Flexural strength of fluorapatite-leucite and fluorapatite porcelains exposed to erosive agents in cyclic immersion
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ABSTRACT

Objective: The aim of this study was to evaluate the flexural strength of two porcelain materials (IPS d.SIGN and IPS e.max Ceram) exposed to erosive agents. Material and Methods: One hundred and twenty bar-shaped specimens were made from each of fluorapatite-leucite porcelain (IPS d.SIGN) and fluorapatite porcelain (IPS e.max Ceram) and divided into 8 groups of 15 specimens each. Six groups were alternately immersed in the following storage agents for 30 min: deionized water (control), citrate buffer solution, pineapple juice, green mango juice, cola soft drink and 4% acetic acid. Then, they were immersed for 5 min in deionized water at 37°C. Seven cycles were completed, totalizing 245 min. A 7th group was continuously immersed in 4% acetic acid at 80°C for 16 h. The final, 8th, group was stored dry at 37°C for 245 min. Three-point bending tests were performed in a universal testing machine. The data were analyzed statistically by 2-way ANOVA, Tukey's HSD test and t-test at significance level of 0.05. Results: The flexural strengths of all groups of each porcelain after exposure to erosive agents in cyclic immersion did not differ significantly (p>0.05). For both types of porcelain, dry storage at 37°C yielded the highest flexural strength, though without significant difference from the other groups (p>0.05). The flexural strengths of all groups of fluorapatite porcelains were significantly higher (p<0.05) than those of the fluorapatite-leucite porcelains. Conclusions: This study demonstrated that the erosive agents evaluated did not affect the flexural strength of the tested dental porcelains.
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INTRODUCTION

Porcelains are highly esthetic materials extensively used in dentistry to construct various types of restorations and prostheses such as porcelain fused to metal crowns, veneers, inlays, onlays and all ceramic restorations. They fulfill the esthetic and functional demands of the patients by their superior properties when compared to other restorative materials26. The new glass ceramics (IPS d.SIGN; Ivoclar Vivadent AG, Schaan, Liechtenstein) have become popular for porcelain-fused-to-metal restorations. IPS d.SIGN is a new type feldspathic-based porcelain containing dispersed fluorapatite and leucite crystals in a feldspathic glassy matrix10. The leucite crystals (<3 μm) present in the IPS d.SIGN porcelain also contribute to the overall strength10. Recently, the new all ceramic systems (IPS e.max; Ivoclar Vivadent AG,) have been introduced into the market. IPS e.max Ceram is a veneering porcelain of this system which is a feldspathic-based porcelain having a microstructure unlike IPS d.SIGN. This porcelain only consists of dispersed fluorapatite crystals in a feldspathic glassy matrix; thus, having a microstructure unlike that of any other commercially available dental porcelains28. Fluorapatite crystals, 2-5 μm in length and 300 nm in diameter of needle-like
MATERIAL AND METHODS

Specimen Preparation

Two commercial dentin shade A3 porcelain powders were used: IPS d.SIGN and IPS e.max Ceram (Ivoclar Vivadent AG) (Figure 1). IPS d.SIGN and IPS e.max Ceram are indicated to be used as veneering porcelain for porcelain fused to metal and all ceramic restorations, respectively. One hundred and twenty bar specimens from each of the 2 porcelains were fabricated using the 26.0X6.0X3.0 mm silicone mold (Provil novu putty; Heraeus Kulzer GmbH, D-63450 Hanau, Germany). The porcelain powders were mixed with deionized water, filled in the silicone mold and condensed with a condenser (Ceramomonic II; Shofu Inc, Higashiyama-ku, Kyoto, Japan). The specimens were then fired according to the manufacturer’s instructions (Table 1). After firing, the specimens were polished (model Phoenix 4000; Buehler GmbH, 40599 Düsseldorf, Germany) under running water using 600- and 1,200-grit silicon carbide paper (3M ESPE, St. Paul, MN, USA) to the dimensions of 25.0X5.0X2.0 mm, following the guidelines of the ISO 6872 standard. Then, the specimens were ultrasonically cleaned in distilled water for 10 min, and subjected to self-glazing according to the manufacturer’s instructions (Table 1).

Erosive Agents Exposure

The porcelain bars were divided into 8 groups of 15 specimens each. Subsequently, the specimens were alternately immersed in 25 mL of an erosive agent for 30 min and in 25 mL of deionized water for 5 min for 7 cycles at 37°C. This amount of erosive agent (25 mL) was a sufficient volume to completely cover the specimen. In order to maintain the original pH level of the erosive agent, the agents were refreshed every cycle throughout the experiment. The same protocol was used with different types of 5 erosive solutions included in the study (citrate buffer solution, pineapple juice, green mango juice, cola soft drink, citrate buffer solution and 4% acetic acid; see Figure 2) and deionized water (control). The specimens’ immersion protocol simulated an

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Porcelain</th>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Composition (wt %)</th>
<th>Manufacturer</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>IPS d.SIGN</td>
<td>Fluorapatite-leucite porcelain</td>
<td>SiO₂ 50-65, Al₂O₃ 8-20, Na₂O 4-12, K₂O 7-13, CaO 0.2-5, F 0.1-3, ZnO 2-3</td>
<td>Ivoclar Vivadent AG, 9494 Schaan, Liechtenstein (Lot N. H28470)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IPS e.max Ceram</td>
<td>Fluorapatite porcelain</td>
<td>SiO₂ 60-65, Al₂O₃ 8-12, Na₂O 6-9, K₂O 6-8, ZnO 2-3, CaO 1-3, F 1-2, P₂O₅ 0.1-1</td>
<td>Ivoclar Vivadent AG, 9494 Schaan, Liechtenstein (Lot N.H18984)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 1- Porcelains used in the present study
individual eating acidic food, sour fruits and drinks. Total immersion time was 245 min. Seventh group was continuously immersed in 4% acetic acid at 37°C for 245 min in order to compare the effect of moisture condition. After the immersion sequence was completed, the specimens were rinsed with deionized water, blotted dry and subjected to flexural strength testing.

**Flexural Strength Measurements**

The flexural strength was measured with the universal testing machine (model LRX-plus; Ametek Lloyd Instruments, Farnborough, Hampshire, UK). Bar-shaped specimens were centered and placed on two steel spheres (1.6 mm in diameter) of a supporter part positioned 12 mm apart from each other. Three point bending tests were carried out using a 250 N load cell at crosshead speed 0.25 mm/min. The load at failure was recorded values between the porcelains for each group, the results of the $t$-test showed that all IPS e.max groups.

**Statistical Analysis**

Two-way ANOVA was analyzed to measure statistically significant differences among the types of erosive agents and the type of porcelains after being exposed to erosive agents. Tukey’s Honestly Significant Difference (HSD) tests were used for post hoc comparisons ($\alpha=0.05$). The $t$-test was used for comparing the flexural strength between the two types of porcelain for each erosive agent ($\alpha=0.05$).

**RESULTS**

The flexural strength values of the two types of porcelain were showed in Table 2. ANOVA results showed that the interaction between the two variables (**type of porcelain** and **erosive agent**) found statistically significant difference ($p=0.02$). Between the two dental porcelains, a statistically significant difference was also found ($p=0.01$), but none was found among the types of erosive agents ($p=0.46$).

When comparing the mean flexural strength values between the porcelains for each group, the results of the $t$-test showed that all IPS e.max Ceram groups yielded a significantly higher mean flexural strength ($p<0.05$) than that of IPS d.SIGN groups.

---

**Table 1-** Firing schedules for the porcelains used in the present study

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Porcelain</th>
<th>Starting temperature (°C)</th>
<th>Heating rate (°C/min)</th>
<th>Vacuum temperature (°C)</th>
<th>Firing temperature (°C)</th>
<th>Holding time (min)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>IPS d.SIGN</td>
<td>Dentine</td>
<td>403</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>450-909</td>
<td>910</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Glaze</td>
<td>403</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>450-829</td>
<td>830</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IPS e.max</td>
<td>Dentine</td>
<td>403</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>450-849</td>
<td>850</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Glaze</td>
<td>403</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>450-799</td>
<td>800</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

**Figure 2-** Erosive agents used in the present study
DISCUSSION

The results of this study support acceptance of the null hypothesis, as the flexural strength of both porcelains was not affected by the erosive agents. It is noticed that the highest flexural strength was found in the dry condition group (stored at 37°C) of both porcelains and decreased in all groups when the porcelains were immersed in erosive agents as well as in water. The possible explanation for these results could be the effect of glazing in determining flexural strength values.

Porcelains, by nature, exhibit inherent flaws or defects on their surface and internal body. These flaws could impair their physical properties. However, the surface flaws are covered by the glazes, either self-glazing or overglazing. Re-firing the porcelain prior to final restoration produces a self-glaze layer. This layer may increase the strength of the porcelain restoration from two possible mechanisms. Firstly, when the restoration is heated, the self-glaze layer fills in surface flaws, reducing their depth and blunting the flaw tips. This should increase strength because, for given porcelains, strength increases with decreasing sharpness and flaw depth. Secondly, for feldspathic-based porcelains, the self-glaze layer has a lower coefficient of thermal expansion than the leucite-rich interior. This places the outer surface in compression when cooled. The compressive stress state diminishes the local tensile stress produced from applied loading at surface flaws, thereby needing application of increased load to initiate flaw propagation from the external surface.

The IPS e.max Ceram had higher flexural strength than the IPS d.SIGN in all groups. A possible explanation for this result could be the microstructure of these porcelains. The IPS d.SIGN, feldspathic-based porcelain, is unique and distinct from other porcelains since its microstructure consists of fluorapatite crystal phases in addition to having leucite particles in a feldspathic glassy matrix, while the IPS e.max Ceram consists of only dispersed fluorapatite crystals in a feldspathic glassy matrix. In feldspathic-based porcelains, the leucite particles contract more than the surrounding glass upon cooling. Above a critical particle size, the stresses created during cooling can induce microcracks circumferential to the leucite particles. Previous studies have documented that the size of leucite particles in feldspathic porcelain increases during heat treatment within the normal porcelain firing range. This can increase the probability of microcracking. It is possible that microcracking occurred during the self-glaze treatment. In contrast to fluorapatite porcelains, the fluorapatite phase particles are needle-like and contribute to high flexural strength as well as high chemical durability.

The erosive agents used in this present study, pineapple juice and green mango juice, are favorite sour fruit juices in many Asia countries. They consist of citric acid and other organic acid, which give an acidic pH. However, in the present study, these juices did not affect the flexural strength of the tested porcelain after immersion, which do not agree with the findings of previous studies that showed an impact of acidic agents on porcelains. This study was a short-term experiment and could be the reason to explain why there was no significant difference among the acidic agents and this aspect should be explored. So, a long-term evaluation of the effect of erosive agents on porcelains is required.

It must be noted that there are some limitations to this present study. This study did not consider the different conditions found in the oral environment. For example, the presence of water, temperature change, the pH level and the role of saliva in the oral cavity may considerably influence strengths of restorations. In addition, the present study evaluated only fluorapatite-leucite and fluorapatite

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group</th>
<th>Mean flexural strength (MPa) ± SD</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>IPS d.SIGN</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deionized water (control)</td>
<td>48.41±7.04&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Citrate buffer solution</td>
<td>51.48±10.82&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pineapple juice</td>
<td>51.06±9.57&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Green mango juice</td>
<td>47.78±13.18&lt;sup&gt;d&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cola soft drink</td>
<td>53.25±9.43&lt;sup&gt;e&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4% Acetic acid</td>
<td>52.37±9.49&lt;sup&gt;f&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4% Acetic acid, 16 h</td>
<td>50.59±7.82&lt;sup&gt;g&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dry condition</td>
<td>55.3±12.63&lt;sup&gt;h&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<sup>a-h</sup> Same superscript letters in columns indicate no significant difference (Tukey’s HSD test; α=0.05).
porcelains. Further studies are required to investigate the effect on other porcelains.

CONCLUSIONS

Within the limitations of this study, the flexural strengths of the porcelains (fluorapatite-leucite and fluorapatite porcelains) after exposure to erosive agents in cyclic immersion were not significantly different. For both types of porcelain, dry storage at 37°C yielded the highest flexural strength, though without significant difference from the other groups. The flexural strengths of all groups of fluorapatite porcelains were significantly higher than those of the fluorapatite-leucite porcelains.
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