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Abstract

Background: The Brazilian Unified Health System (SUS) was created to ensure universal, integral and equitable access to quality healthcare to Brazilians. However, studies scrutinizing the quality of the healthcare provided by the SUS are scarce. This is especially critical for patients with ST-elevation myocardial infarction (STEMI), who depend on healthcare system responsiveness and timely reperfusion to achieve better outcomes.

Objective: To describe the methodology of the VICTIM Registry aimed at characterizing and comparing the access to effective therapies and the outcomes of patients with STEMI, who use the SUS and the private healthcare system at hospitals capable of performing angioplasty in Sergipe. In addition, that registry aimed at identifying and measuring possible disparities in the quality of the care provided.

Methods and Results: The VICTIM Registry is an observational study, launched in December 2014, being still in the data collection phase, to investigate: the epidemiology of STEMI in Sergipe, the temporal and geographic courses of the patients up to their admission to one of the hospitals capable of performing angioplasty, the reperfusion therapy rates, the quality of the healthcare provided during the event, and the 30-day mortality. It compares the results obtained in the SUS with those of the private healthcare system.

Conclusions: The VICTIM Registry is an interinstitutional effort to identify opportunities for healthcare improvement for SUS and private healthcare system patients with STEMI. It is expected to provide healthcare managers with information to support new, more efficient and equitable healthcare policies. (Int J Cardiovasc Sci. 2018;31(4):339-358)
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Introduction

The guarantee that health is a constitutional right and the subsequent creation of the Brazilian Unified Health System (SUS) are fundamental landmarks of the Brazilian public health. Based on that, every Brazilian would have universal, integral and equitable access to quality healthcare. Although the SUS is more than three decades old, the quality of the healthcare it provides has been insufficiently scrutinized by the Science of Results. This is particularly critical because 72.1% of the Brazilian population essentially depends on SUS, and only 27.9% of the Brazilians have some other type of healthcare coverage.

Acute myocardial infarction (AMI) continues to be the major cause of cardiovascular morbidity and mortality in Brazil and worldwide. In ST-segment elevation myocardial infarction (STEMI), the immediate access to reperfusion therapies increases substantially the chance of survival. Although myocardial reperfusion for STEMI has been established since the 1980s, contemporary data from several countries and regions have shown the variability and underuse of that therapy and several other pharmacological or procedural practices, essential to the treatment of patients with STEMI. Developing countries, however, lack studies on the quality of the care provided to patients with AMI. In Brazil, studies investigating the quality of the healthcare provided by SUS are scarce.

Therefore, generating representative and comprehensive knowledge on the healthcare quality provided by SUS is justified, in addition to assessing the existence of disparity as compared to the healthcare quality provided by the private system, which, if confirmed, should be quantified. However, assessing the healthcare provided to patients with STEMI in the huge territory of Brazil is a challenge. To fill that gap, limiting the research field to a circumscribed geography and developing pilot projects can be the most realistic strategy.

Thus, Sergipe, by being the smallest state in Brazil, counting on only four referral hospitals specialized in cardiovascular diseases, can serve as a laboratory to measure the presumed disparity in the healthcare provided by the SUS and the private system to treat patients with STEMI.

Context of the VICTIM Registry

The VICTIM (Via Crucis para o Tratamento do Infarto do Miocárdio) Registry was designed to investigate and compare patients with STEMI cared for in the public and private health systems considering the following major objectives: 1) celerity in the search for medical care; 2) temporal and geographic course of patients, from symptom onset to search for care and access to referral hospitals specialized in cardiovascular disease; 3) demographic and clinical characteristics of the patients with STEMI referred to the centers specialized in cardiovascular disease in the State of Sergipe; 4) access to the myocardial reperfusion therapies occurring during transportation to those centers and those occurring upon arrival there; 5) to assess whether the healthcare practices of public and private health services are aligned with the metric indicators that represent hospital care quality for the management of STEMI; 6) the rate of cardiovascular events occurring in-hospital and up to 30 days from the index event. In addition, the VICTIM Registry has the following general objectives: 7) to collaborate with the institutions participating in the process of improving the quality of the care provided to patients with STEMI; 8) to identify opportunities of improving the quality of the care provided to patients with STEMI in the entire State of Sergipe; 9) to disseminate knowledge at local and national levels; 10) to serve as a research platform for larger, multicenter and national studies; 11) to influence the public policies regarding the healthcare provided to patients with STEMI at state and national levels, in addition to other countries with similar socioeconomic characteristics.

The present study describes the methodology of the VICTIM Registry and discusses its potential implications.

Domains analyzed

For the outline of the VICTIM Registry, the following domains were considered (Figure 1):

- A. Epidemiology of STEMI at referral hospitals
- B. Pre-hospital healthcare quality
- C. Healthcare quality at the referral center
- D. Clinical outcomes
- E. Post-discharge healthcare quality

History of the project

Pilot projects for the VICTIM Registry were conducted from May 2013 to November 2014 aimed at training the data collection team and at raising awareness in each referral center about the need for studies on the healthcare quality provided to patients with STEMI.
During that period, 319 patients were included in the study, 274 from the public healthcare and 45 from the private healthcare. During that phase, the variables to be collected were defined, the collection tool was refined (Annex A), and the logistic of data collection was adjusted regarding the number of field researchers, their training in the field and their allocation to the centers.

In December 2014, data collection finally started to feed the VICTIM Registry, an ongoing phase for greater sample representativeness. To participate in the study, the field researcher should undergo training, consisting of a formal presentation of the research’s objectives and the data collection methodology, by using the appropriate tool. Then, each investigator underwent a supervised training with the study coordinator at the hospital of allocation to become acquainted with the research site and its functioning routines, in addition to being instructed on data collection. After that basic training, the researchers could undertake their specific tasks. Whenever necessary, the members of the teams underwent updating trainings aimed at refining the technique of data collection. Since the beginning of the post-pilot phase, the coordinators have taken constant and very good care of data collection.

## Methods

### Hospitals of the state of Sergipe included in the VICTIM Registry

Sergipe is the smallest state of Brazil, occupies an area of 21,918.454 km², has 75 municipalities, the city of Aracaju is the capital, and the Metropolitan region includes the municipalities of Barra dos Coqueiros, Nossa Senhora do Socorro and São Cristóvão. The state has 34 general hospitals, 14 of which are public hospitals, 10 are philanthropic hospitals and 10 are private hospitals.

The VICTIM Registry portrays the care provided to patients with STEMI admitted to the four cardiovascular hospitals of Sergipe that have interventional cardiology services. All of them are located in the city of Aracaju, one provides care to the users of SUS (hospital 1), and three are private hospitals that provide care to users of the supplemental healthcare system (hospitals 2, 3 and 4) (Table 1). All four hospitals can perform primary angioplasty and heart surgery seven days a week.

In the VICTIM Registry, the public hospital is philanthropic, but has no direct entrance to the emergency unit. The users of SUS have access to that public hospital through referral from another health unit.
Table 1 - Characteristics of the hospitals participating in the VICTIM Registry

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristics of the hospitals</th>
<th>Public hospital patients N (370*)</th>
<th>Private hospital patients N (82*)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Hospital 1 (370*)</td>
<td>Hospital 2 (35*)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Location</td>
<td>Capital</td>
<td>Capital</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Type</td>
<td>Non-profit-making</td>
<td>Profit-making</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total number of beds</td>
<td>279</td>
<td>208</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COU beds</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>General hospital</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td>YES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Access as user of SUS</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td>NO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ability to perform PCI</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td>YES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ability to perform heart surgery</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td>YES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patients admitted via direct access*</td>
<td>6 (1.5%)</td>
<td>27 (77%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patients admitted via referral*</td>
<td>364 (98.5%)</td>
<td>8 (23%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

N: Number of patients; COU: Coronary Unit; SUS: Brazilian Unified Health System; PCI: Percutaneous Coronary Intervention; (*) Period: December 2014 to April 2016.

The private hospitals, however, provide care to a heterogeneous population, comprising patients with different health insurance plans and those who choose to pay for their own healthcare. Each of the three private hospitals has its specific set of health insurance plans, which makes their population heterogeneous. Such hospitals have direct entrance to their emergency units, thus, the patient can have direct access to those hospitals or can be referred from another health institution.

In the state of Sergipe, 80.7% of the population has no health insurance, relying, therefore, on the SUS, depending consequently on one single hospital as reference for the treatment of STEMI. The other 19.3% of the population has health insurance, counting on three hospitals with catheterization laboratory. Because of the lack of the necessary responsiveness in the SUS, some patients, even with neither health insurance nor a favorable economic condition, opt for the private service care.19

Except for those four hospitals, no other hospital of the Sergipe healthcare system has a team of cardiologists on call or a clinical team capable of identifying and treating patients with STEMI, especially regarding the prescription of thrombolytic agents or the infrastructure to perform primary angioplasty.

The basic assumption is that the care provided to patients with STEMI in the four cardiovascular referral hospitals has the best quality in the state (Figure 2). Thus, to compare the quality of the care provided to users of the SUS with that provided at the three private hospitals will reflect the best public and private healthcare provided in the state of Sergipe.

Eligibility of the patients

Patients with the following characteristics are considered eligible for the VICTIM Registry: both sexes; older than 18 years; clinical findings compatible with acute coronary syndrome and electrocardiogram (ECG) showing persistent ST-segment elevation > 1 mm on two contiguous leads;7,8 and who provide written informed consent.

The diagnosis of AMI is confirmed later, based on the classical changes of the biomarkers CK-MB and / or troponin,7,8 taking into consideration the final opinion of the medical team.
Patients meeting the eligibility criteria described will be included in this study.

The following patients will be excluded: (1) those who die before the interview; (2) patients who develop STEMI inside the hospital, whose pre-hospital phase cannot be characterized; (3) those who refuse to provide written informed consent; (4) those whose acute event of STEMI is characterized as reinfarction (new AMI within 30 days from the incident infarction); (5) individuals whose diagnosis is changed, that is, their initial diagnostic suspicion of STEMI is not confirmed during hospitalization; (6) patients cared for by use of their health insurance at a philanthropic hospital (Figure 3).

Data collection

The team of field researchers is subdivided so that there is a fixed schedule with a researcher on duty every day of the week at the hospitals participating in the study. This ensures an active search is performed every day for patients with STEMI admitted to the four hospitals of the study.

After the patients provide written informed consent, data are collected as follows: (1) from their medical records with extraction of data pertinent to the study; (2) from an interview with the patients.

The interview collected the following demographic variables: age; socioeconomic level; educational level; marital status; pathological history; and time-related elements, such as the date and hour of symptom onset, the time that help was required, the time the patient arrived at the first institution that could not perform angioplasty, and the time the patient arrived at the specialized institution. From the medical records, the following data are retrieved: characteristics of the diagnostic ECG with ST-segment elevation, physical examination and laboratory tests, drugs used within 24 hours from STEMI detection, tests performed on admission, such as echocardiography and coronary angiography, data regarding the angioplasty or revascularization surgery, in addition to data regarding the in-hospital outcomes.

Fortnightly meetings with the team are systematically held to assess the progress of the investigation and occasional adjudication of doubtful cases, in addition to assessing the quality of data collection.

To obtain the data regarding the outcomes of patients included in the registry, a phone call with structured
Figure 3 - Flowchart representing the exclusion criteria for patients with ST-segment elevation myocardial infarction (STEMI).
AMI: acute myocardial infarction.

Interview is performed 30 days after the detection of STEMI. On the occasion, the coordinator responsible for the calls gets information with the patients and/or their guardians on the occurrence of death, reinfarction, heart failure, cardiogenic shock, angina pectoris, stroke, hemorrhage, cardiac arrest and/or new hospitalization, in addition to assessing whether the patients attended a specialized consultation after discharge, and, if not, whether they have one scheduled.

When the patient cannot be reached via telephone, other resources are used, such as a relative’s or neighbor’s telephone contact, e-mail or post letter with the major researcher’s contacts, to minimize data loss.

If the patient remains hospitalized for 30 days, the final visit is performed during hospitalization, and after that the patient’s participation in the study ends.

Case report form and data bank

Case report form (CRF) is the collection tool (Annex A) adopted by the VICTIM Registry and comprises the following: (1) patient’s identification; (2) eligibility; (3) timeline; (4) clinical presentation; (5) hospitalization; (6) outcomes. In 2015, the CRF passed from the print version to the electronic version, in which data storage is virtually fed, facilitating their maintenance and reducing the form filling out process errors. The data collected in loco are stored in an electronic cloud, ensuring lower risk for data loss.

Data originating from the electronic CRF are transferred to a spreadsheet, facilitating their analysis and interpretation. The system is always fed by a researcher who underwent previous training and is the sole responsible for that activity. Aiming at minimizing errors of data bank input, the procedure is performed systematically right after patient’s assessment. Each CRF entered into the system receives an identification number, eliminating, thus, the need for contact with the names of the patients included in the study, and ensuring the right to anonymity.

Statistical analysis

Qualitative variables will be expressed as frequency (percentage), and quantitative variables will undergo Kolmogorov-Smirnov test to determine the distribution type; those meeting the normality assumption will be expressed as mean and standard deviation. The variables without a normal distribution will be described as median and interquartile range or maximum and minimum values. The qualitative variables will be compared by using Pearson’s chi-square test or Fisher exact test, when appropriate. Non-paired Student t test will be used to compare between the two major
groups when the continuous or discrete variables have normal distribution. In case of asymmetric distribution, Wilcoxon-Mann-Whitney test will be used.\textsuperscript{21}

To assess the effect of demography, clinical data, laboratory data and the time for reperfusion treatment to be performed, a model of multivariate logistic regression will be used with generalized equations that consider the clustering effect\textsuperscript{22} and stratified Cox regression.\textsuperscript{23}

The Kaplan-Meier method\textsuperscript{24} and the log-rank test\textsuperscript{25} will be used to compare event-free survival curves in users of the SUS and of the private hospitals, with and without adjustment for the confounding variables. The SPSS Statistics program for Windows version 17 and R Core Team 2014\textsuperscript{26} will be used for the statistical analysis. The significance level adopted in future analyses will be 5%.

**Ethical considerations**

Before entering the study, all volunteers or their guardians provide a written informed consent. Illiterate individuals who choose to participate in the study complete the informed consent process by signing with a fingerprint and two literate witnesses verify the process with a signature. This study was approved by the Ethics Committee in Research of the Federal University of Sergipe (n° 23392313.4.0000.5546).

**Commitment of the VICTIM team**

In addition to answering specific questions, the leaders of the VICTIM Registry are committed to continuously spreading the study results aiming at contributing to improve the healthcare quality for AMI. The present investigation is expected to provide constantly and systematically the health managers with technical information that can support new health policies or care strategies, contributing to the construction of a more efficient and equitable healthcare system. The central idea is to identify in the presently practiced line of care opportunities to improve the care provided regarding infrastructure, logistics of healthcare processes and especially the healthcare results.

In addition, the VICTIM Registry is expected to constitute a continuous field of training in several research areas, such as cardiovascular biomedicine, outcomes research and health services, for post-graduate and graduate students, to aid in the scientific qualification and formation of researchers in the health sciences area.
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Annex A

Data collection tool
### REGISTRATION

Control number: ___________________________  Date of enrollment: ____________
Researcher’s Name: ______________________________________________________

### PATIENT IDENTIFICATION

**Name:** ________________________________________________________________

**Patient’s registration number:** __________________________________________

**ID:** ______________________  **Brazilian Social Security number:** ______________

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Birth date:</th>
<th>Age:</th>
<th>Sex:</th>
<th>Race:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>F</td>
<td>White</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>M</td>
<td>Non white</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Socioeconomic level*</th>
<th>A</th>
<th>B</th>
<th>C</th>
<th>D</th>
<th>E</th>
<th>NI</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Marital status:</td>
<td>Married</td>
<td>Divorced</td>
<td>Single</td>
<td>Widow</td>
<td>Unmarried couple</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Education:</td>
<td>Elementary</td>
<td>High school</td>
<td>Upper level</td>
<td>Post-graduation</td>
<td>Never studied</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Healthcare insurance:</th>
<th>SUS</th>
<th>IPES</th>
<th>Private</th>
<th>Health insurance: Which?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Address:**

City: ___________________________  State: ___________________________

E-mail: ___________________________  Telephone: (____)___________

Zip Code: ___________________________  (____)___________

### Additional Contacts

**Name:** ___________________________  **Degree of kinship:** ___________________________

City: ___________________________  State: ___________________________

Telephone: (____)___________

(____)___________

(____)___________

**Additional information:**

**Name:** ___________________________  **Degree of kinship:** ___________________________

City: ___________________________  State: ___________________________

Telephone: (____)___________

(____)___________

(____)___________

**Additional information:**

*Socioeconomic level:

- A: income > 20 minimum wages
- B: 10-20 minimum wages
- C: 4-10 minimum wages
- D: 2-4 minimum wages
- E: <2 minimum wages
- NI: not informed
ELIGIBILITY

- Clinical findings compatible with AMI
- ECG compatible with STEMI
- Age ≥ 18 years
- Provided written informed consent

ECG OF ADMISSION

Time of STEMI detection: __ : __ Date: __ __ __

Persistent ST-segment elevation in two leads: Yes No

ST SEGMENT ELEVATION > 1mm: Yes No

- II, III, AVF
- I, AVL
- V1, V2+/V3
- V3, V4
- V5, V6
- V3R, V4R

ST SEGMENT DEPRESSION > 0.5mm: Yes No

- II, III, AVF
- I, AVL
- V1, V2+/V3
- V3, V4
- V5, V6

T-WAVE INVERSION > 3mm: Yes No

- II, III, AVF
- I, AVL
- V1, V2+/V3
- V3, V4
- V5, V6

PATHOLOGICAL Q WAVE: Yes No

- II, III, AVF
- I, AVL
- V1, V2+/V3
- V3, V4
- V5, V6
**TIMELINES**

### SYMPTOM ONSET
- **Date:**  
- **Address at the time of symptom onset:**  
- **Hour:** : h 
- **City:**  
- **State:**  

### DECISION TO CALL TRANSPORTATION
- **Date:**  
- **Address at the time transportation was requested:**  
- **Hour:** : h 
- **Mobile emergency medical service**  
- **Own transportation**  
- **Other**

### ARRIVAL AT THE 1st HOSPITAL WITHOUT PCI
- **Date:**  
- **Hour:** : h 
- **Which?**

### ARRIVAL AT THE 2nd HOSPITAL WITHOUT PCI
- **Date:**  
- **Hour:** : h 
- **Which?**

### ARRIVAL AT THE 3rd HOSPITAL WITHOUT PCI
- **Date:**  
- **Hour:** : h 
- **Which?**

### ARRIVAL AT THE HOSPITAL WITH PCI
- **Date:**  
- **Hour:** : h 
- **Which?**

### STEMI DETECTION
- **Date:**  
- **Hour:** : h 
- **Where?**

### DOOR-BALLOON
- **Date:**  
- **Hour:** : h 
- **Hospital:**  
- **Door-balloon:**

### FIBRINOLYSIS
- **Date:**  
- **Hour:** : h 
- **Which?**
- **SK**  
- **t-PA**  
- **TNK**

### Cardiopulmonary arrest during transfer?
- **Yes**  
- **No** 
- **Local:**

---

*Hospitals:
- Hospital São Lucas - HSL
- Hospital Primavera - HP
- Hospital do Coração - H.Cor
- Hospital de Cirurgia - HC
- Hospital de Urgências de Sergipe - HUSE
- Hospital Zona Norte - HZN
- Hospital Zona Sul - HZS
- Hospital Regional de Itajaíana - HRI
### Clinical Presentation

**Prodromal Symptoms for More Than 24h from the Major Finding**

- No [ ] Yes [ ]
- 24-72 h [ ]
- >72h - 1 week [ ]
- >1 week - 30 days [ ]
- Chest Pain [ ]
- GI/Indigestion [ ]
- Dyspnea [ ]
- Others [ ]

**Presentation Symptoms**

- Typical anginal chest pain/epigastric pain [ ]
- Atypical chest pain [ ]
- Sweating [ ]
- Nausea/vomiting [ ]
- Fatigue/Asthenia [ ]
- Nausea/vomiting [ ]
- Palpitations [ ]
- Others: [ ]

**Infarction Triggers**

- Strenuous physical exertion [ ]
  - 2h before symptom onset [ ]
- Sexual intercourse 2 hours [ ]
  - before symptom onset [ ]
- Cocaine or other illicit drug use [ ]
  - within the previous 24h [ ]
- Infection in the past 10 days: [ ]

**Previous Pathological History and Cardiovascular Risk Factors**

- Current smoker: [ ] Yes [ ] No [ ]
- Ex-smoker: [ ] Yes [ ] No [ ]
  - Stopped how long ago?: [ ]
- Systemic arterial hypertension: [ ] Yes [ ] No [ ]
- Diabetes Mellitus: [ ] Yes [ ] No [ ]
  - Treatment: [ ]
    - Diet [ ]
    - Medicament [ ]
    - Insulin [ ]
- Dyslipidemia: [ ] Yes [ ] No [ ]
- Family history of early CAD / male<55 and female<65: [ ] Yes [ ] No [ ]
- Congestive heart failure: [ ] Yes [ ] No [ ]
- Angina pectoris: [ ] Yes [ ] No [ ]
- Previous CAD (>50%): [ ] Yes [ ] No [ ]
- Previous AMI: [ ] Yes [ ] No [ ]
  - How long ago?: [ ]
- Previous PCI: [ ] Yes [ ] No [ ]
- Previous CABG: [ ] Yes [ ] No [ ]
Previous stroke or TIA:  
Yes  No

Peripheral vascular disease:  Yes  No

Chronic kidney disease:  Yes  No

Renal replacement therapy (dialysis):  Yes  No  Hemodialysis  Peritoneal dialysis

PREVIOUS DRUG THERAPY

Aspirin:  Yes  No  DOSAGE:

Clopidogrel:  Yes  No  DOSAGE:

Prasugrel:  Yes  No  DOSAGE:

Ticagrelor:  Yes  No  DOSAGE:

Beta-blocker:  Yes  No  DOSAGE:

ACE inhibitor:  Yes  No  DOSAGE:

ARB:  Yes  No  DOSAGE:

Statin:  Yes  No  DOSAGE:

Calcium-channel blocker:  Yes  No  DOSAGE:

Nitrates:  Yes  No  DOSAGE:

Diuretics:  Yes  No  DOSAGE:

Aldosterone Antagonist:  Yes  No  DOSAGE:

Insulin:  Yes  No  DOSAGE:

Others:  Yes  No  *

If yes, which?

PHYSICAL EXAMINATION OF ADMISSION

BP: X mm Hg  HR: bpm  WEIGHT kg  HEIGHT cm

HEMOGLOBIN: g/dl  HEMATOCRIT: %  LEUKOCYTES:

CREATININE:  GLYCEMIA: mg/dl  KILLIP: I II III IV

GRACE SCORE: In-hospital (Age, HR, systolic blood pressure, creatinine, KILLIP)

BIOMARKERS OF ADMISSION

High CK-MB:  Yes  No  Highest value:

High TpN+:  Yes  No  Highest value:
## Drug Therapy in the First 24 Hours

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Drug Therapy</th>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>No</th>
<th>Dosage</th>
<th>Eligible</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Aspirin</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clopidogrel</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prasugrel</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ticagrelor</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beta-blocker</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ACE inhibitor</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ARB</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Statin</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calcium-channel blocker</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nitrates</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diuretics</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aldosterone antagonist</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Insulin</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low-molecular-weight heparin</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conventional heparin</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

If yes, which?

## In-Hospital Tests

### Echocardiography

- EF: __________ %
- Simpson: [ ] Yes [ ] No
- LA: __________ cm
- LA volume: __________

### Segment Deficit

- Anterior [ ] Yes [ ] No
- Inferior [ ] Yes [ ] No
- Lateral [ ] Yes [ ] No
- Septal [ ] Yes [ ] No
- Posterior [ ] Yes [ ] No
FIRST CORONARY ANGIOGRAPHY

Date: ___________ Hour: __ : __ Hospital: ___________ Cath number: ___________
Access: [ ] Femoral [ ] Radial [ ] Other: ______________

RESULT OF CORONARY ANGIOGRAPHY

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Lesion severity</th>
<th>LCA</th>
<th>AD</th>
<th>Dg</th>
<th>Cx</th>
<th>Mg</th>
<th>PD-Cx</th>
<th>RC</th>
<th>PD-RC</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Culprit artery</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Normal angioplasty = 0%.* LCA: Left main coronary artery; AD: Anterior descending artery; Dg: Diagonal artery; Cx: Circumflex artery; Mg: Marginal artery; PD-Cx: Posterior descending-Circumflex artery; RC: Right coronary artery; PD-RC: Posterior descending-Right coronary artery.

PATHOLOGICAL SEARCH OF GUILTY ARTERY

Finding [ ] Thrombus [ ] Spasm [ ] Embolism [ ] Myocardial bridging [ ] Dissection

PRINCIPAL PCI: [ ] Yes [ ] No

Less than 12 hours: [ ] Yes [ ] No Date: ___________ Hour: __ : __ Hospital: ___________
Access: [ ] Femoral [ ] Radial [ ] Other: ______________

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Artery</th>
<th>Obstruction %</th>
<th>Number of stents</th>
<th>Conventional stent</th>
<th>Drug-eluting stent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>LCA</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AD</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dg</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cx</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mg</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PD-Cx</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RC</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PD-RC</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Angiographic success: [ ] Yes [ ] No

ADJUVANT PHARMACOTHERAPY

Bivalirudin: [ ] Yes [ ] No DOSAGE: ___________
GPIIb/IIIa inhibitors: [ ] Yes [ ] No DOSAGE: ___________
NON-PRIMARY PCI

Date: ___________ Hour: ___________ h Hospital: ___________________
Access: [ ] Femoral [ ] Radial [ ] Other: ___________________

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Artery</th>
<th>Obstruction %</th>
<th>Number of stents</th>
<th>Conventional stent</th>
<th>Drug-eluting stent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>LCA</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AD</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dg</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cx</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mg</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PD-Cx</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RC</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PD-RC</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Angiographic success [ ] Yes [ ] No

CORONARY ARTERY BYPASS GRAFTING

Date: ___________ Hospital: ___________________

Left internal mammary artery: [ ] Yes [ ] No
Right internal mammary artery: [ ] Yes [ ] No
Radial: [ ] Yes [ ] No

Number of saphenous vein grafts: ________________

Complete CABG: [ ] Yes [ ] No
Cardiopulmonary bypass: [ ] Yes [ ] No
### IN-HOSPITAL OUTCOMES

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Outcome</th>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>No</th>
<th>Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cardiovascular Death</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-Cardiovascular Death</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cardiogenic Shock</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reinfarction</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Post-AMI Angina</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heart Failure</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stroke</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cardiac Arrest</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hemorrhage</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Lowest Hemoglobin</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Lowest Hematocrit</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Eye Hemorrhage</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Puncture site-related</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Brain Hemorrhage</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Fatal Hemorrhage</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Blood Transfusion</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

If yes, how many blood bags? ____________________

### DIAGNOSTIC OUTCOME

In the medical record, the diagnosis of STEMI was maintained:  
[ ] Yes  [ ] No

If not, what was the final diagnosis? ____________________

Does the medical record have any post-infarction dietary/nutritional instruction?  
[ ] Yes  [ ] No

If yes, who recorded the instruction?  
[ ] Nutritionist  [ ] Doctor  [ ] Nurse  [ ] Others:_____

Does the medical record have any instruction on post-infarction cardiac rehabilitation?  
[ ] Yes  [ ] No

If yes, who recorded the instruction?  
[ ] Doctor  [ ] Physiotherapist  [ ] Physical trainer  [ ] Others:_____

### HOSPITAL DISCHARGE

Date: ____________  Hour: __ : __ h
## DISCHARGE MEDICATION

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Medication</th>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>No</th>
<th>DOSAGE:</th>
<th>Eligible:</th>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>No</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Aspirin:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clopidogrel:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prasugrel:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ticagrelor:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beta-blocker:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ACE inhibitor:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ARB:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Statin:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aldosterone antagonist:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calcium-channel blocker:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nitrates:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diuretics:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Insulin:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Others:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Dosage: Amount of prescription drug in 24 hours

## OUTCOMES 30 DAYS AFTER STEMI

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Event</th>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>No</th>
<th>Date:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Contact after 30 days?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cardiovascular Death:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-cardiovascular Death:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reinfarction:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Post-AMI Angina:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heart Failure/Shock:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stroke:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cardiac Arrest:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New Coronary Angiography:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hemorrhage:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rehospitalization:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Post-discharge consultation?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>If not, is it scheduled?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>