Simultaneous Voltammetric Determination of Dopamine and Ascorbic Acid using Multivariate Calibration Methodology Performed on a Carbon Paste Electrode Modified by a \( \text{mer-}[\text{RuCl}_3(\text{dppb})(4\text{-pic})] \) Complex
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A preparação e caracterização eletroquímica do eletrodo de pasta de carbono (EPC) modificado com o \( \text{mer-}[\text{RuCl}_3(\text{dppb})(4\text{-pic})] \) (dppb= \( \text{Ph}_2\text{P}-(\text{CH}_2)_4\text{PPh}_2 \), 4-pic= \( \text{CH}_3\text{C}_5\text{H}_4\text{N} \)), denominado Rupic, foi investigada. O sistema EPC/Rupic apresentou apenas um par de picos com potencial médio de 0,28 V versus Ag/AgCl, o qual foi atribuído ao processo de transferência de elétrons do Ru\(^{10/9}\)/Ru\(^{11/10}\). Este eletrodo modificado apresentou a propriedade de eletrocatalisar a oxidação da dopamina (DA) e do ácido ascórbico (AA) em 0,35 V e 0,30 V, respectivamente. Como a oxidação dos analitos, AA e DA, ocorreu praticamente no mesmo potencial, não foi possível a distinção dos sinais voltamétricos utilizando-se a voltametria cíclica. Esta limitação foi resolvida empregando-se a Regressão de Mínimos Quadrados Parciais (PLSR), a qual permitiu a determinação de quatro amostras sintéticas, com erros de previsão (RMSEP) de \( 5.55 \times 10^{-5} \) mol L\(^{-1} \) e \( 7.48 \times 10^{-6} \) mol L\(^{-1} \) para DA e AA, respectivamente.

The preparation and electrochemical characterization of a carbon paste electrode (CPE) modified with \( \text{mer-}[\text{RuCl}_3(\text{dppb})(4\text{-pic})] \) (dppb= \( \text{Ph}_2\text{P}-(\text{CH}_2)_4\text{PPh}_2 \), 4-pic= \( \text{CH}_3\text{C}_5\text{H}_4\text{N} \)), referred to as Rupic, were investigated. The CPE/Rupic system displayed only one pair of redox peaks, with a midpoint potential at 0.28 V versus Ag/AgCl, which were ascribed to Ru\(^{11/10}\)/Ru\(^{10/9}\) charge transfer. This modified electrode presented the property of electrocatalysing the oxidation of dopamine (DA) and ascorbic acid (AA) at 0.35 V and 0.30 V versus Ag/AgCl, respectively. Because the oxidation for both AA and DA practically occurred at the same potential, distinguishing between them was difficult with cyclic voltammetry. This limitation was overcome using Partial Least Square Regression (PLSR), which allowed us, with the optimised models, to determine four synthetic samples with prediction errors (RMSEP) of \( 5.55 \times 10^{-5} \) mol L\(^{-1} \) and \( 7.48 \times 10^{-6} \) mol L\(^{-1} \) for DA and AA, respectively.
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Introduction

Considerable progress has been made over the last few years on chemically modified carbon paste electrodes, having an easier and faster procedure to assemble and renew the paste surfaces, low cost, background currents and possibility to incorporate a series of materials.\(^1\)-\(^5\) Among the materials that may be used in conjunction with carbon paste are the ruthenium-containing compounds such as oxides, mixed valence compounds and complexes. These Ru-based materials possess electrocatalytic properties, which have been used to modify electrodes for electroanalysis of ascorbic acid,\(^6\)-\(^7\) L-dopa,\(^8\) glucose,\(^9\) benzylic compounds\(^10\) and dopamine.\(^11\) Dopamine (DA) and ascorbic acid (AA), in particular, are relevant from the biomedical and neurochemical point of view, for their importance to human metabolism. DA is involved in the functioning of the central nervous system, in addition to the cardiovascular, renal and hormonal systems, and plays an important role in drug addiction and Parkinson’s disease.\(^12\)-\(^13\) Similarly, AA (vitamin C) has been used in preventing and treating cold, mental illness, infertility, cancer and other diseases.\(^14\) In the mammalian brain, AA is present along with several neurotransmitters including dopamine, with a concentration of 100–1000 times higher than that of DA. The coexistence of ascorbic acid (AA)
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makes it difficult to detect dopamine electrochemically because AA can be easily oxidized at a potential close to that of DA. Furthermore, oxidized DA mediates AA oxidation and the electrode surface can be easily fouled by the product of AA oxidation.

In order to distinguish between DA and AA, various methods to prepare electrodes have been developed, as modified carbon nanotubes, sol-gel composites, self-assembled monolayers, polymer films, modified carbon pastes and electrochemically pretreated glassy carbon electrodes. Carbon-based electrodes have been used to study the electrochemical oxidation of DA because noble metal electrodes are easily contaminated. In many of these cases, the use of multivariate calibration methods is an alternative to reduce the interferent effect, improving the selectivity of these instrumental methods. This method can be useful for simultaneous determination of dopamine and ascorbic acid when only the modification of the electrode surface is not sufficient to separate significantly the oxidation peaks of both substrates. To our knowledge multivariate calibration methodology has not been combined with voltammetry for the separation of dopamine and ascorbic acid.

A multivariate calibration process consists of calibration (detection of anomalous samples and model optimization) and prediction (unknown samples analysis) steps. In the calibration step a mathematical model is developed with determination of the regression coefficient vector \( b \) that can reproduce a concentration matrix \( Y \) (containing \( n \) rows which correspond to samples and \( q \) columns of concentrations for each analyte) from a matrix \( X \) (with \( n \) rows of samples and \( p \) columns of current intensity obtained by the potential change). Subsequently, this model is used to estimate the concentration of elements in new samples from their voltammetric data (Figure 1).27

In this paper, a new carbon paste electrode modified with a complex mer-[RuCl₃(dppb)(4-MePy)] (where dppb= PPh₂(CH₂)₄PPh₂; 4-MePy= 4-methylpyridine), referred to as RuPic, was prepared. Electrochemical studies of the RuPic-modified electrode were carried out, also focusing on the electrocatalytic activity of the electrode toward electron transfer of ascorbic acid and dopamine. Simultaneous voltammetric determination of dopamine and ascorbic acid on the modified electrode was made using multivariate calibration methodology.

Experimental

Synthesis of the RuPic complex

The complex RuPic (mer-[RuCl₃(dppb)(4-MePy)]) was synthesized according to the procedure previously described.28

Preparation of the carbon paste electrode modified with the RuPic complex (CPE/RuPic)

The assembling of unmodified carbon paste electrode (CPE) was made by mixing the graphite powder (Fluka) and drops of hydrocarbon oil (Nujol®). The modified carbon paste electrode (CPE/RuPic) was prepared by mixing the complex RuPic with graphite (Fluka) in a 1:25 proportion (mass/mass), respectively. To this mixture, drops of Nujol® were added. The pastes were placed in a 1 mm deep cavity in contact with a platinum disk having a 0.5 cm diameter, fused to a glass tube.

Electrochemical measurements

All the voltammetric measurements were carried out in a potentiostat MQPG-01 model, using a 10 mL electrochemical cell with the conventional three electrode system: a Pt wire as a counter electrode, an Ag/AgCl electrode as the reference, and the modified carbon paste electrode as working electrode. The measurements were made in the potential range from -0.1 V to 0.6 V vs. Ag/AgCl, with scan rates in the range between 10 mV s⁻¹ and 100 mV s⁻¹. Solutions of various pHs were used, adjusted...
with HCl and KOH in a 0.5 mol L\(^{-1}\) KCl (different supporting electrolytes were also tested). The response of the electrode in the presence of dopamine (DA) and ascorbic acid (AA) was also studied by cyclic voltammetry in KCl solutions at different pHs. Several concentrations of DA and AA were used to obtain a calibration curve in the range between 4.0 \(\times\) \(10^{-5}\) and 1.2 \(\times\) \(10^{-3}\) mol L\(^{-1}\).

**Multivariate calibration methodology**

A PC/Pentium IV microcomputer equipped with the mathematical software MATLAB for Windows (version 4.2, distributed by MathWorks) and PLS-toolbox (version 1.5, distributed by Eigenvector Research) were employed to obtain the PLSR models. Known amounts of the working solutions (ascorbic acid: 1.0 \(\times\) \(10^{-2}\) mol L\(^{-1}\) and dopamine: 1.0 \(\times\) \(10^{-2}\) mol L\(^{-1}\) or 1.0 \(\times\) \(10^{-3}\) mol L\(^{-1}\)) were placed in a 10 mL volumetric flask. The pH was adjusted with diluted HCl and completed to the final volume with KCl 0.5 mol L\(^{-1}\). The final concentration of these solutions varied between 1.0 \(\times\) \(10^{-5}\) and 5.0 \(\times\) \(10^{-4}\) mol L\(^{-1}\) for dopamine and 1.0 \(\times\) \(10^{-4}\) and 8.0 \(\times\) \(10^{-4}\) mol L\(^{-1}\) for ascorbic acid. All the solutions were prepared with distilled water and all chemicals used were of analytical-reagent grade.

The experimental design used to develop the multivariate calibration model for dopamine and ascorbic acid is presented in Figure 3 (●). The validation set was created by selection of 4 samples from the experimental design, also shown in Figure 3 (○).

**Results and Discussion**

As the Rupic complex is insoluble in aqueous solutions, it can be easily incorporated into the carbon paste without leaching out from the electrode surface, thus leading to a chemically modified electrode that may be very stable. First, the electrochemical behavior of the Rupic modified electrode, referred to as CPE/Rupic, was investigated. Figure 4 shows the cyclic voltammograms for the modified electrode (CPE/Rupic) at different scan rates in 0.5 mol L\(^{-1}\) KCl at pH 2. A pair of reversible peaks was observed at \(E_{pa}= 0.32\) V and \(E_{pc}= 0.24\) V vs. Ag/AgCl, with a midpoint potential of \(E_{m}= 0.28\) V vs. Ag/AgCl. This process is usually assumed to be a single-electron reduction/oxidation of the couple Ru(III)/Ru(II).29 The redox behavior of CPE/Rupic was different from that in non aqueous solutions, for which various redox waves appear.28 The presence of only one redox process is probably due to the complex being kinetically hindered in the carbon paste.10 A linear correlation was obtained between the anodic peak currents and the scan rate indicating that the complex is strongly incorporated into the carbon paste. This also demonstrated that the electron transfer process is a surface confined (or controlled) process.

The electrochemical behavior of the carbon paste electrode modified with Rupic was studied over a pH range between 1 and 7 and a potential range from -0.1 V to 0.6 V vs. Ag/AgCl. The voltammetric responses with higher current peaks were obtained at pH below 3. Above this pH, no redox peaks were observed (results not shown). The potential values (anodic and cathodic) did not significantly change with the pH (in the range between

![Figure 3. Composition of Synthetic Mixtures of Dopamine and Ascorbic Acid: (●) samples used for calibration set; (○) samples used for external validation.](image)

![Figure 4. Cyclic voltammograms for CPE/Rupic at different scan rates: 20, 40, 60, 80 and 100 mV s\(^{-1}\). Supporting electrolyte: KCl 0.5 mol L\(^{-1}\) at pH 2.](image)
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This behavior may be explained due to the $\text{H}^+$ ions only facilitate the electron transfer between the Rupic complex and the electrode surface at low pHs. The modified electrode response was stable, i.e. the midpoint potential and the anodic and cathodic current peaks remained practically constant and did not show any significant change even after 100 potential cycles (Figure 5). Therefore, Rupic is stable and strongly incorporated in the carbon paste.

Electrocatalytic oxidation of dopamine and ascorbic acid

Because both DA and AA are oxidisable compounds, their detection can be made by electrochemical methods based on anodic oxidation. However, AA and DA are oxidized at nearly the same potential with poor sensitivity at solid unmodified electrodes. The use of chemically modified electrodes greatly increases the selectivity and sensitivity toward these analytes. For the CPE/Rupic electrode, in the presence of AA, Figure 6 shows considerable increase in the anodic current at $E_{pa} = 0.32$ V, with a decrease in the reduction peak in reversal scan direction, which is typical of electrocatalytic processes. For DA, when using the CPE/Rupic electrode, a pair of defined peaks appeared at $E_{pa} = 0.35$ V and $E_{pc} = 0.05$ V (Figure 7) with a separation of the peaks $\Delta E_p = E_{pa} - E_{pc} = 0.30$ V. According to the literature, this redox pair is associated with the oxidation/reduction of DA to the dopaminequinone with participation of two electrons.

The peaks for DA and AA were also observed for the unmodified carbon paste. However, we observed that both AA and DA oxidized in the bare CPE in the same potential as for CPE/Rupic, although the peak currents were much higher for the modified electrode (CPE/Rupic). For this reason, to confirm the electrocatalytic effect of the CPE/Rupic, the plot of $i_{pa}^{1/2}$ vs. $v$ (where $v$ is the scan rate and $i_{pa}$ the oxidation current) was obtained for both analytes and a nonlinear relationship was observed. According to Nicholson and Shain, these results are typical for electrocatalytical systems indicating that ruthenium complex incorporated into the carbon paste facilitates electron transfer between DA (or AA) and the electrode surface, increasing the sensitivity of the electrode. The stability of CPE/Rupic was tested in the presence of DA and AA and no significant changes were observed in the response after the electrodes were used, which rules out the possibility of strong adsorption of DA or AA oxidation products onto the electrodes. Similar results were obtained for Langmuir-Blodgett (LB) films containing a mixture.

Figure 5. Stability test of the CPE/Rupic at 30 mVs$^{-1}$. Supporting electrolyte: KCl 0.5 mol L$^{-1}$ at pH 2.

Figure 6. Cyclic voltammograms obtained with the CPE/Rupic in KCl 0.5 mol L$^{-1}$ at pH 2: In the absence of AA and in presence of $5 \times 10^{-4}$ mol L$^{-1}$ AA or $1 \times 10^{-3}$ mol L$^{-1}$ AA.

Figure 7. Cyclic voltammograms obtained with CPE/Rupic in KCl 0.5 mol L$^{-1}$ at pH 2: In the absence of DA and in presence of $5 \times 10^{-4}$ mol L$^{-1}$ DA or $1 \times 10^{-3}$ mol L$^{-1}$ DA.
between polyaniline (PANI) and a complex mer-[RuCl₃(dppb)(pyridine)].

The analytical parameters (LOD, Sensitivity, Linear Regression Equation, Linear Range of Concentration) related to the individual determination of dopamine and ascorbic acid by cyclic voltammetry and carbon paste electrode modified by a mer-[RuCl₃(dppb)(4-pic)] complex are summarized in Table 1. The plots of the anodic current peak against AA or DA concentrations were linear in a wide range of concentration, observing a better sensitivity for DA.

**Multivariate Methodology**

The CPE/Rupic electrode did not present separation of the oxidation peaks between DA and AA when these compounds were simultaneously added in the electrochemical cell, unlike observed by other authors. For example, Ravi et al. observed a difference between the two potentials for AA and DA of 250 mV in a mixture, using a sol-gel composite electrode. Selvaraju and Ramaraj found a difference of ca. 200 mV in a glassy carbon electrode modified with polyazine. In our work, the interference problems between oxidation signals of DA and AA are illustrated in Figures 6 and 7, which is especially critical for the overlapping analytical signals of dopamine (Eₚ= 0.35 V) and ascorbic acid (Eₚ = 0.32 V).

In the calibration step, the set of voltammograms for 31 standard mixtures (Xcal compose by 31 lines and 1501 columns) was correlated with the corresponding concentrations. This set of voltammograms was pre-processed by the mean centered method without any other transforming procedure. To choose the latent variables in the calibration model, a cross validation procedure was employed. In this procedure, each sample from the calibration set was removed one at a time, being treated as a prediction object. All the calibration objects were treated as prediction objects at least once, and the estimated Prediction Residual Error Sum of Squares (PRESS) was used to determine the number of latent variables necessary to establish the most suitable model (Figure 8). Ideally, the predictive ability of a model must be tested by introduction of new samples (external validation set). However, in many cases cross validation gives sufficient information on the predicting capacity of a model. Figure 8 indicates that the lowest value for the PRESS is obtained with two latent variables. Statistic processing shows that the PLSR2 model with 2 latent variables explains approximately 88.1% of the variance from the data set.

The detection method to determine anomalous samples uses the Studentized residue that eliminates samples with residues > 2.5. With this criterion, standard 11 was removed from the data set (high value of residue to prediction > 3.5). After removing this outlier, a new model was obtained. By plotting the residues as a function of the leverage (a measure of the influence that a sample has on the model), as shown in Figure 9, a few anomalous samples were observed. According to the literature standards with leverage values greater than 3 p/n (p is the optimum number of latent variables in the model and n is

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Analyte</th>
<th>LOD / (mmol L⁻¹)</th>
<th>Sensitivity / (mA mol L⁻¹)</th>
<th>Linear Regression Equation</th>
<th>Linear Range of Concentration / (mmol L⁻¹)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>DA</td>
<td>20.8</td>
<td>4.5 × 10⁴</td>
<td>y = 0.47185 + 45276 x</td>
<td>5 to 50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AA</td>
<td>44.8</td>
<td>2.0 × 10⁴</td>
<td>y = 0.44783 + 20357 x</td>
<td>5 to 50</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 8. PRESS as a function of the number of latent variables.

Figure 9. Studentized residuals as a function of leverage.
the number of calibration standards) and residuals > 2.5 are considered anomalous samples. For this case, the leverage limit value is 0.20, and standard 12 (corresponding to standard 13 of the initial calibration set) is an outlier by leverage and residues.

A new model was developed (now with 29 standard mixtures) under identical conditions such as the ones used in the validation procedure. Even with the same number of latent variables (with similar PRESS and increasing of percent variance explained 90.3%), the prediction ability of the model was better in the absence of the outliers (standards 11 and 13). The concentrations predicted by the models are very close to the real concentrations, as shown in Figure 9, which indicates the validity of the calibration model.

Using the PLSR2 model developed here, four synthetic mixtures were analyzed (Xval composed by 4 lines and 1501 columns). The multivariate calibration models allow a simultaneous determination of dopamine and ascorbic acid with the relative errors reduced (Table 2), even if anodic and cathodic signals presented considerable overlapping. This demonstrates that the model is suitable for particular case where distinction of interfering and analytes is difficult.

These results showed relative errors greater than that obtained by Ravi et al., for which the peaks for the oxidation of ascorbic acid and dopamine were well resolved. However, in our work the multivariate calibration methodology was effective in determining these species, which presented serious problems of the overlapping of oxidations signals. The performance of this mathematical methodology would be even better if the voltammetric peaks did not show a shift to positive potentials with an increase in the analyte concentration.

Conclusions

The carbon paste electrode modified with Rupic complex was considerably stable. This electrode is simple, ease to prepare and renew its surface. The redox behavior of Rupic incorporated in the carbon paste was different from that observed in non aqueous solutions. The immobilized complex is not useful in selective oxidations of dopamine and ascorbic acid due to overlapping of the oxidation peaks of these species, but the electrode presented an increase in sensitivity in comparison with the unmodified electrode. The problem of separating the oxidation peaks of dopamine and ascorbic acid was resolved by using the multivariate calibration methodology. The latter methodology proved to be powerful for simultaneous quantification of AA and DA, especially considering the similarity of voltammetric response of the analytes. The results obtained were satisfactory with prediction of relative errors less than 20% for AA and 12% for DA, with no need of previous separations. Furthermore, the immobilization simplicity in a conductive matrix opens up the possibility of using Rupic in electrocatalysis of other species.

Acknowledgments

The authors are grateful to CNPq and Fundação Araucária (Brazil) for the financial support.

Table 2. Simultaneous determination by PLSR2 of the ascorbic acid (AA) and dopamine (DA)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Samples</th>
<th>Real Concentration / (mol L⁻¹)</th>
<th>Predicted Concentration / (mol L⁻¹)</th>
<th>Relative Error(%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>AA</td>
<td>DA</td>
<td>AA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SmpA</td>
<td>1.00 × 10⁻⁴</td>
<td>2.50 × 10⁻⁴</td>
<td>9.66 × 10⁻⁴</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SmpB</td>
<td>4.00 × 10⁻⁴</td>
<td>7.50 × 10⁻⁵</td>
<td>4.12 × 10⁻⁴</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SmpC</td>
<td>6.00 × 10⁻⁴</td>
<td>2.50 × 10⁻⁵</td>
<td>5.47 × 10⁻⁴</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SmpD</td>
<td>8.00 × 10⁻⁴</td>
<td>5.00 × 10⁻⁵</td>
<td>8.97 × 10⁻⁴</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>RMSEP / (mg L⁻¹)×</td>
<td></td>
<td>5.55 × 10⁻⁴</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* RMSEP = (∑(y_{pred} - y_{real})²/n)¹/².
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