spread over the soil surface.

The cultivation of onion crop in NT with crop residue levels between 4.5 and 13.5 t ha⁻¹ averaged 30% more water use efficient than CT with similar bulb yield. This finding agrees with Stone & Moreira (2000) and Marouelli et al. (2006) studies who found that besides reducing soil evaporation losses, the NT system is also more efficient in water use by the crop.

In summary, plant growth, bulb production and postharvest conservation in NT treatments did not differ from that observed in control treatment CT, however, the higher the crop residue level the lower the irrigation water demand. Therefore, the results allow inferring that surface soil coverage with crop residues endorses effective reduction in onion crop water use with maintenance of high levels of bulb yield and a considerable increase in the water productivity index for the case irrigations are applied at both right time and amount.
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