of lymph node metastases, which may have affected results. Certainly these results have not been demonstrated by other investigators that have found no statistically significant difference between squamous differentiation and pure TCC, although many have shown mortality reductions with other subtypes such as adenocarcinoma, carcinosarcoma and small cell carcinoma of the bladder (1-3). Nonetheless, it remains crucial that further, preferably randomized or well conducted retrospective studies are performed, to confirm which TCC subtypes truly portend a poorer prognosis. This data could then be used to assist in the integration of chemotherapy or radiotherapy, together with surgery in the management of these aggressive cancers in order to improve clinical outcomes.
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To the Editor:

Squamous differentiation is well known to occur in the bladder urothelial carcinoma and represents the most common form of mixed differentiation (1-5). When defined by the presence of intercel-

lular bridges and/or keratinization in urothelial carcinoma, it occurs in 21% of urothelial carcinomas of the bladder, and in 44% of tumors of the renal pelvis (2-3). Its frequency increases with grade and stage.
The diagnosis of squamous cell carcinoma is reserved for pure lesions without any associated urothelial component, including urothelial carcinoma in situ (5). Tumors with any identifiable urothelial element are classified as urothelial carcinoma with squamous differentiation and an estimate of the percentage of squamous component should be provided (3-5).

The clinical significance of squamous differentiation remains uncertain, but seems to be an unfavorable prognostic feature in such patients undergoing radical cystectomy, possibly, because of its association with high-grade tumors (1-5). This is supported by Antunes et al. (1). These authors have conducted an interesting study related to clinical implications of squamous differentiation in urothelial carcinoma treated by radical surgery. In their study, 22% of tumors had squamous differentiation, but most importantly, they observed a higher disease recurrence and mortality in patients having squamous differentiation as compared with patients without squamous differentiation. Antunes et al. (1), also found squamous differentiation as independent predictor of survival in patients with bladder cancer after radical surgery. This study provides nicely performed evidence on the usefulness of reporting the presence of squamous differentiation in urothelial carcinoma. Other studies have emphasized squamous differentiation as predictor of a poor response to radiation therapy, and possibly also to systemic chemotherapy, although the controversy still exists on this issues. To avoid some problems related to the criteria in assessing squamous differentiation, the use of immunohistochemical technique in addition to appropriated conventional analyses is advised (2). Cytokeratin 14, caveolin, uroplakins and L1 antigen have been reported as immunohistochemical markers of squamous differentiation since they are expressed in urothelial carcinoma and not in squamous differentiation (2). Finally, the main limitation of the study by Antunes et al. (1) is the small number of cases entering the analysis; therefore studies on the issue including larger series might be necessary to confirm the data by Antunes et al.
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