Daily variation of zooplankton abundance and evenness in the Rosana reservoir, Brazil: biotic and abiotic inferences
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ABSTRACT. The zooplankton community presents stochastic temporal fluctuation and heterogeneous spatial variation determined by the relationships among the organisms and environmental conditions. We predicted that the temporal and spatial zooplankton distribution is heterogeneous and discrete, respectively, and that the daily variation of most abundant species is related to environmental conditions, specifically the availability of resources. Zooplankton samples were collected daily at three sampling stations in a lateral arm of the Rosana Reservoir (SP/PR). The zooplankton did not present significant differences in abundance and evenness among sampling stations, but the temporal variation of these attributes was significant. Abiotic variables and algal resource availability have significantly explained the daily variation of the most abundant species (p<0.001), however, the species distribution makes inferences on biotic relationships between them. Thus, not only the food resource availability is influential on the abundance of principal zooplankton species, but rather a set of factors (abiotic variables and biotic relationships).
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RESUMO. Variação diária da abundância e equitabilidade do zooplâncton no reservatório de Rosana, Brasil: inferências bióticas e abióticas.

A comunidade zooplânctônica apresenta flutuação temporal estocástica e variação espacial heterogênea que são determinadas pelas relações entre os organismos e condições ambientais. Acredita-se que a distribuição temporal e espacial do zooplâncton seja heterogênea e discreta, respectivamente, e que a variação diária das espécies mais abundantes está relacionada às condições ambientais, principalmente, disponibilidade de recursos. As amostras de zooplâncton foram coletadas diariamente em três estações de amostragem em um braço lateral do reservatório de Rosana (SP/PR). O zooplâncton não apresentou diferenças significativas na abundância e equitabilidade entre as estações de amostragem, no entanto, a variação temporal desses atributos foi significativa. As variáveis abióticas e a disponibilidade de recurso algal explicou significativamente a variação diária das espécies mais abundantes (p<0.001), entretanto, a distribuição das espécies faz inferências sobre relações bióticas entre elas. Assim, não só a disponibilidade de recurso alimentar é influente na abundância das principais espécies zooplânctônicas, mas sim um conjunto de fatores (variáveis abióticas e relações bióticas).

PALAVRAS-CHAVE. Variação temporal, rotíferos, microcrustáceos, coexistência, competição.
that the daily variation of populations of the most abundant species is related to availability of food resources.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Study area. This study was accomplished in one lateral arm (Corvo River, 22°39’S; 52°46’W), located in the lacustrine region of the Rosana Reservoir (Paranapanema River, Paraná River basin) (Fig. 1). This reservoir presents 220 km² of area, 116 km of extension, mean depth of 12 m in fluvial and transition regions, and 30 m in the lacustrine region. The mean residence time is 18.6 days (CESP, 1998). The precipitation was low during this study, with a mean of 3.84 L m⁻³ (BORGES et al., 2008).

Sampling and analyses. Zooplankton samplings were carried out daily over 15 days during the summer (from November 23th to December 7th, 2003) at three sampling stations (S1, S2 and S3) about 500 m from each other in the limnetic region of Corvo River. After this period, samplings were performed every three days until 16 December (n=54). Samples were obtained under the water’s surface, always in the morning, using a motorized pump and 68 μm plankton net to filter 200 liters of water per sample, and preserved in 4% formaldehyde buffered with calcium carbonate.

Concomitant with zooplankton samplings, some physical and chemical water variables were measured: dissolved oxygen (mg L⁻¹), water temperature (°C; oximeter coupled with thermometer), electrical conductivity (μS cm⁻¹, Digimed field potentiometer), pH (digital potentiometer) and turbidity (NTU; digital portable turbidimeter). The concentrations of the total phosphorus (μg L⁻¹) and nitrogen (μg L⁻¹) were determined according to MACKERETH et al. (1978). The phytoplankton samples were collected with glass bottles and preserved in 1% Lugol’s solution. Phytoplankton biomass (mm³ L⁻¹) was obtained by multiplying population density by volume of individuals (WETZEL & LIKENS, 2000).

The zooplankton species were identified according to a specialized bibliography. The abundance (ind L⁻¹) was estimated from three subsequent subsamples from each sample, which were obtained via a Hensen-Stempel pipette. At least 10% of the concentrated sample was counted in a Sedgewick-Rafter chamber under an optical microscope (BOTTRELL et al., 1976). Samples with few individuals (less than 50) were integrally counted.

The evenness index (PIELOU, 1966) was evaluated for each day and sampling station. This result was obtained using the expression $H'/H_{max}$, where $H'$ is the Shannon-Wiener diversity index and $H_{max}$ is the diversity under the maximum condition of uniformity.

In order to evaluate the spatial (sampling stations) and temporal (sampling days) variations of evenness and the abundance of the total zooplankton, rotifers, cladocerans, cyclopoid and calanoid copepods, a Kruskal-Wallis ANOVA was employed.

Moreover, a redundancy analysis (RDA; LEGENDRE & LEGENDRE, 1998) was used to reduce the data dimensionality and identify the relationships between the abundance of major zooplankton species (those contributing with at least 3% of the abundance of each group) and the abiotic variables and algae resource availability (phytoplankton biomass). The significance ($p<0.05$) was evaluated through randomizations. For this analysis, we transformed the abundance of principal zooplankton species using the Hellinger method (LEGENDRE & GALLAGHER, 2001) prior to analyses. Autocorrelated environmental variables were checked by calculating the variance inflation factor (VIF) and were removed of this analysis.

All statistical analyses were run with the R-cran 2.14.1 software (R DEVELOPMENT CORE TEAM, 2011) using the Biodiversity R package (KINDT & COE, 2005) and the vegan package (OKSANEN et al., 2011).

RESULTS

Abiotic variables and the algae resource availability. Dissolved oxygen concentration was higher at the 2nd sampling day (7.6 mg L⁻¹) and lower, at the 18th day (5.9 mg L⁻¹). Water temperature ranged from 26.1 (18th day) to 29.6°C (24th day). The highest value of conductivity was registered at the 3rd day (52.3 μS cm⁻¹) and the lowest at the 11th day (34.8 μS cm⁻¹). The pH varied between 6.3 (11th day) and 7.0 (2nd, 3rd, 4th and 7th days). Turbidity ranged from 2.2 (3rd day) and 29.8 NTU (18th day). The greatest concentration of total nitrogen was recorded at the 6th sampling day (413.8 μg L⁻¹), and of total phosphorus, at the 18th day (26.3 μg L⁻¹). The average values of phytoplankton biomass ranged from 0.4 mm³ L⁻¹, on day 9, to 7.1 mm³ L⁻¹ on day 6 of study (Tab. I), and Cyanobacteria was the group responsible for the highest biomass.

Zooplankton abundance and evenness. The abundance and evenness of the total zooplankton, rotifers, cladocerans, calanoid and cyclopoid copepods did not present expressive variations among the sampling stations, and consequently, their spatial variation was not significant to the 0.05 level. On the other hand, the abundance of total zooplankton and all groups were significantly distinct over time (daily variation), and considering the evenness values, the total zooplankton, rotifers and cladocerans presented significant temporal variation (Figs 2-11; Tab. II). Thus,
### Tab. I. Mean values/standard deviation of abiotic variables and phytoplankton biomass recorded in the Corvo River during the study period. Data missing for days 8, 10, 12 and 14 (DO, dissolved oxygen; Temp, water temperature; Cond, electric conductivity; Turb, turbidity; TN, total nitrogen; TP, total phosphorus; Phy, phytoplankton biomass).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Days</th>
<th>DO (mg L⁻¹)</th>
<th>Temp (°C)</th>
<th>Cond (µS cm⁻¹)</th>
<th>pH</th>
<th>Turb (NTU)</th>
<th>TN (µg L⁻¹)</th>
<th>TP (µg L⁻¹)</th>
<th>Phy (mm² L⁻¹)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>6.9/0.2</td>
<td>26.5/0.1</td>
<td>35.0/1.2</td>
<td>6.9/0.1</td>
<td>4.0/0.1</td>
<td>340.5/25.1</td>
<td>9.7/0.8</td>
<td>1.7/2.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>7.6/0.1</td>
<td>28.2/0.0</td>
<td>40.1/1.2</td>
<td>7.0/0.0</td>
<td>2.8/0.1</td>
<td>409.0/39/19</td>
<td>9.6/0.4</td>
<td>0.6/0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>6.0/0.0</td>
<td>28.8/0.0</td>
<td>52.3/0.4</td>
<td>7.0/0.0</td>
<td>2.2/0.1</td>
<td>399.4/53/5</td>
<td>25.6/2.2</td>
<td>3.9/0.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>6.4/0.0</td>
<td>27.5/0.1</td>
<td>44.8/0.4</td>
<td>7.0/0.0</td>
<td>2.5/0.0</td>
<td>392.6/20.4</td>
<td>4.1/1.4</td>
<td>0.4/1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>6.0/0.0</td>
<td>26.8/0.1</td>
<td>43.9/0.4</td>
<td>6.7/0.0</td>
<td>3.0/0.1</td>
<td>346.8/56/7</td>
<td>11.1/0.4</td>
<td>2.4/1.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>6.5/0.1</td>
<td>26.2/0.1</td>
<td>43.3/0.9</td>
<td>6.9/0.1</td>
<td>6.7/0.9</td>
<td>413.8/42.3</td>
<td>16.8/2.9</td>
<td>7.1/0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>6.9/0.2</td>
<td>27.3/0.0</td>
<td>42.6/0.4</td>
<td>7.0/0.0</td>
<td>3.5/0.3</td>
<td>324.9/69.1</td>
<td>11.1/0.7</td>
<td>1.8/1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>6.6/0.1</td>
<td>27.6/0.1</td>
<td>37.6/0.3</td>
<td>6.5/0.1</td>
<td>6.6/0.5</td>
<td>299.8/8.6</td>
<td>17.8/1.6</td>
<td>0.4/0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>6.0/0.3</td>
<td>27.0/0.2</td>
<td>34.8/5.7</td>
<td>6.3/0.0</td>
<td>11.9/2.6</td>
<td>236.5/21.2</td>
<td>22.4/0.4</td>
<td>0.5/0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>6.8/0.1</td>
<td>27.9/0.0</td>
<td>39.2/0.4</td>
<td>6.7/0.0</td>
<td>5.3/0.1</td>
<td>330.0/18.9</td>
<td>17.3/3.1</td>
<td>4.0/2.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>6.4/0.1</td>
<td>26.9/0.0</td>
<td>36.8/0.6</td>
<td>6.4/0.0</td>
<td>7.6/0.0</td>
<td>300.6/28.3</td>
<td>21.6/1.5</td>
<td>1.4/0.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>5.9/0.1</td>
<td>26.1/0.1</td>
<td>44.7/0.7</td>
<td>6.7/0.0</td>
<td>29.8/1.3</td>
<td>339.5/24.1</td>
<td>26.3/1.7</td>
<td>0.6/0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>6.1/0.1</td>
<td>27.1/0.0</td>
<td>39.1/0.2</td>
<td>6.7/0.0</td>
<td>16.5/0.1</td>
<td>318.5/6.2</td>
<td>22.0/1.3</td>
<td>1.5/0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>6.0/0.4</td>
<td>29.6/0.1</td>
<td>51.3/0.6</td>
<td>6.8/0.0</td>
<td>9.0/0.3</td>
<td>352.6/18.6</td>
<td>17.6/1.7</td>
<td>4.3/0.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The zooplankton abundance in each sample ranged from 37 to up 702 ind L⁻¹. In the second week, higher abundance values were verified, including the abundance peak (Fig. 2). The rotifer abundance varied between 1 and 58 ind L⁻¹. There were also peaks of abundance during the second week and a sharp decrease at the end of the period (Fig. 3). Cladocerans were numerically dominant, determining the fluctuation of the total zooplankton abundance. The abundance ranged from 8 to up 486 ind L⁻¹ and the temporal variation was significant and very similar to that registered for the entire community (Fig. 4). The abundance of cyclopoid copepods ranged from 2 to up 39 ind L⁻¹. Higher values were observed from the second week, with peaks of abundance during the second and third weeks of the study (Fig. 5). Considering the calanoids, the abundance varied between 3 and 142 ind L⁻¹ and peaks of abundance occurred in the first and second weeks (Fig. 6).

The evenness of zooplankton showed an upward trend through the end of the second week of the study. Afterwards, the values decreased and increased again, reaching values similar to those registered in the beginning (Fig. 7). There was a slight fluctuation in the evenness of rotifer species, but clear decreases were observed at 10th, 12th and 21st days (Fig. 8). Cladocerans presented the lowest evenness during the third week of the study (Fig. 9). The highest values of evenness of cyclopoids were observed in the second week (Fig. 10). The calanoids, in general, presented a lower dominance than the cyclopoids (Fig. 11).

### Fluctuation of the abundance of the principal zooplankton species.

The most abundant species were *Conochilus coenobasis* (Skorokov, 1914) (25.7%), *Synchaeta pectinata* Ehrenberg, 1832 (17.4%), *Conochilus dossarius* (Hudson, 1875) (16.1%), *Conochilus unicornis* Rousselet, 1892 (8.5%), *Keratella cochlearis* Gosse, 1851 (8.5%), *Ascomorpha saltans* (Bartsch, 1870) (6.8%) and *Gastropus hytropus* (Ehrenberg, 1938) (6.1%). For the microcrustaceans, *Ceriodaphnia cornuta* Sars, 1886 (71.3%), *Daphnia gessneri* Herbst, 1967 (16.0%), *Bosminopsis deitersi* Richard, 1895 (4.4%) and *Bosmina hagmanni* Stingelin, 1904 (3.4%) were the most abundant cladoceran species, whereas *Notodiaptomus henseni* (Dahl, 1894) (69.7%), *Thermocyclops decipiens* Kiefer, 1929 (19.3%) and *Thermocyclops minutus* (Lowndes, 1934) (7.0%) were the most abundant species among the copepods.

All these above mentioned rotifer species presented striking fluctuations in their abundances. *Conochilus dossarius*, *C. unicornis* and *K. cochlearis* had several peaks of abundance for almost the entire period of the study, *C. coenobasis* during the second week, *G. hytropus* only at the end of the study and *A. saltans* and *S. pectinata* in the first week. This last species also presented peaks of abundance at the end of the second week and at the beginning of the third week (Figs 12-18).

As noted for rotifers, the abundance variation of the principal cladoceran species was very clear between the sampling days. *Ceriodaphnia cornuta* and *D. gessneri* presented peaks of abundance in the second week and at the beginning of the third week.

### Tab. II. Results from Kruskal-Wallis ANOVA between the abundance and evenness of the total zooplankton, rotifers, cladocerans, cyclopoid and calanoid copepods over the time (sampling days) in the Corvo River, Brazil (df, degrees of freedom; n, number of observations; H, Kruskal-Wallis value; ns, not significant at p<0.05).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Abundance</th>
<th>df</th>
<th>n</th>
<th>H</th>
<th>p-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Zooplankton</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>40.48</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rotifers</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>39.65</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cladocerans</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>41.47</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calanoids</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>42.13</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cyclopoids</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>38.24</td>
<td>0.002</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Evenness</th>
<th>df</th>
<th>n</th>
<th>H</th>
<th>p-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Zooplankton</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>38.48</td>
<td>0.002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rotifers</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>27.64</td>
<td>0.049</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cladocerans</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>41.74</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calanoids</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>18.43</td>
<td>0.362</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cyclopoids</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>22.32</td>
<td>0.172</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figs 2-6. Abundance variation of the total zooplankton, rotifers, cladocerans, cyclopoid and calanoid copepods at sampling stations (S1, S2, S3) in the limnetic region of the Corvo River (São Paulo/Paraná, Brazil).
Figs 7-11. Evenness variation of the total zooplankton, rotifers, cladocerans, cyclopoid and calanoid copepods at sampling stations (S1, S2, S3) in the limnetic region of the Corvo River (São Paulo/Paraná, Brazil).
the beginning of the third week and low abundances in the last days. *Bosminopsis deitersi* and *B. hagmanni* presented peaks of abundance at the end of the first week and during the middle of the second week, and this last species still presented one abundance peak at the end of the second week of the study (Figs 19-22).

For the most abundant copepods, the daily variation of abundance was also very clear. In general, the three species had a low abundance at the beginning of the study. *Notodiaptomus henseni* and *T. decipiens* presented relatively similar abundance fluctuations, with higher values at the end of the first and during the third weeks. *Thermocyclops minutus* presented peaks of abundance during the second week of the study (Figs 23-25).

**Relationships between the most abundant species and the abiotic variables and the algal resource availability.** The most abundant zooplankton species were significantly related to abiotic variables and availability of algal resource (*p<0.001*). The matrix of environmental data explained 18% (adjusted $R^2$) of the variation of the most abundant zooplankton species. The gradient of species characterized by the axis 1 distributed most species on the positive side, especially *S. oblonga, B. deitersi, A. saltans* and *B. hagmanni*, which were significantly influenced by higher values of dissolved oxygen. The species most representative on the negative side of the axis 1 were *G. hyptopus* and *T. minutus* and were positively associated with higher values of temperature and turbidity. On the axis 2 of RDA, the most representative species of the positive side (*G. hyptopus, A. saltans, S. oblonga*) have been related to higher values of total nitrogen, pH and phytoplankton biovolume. Additionally, the abundance of *T. minutus* was related to higher values of total phosphorus (Fig. 26).

**DISCUSSION**

Studies assessing the daily scale in the variation of the zooplankton community structure are important once they show patterns not observable in longer time scales, mainly in relation to the abundance fluctuation of these organisms. The community showed an increase in the number of individuals from the beginning of the study, with peaks of abundance in the middle of the study period and low values at the end of the period. Among the factors that influence this variation, we may consider changes in abiotic variables and food resource availability and biotic relationships, as competition, coexistence and predation (Hernández-Trujillo et al., 2010). Clear daily variations of the zooplankton abundance were also observed by Fonseca (1997) in a Brazilian reservoir (state of São Paulo), which were ascribed to the daily changes in the limnological variables, such as nutrient concentrations and water transparency. The abundance of the zooplankton community registered in the present study presented a pattern similar to that of phytoplankton community (Borges et al., 2008).

The spatial variation was not significant possibly due to quite similar physical conditions of the sampling sites and low current velocity in this study area. This physical variable has been stressed as important for the heterogeneous distribution of zooplankton along the longitudinal axis of reservoirs, considering that under reduced current flow, the reproductive rate of these organisms can rise since their displacement rate is lower (Marzolf, 1990).

The variation pattern in the total zooplankton abundance was mainly influenced by the variation of cladoceran abundance because these microcrustaceans presented on average more than 50% of the individuals in the examined period. This can be assigned to the shorter time of water renewal in reservoirs, which favors the development of species with longer life cycle as microcrustaceans. The numerical predominance of microcrustaceans was also verified in 31 reservoirs from the state of Paraná (Brazil) by Lanas-Tôha et al. (2005).

The temporal variation of evenness was significant, evidencing the predominance of some species in different times, along the sampling days, as rotifer and cladoceran species from the middle until the end of the study, with the lowest evenness registered, in general, in this phase. The variation in the evenness could be related to the food availability and to the biotic relationships among the species, such as competition, which may favor a species that will dominate the community. A high species dominance can indicate environmental stress associated with, e.g., biotic relationships, such as competition or predation (Green, 1993).

The abundance peaks of rotifers between days 7 and 10 were related to the high numerical contribution of *C. coenobasis, C. dossuarius* and *A. saltans*. This latter species and *C. unicornis* contributed to the increase in the abundance of the group in the last two sampling days. *Synchaeta pectinata* was responsible for the rotifer abundance in the beginning of the study. In the Monte Alegre Lake (state of São Paulo, Brazil), *C. dossuarius* was one of the main rotifer species contributing to the abundance peaks of this group (Arcia et al., 1992). Bonecker et al. (2002) also registered *C. coenobasis* as the most abundant rotifer species in a floodplain lake. In this way, the *Conochilus* populations could become abundant due to the intense individual reproduction to form new colonies and to the mucilage that avoid predation (Williamson, 1983). *Synchaeta pectinata* was recorded as one of the most common species in the Valle de Bravo reservoir (Ramírez-García et al., 2002). The species of *Synchaeta* are herbivorous and can feed on algae from just a few µm to over 50 µm, and they are able to consume even smaller particles (Joehansson, 1983). The Ascomorpha genus has the ability to suck the plasmatic content from larger phytoplanktonic algae, for example, the Cyanobacteria colonies observed in this study, which represents an adaptive strategy for these organisms (Sládeček, 1983).

In relation to the cladocerans, the increase in *C. cornuta* abundance observed at the beginning of the first week, the peaks observed in days 10 and 12, and the posterior
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Figs 12-18. Abundance daily variation of the principal rotifer species at sampling stations (S1, S2, S3) in the limnetic region of the Corvo River (São Paulo/Paraná, Brazil).
decrease in the number of individuals were reflected in the daily variation of the abundance of this group. *Daphnia gessneri*, *B. deitersi* and *B. hagmanni* also contributed to the peak of abundance verified at day 10, besides the high values observed until day 15. The most abundant species of cladocerans present characteristics that favor their development in the environment studied. **Villalobos & González** (2006) stated that, among cladoceran species, *C. cornuta* presents a short embryonic development, fast growing rate of young stages and quickly reaches sexual maturity, and capability to generate defense mechanisms against predation, e.g., polymorphism. The species of *Daphnia* are filter-feeders, capable of maintaining high filtering and ingestion rates, generate defense mechanisms (**Dodson**, 1974) and are capable to use efficiently all components of the microbial loop (**Modenutti** et al., 2003). Considering *Bosmina*, **DeMott & Kerfoot** (1982) argue that the species from this genus may combine the passive filtering with the active capture of particles, and they are capable of distinguishing food particles. This fact can provide an advantage in food capture, favoring the development of their populations. *Bosminopsis deitersi* presents continuous reproduction over time, with high turnover rates when compared with other cladocerans species, reflecting the high reproductive rates and short time of its development (**Melão & Rocha**, 2006).

The higher abundance of the calanoid *Notodiaptomus henseni* can be related to the relatively wide food spectrum that favors its development. **Matsumura-Tundisi & Tundisi** (2005) said that one *Notodiaptomus* species can feed on bacteria, small food particles and also on algae < 20 µm. *Thermocyclops decipiens* and *T. minutus* have been highlighted by their relationship of coexistence in Brazilian reservoirs (**Sendacz**, 1984; **Lopes** et al., 1997; **Nogueira**, 2001).

Biotic and abiotic environmental variables were associated with the abundance variation of the main zooplankton populations, despite the low explanation (18%) of the RDA analysis. In ecological studies the low explanation of analysis is expected given the complexity of factors operating on the community structure (**Ter Braak & Prentice**, 1988).

**Synchaeta oblonga**, *B. deitersi*, *A. saltans* and *B. hagmanni* were related to higher values of dissolved oxygen. This result may indicate an indirect relationship of these species with phytoplankton biomass, because higher values of dissolved oxygen indicate higher primary production. In agreement with **Hoffmann** (1977), the concentration
of dissolved oxygen in the water plays a significant role in determining the occurrence and abundance of zooplankton species.

Temperature and turbidity were related with the abundance of *G. hyptopus* and *T. minutus*. Temperature is a variable highly associated with the abundance of zooplankton organisms because it works directly on intrinsic features of organisms, such as birth rate, growth, mortality and fecundity (Lehman, 1988; Melão, 1999). The increase in turbidity may favor larger species like the copepod *T. minutus*, once it hinders the visualization of the prey by the predator. Furthermore, depending on the quality of suspended matter, this can serve as food for zooplankton organism, contributing to higher abundance values.

We verified associations between the abundance of *G. hyptopus*, *A. saltans* and *S. oblonga* and the total nitrogen, pH and phytoplankton biomass that represents the food resource availability. Some rotifer species can be benefited under increased availability of resources, because they rapidly consume these resources and invest in reproduction, increasing thus their populations. The phytoplankton as food resource for the rotifers population development was demonstrated by Bonecker & Lansac-Tôha (1996) and Aoyagui et al. (2003). The relationship between *T. minutus* and total phosphorus can also indicate an indirect relationship with phytoplankton.

Most species grouped, which in turn points that they support similar environmental conditions and present biotic interactions. In general, the occurrence of congeneric associations implies the existence of strategies to decrease competitive relationships; e.g., spatial segregation or differences in the filtering apparatus may reduce the exploitative competition (Sandercock, 1967).

The coexistence of closely related organisms is possible through spatial and temporal segregation. The differentiated distribution of several species suggests an adaptive strategy that favors the coexistence of numerous species in the same environment (Matsumura-Tundisi et al., 1990). Thus, this coexistence may include species from the same group or consider species from distinct zooplankton groups. For example, the existence of rotifer species may be related to their food variability, which allows resource partitioning (Pourriot, 1977).

According to DeMott (1989), strong effects of interspecific competition are well documented among zooplankton species. There is strong evidence of the competitive superiority of cladocerans with respect to the rotifers (Gilbert, 1988; DeMott, 1989). *Daphnia* may negatively affect the rotifer populations through exploitative and/or interference competition (Sarnelle, 1997). Arcifa et al. (1992), who studied zooplankton in a tropical reservoir, observed the competitive superiority of
**CONCLUSIONS**

In hydrodynamically homogeneous environments, such as the limnetic region of the lateral arm of the reservoir, the zooplankton community does not present significant differences in the abundance and species dominance over the area. However, considering the time scale, these attributes were significantly distinct over the sampling days. Furthermore, not only does the availability of algae resource influence the abundance of the major zooplankton populations but a set of factors, including abiotic variables and biotic relationships (coexistence and competition), does as well. These results are consistent with the ‘multiple forces’ hypothesis and not in accordance to the study hypothesis.
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