The Use of Fukuyama’s Sulfonamide in the Synthesis of Selectively Protected Spermidines
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A diferenciação dos grupos amino terminais de poliaminas freqüentemente envolve uma série de etapas de proteção e desproteção, resultando em rotas sintéticas longas e de baixo rendimento global. Tendo em vista o relevante papel biológico atribuído a estas substâncias, torna-se necessário o desenvolvimento de sínteses mais eficientes para poliaminas. Neste artigo descrevemos uma síntese de duas spermidinas seletivamente protegidas, empregando a sulfonamida de Fukuyama. As duas sínteses foram executadas em três etapas a partir da 1,3-propanodiamina, com um rendimento global superior a 40%.

The differentiation of terminal amino groups in polyamines usually involves a series of protection and deprotection steps, leading to long reaction sequences with low overall yields. Given the relevance of the biological activities displayed by polyamines, the development of more efficient synthetic routes for these compounds is highly desired. Herein we report the synthesis of two selectively protected spermidines, using Fukuyama’s sulfonamide. Both syntheses were performed in three steps, starting from 1,3-diaminopropane, with an overall yield higher than 40%.
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Introduction

In the past decades a great deal of attention has been given to polyamines, especially because of their involvement in the regulation of cellular functions, such as cell proliferation and differentiation.1 Additionally, a wide range of biological activities have been attributed to polyamines conjugates and derivatives, such as antiangiogenic, anticancer, and neurotoxins, to name a few.2

The metabolism of polyamines in prokaryotes has also gained increased importance.3 In fact, the inhibition of enzymes involved in the metabolism of parasitic protozoa has been recognized as a promising strategy for the chemotherapy of tropical diseases.4 For instance, the inhibition of ornithine descaboxylase by α-difluoromethylornithine, a drug candidate against African sleeping sickness and malaria,4,5 blocks the first step of polyamine biosynthetic pathway.6 Trypanothione, structurally characterized as N1,N8-bis(glutathionyl)spermidine, is a polyamine derivative used by trypanosomatids as a defense against reactive oxygen species during their infective cycle.7 The metabolism of trypanothione is another target for drug development against trypanosomiases and leishmanioses.8

As part of a research program aiming the synthesis of peptide-polyamine conjugates, we became interested in the preparation of selectively protected spermidines.9 However, the synthesis of unsymmetrical polyamines usually requires several protection and deprotection steps, making such approaches unattractive due to the long reaction sequences involved.10 More straightforward alternatives to access polyamine backbones are needed.

The dual role of Fukuyama’s sulfonamide,11 which not only masks the amino group, but also activates it to grow the polyamine chain, meet the requirements to solve those issues.12 Herein, we wish to describe a versatile route for two orthogonally protected spermidines using Fukuyama’s sulfonamide.

Results and Discussion

The first synthesis starts with the monoprotection of 1,3-propanediamine with 2-nitrobenzenosulfonyl chloride (NBS-Cl) in 70% yield, using a modification of the protocol described by Haemers.13,14 The remaining amino group was protected with 1,3-dimethyl-5-acetyl-barbituric acid (DAB) to give 1 in 70% yield.14 Selective N-alkylation
with 4-bromobutylphthalimide using $\text{K}_2\text{CO}_3$ as base in refluxing acetonitrile afforded the protected spermidine 2, in good yield.\textsuperscript{15}

Since both phthalimide and DAB groups of spermidine 2 can be removed with hydrazine, we decided to proof that under controlled conditions a selective deprotection may be achieved.\textsuperscript{14} While the removal of the phthalimide group is usually carried out in refluxing ethanol over 3-5h, the DAB group can be cleaved at 0 °C. Thus, by treating 2 with aqueous hydrazine in THF at 0 °C, selective removal of DAB was performed, affording spermidine 3 in 82% yield.

A similar strategy was employed to prepare spermidine 5. Accordingly, the monoprotection of 1,3-propanediamine with (Boc)$_2$O\textsuperscript{16} followed by reaction with NBS-Cl, afforded the known sulfonamide 4, in 62% yield (two steps). Reaction of 4 with 4-bromobutylphthalimide using $\text{K}_2\text{CO}_3$ in refluxing acetonitrile over 12 h provided the orthogonally protected spermidine 5, in 71% yield. End-group differentiation of a parent spermidine has already been demonstrated by us.\textsuperscript{9}

In conclusion, our methodology provides an efficient alternative for the synthesis of orthogonally protected spermidines in a short sequence of steps with good overall yields, from inexpensive starting materials. The Fukuyama’s sulfonamide can be introduced at different stages, and acts both as an activating group for N-alkylation and as an orthogonal protective group. Additionally, depending on the temperature, DAB and phthalimide groups can show orthogonal behavior.
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**Experimental**

Melting points were determined with a Thomas-Hoover apparatus and are uncorrected. $^1$H and $^{13}$C-NMR were recorded on Brucker AC 200 spectrometer. Infrared spectra were obtained with a Nicolet-550 Magna spectrophotometer. The mass spectra (MS) were obtained by electron impact (70 eV) with a GC/VG Micromass 12 spectrometer. The mass spectra (MS) were obtained by electron impact (70 eV) with a GC/VG Micromass 12 spectrometer. The reactions were monitored by TLC analyses, on 2.0 cm x 6.0 cm aluminium sheets precoated with silica gel 60 (HF-254, Merck) to a thickness of 0.25 mm, using an ultraviolet light for visualization. For column chromatography, Merck silica gel (230-400 mesh) was used. Solvents used in the reactions were generally redistilled prior to use. The 2-nitrobenzenesulfonamides and tert-butoxycarbonyl-aminopropylamine were prepared as described for Amssoms$^8$ and Boturyn$^{16}$ respectively.

**Synthesis of (tert-butoxy)-N-(3-[(2-nitrophenyl)sulfonyl] amino)propyl)carboxamide 4**

To a solution of tert-butoxycarbonyl-aminopropylamine (1.06 g, 6.08 mmol) in CH$_2$Cl$_2$ (20 mL) and Et$_3$N (0.85 mL) was added 2-nitrobenzenesulfonyl chloride (1.35 g, 6.10 mmol). The mixture was stirred at room temperature for 4 h. Then, the solvent was removed under reduced pressure and the oil obtained was dissolved in EtOAc and washed with brine (3 x 20 mL). The organic layer was dried over anhydrous Na$_2$SO$_4$. The solvent was removed at reduced pressure, furnishing a crude solid that after purification by flash chromatography (hexane/EtOAc 10%) yielded 1.94 g (89%) of the compound 4, as a yellow solid. mp. 97-99 °C; R$_f$ = 0.55 (EtOAc/hexane 50%); IR (KBr) $\nu_{max}/cm^{-1}$: 3316, 2980, 2936, 1679, 1538, 1371, 1171; $^1$H-NMR (200 MHz, CDCl$_3$): $\delta$ 1.41 (s, 9H), 1.69 (m, 2H), 3.14 (m, 2H), 3.34 (m, 4H), 3.64 (t, 2H, 6.3 Hz), 4.90 (s, 1H), 7.63-7.69 (m, 2H), 7.72 (m, 2H) 7.83 (m, 1H), 8.00 (m, 1H); $^{13}$C-NMR (50 MHz, CDCl$_3$) $\delta$ 28.5, 30.7, 37.4, 41.0, 25.8, 28.7, 29.7, 45.3, 47.1, 79.3, 123.4, 124.3, 130.7, 131.8, 132.1, 133.5, 133.6, 134.1, 148.1, 156.1, 168.4.

**Synthesis of 1,3-dimethyl-5-[[3-[[2-nitrophenyl] sulfonyl]amino]propyl]amino]ethylidene]-1,3-dihydropyrimidine-2,4,6-trione 1**

To a solution of 5-(1-hydroxyethylidene)-1,3-dimethylhexahydro-2,4,6-pyrimidinetrione (DAB, 0.101 g, 0.510 mmol) in THF (10 mL) was added 1 equivalent of potassium carbonate, N-(4-bromobutyl)phthalimide (0.15 g, 0.34 mmol). The mixture was stirred at reflux for 8 h. After the reaction was judged complete, the solvent was removed under reduced pressure to afford a solid that after purification by flash chromatography (SiO$_2$, 230-400 mesh, EtOAc/hexane 50-70%), yielded 0.42 g (71%) of the compound 1 as a white solid. mp. 122-124 °C; R$_f$ = 0.12 (AcOEt/hexane 50%); IR (KBr) $\nu_{max}/cm^{-1}$: 3591, 3353, 3092, 2947, 1705, 1645, 1589, 1480, 1356, 855, 754, 598, 426; $^1$H-NMR (CDCl$_3$, 200 MHz): $\delta$ 12.62 (s, 1H), 7.25-8.18 (m, 4H), 5.65 (s, 1H), 5.38 (q, 2H, J 6.28 Hz), 3.30 (s, 6H), 3.24 (q, 2H, J 6.28 Hz), 2.68 (s, 3H), 1.98 (quint, 2H, J 6.28 Hz), $^{13}$C-NMR (CDCl$_3$, 50 MHz): $\delta$ 131.8, 132.1, 133.5, 133.6, 134.1, 148.1, 156.1, 168.4.

**Synthesis of (tert-butoxy)-N-(3-[[2-nitrophenyl] sulfonyl] amino)propyl)carboxamide 5**

To a solution of 4 (0.37 g, 1.03 mmol) in CH$_2$CN (10 mL) was added K$_2$CO$_3$ (0.38g, 3.81 mmol) and N-(4-bromobutyl)phthalimide (0.29g, 1.03 mmol). The reaction was stirred at reflux for 12 h, after which time the solvent was removed at reduced pressure. The residue obtained was poured into water and extracted with CH$_2$Cl$_2$ (3 x 10 mL). The combined organic layer was dried over anhydrous Na$_2$SO$_4$, filtered and concentrated under reduced pressure. The crude product was purified by flash chromatography (EtOAc/hexane 10 - 50%), affording 0.42 g (71%) of the compound 5 as an oil. R$_f$ = 0.40 (EtOAc/hexane 50%); IR (KBr) $\nu_{max}/cm^{-1}$: 3359, 2929, 2936, 1770, 1707, 1545, 1162; $^1$H-NMR (200 MHz, CDCl$_3$): $\delta$ 1.42 (s, 9H), 1.60 (m, 4H), 1.73 (m, 2H), 3.14 (m, 2H), 3.34 (m, 4H), 3.64 (t, 2H, J 6.3 Hz), 4.90 (s, 1H), 7.63-7.69 (m, 2H), 7.72 (m, 2H) 7.83 (m, 1H), 8.00 (m, 1H); $^{13}$C-NMR (50 MHz, CDCl$_3$) $\delta$ 25.5, 25.8, 28.7, 28.7, 45.3, 47.1, 79.3, 123.4, 124.3, 130.7, 131.8, 132.1, 133.5, 133.6, 134.1, 148.1, 156.1, 168.4.

**Synthesis of 1,3-dimethyl-5-[[3-[[2-nitrophenyl] sulfonyl]amino]propyl]amino]ethylidene]-1,3-dihydropyrimidine-2,4,6-trione 2**

To a solution of 1 (0.15 g, 0.34 mmol) in CH$_2$CN (20 mL) were added K$_2$CO$_3$ (0.10 g, 1.02 mmol) of potassium carbonate, N-[(4-bromobutyl)phthalimide (0.96 g, 0.34 mmol) and a few crystals of KI. The reaction was stirred at reflux for 20 h. Then, solvent was removed at reduced pressure and the residue obtained was poured into water and extracted with CH$_2$Cl$_2$ (3 X 10 mL). The combined organic layer was dried over anhydrous Na$_2$SO$_4$, filtered...
and concentrated under reduced pressure, furnishing a crude solid that after purification by flash chromatography (MeOH/CH2Cl2 5%), yielded 0.191 g (88%) of spermidine 2 as a white solid. m.p. 68 - 70 °C; Rf = 0.55 (MeOH/CH2Cl2 5%); IR (KBr) v max/cm⁻¹: 3462, 2925, 2854, 1770, 1711, 1640, 1593, 1543, 1477, 1161; ¹H-NMR (200 MHz, CDCl3): δ 7.73 (m, 7H), 8.01 (m, 1H), 12.60 (s, 1H); ¹3C-NMR (50 MHz, CDCl3): 3.29 (s, 6H), 3.44 (m, 6H), 3.65 (t, 2H, J= 6.06 Hz), 7.60-7.73 (m, 7H), 8.01 (m, 1H), 12.60 (s, 1H); ¹³C-NMR (50 MHz, CDCl3) δ 18.0, 25.4, 25.8, 27.9, 28.2, 28.2, 37.1, 41.2, 44.9, 47.3, 90.7, 123.3, 124.3, 131.1, 131.8, 132.1, 133.1, 133.8, 134.1, 148.1, 166.8, 166.4, 174.5.

**Synthesis of 2-(4-{(3-aminopropyl) [(2-nitrophenyl) sulfonyl]amino}butyl)isoindoline-1,3-dione 3**

To a solution of spermidine 2 (0.20 g; 0.31 mmol) in THF (15 mL) at 0 °C was added 2 eq. of hydrazine hydrate (0.62 mmol, 0.04 mL). The reaction was stirred at 0 °C for 3.5 h. Then, the solution was filtered on a short pad of silica gel (MeOH/Et3N 50%) and the solvent was removed under reduced pressure. The resulting product was purified by flash chromatography (EtOAc and then MeOH/Et3N 50%). The spermidine 3 was obtained as an oil in 83% yield (unstable). Rf = 0.65 (MeOH/Et3N 50%) IR (film) ν max/cm⁻¹: 3462, 2925, 2854, 1770, 1593, 1543, 1477, 1161; ¹H-NMR (200 MHz, CDCl3): δ 1.47-1.85 (m, 6H), 2.65 (s, 2H), 3.58 (t, 2H, J= 6.06 Hz), 7.14 Hz), 2.65 (s, 2H), 3.58 (t, 2H, J= 6.06 Hz), 7.14 Hz), 7.55-7.75 (m, 7H), 8.01 (m, 1H), 12.60 (s, 1H); ¹³C-NMR (50 MHz, CDCl3) δ 23.4, 26.4, 37.8, 39.6, 58.5, 52.0, 52.9, 123.3, 124.3, 131.1, 131.9, 132.2, 133.1, 133.9, 134.0, 148.2, 168.5.

**Electronic Supplementary Information**
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