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ABSTRACT

Purpose: Demonstrate radiological findings of 127 angiomyolipomas (AMLs) and propose a classification based on the radiological evidence of fat.

Materials and Methods: The imaging findings of 85 consecutive patients with AMLs: isolated (n = 73), multiple without tuberous sclerosis (TS) (n = 4) and multiple with TS (n = 8), were retrospectively reviewed. Eighteen AMLs (14%) presented with hemorrhage. All patients were submitted to a dedicated helical CT or magnetic resonance studies. All hemorrhagic and non-hemorrhagic lesions were grouped together since our objective was to analyze the presence of detectable fat. Out of 85 patients, 53 were monitored and 32 were treated surgically due to large perirenal component (n = 13), hemorrhage (n = 11) and impossibility of an adequate preoperative characterization (n = 8). There was not a case of renal cell carcinoma (RCC) with fat component in this group of patients.

Results: Based on the presence and amount of detectable fat within the lesion, AMLs were classified in 4 distinct radiological patterns: Pattern-I, predominantly fatty (usually less than 2 cm in diameter and intrarenal): 54%; Pattern-II, partially fatty (intrarenal or exophytic): 29%; Pattern-III, minimally fatty (most exophytic and perirenal): 11%; and Pattern-IV, without fat (most exophytic and perirenal): 6%.

Conclusions: This proposed classification might be useful to understand the imaging manifestations of AMLs, their differential diagnosis and determine when further radiological evaluation would be necessary. Small (< 1.5 cm), pattern-I AMLs tend to be intra-renal, homogeneous and predominantly fatty. As they grow they tend to be partially or completely exophytic and heterogeneous (patterns II and III). The rare pattern-IV AMLs, however, can be small or large, intra-renal or exophytic but are always homogeneous and hyperdense mass. Since no renal cell carcinoma was found in our series, from an evidence-based practice, all renal mass with detectable fat should be considered an AML.

Key words: kidney neoplasms; angiomyolipomas; diagnostic imaging; tomography, X-ray computed; hemorrhage

INTRODUCTION

Renal angiomyolipomas (AMLs) are benign neoplasms composed of mature adipose tissue, thick-walled blood vessels, and smooth muscle in varying proportions (1). Definite diagnosis of AML on computed tomography (CT) studies is made when macroscopic fat (low-density areas of -30 to -100 HU) is identified within the lesion (2,3). Our purpose is to demonstrate the imaging findings of 127 AMLs and to propose a radiological classification based on the presence and amounts of detectable fat.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Between March 1995 and December 2001, renal AML was diagnosed in 85 consecutive patients
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We retrospectively reviewed the imaging findings of these patients with AMLs (isolated, n = 73), multiple with tuberous sclerosis - TS (n = 8) and multiple without TS (n = 4). The patients were aged from 17 to 68 years (mean = 32 years). All patients had previous ultrasound (US) and were submitted to a dedicated helical CT. Non-contrast scans using 10-mm sections was initially done. If fat was not seen, 3- to 5-mm wide sections were scanned. In lesions smaller than 2 cm, 1 or 3-mm CT sections were performed and measurement of the attenuation values of individual pixels, were obtained (4). If fat was identified (more than 3 contiguous pixel with values below -30 HU), no further work-up was done. If no fat was seen, the patient received intravenous contrast injection for adequate preoperative staging since the mass was considered a renal cell carcinoma (RCC). Magnetic resonance was done as an additional method of evaluation in 16 patients. Of the 85 patients, 53 were followed by US or CT for 1 to 3 years to confirm stability, 32 were treated surgically due to a large perirenal component (n = 15), hemorrhage (n = 13) and impossibility of an adequate preoperative characterization (n = 4).

RESULTS

Tumor size ranged from 0.5 to 36.5 cm in diameter. Follow-up studies demonstrated growing of the AMLs in 2 patients with multiple lesions. Eighteen AMLs (14%) were hemorrhagic, including 11 associated with spontaneous renal bleeding. Three of these lesions measured 2 to 4 cm in diameter (Figure-1). The presence of an intrarenal or perinephric hematoma almost obscured the fatty component of the tumor in the majority of patients. All hemorrhagic and non-hemorrhagic lesions were grouped together since our objective was to analyze the presence and the amounts of detectable fat. Based on this criterion, AMLs were classified into 4 distinct radiological patterns: a) Pattern-I AML, predominantly fatty, included 68 lesions (54%); in this group, the AMLs measured 0.5 to 3 cm in diameter and were oval or round in shape, predominantly intrarenal or with discrete protrusion outside the kidney (Figure-2). All oval, or less frequently round, highly echogenic lesions smaller than 1.5 cm on ultrasound were proved to be an AML by helical-CT (Figure-3). Three of 16 lesions larger than 2 cm occurred in the renal sinus; b) Pattern-II AML, partially fatty, included 36 lesions (29%); this group consisted of 22 small (3 to 5 cm) and 14 large (>5 to 36.5 cm) partially or predominantly exophytic masses extending outside the kidney into the retroperitoneal space (Figure-4). These lesions presented with variable amounts of non-fatty soft tissue mass, intratumoral vessels or internal or perinephric hematoma (Figure-5). Only 2 AMLs were completely intrarenal and other 2 manifested as a renal sinus tumor; c) Pattern-III AML, minimally fatty, included 8 lesions (11%): most AMLs with minimal fat content manifested as a tumor with a predominantly extrarenal growth extending into the perirenal space (Figure-6). The report pixels method was essential for the detection of tiny amounts of fat within these lesions (Figure-7); d) Pattern-IV AML, without detectable fat, included 4 lesions (6%): all 4 masses were predominantly exophytic and occurred only in non-TS patients (Figure-8). All lesions showed high homogeneous attenuation on nonenhanced CT scans and homogeneous enhancement on contrast-enhanced CT images (11). In large lesions the presence of a small parenchyma defect was important to determine its renal origin. All tumors were surgically removed due to the preoperative diagnosis of a RCC (Figure-9).

DISCUSSION

Renal AML is a fairly common lesion, often discovered incidentally during ultrasound examination in women (30 - 60 years of age) and appears as hyperechoic mass with echogenicity similar or less intense than the renal sinus fat. They are usually single and small lesions, measuring 0.5 to 3 cm. About 20% of patients with AMLs have tuberous sclerosis (TS). In this condition, these tumors tend to be multiple and bilateral and have no gender predilection. Flank pain, hematuria or palpable mass, may result from its bleeding or large size. Small AMLs are usually further investigated with CT in order to differentiate from small hyperechoic renal cell carcinomas while larger AML may mimic perirenal liposarcomas. For these reasons and the fact that there are still controversies
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Figure 1 - Small ruptured angiomyolipoma associated with spontaneous renal hemorrhage. A) - Enhanced helical CT scan shows a large perirenal hematoma (H). A heterogeneous exophytic mass can be seen at the lateral portion of the right kidney (arrow). A small amount of fatty tissue can be seen at the periphery of the lesion (arrow). B) - Gross specimen shows a small angiomyolipoma (arrow-head) causing a large hematoma (H).

Figure 2 - Diagrams illustrating the 2 variants of pattern-I angiomyolipoma. A) - A small, oval predominantly fatty intrarenal lesion. B) - A small rounded predominantly fatty lesion with minimal protrusion from the periphery of the kidney.
**Figure 3** - Pattern-I angiomyolipoma. A) - Ultrasound scan shows an oval, highly echogenic lesion (1.3 x 0.9 cm) in the periphery of the lower pole of the left kidney (arrow). B) - Corresponding CT scan with report pixel voxel applied. C) - Note that the hypodense lesion shows only negative numbers representing fat densities (-42 to -103).

**Figure 4** - Diagrams illustrating the 2 variants of pattern-II (partially fatty) angiomyolipoma. A) - Small partially exophytic fatty mass. B) - A larger predominantly exophytic mass containing tortuous and bridging vessels with a pseudoaneurysm (arrow). Both tumors present variable amounts of non-fatty tissues.
regarding the incidence of AMLs without fat, we propose an original radiological classification of these tumors. The purpose of this classification, which is based on the presence and amounts of detectable fat, is to demonstrate that variable radiological manifestations of AMLs are related to their growing mechanism. This knowledge may facilitate their differential diagnosis and their radiological work-up. In our series of 127 lesions, all tumors with detectable fat by dedicated helical-CT study, even those were fat

**Figure 5** - Pattern-II angiomyolipoma. A) Prone longitudinal ultrasound shows a large echogenic mass at the anterior aspect of lower pole of the left kidney (arrows). B) Correspondent enhanced CT scan demonstrates the fatty component of the exophytic mass (arrows) with internal linear and branching vessels. Note a focal parenchyma defect (arrowhead). C) Gross specimen confirmed the fatty nature of the mass.

**Figure 6** - Diagram illustrates pattern-III angiomyolipoma. A predominantly myomatous / angiomatous mass with only minimal amounts of fat that originates from the renal cortex (arrows = site of focal parenchymal defect).
was obscured by hematoma, proved to be an AML (n = 123, 94%). Pattern-I, the most common manifestation of AML, can be differentiated from hyperechoic small RCC when a hypoechoic rim (pseudocapsule) or intratumoral tiny cysts are identified (5-7). When small pattern-I lesions (< 1.5 cm), are detected by ultrasound, no further investigation with CT is necessary since in our series all of these lesions proved to be AMLs. Spontaneous renal bleeding secondary to an AML usually occurs when the tumor is larger than 4 cm (8), but in 3 of 11 lesions (27%), the tumor measured 2.5 to 4 cm in diameter. Spontaneously hemorrhagic pattern-II renal AMLs must be differentiated from a RCC or other vascular entities (9). For this reason a careful search must be done during CT evaluation in order to detect fat (3), which in our series was invariable found at the periphery of the lesion (Figure-2). As this tumor grows they tend to be exophytic (pattern II or III). These lesions should be distinguished from well-differentiated, low grade retroperitoneal or capsular liposarcoma and the very rare RCC engulfing perirenal fat (10,11). AML can be distinguished from a perirenal liposarcoma on CT scans by the presence of typical internal tortuous angiomatous vessels and a renal parenchyma defect (Figure-5); both

Figure 7 - Pattern-III angiomyolipoma. A) - Nonenhanced CT scan (3-mm section) shows a predominantly soft-tissue exophytic mass, at the lateral aspect of the left kidney. A tiny hypoattenuating area is identified at the periphery of the lesion. The report pixel voxel (rp) has been applied to this area. B) Note that clusters of pixels with negative numbers are coincident with the tiny hypoattenuating area and represented fat (the lowest attenuation value was -59 HU).

Figure 8 - Diagram illustrates pattern-IV angiomyolipoma. An exophytic homogeneously myomatous/angiomatous mass, without a radiologically detectable fat.
findings usually not seen in liposarcomas (10). Pattern-IV AML has a distinct radiological behavior; as they grow the lesions maintain its high attenuation, homogeneous enhancement and its exophytic appearance (12). Similarly to pattern-III AML, the demonstration of a renal parenchyma defect in pattern IV AML is essential in order to establish its origin. Although isolated cases of calcified and non-calcified RCC containing fat has been described (13,14), for an evidence-based practice, all renal mass with detectable fat should be considered an AML.

**CONCLUSIONS**

This proposed classification might be useful to understand the imaging manifestations of AMLs, their differential diagnosis and the necessity for eventual further radiological work-up. Small (< 1.5 cm), pattern-I AMLs tend to be homogeneous predominantly intra-renal, fatty lesion. In our series, all hyperechoic lesions measuring 1.5 cm or less represented an AML; therefore, further evaluation with helical CT is probably not necessary in this group of patients. As these lesions grow they tend to present
variable amounts of non-fatty tissue and vascular components and to appear as partially or completely exophytic and heterogeneous (patterns II and III). Pattern-IV AMLs, however, although extremely rare (only 6%) can be small or large, but are always exophytic homogeneous and hyperdense renal mass. Although pattern-IV AML present some suggestive radiological signs, differentiation from malignant renal tumor is almost impossible. Since no renal cell carcinoma was found in our series, from an evidence-based practice, all renal mass with detectable fat should be considered an AML.
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EDITORIAL COMMENT

The authors add important new information to the literature by demonstrating the variable radiologic features of angiomyolipomas (AMLs). Four specific categories are defined. The use of this categorization permits the application of new information concerning these lesions in a more effective manner. Such a framework has been needed to for appropriate patient care, particularly since a variety of therapeutic approaches are available.

Pattern I lesions, which are predominantly fatty, intrarenal and small, represent an important group. The current paper found no renal cell carcinomas in patients whose lesions were less than 1.5 cm in size and highly reflective on ultrasonographic studies. Forman et. al. (1) reviewed 90 pathologically proven RCCs. In their series, all 5 renal cell carcinomas, which were less than 1.5 cm in size, were highly echogenic. Thus, one would conclude from these 2 articles that echogenic masses under 1.5 cm in size is typically angiomyolipomas, but that when renal cell carcinoma is seen when it is under 1.5 cm in size it is echogenic and indistinguishable from an AML. The current article concludes that echogenic masses under 1.5 cm can be considered AMLs and need no further work-up. A more conservative approach of verifying this diagnosis with CT or magnetic resonance imaging to identify the rare, small RCC is standard at many institutions. The cost effectiveness of this conservative approach remains to be defined.

Pattern II and III lesions which contain some macroscopic fat can clearly be considered AMLs. Many physicians would use follow-up studies of these lesions to define the growth rate of these masses both for prophylactic treatment of rapidly growing AMLs and to identify the very rare renal cell carcinoma that contains macroscopic fat.

Pattern IV lesions which contain no fat and are typically exophytic and perirenal remain the most challenging category. All of these masses were considered RCCs and treated surgically which is the standard approach. Biopsy of renal masses, once considered to be risky because of the possibility of spread of tumor, has been found to be safe using fine needle technique (2). Such biopsies are used for such indications including transitional vs. renal cell carcinoma, lymphoma vs. carcinoma, infection vs. tumor and to diagnose RCC when a tumor is unresectable. Two angiomyolipomas were biopsied successfully by Caroli et. al., establishing the diagnosis (2). The use of biopsy for echogenic masses without fat when seen in an appropriate setting, such as a middle-aged female or a premenopausal female with lymphangiomatosis (3), remains limited to a few institutions, but it has great potential.

Finally, 32 of the 53 patients were treated surgically in the current series. In cases in which hemorrhage or large size are the indications for surgery, an alternative technique is catheter embolization. (4). This is generally the initial approach used at our institution in these situations.

The categorization of AMLs in this article, based on a large series of cases that were carefully studied, has significant management implications and will be of use in evaluating therapeutic alternatives.
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