Reflux Laryngitis: Correlation between the Symptoms Findings and Indirect Laryngoscopy
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Abstract

Introduction The indirect laryngoscopy has an important role in the characterization of reflux laryngitis. Although many findings are nonspecific, some strongly suggest that the inflammation is the cause of reflux.

Objective The aim of this study was to evaluate the correlation between reflux symptoms and the findings of indirect laryngoscopy.

Methods We evaluated 27 patients with symptoms of pharyngolaryngeal reflux disease.

Results Laryngoscopy demonstrated in all patients the presence of hypertrophy of the posterior commissure and laryngeal edema. The most frequent symptoms were the presence of dry cough and foreign body sensation.

Conclusion There was a correlation between the findings at laryngoscopy and symptoms of reflux.
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Introduction

The term laryngopharyngeal reflux disease (reflux laryngitis) was adopted in 2002 by the American Academy of Otolaryngology and Head and Neck Surgery and refers to clinical manifestations of gastric reflux on the upper airways.1,2 This supraesophageal form of gastroesophageal reflux disease (GERD) was named in 1994 by Koufman and Cummins,3 not with the intention to designate the origin of reflux, but to call attention to the predominance of symptoms and changes in the laryngopharyngeal segment.4

Estimates regarding the acid reflux causing posterior laryngitis vary widely, reaching up to 80% of cases, according to some authors.5–7 This causal relationship has been fed by the technological development of devices that are able to measure the acidity both on proximal and distal esophagus and the pharynx8–15 and also the optical fibers, widely used in clinical practice, which greatly facilitate the visualization of the larynx.16 In this sense, indirect laryngoscopy has an important role in the characterization of the reflux laryngitis. Although many findings are nonspecific, some suggest that the etiology of the inflammation is the reflux, such as thickness, redness, and swelling concentrated in the posterior parts of the larynx (posterior laryngitis).

A symptom scale (Reflux Symptom Index [RSI]) was developed by Belafsky and collaborators to facilitate the suspect diagnosis and the clinical follow-up in pharyngolaryngitis. Patients score themselves on a scale from 0 to 5 of nine symptoms often described of the disease (►Table 1).17 Values above 13 are considered abnormal.

In the same way, they developed a scale related to the symptoms of reflux pharyngolaryngitis, Belafsky and collaborators created a score related to the findings of laryngoscopy (Reflux Finding Score [RFS]). It consists of scores from 0 to 4 determined by the examiner of eight laryngoscopic findings: subglottic edema, ventricular obliteration, erythema/hyperemia, vocal fold edema, diffuse laryngeal edema, posterior commissure hypertrophy, granuloma/granulation tissue, and thick endolaryngeal mucus (8 findings) (►Table 2). The score, which ranges from 0 (normal) to 26 (worst possibility), indicates reflux pharyngolaryngitis if greater than 7.18,19
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The aim of this work is to analyze if there is a correlation between clinical symptoms of reflux pharyngolaryngitis (using the RSI) and the findings of indirect laryngoscopy (using the RFS) and thus detect the signs of indirect laryngoscopy that best correlate to the main symptoms of reflux laryngitis.

**Materials and Methods**

A survey was conducted of patients with symptoms of reflux pharyngolaryngitis at the Hospital Gaffree Guinle from August 2008 to December 2008. The following patients were excluded from the study: smokers; people with asthma, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease, or previous treatment with proton pump inhibitors, antacids, or H1 inhibitors; those with organic laryngeal disorders, previous radiotherapy, or head and neck surgeries; and psychiatric patients.

The project was approved by the ethics committee on research (number 02/2008). All patients who agreed to participate provided informed and free consent.

We applied a symptom score (Table 1) developed by Belafsky to facilitate the clinical diagnosis and follow-up on DRFL (Laryngopharyngeal Reflux Disease). It is scored by the patient on a scale from 0 to 5 of nine symptoms often described in the disease. Values above 13 are considered abnormal. After this initial evaluation, patients had an indirect laryngoscopy exam. Belafsky and colleagues also created a score related to the findings of laryngoscopy (Table 2). The score, which ranges from 0 (normal) to 26 (worst possibility), indicates DRFL when greater than 7.

The indirect laryngoscopy exam was performed with a rigid 70-degree fiber Karl Storz brand scope (Germany), always by the same examiner.

**Results**

From the 405 patients with symptoms of reflux, 27 fulfilled the criteria of this survey. The average age of patients was 54.5 years, ranging between 19 and 81. The majority of patients were women (n = 22). The laryngoscopy results revealed that almost all patients had posterior commissure hypertrophy (n = 25; Fig. 1) and laryngeal diffuse edema (n = 21). The presence of laryngeal granuloma was not found. The average score of reflux symptoms was 17.9 (ranging from 3 to 34, standard deviation [SD] 8.82) and the findings regarding indirect laryngoscopy was 5.7 (ranging from 1 to 14, SD 3.82). The most frequently found symptom was the presence of dry cough episodes, foreign body sensation in the throat, and clearing the throat. The patients with clinical and laryngoscopic findings highly suggestive of DRFL received complementary therapy for the disease itself (antireflux therapy and suggestions for lifestyle changes).

The transversal study was used, and the criteria evaluated were mean age and sex, for symptoms of DRFL (RSI), and indirect laryngoscopy findings (RFS). The Pearson correlation

---

**Table 1 Reflux Symptom Index**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>During the last month, how did the following problems affect you?</th>
<th>0 = No problem; 5 = Severe problem/very troublesome</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Hoarseness or a problem with your voice</td>
<td>0 1 2 3 4 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clearing your throat</td>
<td>0 1 2 3 4 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Excess throat mucus or postnasal drip</td>
<td>0 1 2 3 4 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Difficulty swallowing food, liquids, or pills</td>
<td>0 1 2 3 4 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coughing after you ate or after lying down</td>
<td>0 1 2 3 4 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Breathing difficulties or choking episodes</td>
<td>0 1 2 3 4 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Troublesome or annoying cough</td>
<td>0 1 2 3 4 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sensations of something sticking in your throat or a lump in your throat</td>
<td>0 1 2 3 4 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heartburn, chest pain, indigestion, or stomach acid coming up</td>
<td>0 1 2 3 4 5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Belafsky et al.19

**Table 2 Reflux Finding Score**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Subglottic edema</th>
<th>Absent(0) Present(2)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ventricular obliteration</td>
<td>Partial (2) Complete (4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Erythema/hyperemia</td>
<td>Arytenoids only (2) Diffuse (4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vocal fold edema</td>
<td>Mild (1) Moderate (2) Severe (3) Polypoid (4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diffuse laryngeal edema</td>
<td>Mild (1) Moderate (2) Severe (3) Obstructing (4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Posterior commissure hypertrophy</td>
<td>Mild (1) Moderate (2) Severe (3) Obstructing (4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Granuloma/Granulation tissue</td>
<td>Absent (0) Present (2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thick endolaryngeal mucus</td>
<td>Absent (0) Present (2)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Belafsky et al.17
The symptoms most frequently found were the presence of dry cough episodes, foreign body sensation in the throat, and throat clearing. No finding regarding indirect laryngoscopy had a strong positive correlation to this finding. However, the presence of foreign body sensation in the throat (globus pharyngeus) showed a positive correlation to the posterior third edema (posterior commissure), as well as the presence of dysphonia (hoarseness). This region of the larynx is anatomically more prone to chronic aggression, especially after the adoption of the supine position.

Some authors also reported dysphonia as a major symptom that is more common in the morning because of vocal cord edema caused by night reflux episodes, improving during the day.\(^\text{32}\) A weak positive correlation (Pearson correlation coefficient close to 0) was found between hoarseness and vocal fold edema, accepting the null correlation.

Laryngoscopy findings demonstrated that almost all patients had the presence of laryngeal edema associated with posterior commissure hypertrophy.

The diagnosis of reflux disease as the cause of pharyngolaryngitis is not simple. Despite the evidence that favors the association, there is no method that demonstrates unequivocally a causal relationship between Reflux and Laryngitis. In addition, endoscopy is less efficient in the diagnosis of DRFL, because these changes are found in fewer than 20% of patients with this disease. Vázquez de la Iglesia et al applied similar selection criteria and exclusion surveys and found a similar population (mostly women and patients with a mean age of 58.32),\(^\text{23}\) recommending a therapy test (empirical treatment) in patients with symptoms highly suggestive of DRFL (score greater than 13) and also suspicious laryngoscopic findings (score greater than 7), with proton pump inhibitors in full dose for 4 months. Correlating both scores, the researchers came to the conclusion that the laryngoscopic findings are most useful for diagnosis and patients’ symptoms are most useful for follow-up and evolution of medical treatment.

Even after 60 years of research, both the diagnosis and treatment of GERD and extraesophageal reflux have been the target of several studies due to their controversial nature. The gold standard of pH monitoring on diagnosis has been questioned by some authors, who have stated that in addition to the test not having 100% sensitivity, the electrodes in the digestive tract interfere with the eating habits of the patients.

![Fig. 1 Presence of the posterior commissure hypertrophy.](image)

Table 3 Correlation between the symptoms and the findings on indirect laryngoscopy (statistically significant in bold)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Symptom</th>
<th>Subglottic edema</th>
<th>Ventricular obliteration</th>
<th>Erythema/hyperemia</th>
<th>Posterior commissure hypertrophy</th>
<th>Thick endolaryngeal mucus</th>
<th>Granuloma or granulation tissue</th>
<th>Diffuse laryngeal edema</th>
<th>Vocal fold edema</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Breathing difficulties or choking episodes</td>
<td>0.192</td>
<td>0.323</td>
<td>0.158</td>
<td>0.237</td>
<td>0.273</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>0.235</td>
<td>0.322</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hoarseness or a problem with your voice</td>
<td>0.565</td>
<td>0.176</td>
<td>0.093</td>
<td>0.431</td>
<td>0.274</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>0.102</td>
<td>0.074</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Excess throat mucus or postnasal drip</td>
<td>0.215</td>
<td>–0.053</td>
<td>0.278</td>
<td>0.387</td>
<td>0.242</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–0.01</td>
<td>0.219</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clearing your throat</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>–0.035</td>
<td>0.093</td>
<td>0.175</td>
<td>–0.125</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>0.105</td>
<td>0.108</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
which affects the results and consequently the diagnosis.24 Other studies must establish a consensus on the diagnosis and treatment of patients with pharyngolaryngeal reflux disease to improve the quality of life in these patients.25

Conclusion

After analyzing the data presented, we conclude that there was a strong positive correlation between the findings of indirect laryngoscopy and symptoms of reflux among patients who participated in the study in question; the most common symptoms were episodes of dry cough, foreign body sensation in the throat, and throat clearing. Furthermore, there was a statistically significant correlation between the symptoms of hoarseness and foreign body sensation with the finding of posterior commissure hypertrophy in indirect laryngoscopy.
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