Population biology of the crab *Armases angustipes* (Crustacea, Decapoda, Sesarmidae) at Brazilian tropical coastal
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ABSTRACT. The semi terrestrial crabs are important elements of the fauna of coastal regions. The aim of this study was to analyze the population structure of *Armases angustipes* (Dana, 1852) at estuaries of the Ariquindá River, considered a non impacted area, and Mamucabas River, considered a few impacted area, on the south coast of state of Pernambuco, Brazil. The species occurred in all months of the year. The number of individuals per month varied, being higher in the months of transition between the seasons. This is probably due to significant seasonal variations of air and burrow temperature and burrow salinity. There was no sexual dimorphism in size of *A. angustipes* in the mangrove of Ariquindá River, but males were larger than females in the mangrove of Mamucabas River. In both estuaries, the sex ratio did not differ from Mendelian proportion, but showed a deviation for females. The analysis of temporal variation in sex ratio showed significant differences in some months of the year. These variations are due to cyclical events that act distinctly on each sex. In both estuaries, size classes of carapace width were equally represented by both sexes. The ovigerous females of *A. angustipes* occurred only in some months of the year, especially in summer, in both estuaries. Probably the high phytotankpton productivity observed in summer favors the reproductive activity, since these algae serve as food for the larvae. Specimens of the population of Rio Ariquindá are largest and wider than those of Mamucabas River. This fact, associated with the low abundance of crabs and the lower frequency of ovigerous females observed in Mamucabas River, is an indication that this population may be influenced by the environmental impacts that this estuary has received.
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RESUMO. Biologia populacional do caranguejo *Armases angustipes* (Crustacea, Decapoda, Sesarmidae) na costa tropical brasileira. Os caranguejos semiterrestres são importantes elementos da fauna em regiões costeiras. O objetivo do presente trabalho foi analisar a estrutura populacional do caranguejo *Armases angustipes* (Dana, 1852) nos estuários dos rios Ariquindá, considerada uma área não impactada, e Mamucabas, considerada uma área pouco impactada, localizados no litoral sul do Estado de Pernambuco, Brasil. A espécie ocorreu em todos os meses do ano em ambos os estuários. O número de indivíduos variou entre os meses, sendo maior nos meses de transição entre as estações do ano. Isso, provavelmente, é devido às variações sazonais significativas da temperatura do ar e da toca e da salinidade da toca. Não houve dimorfismo sexual relativo aos tamanhos de *A. angustipes* no manguezal do Rio Ariquindá, mas os machos foram maiores do que as fêmeas no manguezal do Rio Mamucabas. Em ambos os estuários, a proporção sexual não diferiu da proporção mendeliana, mas mostrou um desvio para as fêmeas. A análise da variação temporal na proporção sexual pôde evidenciar diferenças significativas em alguns meses do ano. Essas variações ocorrem devido a eventos cíclicos que atuam distintamente sobre cada sexo. Em ambos os estuários, as classes de tamanho de largura de carapaça foram igualmente representadas por ambos os sexos. As fêmeas ovígeras de *A. angustipes* só ocorreram em alguns meses do ano, especialmente no verão, em ambos os estuários. Provavelmente, a maior produtividade primária observada no verão favorece a atividade reprodutiva, uma vez que estas algas servem como alimento para as larvas. Os espécimes da população do rio Ariquindá são mais longos e mais largos do que os do rio Mamucabas. Este fato, associado à menor abundância de caranguejos e menor frequência de fêmeas ovígeras observadas no rio Mamucabas, é um indicativo de que esta população pode estar sendo influenciada pelos impactos ambientais que este estuário tem recebidos.

PALAVRAS-CHAVE. Dinâmica populacional, período reprodutivo, sesarmídeo.

The semi terrestrial crabs include a variety of Brachyura with a certain degree of adaptation to life outside water. Their main representatives are the families Ocypodidae, Ucidae, Gecarcinidae, Grapsidae and Sesarmidae. These crabs are important resources for littoral environments, both ecological and economically (Maciel & Alves, 2009). By removing and ingesting vegetal and animal parts, as well as organic particles in the substrata, they act in the cycling of nutrients. On the other hand, many vertebrates as fishes and birds feed on these crabs (Cowie, 1986; Hemmi et al., 2006). Besides, many coastal communities capture crabs for consume and selling, having this activity as their main source of income (Maciel & Alves, 2009).

The sesarmid crabs were previously included in the Sesarminae, a subfamily of the Grapsidae (Meio, 1996). After some recent revisions, the family Sesarmidae was accepted (Martin & Davis, 2001; Ng et al., 2008). Their representatives are characterized by presenting a hairy groove in the exposed side of the third maxilipod, while the Grapsidae doesn’t have this characteristic (Meio, 1996). Among the genera included in this family, one of the most characteristic is Armases Abele, 1992. Representatives of this genus are found in the marine, freshwater and terrestrial environments (Schubart & Diesel, 1998). According to Meio (1996), stands out the species Armases angustipes (Dana, 1852), a small crab distributed along the West coast of the Atlantic Ocean, in Mexico, Antilles and Brazil (from
Ceará to Santa Catarina States). It is found in the margins of estuaries, as well as in rocky littoral and bromeliads (Melo, 1996).

Bioecology studies on terrestrial and semi terrestrial Brachyura on the Brazilian coast were mainly accomplished with species of socio-economic importance, as *Ucides cordatus* (Linnaeus, 1763) (Ucididae) (Ivo et al., 1999; Gomes et al., 2000; Alves & Nishida, 2003; Araújo & Calado, 2008; Oliveira et al., 2013), *Cardisoma guanhumi* (Latreille, 1828) (Gecarcinidae) (Botelho et al., 2001), *Goniopsis cruentata* (Latreille, 1803) (Grapsidae) (Santos et al., 2001; Santos & Botelho, 2002) and *Plagusia depressa* (Fabricius, 1775) (Plagusiidae) (Freitas & Santos, 2002), as well as species of no economic relevance but ecologically important, as *Uca* (Minuca) burgersi Holthuis, 1967 (Ocyopodidae) (Benetti et al., 2007), *Uca* (Uca) maracoani (Latreille, 1802) (Benedetto & Masunari, 2009), *Uca* (Minuca) rapax (Smith, 1870) (Castilgioni et al., 2006), *Uca* (Minuca) thayeri Rathbun, 1900 (Costa & Negreiros-Fransozo, 2003; Bezerra & Matthew-Cascon, 2007), *Uca* (Minuca) victoriaiana von Hagen, 1987 (Castilgioni et al., 2011a) and *Sesarma rectum* Randall, 1840 (Sesarmidae) (Castilgioni et al., 2011b), for example. Such studies are fundamental to understand the ecological stability of natural populations (Araújo et al., 2012a) and to create management plans for exploited species.

Some studies have also addressed the effects of environmental impacts on the population of crabs. The cutting of trees and the deposition of solid wastes on mangroves exerted a negative impact on the abundance of *Goniopsis cruentata* (Menezes et al., 2012). On the other hand, some impacts may benefit the population of mangrove crabs; the organic matter from the pollution can be a food resource to fiddler crabs, as observed for *Uca burgesi* and *U. thayeri* by Benetti & Negreiros-Fransozo (2004) and Araújo et al. (2012b), respectively.

The species *Armases angustipes* was studied regarding its larval development (Öger et al., 1990) and growth (Kowalczuk & Masunari, 2000a). Some remarks on the species distribution and habitat can be found at Coelho (1965/1966), Coelho & Ramos-Porto (1981, 1995). The only study dealing with the population biology of this species was accomplished in the south of Brazil, a temperate area (Kowalczuk & Masunari, 2000b). Besides, these studies were accomplished in rocky substrate. Thus, no information on the population biology of this species in Brazilian mangroves is available. The aim of this work was to compare the population structure of *A. angustipes* at the mangroves of the Ariquindá River, considered a non impacted area, and Mamucabas River, considered an impacted area, South of state of Pernambuco, Northeast Brazil.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Description of the area.** The state of Pernambuco is located at northeast Brazil (07°32'00" and 08°55'30"S; 34°48'35" and 41°19'54" W). The region has a climate within the As' type of Köppen system, i.e. hot and humid with rains in the autumn and winter (Moura & Passavante, 1995). The study areas area located at the South of Pernambuco: the mangroves of Ariquindá and Mamucabas Rivers, Municipality of Tamandaré (Fig. 1). The Ariquindá River was considered non-impacted, while the Mamucabas River was considered impacted. Despite of both rivers being considered non polluted (IBAMA, 1989; Grego et al., 2009), during the samples of *A. angustipes* some anthropic impacts were observed in the mangrove of Mamucabas River, as it will be explained later.

The Ariquindá River is inserted at the Guadalupe Environmental Preservation Area. It is considered an important component of Formoso River basin (CPRH, 1999), and one of the last non-polluted rivers of state of Pernambuco. The mangrove area chosen for the crabs' samplings is located near the confluence of Ariquindá and Formoso rivers, at Carneiros Beach, and its vegetation is mainly composed by *Rhizophora mangle* L. (Rhizophoraceae), *Laguncularia racemosa* (L.) Gaertn. f. (Combretaceae) and, less frequently, *Avicennia schaueriana* Stapf and Leechman ex Moldenke (Acanthaceae). The substratum was visually characterized as muddy sand.

The Mamucabas River rises at the west of the Saltinho Biological Reserve, being dammed after entering in the Reserve, forming a reservoir that supplies the municipality of Tamandaré. It meets the Ilhetas River and together they disembogue (CPRH, 2003). It is considered an impacted estuary due to the damming and the housing occupation in the surrounding areas (Santos et al., 2001), with great deposition of waste and deforestation (Araújo et al., 2012b,c). In some previous studies performed on the Mamucabas River, considerable alterations were observed on the biological properties of crustacean species. In the case of *U. thayeri*, males and females of Mamucabas mangrove reached sexual maturity at sizes higher than those of Ariquindá mangrove, indicating that the population of this species from the Mamucabas River is benefiting from the increased availability of organic matter from pollution (Araújo et al., 2012b). In the species *U. cordatus*, the condition factor was significantly lower at Mamucabas River, indicating the lower health of the specimens of this estuary (Araújo et al., 2012c). The mangrove area chosen for the crabs’ samplings is located near the confluence of Mamucabas and Ilhetas Rivers, at the Harbor Mouth, and its vegetation is mainly composed by *L. racemosa*, *R. mangle* and, less frequently, *Avicennia schaueriana*. The substratum was visually characterized as muddy sand.

**Sampling procedures.** Monthly, individuals of *A. angustipes* were manually collected from April 2008 to March 2009, always at low tide during 30 minutes by one person, in one area of mangrove forest at each estuary (the same area every month, with 20 m²). They were sampled during the day in burrows and under fallen coconut leaves. The following abiotic data were obtained in situ: air and
burrow temperature (with a thermometer), burrow and river salinity (salinometer). The crabs were anesthetized in ice and sacrificed with alcohol 70% concentrated. Additional abiotic data (rainfall, atmospheric pressure, relative humidity, sunlight and wind speed) of the region during the sampling months were obtained through the Sistema Nacional de Dados Ambientais (SINDA) of the nearest meteorological station (Municipality of Rio Formoso).

**Laboratory procedures.** At laboratory, each crab was sexed (based on the abdomen and pleopods morphology) and measured at the carapace width (CW) and carapace length (CL) with a vernier caliper (precision of 0.01 mm). The ovigerous condition of the females was noted. The individuals were discarded after the study.

**Data analyses.** The mean (± standard deviation) values of each abiotic variable were obtained by periods of the year: dry period from September to February and rainy period from March to August. A Student $t$ test was applied to compare the abiotic data between dry and rainy periods. A Mann-Whitney-Wilcoxon $U$ test was applied to compare the temperature and salinity between the environment and the burrows (Zar, 1996).

The monthly abundance of *A. angustipes* was graphically plotted. The minimum, mean (± standard deviation) and maximum values of the CW and CL were estimated. The Student $t$ test was applied to compare significant differences in the means of CW and CL between males and females, for each estuary. A comparison of the size (CW and CL) of males and females between the estuaries was also performed through a Student $t$ test (Zar, 1996).

Individuals of each sex were grouped into two age-classes: juvenile and adult based on the biometric data, through an analysis of K-means clustering, followed by a bivariate discriminant analysis (Sampaio et al., 2012d).

The sex ratio was analyzed for the whole sampling period and for months, dividing the number of females by the number of males, and the Chi-square test ($\chi^2_{\text{tabled}} = 3.84$) was applied to verify if the sex ratio deviates significantly for the expected proportion (1♂:1♀) (Zar, 1996). The animals were grouped into size classes with amplitude of 1.5 mm (Sturges, 1926), by sex. The $c^2$ test was applied to check for significant differences in the sex ratio by each size classes (Zar, 1996). These classes were graphically represented. The mode was estimated from the midpoint of the range shift. The distribution was tested through the Shapiro-Wilk test (Zar, 1996), and classified according to the number of modes in: unimodal, bimodal or polimodal (Araújo et al., 2012a).

The growth of *A. angustipes* was described for each sex by the allometric equation $y = ax^b$ (Huxley, 1950). The CW was considered the independent variable and related to the CL, the dependent one. The type of growth was established by the value of the constant $b$, which can be isometric ($b = 1$), positive allometric ($b > 1$) or negative allometric ($b < 1$). The evaluation of the statistical significance of $b$ in relation to the unity was accomplished with a $t$ test. To compare the growth of males and females, the intercepts $a$ and the coefficients $b$ were tested through an analysis of covariance (ANCOVA) (Castiglioni et al., 2011b).

The reproductive period was determined based on the monthly abundance of ovigerous females, and classified according to Sastriy (1983) and Pinheiro & Frentoso (2002) as: seasonal (ovigerous females in only some months or seasons), continuous (ovigerous females in all months of the year with similar intensity) or seasonal-continuous (ovigerous females in all months of the year, but with
distinguishable peak of abundance in some months or seasons).

The correlation of the abiotic factors with the abundance of the crabs was evaluated by the Pearson’s coefficient (r). According to the values of r, the correlation was classified as: 0 = null; 0 < 0.3 = weak; 0.3 < 0.6 = regular; 0.6 < 0.9 = strong; 0.9 < 1 = very strong; and 1 = full or perfect. All statistical analyses were accomplished for each estuary at α = 0.05 (ZAR, 1996).

RESULTS

Abiotic data. The meteorological data from the nearest meteorological station are presented in Table I. It could be noticed that each variable has fluctuated during the year, but no significant differences were observed between dry and rainy periods for rainfall ($t = -0.25; p = 0.80$), atmospheric pressure ($t = -0.88; p = 0.39$), relative humidity ($t = 0.36; p = 0.72$), sunlight ($t = 0.24; p = 0.81$) and wind speed ($t = 0.92; p = 0.39$).

The abiotic data from the in situ measurements at the mangrove of Ariquindá River are presented in Table II. Each abiotic variable has fluctuated during the year, and significant differences were observed between dry and rainy periods for air temperature ($t = -2.52; p = 0.01$), burrow temperature ($t = -3.07; p = 0.01$) and burrow salinity ($t = -3.70; p = 0.01$), with these variables being higher in the dry period. Despite of its wide oscillation, the river salinity did not differ significantly between periods ($t = -1.81; p = 0.13$).

The abiotic data from the in situ measurements at Mamucabas River are presented in Table II. It could be reported that each abiotic variable has fluctuated during the year, and significant differences were observed between dry and rainy periods for air temperature ($t = -3.07; p = 0.01$), burrow temperature ($t = -2.78; p = 0.01$) and burrow salinity ($t = -3.95; p = 0.01$), with these variables being higher in the dry period. Despite of its wide oscillation, the river salinity did not differ significantly between periods ($t = 0.95; p = 0.36$).

Comparing the abiotic data from the burrows and the environment, the burrow temperature is significantly higher than the air temperature (Ariquindá: $U = 28.00$; Mamucabas: $U = 40.00$) ($p < 0.05$). On the other hand, the salinity from the burrow water is significantly higher than the salinity of the river water (Ariquindá: $U = 32.00$; Mamucabas: $U = 15.50$) ($p < 0.05$).

Comparing both mangroves, no significant differences between the areas were observed in the air ($t = 0.17; p = 0.86$) and burrow temperature ($t = -0.40; p = 0.68$). However, significant differences were observed regarding the river ($t = 5.73; p = 0.01$) and burrow salinity ($t = 3.92; p = 0.01$).

Population biology of Armases angustipes at the mangrove of Ariquindá River. A total of 464 individuals of A. angustipes were sampled, being 213 males and 251 females (33 ovigerous). They were collected in all sampling months, with values of abundance oscillating throughout the year. The higher values were found from July to December 2008, i.e., in the end of the dry period and the beginning of the rainy one (Tab. III). The total sex-ratio (0.85♂ : 1♀) did not vary significantly from the expected (1♂ : 1♀) ($\chi^2 = 3.11; p > 0.05$), however, it can be noticed a deviation to the females. The only month where significant difference was observed in the sex ratio was October 2008, when females were more abundant (0.48♂ : 1♀; $\chi^2 = 4.90; p < 0.05$) (Tab. III).

Males showed CW varying from 4.56 to 19.14 mm (mean ± sd = 11.53 ± 3.58 mm). In females, the CW varied from 3.60 to 18.74 mm (mean ± sd = 11.15 ± 5.29 mm). Males showed CL varying from 4.16 to 18.28 mm (mean ± sd = 11.43 ± 3.43 mm). In the females, the CL varied from 3.99 to 18.12 mm (mean ± sd = 10.94 ± 3.83 mm). There was no sexual dimorphism in the CW ($t = 1.97; p = 0.06$) and CL ($t = 1.10; p = 0.27$) between males and females.

Both juveniles and adults were sampled at the mangrove of Ariquindá River. The largest juvenile male had CW = 10.69 and CL = 9.84, while the smallest adult male had CW = 10.58 and CL = 9.85. The largest juvenile female had CW = 9.41 and CL = 8.57, while the smallest adult female had CW = 9.35 and CL = 8.12.

The frequency distribution by CW classes was not normal for both sexes (W = 0.98 and W= 0.95 for males and females, respectively; $p < 0.05$). The distribution of males was unimodal (at 14.25 mm), but with a tendency to bimodality at 9.75 mm, while females showed bimodal distribution (at 6.75 and 14.25 mm) (Fig. 2). Both sexes were equally represented in each size class ($p > 0.05$), except at 3.0 4.5 mm, where only females were found, and at 6.0 > 7.5 mm, where females were more frequent than males ($\chi^2 = 10.96; p < 0.05$) (Fig. 2).

The relationship CL vs. CW showed a positive allometric growth for both males and females ($p < 0.05$). The ANCOVA revealed that both intercepts ($t = 1.10$) and coefficients ($t = -1.39$) did not differ between the sexes ($p > 0.05$), i.e., males and females exhibit similar patterns of growth for this relation (Fig. 3).

Thirty three ovigerous females were sampled, which represents 13.14% of the total sampled females. Their occurrence was restricted to some months of the year: April to July 2008 and January to March 2009 (Tab. III). Thus, they were more frequent at the autumn and summer, and their reproduction can be characterized as seasonal.

The correlation matrix showed that the atmospheric pressure is the environmental factor that most influences the abundance of A. angustipes, showing a negative correlation ($r = -0.75$), followed by the river salinity ($r = 0.58$) and relative humidity ($r = -0.58$) (Tab. IV).

Population biology of Armases angustipes at the mangrove of Mamucabas River. A total of 199 individuals of A. angustipes were collected, being 90 males and 109 females (three ovigerous). They were collected in all sampling months, with values of abundance oscillating throughout the year. The higher values were found in May
Tab. I. Abiotic data (rainfall, atmospheric pressure, relative humidity, sunlight and wind speed) by sampling months and periods of the year, at Rio Formoso meteorological station, state of Pernambuco, northeast Brazil (sd, standard deviation).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Data/Month</th>
<th>Rainfall (mm)</th>
<th>Atmospheric pressure (mB)</th>
<th>Relative humidity (%)</th>
<th>Sunlight (MJ/m²)</th>
<th>Wind speed (m/s)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Rainy period</td>
<td>94.77 ± 95.98</td>
<td>877.62 ± 20.44</td>
<td>73.78 ± 10.26</td>
<td>18.05 ± 1.91</td>
<td>24.51 ± 1.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dry period</td>
<td>80.30 ± 101.8</td>
<td>867.69 ± 18.35</td>
<td>76.09 ± 11.39</td>
<td>17.71 ± 2.74</td>
<td>22.93 ± 3.95</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table II. Abiotic data (air temperature, river salinity, burrow temperature and burrow salinity) by sampling months and periods of the year, at the mangroves of Ariquindá and Mamucabas Rivers, state of Pernambuco, northeast Brazil (temp., temperature; sd, standard deviation).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Data/ Month</th>
<th>Air temp. (°C)</th>
<th>River salinity</th>
<th>Burrow temp. (°C)</th>
<th>Burrow salinity</th>
<th>Air temp. (°C)</th>
<th>River salinity</th>
<th>Burrow temp. (°C)</th>
<th>Burrow salinity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Rainy period</td>
<td>29.23 ± 2.57</td>
<td>19.33 ± 7.53</td>
<td>27.62 ± 1.78</td>
<td>24.21 ± 5.35</td>
<td>28.66 ± 3.07</td>
<td>9.83 ± 6.18</td>
<td>27.84 ± 2.12</td>
<td>14.79 ± 7.37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dry period</td>
<td>30.64 ± 0.74</td>
<td>25.00 ± 1.41</td>
<td>28.91 ± 0.96</td>
<td>28.71 ± 1.05</td>
<td>30.90 ± 1.63</td>
<td>6.50 ± 5.35</td>
<td>29.23 ± 1.35</td>
<td>21.92 ± 2.07</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Tab. III. Number of males, females (total and ovigerous) and total individuals of Armases angustipes (Dana, 1852), and results of the $\chi^2$ by sampling months, at the mangrove of Ariquindá River, state of Pernambuco, northeast Brazil (* = significant difference at 5%).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Month</th>
<th>♂</th>
<th>♀</th>
<th>Total</th>
<th>Ovigerous</th>
<th>Total</th>
<th>$\chi^2$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Apr/2008</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>May/2008</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>1.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jun/2008</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>0.44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jul/2008</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>0.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aug/2008</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>0.53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sep/2008</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>2.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oct/2008</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>4.90*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nov/2008</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dec/2008</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>2.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jan/2009</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>0.44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Feb/2009</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>0.44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mar/2009</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>1.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>213</td>
<td>218</td>
<td>431</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>464</td>
<td>3.11</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
2008, and from December 2008 to March 2009, i.e., in the beginning of the rainy period and the beginning of the dry one (Tab. V). The total sex-ratio (0.83♂: 1♀) did not vary significantly from the expected (χ² = 1.81; p > 0.05), however, it can be noticed a deviation to the females. No month showed significant difference in the sex ratio (p > 0.05) (Tab. V).

Males showed CW varying from 4.05 to 15.45 mm (mean ± sd = 8.42 ± 2.62 mm). In females, the CW varied from 4.06 to 14.56 mm (mean ± sd = 7.61 ± 2.23 mm). Males showed CL varying from 3.41 to 14.09 mm (mean ± sd = 7.62 ± 2.42 mm). In the females, the CL varied from 3.71 to 13.33 mm (mean ± sd = 6.82 ± 1.89 mm). There was sexual dimorphism in the CW (t = 2.36; p = 0.02) and CL (t = 2.35; p = 0.02) between males and females, with males being larger and wider than females.

Both juveniles and adults were sampled at the mangrove of Mamucabas River. The largest juvenile male had CW = 8.21 and CL = 7.12, while the smallest adult male had CW = 8.35 and CL = 7.30. The largest juvenile female had CW = 7.50 and CL = 6.64, while the smallest adult female had CW = 7.77 and CL = 7.06.

The frequency distribution by CW classes was not normal for both sexes (W = 0.96 and W = 0.94 for males and females, respectively; p < 0.05). The distribution of both males and females was unimodal (at 6.75 mm) (Fig. 4). Both sexes were equally represented in each size class (p > 0.05), except at 6.0 ˥ 7.5 mm, where females were more frequent than males (χ² = 4.41, p < 0.05), and at 15.0 ˧ 16.5 and 16.5 ˧ 18.0 mm, where only males were found (Fig. 4).

The relationship CL vs. CW showed a positive allometric growth for both males and females (p < 0.05). The ANCOVA revealed that both intercepts (t = 0.28) and coefficients (t = 0.56) did not differ between the sexes (p > 0.05), i.e., males and females exhibit similar patterns of growth for this relation (Fig. 5).

Only three ovigerous females were sampled, which represents 2.75% of the total sampled females. Their occurrence was restricted to some months of the year: January to March 2009 (Tab. V). Thus, they were more frequent at the summer, and their reproduction can be characterized as seasonal.

The correlation matrix showed that the burrow salinity is the environmental factor that influences the most the abundance of *A. angustipes*, showing a negative correlation (r = -0.41) (Tab. VI). However, it could be noticed that at Mamucabas River, the abundance is less influenced by the abiotic factors when compared to Ariquindá River.

**Comparison of the body size of *Armases angustipes* between mangroves.** The student t test revealed significant differences of the size between the populations. Males of the mangrove of Ariquindá River were significantly larger and wider than those of the mangrove of Mamucabas River (t = 9.18; p = 0.01 and t = 9.48; p = 0.01, respectively). Additionally, females of the mangrove of Ariquindá River were also significantly larger and wider than those of the mangrove of Mamucabas River (t = 10.87; p = 0.01 and t = 11.92; p = 0.01, respectively).

**DISCUSSION**

In the present study, it was observed that the temperature and salinity of the burrows were significantly lower in the rainy season, at the mangroves of Ariquindá and Mamucabas Rivers. The decrease in these values is probably related to the greater rainfall in this period (Passavante & Feitosa, 2004). Regarding burrow temperature, it was also observed that it is lower than air temperature. Besides providing protection to crabs, the burrows reduce temperature extremes and serve as water reservoir (Herreid, 1963; Araújo & Calado, 2011). Burrow water was significantly more saline than river water. This is probably due to the evaporation of water from burrows when they are exposed at low tide, as well as due to the elimination of salt by mangrove trees (Passioura et al., 1992; Thongtham & Kristensen, 2003; Araújo & Calado, 2011).

At the mangroves of Ariquindá and Mamucabas Rivers, *A. angustipes* occurred in all months of the year, as well as observed by Kowalczuk & Masunari (2000b) at the rocky littoral of Farol Island, state of Paraná, south of Brazil. On the other hand, Calado & Lacerda (1993) did not observe this species in all months of the year at the rocky littoral of Calhetas, state of Pernambuco. The study of Calado & Lacerda (1993) does not deal specifically on the population biology of *A. angustipes*. It describes the community of Decapoda and Isopoda in general, and probably there was no specific sampling on the cited species. On the other hand, in the study of Kowalczuk & Masunari (2000b), the samplings were species-specific. In the present study, the number of individuals varied per month, being significantly higher in transitional months between the seasons. That is probably due to the significant seasonal variations of the air and burrows temperatures and burrow salinity. Kowalczuk & Masunari (2000b) observed a lower number of these crabs during the autumn and winter, possibly due to the low temperatures observed during these seasons in Southern Brazil. We believe that in low temperatures, the crabs may exhibit a cryptic habit.

The sizes of CW observed in the present study are very similar to those obtained by Kowalczuk & Masunari (2000b). These small crabs do not reach large sizes of CW. There was no sexual dimorphism in the CW of *Armases angustipes* at the mangrove of Ariquindá River, as well as observed by Kowalczuk & Masunari (2000b) for the same species. However, that is not the pattern for Crustacea, Infraorder Brachyura. Generally, males are significantly larger than females, as observed in the present study at the mangrove of Mamucabas River, in *Callinectes danae* Smith, 1869 (Portunidae) (Araújo et al., 2012a), *Goniopsis cruentata* (Lira et al., 2012), *Sesarma rectum* (Castiglioni et al., 2011) and *Ucides cordatus* (Castiglioni...
In few species of Brachyura, females are larger than males, as in the members of Cryptochiridae (Utinomi, 1944) and in *Uca thayeri* (Araújo et al., 2012b), which according to these last authors, may be an adaptation to increase the production of eggs. Finally, in some other studies with Brachyura, both sexes have the same size, as in *Ucides cordatus* (Araújo & Calado, 2008), *Uca (Leptuca) leptodactyla* Rathbun, 1898 (Ocypodidae), *Uca (Leptuca) uruguayensis* Nobili, 1901 and *Uca (Minuca) vocator* (Herbst, 1804) (Bedel et al., 2008). The similar sizes of males and females observed herein in *A. angustipes* can be related to the assortative pairing of the individuals for the copula. Assortative mating occurs when individuals with similar genotypes and/or phenotypes copulate with each other frequently. Assortative pairing by size is one of the most common mating in natural populations (Crespi, 1989) and it was observed in species of crustaceans, as *Trapezia bidentata* (Forskål, 1775) (Trapeziidae) by Adams et al. (1985) and especially in Crustacea Peracarida (Adams & Coelho, 2011; Araújo et al., 2012c; Oliveira et al., 2013).
GREENWOOD, 1987). It is considered a strategy to strengthen the mating bond, thus increasing fertility.

Despite of the proximity of Ariquindá and Mamucabas Rivers, there was a difference on the sexual dimorphism between the mangroves, as discussed above. Factors such as food availability, environmental impacts, among others, are responsible for variations in the community biodiversity between relatively nearby areas (BOSCHI, 2000; ASHTON et al., 2003; BERTINI et al., 2004), and that might happens also with some population characteristics.

In both populations of *A. angustipes* analyzed in the present study, the sex ratio did not vary from the Mendelian proportion, but it showed a deviation to the females. According to WENNER (1972), few species of crabs have a sex ratio of 1:1. In many natural populations, the sex ratio is close to 1:1, but the analysis of temporal variation can evidence some significant differences in some months of the year, such as observed in *U. cordatus* (ARAÚJO & CALADO, 2008), *Grapsus grapsus* (LINNAEUS, 1758) (Grapsidae) (FREIRE et al., 2011), *S. rectum* (CASTIGLIONI et al., 2011b) and *C. danae* (ARAÚJO et al., 2012a). In the present study, at the mangrove of Ariquindá River, females were more abundant than males in October, and at Farol Island males were more abundant in May (KOWALCZUK & MASUNARI, 2000b), for the same species. These variations probably occurred due to cyclic events that act distinctly on individuals of each sex, such as molt, reproduction and migration (ARAÚJO et al., 2012a).

In both studied mangroves, size classes were equally represented by both sexes, which is in accordance with the model proposed by WENNER (1972) and with the observations of KOWALCZUK & MASUNARI (2000b) on the same species. The distribution by size classes did not follow the Gaussian in both sexes and mangroves. At the mangrove of Ariquindá River, the distribution tended to bimodality, which usually reflects recruitment pulses, and also differential mortality (DIAZ & CONDE, 1989; ARAÚJO et al., 2012a). Since the reproduction is seasonal, as it will be discussed later, the recruitment isn’t continuous, which may also explain the trends observed in the distribution by classes. On the other hand, at the mangrove of Mamucabas River, the distribution was unimodal. This type of distribution is usually related to the continuous reproduction, recruitment and mortality rates in the population (DIAZ & CONDE, 1989; HARTNOLL & BRYANT, 1990; CASTIGLIONI et al., 2011b), i.e., to a stable population, but in the case of Mamucabas River, it is difficult to affirm this relation, since the number of crabs sampled in this area was very low.

The ovigerous females of *A. angustipes* only occurred in some months of the year, especially in the summer, at both mangroves. In many crustaceans, the reproductive period is programmed to occur in the seasons with the best conditions for larval survival and post larval recruitment (PILLAY & ONO, 1978; DIAZ & CONDE, 1989; COBO, 2002a,b; LIRA et al., 2012). Probably, the higher phytoplankton primary productivity observed in the summer favors the breeding activity, since these algae serve as food resource to the decapod larvae (BOOLOOTIAN et al., 1959; SAstry, 1983; EMMERSON, 1994; CASTIGLIONI et al., 2007). In Northeastern Brazil, the phytoplankton production is mainly affected by the suspended material on the surface water, lower in the summer; in this period, the photic layer is deeper and, as a consequence, the phytoplankton production is more intense (RESURREIÇÃO et al., 1996; PASSAVANTE & FEITOS, 2004).

The reproduction of *A. angustipes* was characterized as seasonal. In general, at tropical areas, the reproduction tends to be continuous, and with the increase in latitude, it becomes seasonal (PINHEIRO & FRANSOZO, 2002). However, several studies conducted with terrestrial and semi terrestrial crabs show that the most distant from the water level, more defined will be their reproductive cycle. Such fact was observed in *Cardisoma guanhumi* Latreille, 1825 by BOTEILHO et al. (2001) at the mangrove of Una River, state of Pernambuco, Brazil, in *Neosarmatium meinerti* (de Man, 1887) (Sesarmidae) by EMMERSON (1994), at South Africa, and in *A. angustipes* in the present study.

The low number ovigerous females observed in the present study is not an uncommon pattern among crabs (SEIPLE & SALMON, 1987; MANTELATTO & FRANSOZO, 1997; NEGREIROS-FRANSOZO et al., 2002; PINHEIRO & FRANSOZO, 2002; SILVA & OSHIRO, 2002; COBO & FRANSOZO, 2003; MANTELATTO et al., 2003; LIMA et al., 2006; CASTIGLIONI et al., 2007; CÉSAR et al., 2007; LIRA et al., 2013). This can be related to the cryptic habit of the ovigerous females. According to LIRA et al. (2013), additional effort to catch these females is not recommended, because it would alter the determination of the breeding period. Thus, the low abundance of ovigerous females offers valuable information to comprehend the reproduction of a given species.

The semi terrestrial crustaceans are influenced by great variety of environmental factors, driven both by wind and water (HERRNKIND, 1983). According to ANGER et al. (1990), *A. angustipes* must be considered a marine species that has only started its transition to terrestrial and freshwater habitats. Probably due to this ongoing transition, the species is both influenced by air and water characteristics. The environmental factors that most influenced the abundance of *A. angustipes* were atmospheric pressure, river salinity and relative humidity at the mangrove of Ariquindá River, and burrow salinity at the mangrove of Mamucabas River. However, KOWALCZUK & MASUNARI (2000b) found that the population of this same species at Farol Island was not influenced by the salinity, which may be related to the different habitat they studied (rocky shore) or it evidences that this population is more adapted to the terrestrial environment than those studied at state of Pernambuco.

Few studies were accomplished regarding the influence of the hydrostatic pressure on shallow and deep sea species of crustaceans (TEAL & CAREY, 1967; MACDONALD & TEAL, 1975; MACDONALD & GELCHRIST, 1982). However, there were found no studies on the influence of
Fig. 3. Relationship between the carapace width (CW) and carapace length (CL) of males and females of *Armases angustipes* (Dana, 1852) at the mangrove of Ariquindá River, state of Pernambuco, northeast Brazil.

Fig. 4. Relative frequency (%) of individuals of *Armases angustipes* (Dana, 1852) by size of carapace width (CW), at the mangrove of Mamucabas River, state of Pernambuco, northeast Brazil.

Fig. 5. Relationship between the carapace width (CW) and carapace length (CL) of males and females of *Armases angustipes* (Dana, 1852) at the mangrove of Mamucabas River, state of Pernambuco, northeast Brazil.
the atmospheric pressure on populations of semi terrestrial crustaceans. It is well known that the atmospheric pressure presents daily oscillations due to atmospheric tides (Chapman & Lindzen, 1970), which are comparable to oceanic tides. This effect is strongest in tropical zones and may influence the terrestrial and semi terrestrial populations. However, further studies are necessary on this feature. More recently, the ‘biometeorology’ is standing out, searching correlations between meteorological parameters and the organism biology. As the species of crabs that live in mangroves are exposed to the air for much of the day, at low tide, it is possible that beyond hydrologic parameters, meteorological parameters also influence the biology of some of these species. Rainfall influences the salinity (Passavante & Feitosa, 2004), both of river and burrow water, which affects the physiology of these species. In turn, high humidity can prevent desiccation of the crabs when they are exposed to the air at low tide. However, the influence was inversely proportional, indicating that the relation between air humidity and population aspects needs to be further studied in future work.

The specimens of A. angustipes from the mangrove of Ariquindá River population are both larger and wider than those from the mangrove of Mamucabas River. Besides, the abundance of crabs and the frequency of ovigerous females observed at Mamucabas mangrove were lower. Despite of the differences in the salinity of the rivers, we believe that the population of Mamucabas River may be stressed by the environmental impacts that this area has been receiving in the past years. There are evidences to believe it so. At Mamucabas River, the species Laguncularia racemosa (L.) C.F. Gaertn. (Combretaceae) was dominant, a characteristic of environmental unstable mangroves with lower biodiversity, while at the Ariquindá River, Rhizophora mangle L. (Rhizophoraceae) was the dominant species, what is typical from preserved mangroves with higher biodiversity (Fromard et al., 1998; Macintosh et al., 2002; Colpo et al., 2011). Araújo et al. (unpublished data) confirmed this, observing a higher diversity of Brachyura species at the mangrove of Ariquindá River. In addition, in the species U. cordatus, the condition factor (parameter of the general “well-being” of a species, indicating its degree of adjustment to the environment) was significantly lower at Mamucabas River, indicating the lower health of the specimens of this estuary (Araújo et al., 2012c). The authors related that to the cut off trees, which may reduce the food resource for this species of herbivorous crab. The deforestation observed at the margins of the Mamucabas River (Araújo et al., 2012b,c) can also be one of the limiting factors for Armases angustipes, since the mangrove trees are one of the microhabitats most visited by the crabs (Melo, 1996). On the other hand, the deposition of solid waste, also observed at Mamucabas River, may benefit the detritivorous species also due to the increase in the quantity of organic matter, such as observed for Uca thyarei; males and females of Mamucabas mangrove reached sexual maturity at sizes higher than those of Ariquindá mangrove (Araújo et al., 2012b).

The present study is an important contribution to the knowledge of the population biology of Armases angustipes at tropical areas, highlighting the capacity of the species to respond to environmental impacts. That may be an indicative of its potential as a bioindicator, and further studies with this species in other tropical and subtropical areas are still needed.
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