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O estudo avalia a degradação do herbicida propanil e de seu principal produto de degradação,
a 3,4-dicloroanilina (3,4-DCA), em água de irrigação de lavouras de arroz irrigado no Estado do
Rio Grande do Sul, Brasil. Além disso, estima, também, o risco de contaminação das águas de
superfície das proximidades. Após uma etapa de extração em fase sólida, a concentração de ambos
os compostos foi determinada por cromatografia líquida de alta eficiência com detecção na região
do ultravioleta. A confirmação foi realizada por cromatografia gasosa-espectrometria de massas.
A concentração de propanil nas amostras de água variou de 0,1 a 3600 μg L⁻¹. O propanil degradou-
se rapidamente em 3,4-DCA, sendo que altas concentrações deste produto foram encontradas,
variando entre 1,0 e 567,5 μg L⁻¹. Os tempos de meio-vida para o propanil em água sob condições
reais nas safras de 2001, 2002 e 2003 foram 18,2, 12,5 e 12,2 h, respectivamente.

This study evaluates the degradation of the herbicide propanil and of its major degradation
product, 3,4-dichloroaniline (3,4-DCA) in water from irrigated rice farming in the State of Rio
Grande do Sul, Brazil. It also assesses the contamination risk of surrounding surface waters.
After a solid phase extraction step, the concentration of both compounds was determined by
high performance liquid chromatography with ultraviolet detection. Confirmation was conducted
by gas chromatography-mass spectrometry. Concentrations of propanil in water samples varied
from 0.1 to 3600 μg L⁻¹. Propanil was degraded very rapidly to 3,4-DCA and high concentrations
of this product were found, varying from 1.0 to 567.5 μg L⁻¹ in water. The obtained half-life
times for propanil in water under real conditions for the 2001, 2002 and 2003 harvests were
18,2, 12.5 and 12.2 h, respectively.
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Introduction

Although agriculture is just one of the countless nonpoint sources of contamination, it is generally targeted
as the largest source among all pollutant categories. In Brazil, the degree to which these pollutants contribute to
deteriorate water quality has not been quantified. In the United States, however, it is admitted that 50 to 60% of the
pollutant load in lakes and rivers comes from agriculture.1 The rice irrigated culture in Brazil is notable in the Rio
Grande do Sul State, comprising 73% of the cultivated area of this culture. In most of the rice farms, the pesticide
applications are made after the irrigation, directly in the water. Depending on the water producer’s handling and on
the occurrence of rain after pesticide application, there is a risk that residues of these compounds be carried out of the
area, contaminating water sources.2-5

In the pre-germinated system of irrigated rice cultivation, frequently employed in Brazil, area drainage
after sowing set off serious environmental problems, as well as, may cause suspended nutrients and/or pesticides
losses in the released irrigation water. This has been
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Evidenced in studies carried out by Primel and Machado, in which the occurrence of some herbicides, mainly those that present high persistence, was confirmed in river and irrigation waters. Very little, up to now, has been done in Brazil to study the behavior and destination of herbicides in the system, as an attempt to maintain treatments’ efficiency at a lower risk of environmental damage.

Propanil (3,4-dichloropropionanilide) presented in Figure 1A, CAS RN 709-98-8, is one of the most used herbicides in the cultivation of irrigated rice in Brazil. It is a post-emergent selective contact herbicide with short duration used to control the electron transport inhibition of photosynthesis in herbs of wide leaves. According to Barceló et al., propanil was also one of the most used in Ebre Delta wetland area (Tarragosa, Spain) and, according to Coupe et al., it was extensively used in the Mississippi Delta area (USA). Various studies have verified that the herbicide propanil is degraded quickly into 3,4-dichloroaniline (3,4-DCA), presented in Figure 1B, CAS RN 95-76-1.

The meaningful contribution of this study was the development of an analytical method and its application to determine the persistence of propanil herbicide in irrigated rice farm waters. Field experiments carried out tracked propanil degradation and determined its half-life time under field conditions at experimental areas. Therefore, it was possible to assess the contamination risk of adjacent surface waters. The determination of propanil and 3,4-DCA was done by high performance liquid chromatography with ultraviolet detection (HPLC-UV) and gas chromatography-mass spectrometry (GC-MS) was used for confirmation.

Experimental

Instrumentation

An HPLC-UV system Shimadzu (Tokio, Japan) was equipped with an isocratic pump LC-10AD, a UV-visible spectrophotometric detector SPD-10 AV, an integrator C-R6A, an analytical column Bondesil C18 (250 × 4.6 mm i.d.; 5 mm) and a pre-column of the same material (20 × 1 μm), both from Varian (Palo Alto, USA).

The GC-MS system was a Varian model 3800 equipped with an injector model 1079, a capillary column VF 5MS low bleed of 30 m length × 0.25 mm i.d., 0.25 μm film (Varian) and an autosampler model AS8200 with a carrousel for 48 vials, coupled to a mass spectrometer Varian model Saturn 2000, ion trap type, operating with a scan range between 10 to 650 m/z and an electron impact (EI) ionization at 70 eV. The scan time was 0.90 s and 1.0 μL injections were made. The data acquisition system was a Saturn GC/MS Workstation, version 5.51.

A vacuum pump Leybold-Heraeus D3 (Germany), a SPE manifold Varian for the simultaneous preconcentration of 20 samples, a pH meter Cole Parmer (Illinois, USA) series 500 and an ultra-sound bath Bandelin Sonorex RK 510 (Berlin, Germany) were also used.

Reagents, solvents and samples

Water used in the preparation of all the solutions was purified in a Milli-Q system (resistivity of 18.2 MΩ cm). Methanol ChromAR HPLC, dichloromethane residue degree (Mallinckrodt, NJ, USA) and phosphoric acid p.a. 85% (Merck, Darmstadt, Germany) were also used. Analytical stock solutions were individually prepared through the dissolution in methanol of the respective solid reference standard of propanil (99.3% of purity) and 3,4-DCA (99.5% purity), both from ChemService (West Chester, PA, USA). After preparation, the solution was stored in amber flask glass at −18 °C.

Description of the field experiment

The experiments were carried out together with the Crop Science Department from the Federal University of Santa Maria (UFSM) in the experimental fields at the campus, located in the central region of Rio Grande do Sul State, Brazil. The experiments were conducted in the agricultural years of 2001, 2002 and 2003 from November to March. The soil was of medium texture, with 22% of clay and 1.9% of organic matter. The experiment was entirely randomized with four repetitions. Fields of 16 m² (4 × 4 m) for each repetition were used and the commercial product Stam® 800 GD was applied at a dosage of 3600 g of propanil per ha⁻¹.

The pre-germinated system of cultivation was used. For the herbicide application, a precision coastal pulverizer propelled with CO₂ was used, operating at a pressure of 275 kPa and a consumption corresponding to 150 L ha⁻¹. Considering a water layer of 10 cm, the theoretical initial
concentration estimated for the herbicide propanil was of 3600 mg L\(^{-1}\). During the field experiments the water layer was maintained at the same level.

In the 2001 harvest, water sampling was carried out shortly before the herbicide application and on days 1, 2, 7, 14, 21, 28 and 60, after its application. In the following years, water sampling was made on days 1, 2, 3, 5, 7, 10, 14, 21, 28, 35 and 60, after herbicide application.

Water sampling was accomplished in a 1 L amber glass flask at 5 cm under the water surface. The samples were sent to the Laboratory of Analysis of Residues of Pesticides (LARP) of the Chemistry Department at UFSM for chemical analysis.

**Description of the analysis procedure**

All samples were analyzed as described by Primel \(^6\) and Zanella \(et al\);\(^{16}\) 250 mL aliquots, previously filtered in 47 mm diameter nylon membranes and 0.45 µm porosity, were acidified to pH 3.0 with phosphoric acid and pre-concentrated in a cartridge containing 500 mg of C18. The elution was carried out with two 500 µL methanol aliquots. A volume of 20 µL was injected in the HPLC-UV system. As mobile phase a mixture (24:30:46; v/v/v) of methanol, acetonitrile and water was used, adjusted to pH 3.0 with phosphoric acid, at a flow-rate of 1.0 mL min\(^{-1}\). The detection was performed by UV at 220 nm.

The confirmation was carried out by GC-MS under the following conditions: carrier gas: helium at a constant pressure of 14 psi, resulting in an initial flow-rate of 1.2 mL min\(^{-1}\); injected volume: 1 µL (splitless); injector temperature of 250 °C; temperature program of the column oven: 45 °C, held for 1.5 min, then a gradient of 10 °C min\(^{-1}\) up to 260 °C, maintained for 4 more min; mass spectrometer operating with the following temperatures: transfer line: 290 °C, manifold: 80 °C and ion trap: 240 °C.

The experimental results of 2001, 2002 and 2003 harvests are presented in Table 1. Propanil herbicide presented residues up to the seventh day after application. The sudden reduction of the concentration of propanil to 2.3 mg L\(^{-1}\) on the seventh day or to 0.1 mg L\(^{-1}\) on the fifth day, respectively in 2001 and 2003, is due to its fast hydrolysis. Available data indicate that propanil does not persist for a long time in the atmosphere, being metabolized in the matrix soil/water. However, propanil and its metabolite 3,4-DCA can constitute a risk for surface waters and for human health.\(^{17}\) Observational water studies carried out in the US\(^{18}\) showed that 3% of 1560 analyzed samples contained propanil concentration up to 2 µg L\(^{-1}\) and in 50% of the samples, 3,4-DCA was detected up to 8.9 µg L\(^{-1}\).

**Results and Discussion**

Reversed-phase HPLC with UV detection after a solid-phase extraction step proved to be a good choice for propanil and 3,4-DCA determination, allowing to evaluate these compounds concentration variation in agricultural waters. The HPLC-UV chromatographic separation profile of 3,4-DCA and propanil from a standard containing 1.0 mg L\(^{-1}\) each compound is demonstrated in Figure 2, in which these compounds presented retention time \((t_r)\) values of 8.8 and 14.4 min, respectively.

![Figure 2. HPLC-UV chromatogram of 3,4-DCA and propanil from a standard at a concentration of 1.0 mg L\(^{-1}\) each.](image)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Days after application</th>
<th>Propanil(µg L(^{-1}))</th>
<th>3,4-DCA(µg L(^{-1}))</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>3600</td>
<td>3445</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>1244</td>
<td>2285</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>n.c.</td>
<td>4.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>n.c.</td>
<td>21.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>50.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>n.c.</td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>n.d.</td>
<td>n.d.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

n.c. = not collected; n.d. = not detected

For propanil herbicide, results indicate that the concentration decreases quickly during the first days, in the 2001 harvest. 3,4-DCA concentration increases until the 3rd day and then starts to decrease. 3,4-DCA is detected up until the second week after propanil application as can
be observed in Table 1. On the 14th day after its application, 3,4-DCA presence was still detected at a concentration of 1.6 μg L⁻¹.

In the 2002 harvest, propanil concentration decreases until the 3rd day, increasing in the samples collected on the 5th and 7th days and then decreases again. Herbicide residues were found up until the 10th day after application. 3,4-DCA was detected until the 14th day, as may be observed in Table 1, in which its concentration reached 1.0 μg L⁻¹.

In the 2003 harvest, propanil concentration remains high (129 μg L⁻¹) until the 3rd day after application. No propanil herbicide residues are found after this, whereas 3,4-DCA presence is detected until the 10th day after the herbicide application, as may be observed in Table 1, in which its concentration was 3.5 μg L⁻¹.

Propanil and 3,4-DCA confirmation, as accomplished by GC-MS, and their chromatographic profiles are presented in Figure 3, in which they showed tᵢ values of 14.2 and 19.6 min, respectively. Propanil and 3,4-DCA mass spectra monitoring ion m/z 126 are presented in Figures 4 and 5, respectively. The main fragments identified, their relative intensities and m/z relation are shown in Table 2.

Spectra and chromatograms obtained by sample injection were compared to those of standard analytical solutions for these compounds. This ion selection allowed a larger selectivity in the identification of propanil and 3,4-DCA, isolating the signal of these compounds from the signals of impurities present in the samples.

**Determination of the half-life time**

In C/Co = -kt equation can be used to determine the order of a reaction if the reagent concentration as a time function is known. One way of characterizing the systems is by the determination of the half-life time (t₁/₂) of the reagent. Then, if we plot ln C as a function of t, we will obtain a straight line, whose angular coefficient (rate constant) is -k. Also this constant -k is determined by the nature of reagents for a given temperature and is a numerical value characteristic of each reaction.

In order to calculate propanil half-life time in irrigation waters, we used t₁/₂ = ln 2/k equation, in which k is the slope of the straight line. The mean half-life time for propanil was 18.2 h for the 2001 harvest, 12.5 h for 2002 and 12.2 h for 2003. These values are in accordance with propanil t₁/₂ values in water for 1–2 days reported by other authors.²,¹⁰ According to Barceló et al.¹⁰ propanil degradation is directly dependent on the environmental
conditions and on the pesticide application rate. An increase of the sun irradiation time was observed in the last two years of this study, suggesting that this could be the main cause for the observed $t_{1/2}$ reduction.

Conclusions

According to the results obtained, it can be recommended that irrigation waters should be maintained for at least 10 days, after propanil herbicide application, before release into the environment, thereby avoiding watercourse contamination. It was also possible to observe in water samples from experimental irrigated rice farms, where herbicide was applied, that propanil was quickly degraded into 3,4-DCA, its main degradation product. These results made the determination of propanil half-life time in irrigation water possible. The average time were 18.2, 12.5 and 12.2 h, respectively, for 2001, 2002 and 2003 harvests.

GC-MS analysis allowed propanil and 3,4-DCA identification, thereby confirming the results obtained by HPLC-UV.
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