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Purpose: It is not yet possible to estimate the number of cases required for a beginner to become expert in laparoscopic radical prostatectomy. We estimated the learning curve of laparoscopic radical prostatectomy for positive surgical margins compared to a published learning curve for open radical prostatectomy.

Materials and Methods: We reviewed records from 8,544 consecutive patients with prostate cancer treated laparoscopically by 51 surgeons at 14 academic institutions in Europe and the United States. The probability of a positive surgical margin was calculated as a function of surgeon experience with adjustment for pathological stage, Gleason score and prostate specific antigen. A second model incorporated prior experience with open radical prostatectomy and surgeon generation.

Results: Positive surgical margins occurred in 1,862 patients (22%). There was an apparent improvement in surgical margin rates up to a plateau at 200 to 250 surgeries. Changes in margin rates once this plateau was reached were relatively minimal relative to the CIs. The absolute risk difference for 10 vs 250 prior surgeries was 4.8% (95% CI 1.5, 8.5). Neither surgeon generation nor prior open radical prostatectomy experience was statistically significant when added to the model. The rate of decrease in positive surgical margins was more rapid in the open vs laparoscopic learning curve.

Conclusions: The learning curve for surgical margins after laparoscopic radical prostatectomy plateaus at approximately 200 to 250 cases. Prior open experience and surgeon generation do not improve the margin rate, suggesting that the rate is primarily a function of specifically laparoscopic training and experience.

Editorial Comment

This multi-institutional study redefined some of the end points to measure improvement of learning curve for laparoscopic radical prostatectomy (LRP).

The authors reported previously biochemical recurrence as measurement of surgical technique improvement. The current study focused on positive surgical margins (PSM) rates to determine the effects of changes in technique or training. This study estimated the overall PSM rate among patients treated with LRP without robotic assistance at North American and European institutions, and estimated the learning curve using PSMs as an end point. In addition, it was evaluated the effects of prior open experience and whether first generation surgeons had results different from those of the second generation who benefitted from experience. The authors compared the laparoscopic radical prostatectomy (RP) learning curve for PSMs to that of open RP, and examined the margins learning curve in light of the learning curve for biochemical recurrence.

PSMs were reported in 1,862 patients (22%) of this multicenter series. The learning curve for PSMs after LRP, although fairly similar to that of the open technique, appears to be slower. SM rates improve with increasing surgeon experience until a plateau at around 200 to 250 cases. Prior open experience and surgeon
generation do not improve margin rates, suggesting that these are primarily a function of specifically laparoscopic experience.
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Does prior abdominal surgery influence outcomes or complications of robotic-assisted laparoscopic radical prostatectomy?  
University of Connecticut Health Center, Farmington, Connecticut, USA  
Urology. 2010; 76: 1125-9

Objectives: To determine whether robotic-assisted laparoscopic radical prostatectomy (RALP) in patients with prior abdominal surgery is associated with increased operating times, positive surgical margins, or complications.

Methods: An institutional review board-approved retrospective review of a prospective, prostatectomy database was performed. Patients undergoing surgery between January 1, 2004, and February 29, 2008 were included. Transition from open retropubic prostatectomy to RALP took place through 2004, at which point all surgical candidates were offered RALP, regardless of prior surgical history. Learning curves from all surgeons were included. Patients with prior abdominal surgery were compared with those patients without prior surgery with respect to total operating time, robotic-assist time, surgical margin positivity, and rate of complications.

Results: A total of 1083 patients underwent RALP between January 1, 2004, and February 29, 2008, at our institution; of these, 839 had sufficient data available for analysis. In all, 251 (29.9%) patients had prior abdominal surgery, whereas 588 (70.1%) had no prior abdominal surgery. Total operating times were 209 and 204 minutes (P = .20), robotic console times were 165 and 163 minutes (P = .59), and surgical margin positivity was 21.1% and 27.2% (P = .08) for patients with and without prior abdominal surgery, respectively. The incidence of complications was 14.3% and 17.3% for patients with and without prior abdominal surgery (P = .33).

Conclusions: Prior abdominal surgery was not associated with a statistically significant increase in overall operating time, robotic assist time, margin positivity, or rate of complications in patients undergoing RALP. Robotic prostatectomy can be safely and satisfactorily performed in patients who have had a wide variety of prior abdominal surgery types.

Editorial Comment

The authors presented complications of 839 men that underwent robotic-assisted laparoscopic radical prostatectomy. Approximately 1/3 of patients (251) had prior abdominal surgery, whereas 588 (70.1%) had no prior abdominal surgery. When these 2 groups were studied, operating times, robotic console times, and positive surgical margin were not significantly different for patients with and without prior abdominal surgery. Moreover, the incidence of complications was 14.3% and 17.3% for patients with and without prior abdominal
surgery ($p = 0.33$). This study confirmed a well known data in other surgical specialties, including ours own, that previous abdominal surgery does not increase the morbidity of laparoscopic procedures. The pivotal issue of surgical techniques always point out to the surgeons’ experience with particular surgical technique.
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**Ultrasound assessment of intravesical prostatic protrusion and detrusor wall thickness--new standards for noninvasive bladder outlet obstruction diagnosis?**  
*Department of Urology, La Sapienza University, Rome, Italy*  
J Urol. 2010; 183: 2270-4

**Purpose:** We evaluated the accuracy of detrusor wall thickness and intravesical prostatic protrusion, and the association of each test to diagnose bladder prostatic obstruction in patients with lower urinary tract symptoms.  
**Materials and Methods:** We enrolled in the study 100 consecutive patients with lower urinary tract symptoms due to benign prostatic hyperplasia. Baseline parameters were International Prostate Symptom Score, prostate volume, urinary flow rate, intravesical prostatic protrusion, detrusor wall thickness, Schaefer obstruction class, minimal urethral opening pressure and the urethral resistance algorithm bladder outlet obstruction index. A ROC curve was produced to calculate AUC and evaluate the diagnostic performance of intravesical prostatic protrusion, detrusor wall thickness and prostate volume for bladder prostatic obstruction.  
**Results:** We noted a highly significant correlation between intravesical prostatic protrusion and the bladder outlet obstruction index (Spearman’s rho = 0.49, $p = 0.001$), and Schaefer obstruction class (Spearman’s rho = 0.51, $p = 0.001$). A highly significant correlation was also observed for detrusor wall thickness and the bladder outlet obstruction index (Spearman’s rho = 0.57, $p = 0.001$), detrusor wall thickness and Schaefer obstruction class (Spearman’s rho = 0.432, $p = 0.02$). On multivariate analysis intravesical prostatic protrusion and detrusor wall thickness were the only parameters associated with bladder prostatic obstruction ($p = 0.015$). The AUC for intravesical prostatic protrusion was 0.835 (95% CI 0.756-0.915) and for detrusor wall thickness it was 0.845 (95% CI 0.78-0.91). The association of intravesical prostatic protrusion and detrusor wall thickness produced the best diagnostic accuracy (87%) when the 2 tests were done consecutively.  
**Conclusions:** Suprapubic ultrasound of detrusor wall thickness and intravesical prostatic protrusion is a simple, noninvasive, accurate system to assess bladder prostatic obstruction in patients with lower urinary tract symptoms due to benign prostatic hyperplasia.

**Editorial Comment**  
Recently attempts have been made to provide noninvasive tests for the assessment of bladder outlet obstruction (BOO). Since prostate volume and post void residual urine are not accurate parameters in predict-
ing BOO, the positive predict value of others sonographic measurements such as detrusor wall thickness (DWT), bladder weight and intravesical prostatic protrusion (IPP) has been investigated. In this study the authors evaluated a group of 100 patients with lower urinary tract symptoms due to benign prostatic hyperplasia. They found that the AUC for IPP was 0.835 with a cutoff of 12 mm having 0.65 sensitivity and 0.77 specificity and 88% positive predictive value. The AUC for DWT was 0.845 and at a 6 mm cutoff they noted 0.73 sensitivity and 0.82 specificity. Patients with 1 of the 2 test positive (IPP 12 mm or more, or DWT 7 mm or more) have an approximately 90% chance of bladder prostatic obstruction on pressure flow study. In the evaluation of IPP, the authors excluded men with a median lobe, which may cause ball valve type of obstruction and can be easily determined by suprapubic US in the sagittal plane. Special attention was given to the role of protrusion of lateral lobes into the bladder. Radiologists and urologists, who perform urological ultrasound, should be aware of the technical aspects of how to obtain these measurements. The estimative of DWT, is yet the only one of these two parameters which has been recommended by the “American College of Radiology 2008 - Appropriateness Criteria”, requires adequate maximum bladder volume, adequate place of the transducer for bladder wall measurement and optimized ultrasound transducer frequency.
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Renal cell carcinoma: diffusion-weighted MR imaging for subtype differentiation at 3.0 T
Department of Radiology, PLA General Hospital, Beijing, China
Radiology. 2010; 257: 135-43

Purpose: To assess the usefulness of apparent diffusion coefficients (ADCs) for characterizing renal cell carcinoma (RCC) subtypes at 3.0 T.
Materials and Methods: The Institutional Review Board approved this retrospective study, and informed consent was waived. Eighty-three patients underwent diffusion-weighted (DW) magnetic resonance (MR) imaging of 85 renal masses. In each patient, precontrast single-shot spin-echo echo-planar DW imaging was performed with b values of 0 and 500 and 0 and 800 sec/mm(2) by using a 3.0-T MR imaging system. Differences in ADCs between the RCC lesions and uninvolved renal parenchyma were tested by using a paired-samples t test. One-way analysis of variance was used to compare ADCs of the various RCC subtypes. Receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curve analysis was used to test the ability of ADCs in differentiating clear cell from non-clear cell RCCs.
Results: Pathologic diagnoses of the 85 tumors (median diameter, 4.4 cm) in the 83 patients (54 men, 29 women; age range, 23-75 years; mean age, 49.4 years) were clear cell RCC for 49 tumors, papillary RCC for 22 tumors, and chromophobic RCC for 14 tumors. With b values of 0 and 500 sec/mm(2), clear cell RCCs showed a significantly higher mean ADC (1.849 × 10(-3) mm(2)/sec) than papillary (1.087 × 10(-3) mm(2)/sec) and chromophobic (1.307 × 10(-3) mm(2)/sec) RCCs (P < .001); however, the difference between papillary and chromophobic RCCs was not significant (P = .068). With b values of 0 and 800 sec/mm(2), clear cell RCC showed the largest mean ADC (1.698 × 10(-3) mm(2)/sec) of the three subtypes, and the difference between each pair of
subtypes was significant (P < .001). ADCs obtained with b values of 0 and 800 sec/mm² were more effective for distinguishing clear cell from non-clear cell RCC (area under the ROC curve, 0.973): A threshold value of 1.281 × 10⁻³ mm²/sec permitted distinction with high sensitivity (95.9%) and specificity (94.4%).

Conclusion: DW imaging with b values of 0 and 800 sec/mm² allows sensitive and specific differentiation of clear cell, papillary, and chromophobic RCCs, suggesting that DW imaging may be useful in the preoperative characterization of RCC.

Editorial Comment

Diffusion-weighted imaging (DWI) is a magnetic resonance technique where the contrast between tissues derives from the regional differences in the mobility of water molecules. The diffusion data can be presented as signal intensity on pure DWI or as an image map of the apparent diffusion coefficient (ADC). Calculation of the ADC requires 2 or more acquisitions with different diffusion weightings (“b values”). A low ADC corresponds to high signal intensity in pure DWI (restricted diffusion usually found in more compact tissues), and a high ADC to low signal intensity on pure DWI (found in less compact tissue). The authors of this study evaluated with DWI 85 tumors (49 clear cells RCC, 22 papillary RCC and 14 chromophobic RCC. ADCs obtained with b values of 0 and 800 sec/mm², were more effective for distinguishing clear cell from non–clear cell RCC (area under the ROC curve, 0.973), and for distinguishing RCC subtypes.

DWI has also been shown to be useful to distinguish benign from malignant renal tumors (1). Statistically significant differences exist between the ADC values of normal kidney, renal carcinomas, renal angiomyolipomas and renal cysts when the “b value” is the same. In our opinion however further studies are necessary to confirm the reported higher accuracy in distinguishing benign from malignant renal tumors and in distinguishing RCC subtypes. Until now, there is no consensus regarding which are the best “b values “ for renal masses characterization. Do we need just a pair of b values (example: 0 and 800 sec/mm²) or do we need a multi-b-values technique (example: b values of 0, 50, 100, 300, 500, 800, 1000, etc) in order to obtain a discriminatory characteristic curve of ADC values?. Another point to consider is that in the majority of situations, radiologists use only visual assessment of DWI; in other words normal or benign, less compact tissues, usually present as an area with lower signal intensity on DWI and with higher ADC values. Contrary, tumoral compact tissue, due its restricted diffusion, appears as an area with higher signal intensity on DWI and lower ADC values. However in our preliminary experience we have been seen benign angiomyolipoma with lower ADC values than RCC.
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