Synthesis, Characterization and Photovoltaic Properties of Di-Anchor Organic Dyes
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Three new organic dyes comprising carbazole, iminodibenzyl and phenothiazine moieties, as electron donors and di-anchoring rhodanine rings as the electron acceptors, were synthesized and evaluated for use in dye-sensitized solar cells. A solar cell employing dye-containing phenothiazine as a hole-transporting unit and di-anchoring rhodanine rings as the electron acceptors exhibits a short circuit photocurrent density of 10.6 mA cm$^{-2}$, an open-circuit voltage of 0.658 V and a fill factor of 0.7, corresponding to an overall conversion efficiency of 4.91% at standard AM 1.5 sunlight.
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Introduction

Compounds with extending conjugated $\pi$-electron systems are of importance in a wide variety of applications, such as optical, electronic, optoelectronic and magnetic materials.\(^1\)\(^-\)\(^7\) The development of organic electroactive and photoactive materials has progressed significantly in recent years due to their potential applications in optoelectronic devices, such as electroluminescence (EL) devices, photovoltaic devices, thin film transistors and solid-state lasers.\(^8\)\(^-\)\(^9\) Donor-acceptor (D-A) organic molecules are one of the most important conjugated organic materials; they have attracted considerable attention as electroluminescent (EL) materials for organic light-emitting diodes (OLEDs) and as photovoltaic materials for organic solar cells. The physical and chemical properties of donor-acceptor materials can be modified by selecting suitable donor moieties or/and acceptor moieties.

Studies of donor (D)-$\pi$ (bridge)-acceptor (A) chromophores have found that the intramolecular charge transfer characteristics of these molecules in the excited state are essential for dye sensitizers in dye-sensitized solar cells (DSSCs),\(^10\) since the light-induced intramolecular electron transfer can easily occur from the electron donor to the electron acceptor through the $\pi$-bridge, which favors photocurrent generation.\(^11\) D-A $\pi$-conjugated organic dyes have several advantages, such as their ease of synthesis, high molar extinction coefficient, tunable absorption spectral response from the visible to the near infrared (NIR) region, environmental friendliness and inexpensive production techniques compared to those for Ru complexes. In addition, both modeling and experimental results have shown that
anchoring units, such as carboxylic groups, are necessary for efficient dye adsorption on the surface of TiO$_2$ to favor charge injection.$^{12}$

Recently, impressive photovoltaic performance has been reported for some organic coumarin,$^{13}$ indoline,$^{14}$ merocyanine,$^{15}$ and hemicyanine dyes.$^{16}$ Reasonable photo-conversion efficiencies have been achieved with some push-pull-type organic chromophores.$^{17}$ However, due to the formation of dye aggregates on the semiconductor surface and the presence of unstable radical species during redox reaction cycles, many organic dyes exhibit conversion efficiency and operational stability lower than those of metal-complex dyes in DSSCs. Thus, it is desired to develop efficient stable organic dyes which do not aggregate. Phenothiazine is a well-known heterocyclic compound that has electron rich sulfur and nitrogen heteroatoms. Iminodibenzyl is a diphenylamine, wherein two ortho positions are joined by a dimethylene bridge. Phenothiazine-based organic dyes have found numerous applications in electronics and optoelectronics, including light-emitting diodes,$^{18}$ photovoltaic cells, thin film transistors,$^{19}$ and electrochromic cells.$^{20,21}$ The highly nonplanar structure of iminodibenzyl and phenothiazine units impedes π-stacking aggregation and intermolecular excimer formation, making them suitable for diverse optoelectronic applications.$^{22}$

In previous work, Wu et al.$^{23}$ have reported some organic dyes with a rhodanine ring as mono-anchoring acceptors. In the present work, new metal-free carbazole, iminodibenzyll and phenothiazine sensitizers with two electron acceptors of rhodanine-3-acetic acid are reported. These acceptor-donor-acceptor π-conjugated dyes with an amine derivative act as the electron donors and two rhodanine-3-acetic acid moieties acts as the anchoring group for attachment on the metal oxide and as the electron acceptors. A π-conjugated methane unit connects the donor and acceptor units. We investigate the electron-donating nature and structural variations of the amine unit and study its optical, electrochemical and photovoltaic properties.

**Experimental**

**Chemicals**

All starting materials and tetrabutylammonium perchlorate (TBAP) were commercial available and used as received. 1,2-dimethyl-3-propylimidazolium iodide (DMPImI) were synthesized and purified according to a procedure in the literature.$^{24}$

**Preparation of dye-sensitized TiO$_2$ electrode (photoanode) and counter electrode**

The working TiO$_2$ electrode (photoanode) and counter electrode for dye-sensitized solar cells were prepared as follows. F-doped tin oxide (FTO) glass plates (3 mm thick, 7 Ω cm$^{-2}$) were first cleaned in a cleaning detergent aqueous solution with an ultrasonic bath for 15 min and rinsed with water and ethanol. Then, the FTO electrodes were immersed into 40 mmol L$^{-1}$ TiCl$_4$ (aqueous) at 70 °C for 30 min and rinsed with water and ethanol. Two kinds of TiO$_2$ paste, containing nanocrystalline (ca. 25 nm) TiO$_2$ (Degussa P25, paste A) and 500 nm submicroparticle TiO$_2$ (TOHO, Japan, paste B), respectively, were prepared using a previously reported procedure.$^{25}$ To prepare paste A, commercial titania powder (3 g, Degussa P25) was ground in a mortar with a small amount of water (1 mL) containing acetylacetone (0.1 mL), which was added to prevent reaggregation of the particles. After the TiO$_2$ particles were dispersed, the paste was diluted by the slow addition of water (3 mL) under continued grinding and a surfactant, Triton X-100 (0.05 mL), was added to facilitate the spreading of the colloid on the substrate. Paste A was kept in ultrasound bath for about 24 h, at 28 °C. Paste B was prepared by a similar method. The spin coating procedure for paste A was repeated to get the appropriate thickness of TiO$_2$ films (12 μm). After paste A was dried at 125 °C, paste B was coated two more times, in such a way that the TiO$_2$ films with 500 nm particles for the scattering layer were ca. 4 μm thick. The electrodes coated with TiO$_2$ pastes were gradually heated (5 °C min$^{-1}$) under airflow up to 450 °C, which was kept for 30 min. The electrodes were treated with 40 mmol L$^{-1}$ solution of TiCl$_4$ in (solvent ethanol). The TiO$_2$ films were then rinsed with water and ethanol and sintered again at 450 °C for 30 min. An active area of 0.5 × 0.5 cm was selected from a sintered electrode. The electrodes were immersed in a 5 × 10$^{-4}$ mol L$^{-1}$ solution of dye containing tetrahydrofuran (THF). Dye coatings were applied at room temperature for 24-30 h. The dye-adsorbed TiO$_2$ films were taken out and rinsed with dry ethanol. The rinsing process was repeated several times to remove unbound dyes completely. Finally, the dye-adsorbed TiO$_2$ films were dried in air. Counter electrodes were prepared by sputtering a 50 nm thick platinum layer on an FTO substrates using a Hitachi E 1045 instrument and controlling the amount of sputtered platinum with a quartz crystal thickness monitor. The thicknesses of the TiO$_2$ films were determined by profilometry.

**DSSC assembly**

The dye adsorbed TiO$_2$ electrode and Pt-counter electrode were assembled into a sandwich sealed type cell by heating...
them with hot-melt ionomer film (25 μm thick, Solaronix) as a spacer. A drop of electrolyte solution [0.1 mol L\(^{-1}\) LiI, 0.05 mol L\(^{-1}\) I\(_2\), 0.6 mol L\(^{-1}\) DMPII, 0.5 mol L\(^{-1}\) tert-butyl pyridine (TBP)] in acetonitrile (ACN)] was injected through a hole in the counter electrode, which was then sealed with hot-melt ionomer film and glass. The electrolyte was introduced into the cell and sealed with AB epoxy 906 Adhesive for 30 min. The working area of the electrode was 0.25 cm\(^2\).

\textit{Characterization of the dyes and photovoltaic measurements of the solar cells}

The \(^1\)H spectra were obtained on a Bruker 400 MHz FT-NMR. Chemical shifts were reported in ppm relative to tetramethylsilane \(\delta\) units. The absorption spectra of the dyes in solution and adsorbed on TiO\(_2\) films were recorded on a Cary 100 UV-Vis spectrophotometer. Fluorescence measurements were carried out using a Hitachi F-4500 fluorescence spectrophotometer. Cyclic voltammetry was performed using an electrochemical workstation (CH instruments Inc., CHI, model 750A) and conducted using 0.1 mol L\(^{-1}\) tetrabutylammonium perchlorate (TBAP) as the supporting electrolyte. The working electrode was a glassy carbon electrode, the auxiliary electrode was a Pt wire and the reference electrode was Ag/Ag\(^+\). The scan rate was 100 mV s\(^{-1}\) and the temperature was 25 °C. Ferrocene was added to each sample solution at the end of the experiments. The ferrocenium/ferrocene (Fc/Fc\(^+\)) redox couple was used as an internal potential reference. The potential vs. SCE in DMF was calibrated according to a procedure published by Matsui et al.\(^{26}\) The photovoltaic measurements of the DSSCs were performed using a Newport M-66907 450 W xenon light source through an infrared blocking filter and a Keithley 2400 digital source meter linked to a computerized control and data acquisition system. The light intensity was 1000 W m\(^{-2}\) under an AM 1.5 light source. Cell temperatures were kept at 25 °C during the illumination. Light intensity was calibrated using a mono-Si reference solar cell (PVM134). The incident photon-to-current conversion efficiency (IPCE) as a function of excitation wavelength was measured using an incident light 300 W xenon lamp. A 300 W xenon arc lamp solar simulator (#91160A, Oriel) with an AM 1.5 Globe filter (#59044, Oriel) was used to measure the I-V characteristics of the quasi-solid-state DSSC. The illumination was fixed at 100 mW cm\(^{-2}\) using a reference solar cell and meter (#91150, Oriel).

\textit{Computation methods}

The geometric and electronic properties of the carbazole, iminodibenzyl and phenothiazine-containing dyes S1-S3 were obtained using the Gaussian 03 program package.\(^{27}\) The calculation was optimized using B3LYP (Becke three parameter hybrid function with Lee-Yang-Perdew correlation functions) with the Pople 6–31+g(d) atomic basis set.

\textbf{Results and Discussion}

\textbf{Synthesis and structure of sensitzers}

In Figure 1, S1-S3 are the carbazole, iminodibenzyl and phenothiazine-containing dyes, respectively. The rhodanine dialdehydes 4a-c were prepared using the well-known Vilsmeier reaction in a way similar to that reported by Chen et al.\(^{28}\)

![Figure 1. Synthesis of three organic dyes with double electron acceptors.](image)

However, the Vilsmeier reaction gave low yields for the dialdehyde compounds. Therefore, a two-step strategy was used for their preparation.\(^{29}\) \(N\)-hexylcarbazole (2a), \(N\)-ethyliminodibenzyl (2b) and \(N\)-ethylphenothiazine (2c) were first treated with a reactive derived from the reaction of imidazole and trifluoroacetic anhydride to give the respective intermediates containing trifluoroacetyl (CF\(_3\)CO) groups (3a-c) that could be readily hydrolyzed to dialdehydes (4a-c). The final products S1, S2 and S3 were obtained by the condensation of the respective aldehyde...
with rhodanine-3-acetic acid via the Knoevenagel reaction in the presence of ammonium acetate. The structural differences of those photosensitizers (Table 1 and Figure 2) were evaluated from their optimized structures. When viewed from the top, the angles (\(\angle 1\)) of the S1 carbazole, the S2 iminodibenzyl and the S3 phenothiazine rings are 108.6\(^\circ\), 124.4\(^\circ\) and 122.0\(^\circ\), respectively, implying that the addition of a dimethylene bridge and sulfur units significantly increases the angle (\(\angle 1\)) of nitrogen atoms. The angle between two phenyl units in the carbazole unit (\(\angle 4\)) is 106.4\(^\circ\); however, the angle between the phenyl unit and dimethylene bridge inside the iminodibenzyl unit (\(\angle 4\)) is 125.9\(^\circ\). This can be attributed to the incorporation of a dimethylene bridge between two phenyl units increasing the steric hindrance, which increases the angles \(\angle 1\) and \(\angle 4\). The angle of the S3 phenothiazine sulfur atom (\(\angle 8\)) is 99.0\(^\circ\), which is smaller than that of the phenothiazine nitrogen atom (\(\angle 1: 122.0\(^\circ\)). This may be attributed to the character between nitrogen and sulfur atoms.

### Table 1. Optimized geometric parameters (angle, degree) of S1, S2 and S3

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Angle</th>
<th>S1</th>
<th>S2</th>
<th>S3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(\angle 1)</td>
<td>108.6</td>
<td>124.4</td>
<td>122.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(\angle 2)</td>
<td>125.6</td>
<td>119.1</td>
<td>118.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(\angle 3)</td>
<td>125.7</td>
<td>116.5</td>
<td>118.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(\angle 4)</td>
<td>106.4</td>
<td>125.9</td>
<td>120.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(\angle 5)</td>
<td>134.0</td>
<td>115.9</td>
<td>118.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(\angle 6)</td>
<td>106.4</td>
<td>119.6</td>
<td>120.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(\angle 7)</td>
<td>134.0</td>
<td>121.3</td>
<td>118.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(\angle 8)</td>
<td>124.3</td>
<td>115.6</td>
<td>99.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(\angle 9)</td>
<td>124.3</td>
<td>110.0</td>
<td>125.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(\angle 10)</td>
<td>125.6</td>
<td>125.4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(\angle 11)</td>
<td>125.0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

When viewed from the front, the carbazole unit is coplanar with two rhodanine rings; the dimethylene bridge decreases the coplanarity of the iminodibenzyl unit and induces the nonplanar geometry of S2. The phenothiazine is bent along the N-S axis, as confirmed by X-ray structural analysis.\(^9\) The nitrogen atom in the phenothiazine moiety induces a nonplanar geometry similar to that of the sp\(^3\)-hybridized pyramidal nitrogen.

**Optical properties**

The UV-Vis absorption and emission spectra of S1, S2 and S3 in DMF solution and the absorption spectra of the corresponding dyes adsorbed on TiO\(_2\) film are shown in Figure 3 and the \(\lambda_{\text{max}}\) values are listed in Table 2. The absorption spectrum of S2 in DMF exhibits two
major prominent bands, appearing at 300-400 nm and at 400-600 nm, respectively. The former is ascribed to a localized aromatic π-π* transition and the latter is of charge-transfer character between the iminodibenzy1-based donor and the rhodamine-3-acetic acid, providing efficient charge separation at the excited state. Under similar conditions, the S1 sensitizer had an absorption peak at 450 nm that was slightly red-shifted relative to the peaks of S2, implying that the incorporation of the carbazole unit leads to better coplanarity than that achieved by the iminodibenzy1 unit.

Wherein the phenothiazine unit substitutes the carbazole or iminodibenzy1 unit in S3, the absorption maximum red-shifted to 489 nm. The significant red shift of S3 relative to S1 and S2 is due to stronger intramolecular charge transfer in S3 as a result of phenothiazine being a stronger electron-donating ring than are carbazole and iminodibenzy1. Red-shifting in the absorption spectra helps utilize solar light. The absorption spectra of S1-S3 on a TiO2 electrode are broader than those in DMF solution. When the S1 and S3 sensitzers are adsorbed on the TiO2 electrode, there is a slight blue shift from 450 to 442 nm and 489 to 488 nm (Table 2), respectively, implying that dyes adsorbed on the TiO2 surface have partial H-type aggregates. Under similar conditions, the S2 sensitizer has a slight red shift from 445 to 447 nm after being adsorbed on the TiO2 electrode. The molar extinction coefficients (ε) of S1, S2 and S3 are 26360 L mol⁻¹ cm⁻¹ (at 450 nm), 24040 L mol⁻¹ cm⁻¹ (at 445 nm) and 25480 L mol⁻¹ cm⁻¹ (at 489 nm), respectively; larger than that of Ru organic complex (14200 L mol⁻¹ cm⁻¹), indicating that they are beneficial to light harvesting.

Figure 3(a) shows the emission spectra of the dyes in DMF solution. The excitation wavelength for emission was the maximum absorption in the visible region. The corresponding data are summarized in Table 2. It can be seen that the maximum emission wavelengths in DMF solution follow the order S3 > S2 > S1. The maximum emission wavelength was red-shifted when iminodibenzy1 was substituted by phenothiazine (558 nm for S2 and 635 nm for S3), but the peak was blue-shifted by introducing a 9-hexylcarbazole moiety as an electron donor (521 nm for S1). S3 exhibited a relatively large Stokes shift, which could be attributed to the geometrically relaxed structure of the phenothiazine center upon excitation. A large Stokes shift is advantageous because it minimizes interference by the excitation light in the measurement of the fluorescence emission.

**Table 2. Absorption and emission properties of S1, S2 and S3 dyes**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Dye</th>
<th>λabs a / nm</th>
<th>ε / L mol⁻¹ cm⁻¹ (at λabs)</th>
<th>λem b / nm (on TiO2)</th>
<th>λem b / nm</th>
<th>Stokes shift / nm</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>S1</td>
<td>295, 355, 403, 450</td>
<td>26360 (450 nm)</td>
<td>442</td>
<td>521</td>
<td>71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S2</td>
<td>296, 346, 445</td>
<td>24040 (445 nm)</td>
<td>447</td>
<td>558</td>
<td>113</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S3</td>
<td>298, 357, 489</td>
<td>25480 (489 nm)</td>
<td>488</td>
<td>635</td>
<td>146</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

aStokes shift = λem(solution) – λem(deposited) babsorption and emission spectra were measured in DMF solution.

**Electrochemical properties**

To evaluate the thermodynamic allowed electron transfer processes from the excited dye molecule to the conduction band of TiO2, cyclic voltammetry (CV) measurements were performed. The electrochemical behavior of S1-S3 in the anodic direction was irreversible.
The ground-state oxidation potentials \( (E_{\text{ox}}) \) of the three dyes were measured and the results summarized in Table 3. The ferrocenium/ferrocene (Fc/Fc\(^+\)) redox couple was used as internal reference. The \( E_{\text{mss}} \) of S3 is less positive than those of S1 and S2, indicating that the phenothiazine units are much more effective in lowering the ionization potential than are iminodibenzyol and carbazole units.

The ground-state oxidation potentials \( E(S^+/S) \) of S1, S2 and S3, corresponding to the highest occupied molecular orbital (HOMO) level of sensitizers, were estimated to be 0.64, 0.62 and 0.55 V, respectively, vs. the normal hydrogen electrode (NHE). The HOMO levels of S1 and S2 (0.64 and 0.62 V vs. NHE, respectively) are more positive than that of S3 (0.55 V vs. NHE), indicating more efficient dye regeneration for S1 and S2.

The excited-state oxidation potentials \( E(S^+/S^*) \), which reflect the lowest occupied molecular orbital (LUMO) level of the sensitizers, play an important role in the electron injection process. The excited-state oxidation potential \( E(S^+/S^*) \) was calculated using: \(^{34}\)

\[
E(S^+/S^*) = E(S^+/S) - E_{0-0}
\]

where \( E_{0-0} \) is the zeroth-zeroth transition value obtained from the intersection of the normalized lowest energy absorption peak and highest energy fluorescence peak. The LUMO levels of S1 and S2 (\(-1.92 \) and \(-1.85 \) V vs. NHE, respectively) are more negative than that of S3 (\(-1.63 \) vs. NHE), indicating that S1 and S2 dyes have more efficient electron injection.

Figure 4 shows a schematic energy diagram of a DSSC based on dyes attached to a nanocrystalline TiO\(_2\) film deposited on conducting fluorine-doped tin oxide (FTO) glass. The oxidation potentials (approximately the HOMO levels) of the dyes range from 0.55 to 0.64 V vs. NHE. These values are more positive than the \( I_1^-/I^- \) redox potential (0.42 V vs. NHE),\(^{35}\) indicating that the oxidized dye formed after electron injection into the conduction band of TiO\(_2\) thermodynamically accepted electrons from \( I^- \) ions in the electrolyte. The LUMO levels of these dyes were estimated from the difference between \( E_{\text{ox}} \) and \( E_{0-0} \); they are in the range of \(-1.63 \) to \(-1.92 \) V vs. NHE, which are more negative than the conduction band edge of TiO\(_2\) (\(-0.5 \) V vs. NHE).\(^{36}\) Provided that an energy gap (between dye LUMO and TiO\(_2\) conduction band (CB)) of 0.2 eV is necessary for efficient electron injection,\(^{36}\) the driving force is sufficient for efficient charge injection. Thus, the electron injection process from the excited dye molecule to the TiO\(_2\) conduction band and the subsequent dye regeneration are energetically permitted. Such electronic structures thus ensure a favorable exothermic flow of charges throughout the photo-electric conversion. However, in an actual device, molecules have interfaces or other molecules as neighbors, which a consequent modification of electronic structure and molecular conformation implying in differences in the energy levels.

Since energy levels in several cases, such as values obtained using XPS (X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy) or UPS (ultraviolet photoelectron spectroscopy), referring these values to vacuum, we evaluate the ionization potential (IP) and electroaffinity (EA) to realize and control the electrical and optical properties.\(^{37-39}\) IP gives a good indication of whether a given p-type dopant is capable of ionizing a compound, whereas EA is important for comprehending the n-type doping process. The positions of the HOMO (IP) levels of S1, S2 and S3 relative to a vacuum were estimated to be \(-5.15, -5.13 \) and \(-5.06 \) eV, respectively. Similarly, the LUMO (EA) levels of S1, S2 and S3 relative to a vacuum were estimated to be \(-2.59, -2.66 \) and \(-2.88 \) eV, respectively.

**Molecular orbital calculations**

To investigate the molecular structure and electron distribution of the organic dyes, the geometries of the organic dyes were optimized using density functional theory (DFT) calculations at a B3LYP/6-31+g (d) level. The isodensity surface plots of frontier orbitals involved in these

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Dye</th>
<th>( E_{\text{mss}} ) in DMF</th>
<th>( E_{\text{mss}} ) vs. Ag/Ag(^+)</th>
<th>( E(S^+/S) ) (^a) vs. NHE</th>
<th>( E_{0-0} ) (^a)</th>
<th>LUMO vs. NHE</th>
<th>( F_{\text{red}} / \text{V} )</th>
<th>HOMO (IP) (^a)</th>
<th>LUMO (EA) (^a)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>S1</td>
<td>0.31</td>
<td>0.35</td>
<td>0.64</td>
<td>2.56</td>
<td>(-1.92)</td>
<td>1.42</td>
<td>(-5.15)</td>
<td>(-2.59)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S2</td>
<td>0.29</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td>0.62</td>
<td>2.47</td>
<td>(-1.85)</td>
<td>1.35</td>
<td>(-5.13)</td>
<td>(-2.66)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S3</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>0.26</td>
<td>0.55</td>
<td>2.18</td>
<td>(-1.63)</td>
<td>1.13</td>
<td>(-5.06)</td>
<td>(-2.88)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^a\)\( E_{\text{red}} = -0.04 \text{ V vs. Ag/Ag}^+\); \(^a\)the ground-state oxidation potentials \( E(S^+/S) \) were measured in DMF containing 0.1 mol L\(^{-1}\) tetrabutylammonium perchlorate as supporting electrolyte using a glassy carbon working electrode, a Pt counter electrode and a Ag/Ag\(^+\) reference electrode; \(^a\)the \( E_{\text{mss}} \) value was estimated from the cross-section of absorption and emission spectra; \(^a\)the excited-state oxidation potential \( E(S^+/S^*) \) was calculated from \( E(S^+/S^*) = E_{\text{ox}} - E_{0-0} \); \(^a\)the \( E_{0-0} \) is the energy gap between \( E(S^+/S^*) \) of the dye and the conduction band level of TiO\(_2\) (\(-0.5 \) V vs. NHE); \(^a\)ionization potential: IP = \(-4.8 - (E_{\text{mss}} - E_{\text{red}})\); \(^a\)electron affinity: EA \(- E_{\text{red}} \) = IP.
transitions are shown in Figure 5. The frontier molecular orbitals of S1-S3 reveal that the HOMO levels of the dye molecules are dominated by a $\pi$ orbital contribution from the carbazole, iminodibenzyl and phenothiazine units, with a small contribution from the rhodanine-3-acetic acid moiety and that the HOMO−1 levels are a $\pi$ orbital mainly over the dimethylene bridge and partial rhodanine ring, with a small contribution from the carbazole, iminodibenzyl and phenothiazine units. However, the LUMO levels are largely $\pi^*$, with major contributions from the phenyl groups of donors, the dimethylene bridge and the rhodanine ring. The LUMO+1 levels are also $\pi^*$ mainly across the dimethylene bridge and rhodanine ring. At the excited state (LUMO) with light illumination for S1-S3 dyes, intramolecular charge transfer occurs, resulting in electron density movement from the donor group to the acceptor groups (rhodanine-3-acetic acid group). This orientationally spatial separation of HOMO and LUMO is ideal for dye sensitized solar cells, as it facilitates rapid interfacial electron injection from the excited dyes to the TiO$_2$ conduction band and slows down the recombination of injected electrons in TiO$_2$ with oxidized sensitizers due to their remoteness.

Photovoltaic performance

The sensitizers were used for fabricating DSSCs to explore current–voltage characteristics. Figure 6 shows the spectra of incident photon-to-current efficiency (IPCE) for S1-S3-based solar cells. The IPCE values were measured according to the following equation:

$$\text{IPCE}(\lambda) = \frac{1240 (\text{eV nm})}{\lambda (\text{nm})} \frac{J_{SC} (\text{mA cm}^{-2})}{\phi (\text{mW cm}^{-2})}$$

where $\lambda$ is the wavelength, short-circuit current ($J_{SC}$) and $\phi$ is the power of the incident radiation per unit area. The IPCE values of dyes as a function of excitation wavelength plots show that the IPCEs of S1, S2 and S3 are 48-58% in the spectral range of 450 to 520 nm. The IPCE spectrum covers the whole visible region in the range of 400-750 nm, allowing the DSSC to efficiently convert solar light into electricity.

A typical photocurrent–photovoltage ($I-V$) curve for cells based on S1-S3 is shown in Figure 7. The detailed photovoltaic parameters are summarized in Table 4. The solar-energy-to-electricity conversion efficiency ($\eta$) of the DSSCs is calculated from short-circuit current ($J_{SC}$), the open-circuit photovoltage ($V_{OC}$), the fill factor (FF) and the intensity of the incident light ($P_{IN}$) according to the following equation:

$$\eta = \frac{J_{SC} (\text{mA cm}^{-2}) V_{OC} (\text{V}) \text{FF}}{P_{IN} (\text{mW cm}^{-2})}$$

Figure 4. Schematic energy level diagram for a DSSC based on dyes attached to a nanocrystalline TiO$_2$ film deposited on conducting FTO glass.

Figure 5. Computed isodensity surfaces of HOMO and LUMO orbitals of S1, S2 and S3.
As demonstrated in Table 4, S1 gives a light-to-electricity conversion efficiency of 2.81% with a short-circuit photocurrent density \( J_{sc} \) of 7.61 mA cm\(^{-2}\), a \( V_{oc} \) of 0.589 V and a FF of 0.63 under the standard global AM 1.5 solar condition. Under similar conditions, the photovoltaic parameters \( J_{sc}, V_{oc} \) and \( \eta \) of cells with the S2 sensitizer are 9.95 mA cm\(^{-2}\), 0.597 V and 3.59%, respectively, and those of the S3 sensitizer are 10.60 mA cm\(^{-2}\), 0.658 V and 4.91%, respectively. The efficiency improvement exhibited by the S3 sensitizer is probably due to the stronger electron-donating ability of the phenothiazine unit when electrons transfer from the phenothiazine unit to the rhodanine-3-acetic acid group, and a possible vectorized photon-induced charge transfer of the phenothiazine unit with respect to electrodes. This character could not only depress the interaction between molecules resulting in the energy quenching of the excited states, but also suppress the I\(^{-}\) ions in the electrolyte to the TiO\(_2\) surface that is in favor of higher \( V_{oc} \).

**Pathways of photon-to-current conversion in dye-sensitized solar cells**

Figure 8 shows a schematic representation of a DSSC based on the S2 photosensitizer. A thin film of electrolyte solution (I\(^{-}\)/I\(^{3-}\) dissolved in an organic solvent) is sandwiched between the TiO\(_2\) electrode and a transparent conducting electrode (counter electrode).

As demonstrated in Table 4, S1 gives a light-to-electricity conversion efficiency of 2.81% with a short-circuit photocurrent density \( J_{sc} \) of 7.61 mA cm\(^{-2}\), a \( V_{oc} \) of 0.589 V and a FF of 0.63 under the standard global AM 1.5 solar condition. Under similar conditions, the photovoltaic parameters \( J_{sc}, V_{oc} \) and \( \eta \) of cells with the S2 sensitizer are 9.95 mA cm\(^{-2}\), 0.597 V and 3.59%, respectively, and those of the S3 sensitizer are 10.60 mA cm\(^{-2}\), 0.658 V and 4.91%, respectively. The efficiency improvement exhibited by the S3 sensitizer is probably due to the stronger electron-donating ability of the phenothiazine unit when electrons transfer from the phenothiazine unit to the rhodanine-3-acetic acid group, and a possible vectorized photon-induced charge transfer of the phenothiazine unit with respect to electrodes. This character could not only depress the interaction between molecules resulting in the energy quenching of the excited states, but also suppress the I\(^{-}\) ions in the electrolyte to the TiO\(_2\) surface that is in favor of higher \( V_{oc} \).
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As demonstrated in Table 4, S1 gives a light-to-electricity conversion efficiency of 2.81% with a short-circuit photocurrent density \( J_{sc} \) of 7.61 mA cm\(^{-2}\), a \( V_{oc} \) of 0.589 V and a FF of 0.63 under the standard global AM 1.5 solar condition. Under similar conditions, the photovoltaic parameters \( J_{sc}, V_{oc} \) and \( \eta \) of cells with the S2 sensitizer are 9.95 mA cm\(^{-2}\), 0.597 V and 3.59%, respectively, and those of the S3 sensitizer are 10.60 mA cm\(^{-2}\), 0.658 V and 4.91%, respectively. The efficiency improvement exhibited by the S3 sensitizer is probably due to the stronger electron-donating ability of the phenothiazine unit when electrons transfer from the phenothiazine unit to the rhodanine-3-acetic acid group, and a possible vectorized photon-induced charge transfer of the phenothiazine unit with respect to electrodes. This character could not only depress the interaction between molecules resulting in the energy quenching of the excited states, but also suppress the I\(^{-}\) ions in the electrolyte to the TiO\(_2\) surface that is in favor of higher \( V_{oc} \).
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Figure 8 shows a schematic representation of a DSSC based on the S2 photosensitizer. A thin film of electrolyte solution (I\(^{-}\)/I\(^{3-}\) dissolved in an organic solvent) is sandwiched between the TiO\(_2\) electrode and a transparent conducting electrode (counter electrode).
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Figure 8 shows a schematic representation of a DSSC based on the S2 photosensitizer. A thin film of electrolyte solution (I\(^{-}\)/I\(^{3-}\) dissolved in an organic solvent) is sandwiched between the TiO\(_2\) electrode and a transparent conducting electrode (counter electrode).

As demonstrated in Table 4, S1 gives a light-to-electricity conversion efficiency of 2.81% with a short-circuit photocurrent density \( J_{sc} \) of 7.61 mA cm\(^{-2}\), a \( V_{oc} \) of 0.589 V and a FF of 0.63 under the standard global AM 1.5 solar condition. Under similar conditions, the photovoltaic parameters \( J_{sc}, V_{oc} \) and \( \eta \) of cells with the S2 sensitizer are 9.95 mA cm\(^{-2}\), 0.597 V and 3.59%, respectively, and those of the S3 sensitizer are 10.60 mA cm\(^{-2}\), 0.658 V and 4.91%, respectively. The efficiency improvement exhibited by the S3 sensitizer is probably due to the stronger electron-donating ability of the phenothiazine unit when electrons transfer from the phenothiazine unit to the rhodanine-3-acetic acid group, and a possible vectorized photon-induced charge transfer of the phenothiazine unit with respect to electrodes. This character could not only depress the interaction between molecules resulting in the energy quenching of the excited states, but also suppress the I\(^{-}\) ions in the electrolyte to the TiO\(_2\) surface that is in favor of higher \( V_{oc} \).
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Figure 8 shows a schematic representation of a DSSC based on the S2 photosensitizer. A thin film of electrolyte solution (I\(^{-}\)/I\(^{3-}\) dissolved in an organic solvent) is sandwiched between the TiO\(_2\) electrode and a transparent conducting electrode (counter electrode).

As demonstrated in Table 4, S1 gives a light-to-electricity conversion efficiency of 2.81% with a short-circuit photocurrent density \( J_{sc} \) of 7.61 mA cm\(^{-2}\), a \( V_{oc} \) of 0.589 V and a FF of 0.63 under the standard global AM 1.5 solar condition. Under similar conditions, the photovoltaic parameters \( J_{sc}, V_{oc} \) and \( \eta \) of cells with the S2 sensitizer are 9.95 mA cm\(^{-2}\), 0.597 V and 3.59%, respectively, and those of the S3 sensitizer are 10.60 mA cm\(^{-2}\), 0.658 V and 4.91%, respectively. The efficiency improvement exhibited by the S3 sensitizer is probably due to the stronger electron-donating ability of the phenothiazine unit when electrons transfer from the phenothiazine unit to the rhodanine-3-acetic acid group, and a possible vectorized photon-induced charge transfer of the phenothiazine unit with respect to electrodes. This character could not only depress the interaction between molecules resulting in the energy quenching of the excited states, but also suppress the I\(^{-}\) ions in the electrolyte to the TiO\(_2\) surface that is in favor of higher \( V_{oc} \).

**Pathways of photon-to-current conversion in dye-sensitized solar cells**

Figure 8 shows a schematic representation of a DSSC based on the S2 photosensitizer. A thin film of electrolyte solution (I\(^{-}\)/I\(^{3-}\) dissolved in an organic solvent) is sandwiched between the TiO\(_2\) electrode and a transparent conducting electrode (counter electrode).

As demonstrated in Table 4, S1 gives a light-to-electricity conversion efficiency of 2.81% with a short-circuit photocurrent density \( J_{sc} \) of 7.61 mA cm\(^{-2}\), a \( V_{oc} \) of 0.589 V and a FF of 0.63 under the standard global AM 1.5 solar condition. Under similar conditions, the photovoltaic parameters \( J_{sc}, V_{oc} \) and \( \eta \) of cells with the S2 sensitizer are 9.95 mA cm\(^{-2}\), 0.597 V and 3.59%, respectively, and those of the S3 sensitizer are 10.60 mA cm\(^{-2}\), 0.658 V and 4.91%, respectively. The efficiency improvement exhibited by the S3 sensitizer is probably due to the stronger electron-donating ability of the phenothiazine unit when electrons transfer from the phenothiazine unit to the rhodanine-3-acetic acid group, and a possible vectorized photon-induced charge transfer of the phenothiazine unit with respect to electrodes. This character could not only depress the interaction between molecules resulting in the energy quenching of the excited states, but also suppress the I\(^{-}\) ions in the electrolyte to the TiO\(_2\) surface that is in favor of higher \( V_{oc} \).
the conduction band of TiO$_2$ are transported toward the counter electrode through the external load. At the counter electrode, a redox couple is utilized (usually iodide-triiodide) to regenerate the dye so the process can be repeated.

**Conclusion**

We synthesized three di-anchoring organic dyes containing carbazole, iminodibenzy1 and phenothiazine units, respectively, as donors to compare and study their optical, electrochemical and photovoltaic properties. The electron-donating groups of organic sensitizers show different interactions on a TiO$_2$ surface. The LUMO values of S1 (−1.92 V), S2 (−1.85 V) and S3 (−1.63 V) are more than 0.2 eV negative than the conduction band edge of TiO$_2$ (−0.5 V vs. NHE), implying that the driving force is sufficient for efficient charge injection. The HOMO values of S1 (0.64 V), S2 (0.62 V) and S3 (0.55 V) are sufficiently more positive than the I$_1$/I$_{redox}$ potential (0.42 V vs. NHE). DSSCs based on the S3 dye showed the best photovoltaic performance: a maximum IPCE of 58%, a short-circuit photocurrent density ($J_{sc}$) of 10.60 mA cm$^{-2}$, a $V_{oc}$ of 0.658 V and a FF of 0.7, corresponding to an overall conversion efficiency of 4.91% under 100 mW cm$^{-2}$ irradiation. The proposed di-anchoring organic dyes are promising candidates for DSSCs.
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Synthetic procedure of carbazole, iminodibenzy and phenothiazine-containing dyes (S1-S3)

N-hexylcarbazole (2a)
To a 250 mL two necked flask was added with carbazole (16.72 g, 100 mmol), 100 mL DMF and potassium tert-butoxide (12.4 g, 110 mmol). After stirring for 30 min, the mixture was added with N-bromohexane (17.34 g, 105 mmol) and allowed to reflux overnight. Pouring it into a large amount of water precipitated 9-hexylcarbazole, which were collected by filtration and then recrystallized twice in methanol. The yield was 71% (mp 53 oC). 1H NMR (CDCl3, ppm): 8.13-8.10 (d, 2H), 7.50-7.40 (m, 4H), 7.26-7.21 (m, 2H), 4.31 (t, J 7.0 Hz, 2H), 1.88 (quintet, J 5.4 Hz, 2H), 1.39 (m, 2H), 1.31 (m, 4H), 0.88 (t, J 3.3 Hz, 3H). Elemental analysis calculated (%) for C18H21N: C, 86.01%; H, 8.42%; N, 5.57%. Found: C, 85.96%; H, 8.40%; N, 5.55%.

9-Hexylcarbazole-3,6-dicarbaldehyde (4a)
To a 50 mL glass reactor were added with imidazole (0.718 g, 10.5 mmol) and 10 mL acetonitrile. The mixture were added under stirring with trifluoroacetic anhydride (5.775 g, 27.5 mmol) within 5 min, treated dropwise with 9-hexylcarbazole (2a: 1.257 g, 5 mmol) and then refluxed for 4 h. After adjusting pH > 7 with saturated aqueous solution of Na2CO3, the appearing precipitates were isolated by filtration and washed with water to give a precipitate. The precipitate was dissolved in CH2Cl2, washed with saturated aqueous NaHCO3, dried over anhydrous MgSO4 and the solvent was removed under reduced pressure to afford 3,6-bis(1,3-bistrifluoracetetyl-4,5-dihydroimidazole-2-yl)-9-hexylcarbazole (3a: 3.55 g, 92%), which was used for the next step without further purification. To a 250 mL glass reactor were added with 3a (6.2 g, 8 mmol), 148 mL acetonitrile and 77 mL 1.9 mol L-1 HCl. The mixture was refluxed for 3 h and was extracted with ethyl ether after cooling and neutralization. The crude product obtained by removing ethyl ether were purified by column chromatography (silica gel, 60-200 mm, neutrality; n-hexane/ethyl acetate = 4/1) to give 4a (1.75 g, 71%, mp 143 oC). 1H NMR (CDCl3, ppm): δ 10.04 (s, 2H), 8.83 (s, 2H), 8.01 (d, 2H), 7.80 (d, 2H), 4.46 (t, 2H), 1.69 (m, 2H), 1.16 (m, 6H), 0.73 (t, 3H). Elemental analysis calculated (%) for C20H21NO2: C, 78.15; H, 6.89; N, 4.56. Found: C, 77.98; H, 6.81; N, 4.65.

5,5’-(9-Hexyl-carbazole-3,6-diyl)bis(methan-1-yl-1-ylidene)bis(4-oxo-2-thioxothiazolidin-3-yl-5-ylidene)diacetic acid (S1)
To a stirred 4a (0.615 g, 2 mmol) in CH3COOH (30 mL) were added rhodanine-3-acetic acid (0.794 g, 4.15 mmol) and ammonium acetate (0.125 g, 1.625 mmol). The mixture was heated to 120 oC and the reaction was continued for 2 h at the temperature. Then the reaction mixture was allowed to cool to room temperature. The solid was collected by filtration and washed with water thoroughly. After drying in air, the crude product was purified by column chromatography on silica gel with CH2Cl2/methanol (10:1, v/v) as eluant to give S1 in 93% yield, mp > 200 oC. 1H NMR (DMSO-δd6), ppm: 8.55 (s, 2H, -CH=), 8.03 (s, 2H, aromatic hydrogen), 7.84 (d, 2H, aromatic hydrogen), 7.76 (d, 2H, aromatic hydrogen), 4.76 (d, 2H, aromatic hydroxyl hydrogen), 4.47 (t, 2H, N-C=H2-), 1.79 (m, 2H, N-CH2-CH2-), 1.26 (m, 6H, N-CH2-CH2-CH2-CH2-CH2-CH2-CH3), 0.80 (t, 3H, N-CH2-CH2-CH2-CH2-CH2-CH2-CH3). Elemental analysis...
calculated (%) for C₁₈H₁₇NO₂: C, 77.40%; H, 6.13%; N, 6.13%. Found: C, 54.92%; H, 4.12%; N, 6.31%.

11-Ethyl-iminodibenzyl (2b)
Inimodibenzyl (1b: 6.834 g, 35 mmol), iodoethane (5.93 g, 38 mmol) and 80 mL of DMF were added to a 50 mL two-necked glass reactor. The solution was warmed to 75 °C, treated portionwise with potassium tert-butoxide (4.264 g, 38 mmol) and then refluxed for 24 h. After 150 mL of water was added, the mixture was extracted with chloroform (75 mL). Crude oils obtained by removing the solvent were purified by column chromatography (silica gels, n-hexane/ethyl acetate: 20/1 as eluent) to give 2b as a white solid (4.76 g, 61%, mp 54 °C). 1H NMR (CDCl₃, δ (ppm): 1.16 (t, 3H, CH₃), 3.17 (m, 4H, CH₂), 3.80 (m, 2H, CH₂), 6.92 (m, 2H, ar), 7.12 (m, 6H, ar). Elemental analysis calculated (%) for C₁₆H₁₇N: C, 86.05%; H, 7.67%; N, 6.27%. Found: C, 85.96%; H, 7.73%; N, 6.20%.

11-Ethyl-3,8-diformyliminodibenzyl (4b)
To a 50 mL glass reactor were added with imidazole (0.718 g, 10.5 mmol) and 10 mL acetonitrile. The mixture were added under stirring with trifluoroacetic anhydride (5.775 g, 27.5 mmol) within 5 min, treated dropwise with 11-ethyl-iminodibenzyl (2b: 1.117 g, 5 mmol) and then refluxed for 4 h. After adjusting pH > 7 with saturated aqueous solution of Na₂CO₃, the appearing precipitates were isolated by filtration and washed with water to give a precipitate. The precipitate was dissolved in CH₂Cl₂, washed with saturated aqueous NaHCO₃, dried over anhydrous MgSO₄ and the solvent was removed under reduced pressure to afford 3,7-bis(1,3-bistrifluoracetyl-4,5-dihydroimidazole-2-yl)-11-ethyliminodibenzyl (3b: 3.35 g, 90%), which was used for the next step without further purification. To a 250 mL glass reactor were added with 3b (5.95 g, 8 mmol), 148 mL acetonitrile and 77 mL 1.9 mol L⁻¹ HCl. The mixture was refluxed for 3 h and was extracted with ethyl ether after cooling and neutralization. The crude products obtained by removing ethyl ether were purified by column chromatography (silica gel; n-hexane/ethyl acetate = 4/1) to give 4b (1.77 g, 79%, mp 96 °C). 1H NMR (acetone-d₆, ppm): δ 9.72 (s, 2H, -CHO), 7.54 (d, J 7.4 Hz, 2H), 7.48 (s, 2H), 7.09 (d, J 7.3 Hz, 2H), 3.73 (t, 2H), 3.05 (s, 4H), 1.07 (t, J 3.3 Hz, 3H). Elemental analysis calculated (%) for C₃₀H₂₇N₃O₆S₄: C, 55.11%; H, 4.16%; N, 5.01. Found: C, 53.74%; H, 4.15%; N, 5.01.

5,5’-(11-Ethyl-iminodibenzyl-3,8-diyl)[bis(methan-1-yl-1-ylidene)]bis(4-oxo-2-thioxothiazolidin-3-yl-5-ylidene)diacetic acid (S2)
To a stirred 4b (0.558 g, 2 mmol) in CH₃COOH (30 mL) were added rhodanine-3-acetic acid (0.794 g, 4.15 mmol) and ammonium acetate (0.125 g, 1.625 mmol). The mixture was heated to 120 °C and the reaction was continued for 2 h at the temperature. Then the reaction mixture was allowed to cool to room temperature. The solid was collected by filtration and washed with water thoroughly. After drying in air, the crude product was purified by column chromatography on silica gel with CH₃Cl/methanol (10:1, v/v) as eluent to give S2 in 83% yield, mp > 200 °C. 1H NMR (DMSO-d₆, δ (ppm): 7.79 (s, 2H, -CH= and aromatic hydrogen), 7.50 (m, 4H, aromatic hydrogen), 7.36 (d, 2H, aromatic hydrogen), 4.73 (s, 4H, O=C-CH₂N), 3.94 (q, 2H, N-CH₂-), 3.18 (t, 4H, -CH₂-CH₂-), 1.19 (t, 3H, N-CH₂-CH₂). Elemental analysis calculated (%) for C₁₆H₁₈N₂O₄S₂: C, 73.74%; H, 3.70%; N, 6.72%. Found: C, 73.51%; H, 3.62%; N, 6.58%.

10-Ethyl-phenothiazine (2c)
Phenothiazine (1c: 11.94 g, 60 mmol), iodoethane (10.92 g, 70 mmol) and 80 mL of DMF were added to a 50 mL two-necked glass reactor. The solution was warmed to 75 °C, treated portionwise with potassium tert-butoxide (7.86 g, 70 mmol) and then refluxed for 24 h. After 150 mL of water was added, the mixture was extracted with chloroform (75 mL). Crude product obtained by removing the solvent was purified by column chromatography (silica gels, n-hexane/ethyl acetate: 20/1 as eluent) to give 2c as a white solid (11.32 g, yield: 83%, mp 103-104 °C). 1H NMR (CDCl₃, δ (ppm): 1.42 (t, 3H, CH₂), 3.92 (m, 2H, CH₂), 6.85-6.88 (m, 4H, ar), 7.11-7.16 (m, 4H, ar). Elemental analysis calculated (%) for C₁₅H₁₃NS: C, 73.97%; H, 5.76%; N, 6.16%; S, 14.11%. Found: C, 73.83%; H, 5.73%; N, 6.13%; S, 14.10%.

10-Ethyl-3,7-diformylphenothiazine (4c)
To a 50 mL glass reactor were added with imidazole (0.718 g, 10.5 mmol) and 10 mL acetonitrile. The mixture were added under stirring with trifluoroacetic anhydride (5.775 g, 27.5 mmol) within 5 min, treated dropwise with 10-ethyl-phenothiazine (2c: 1.137 g, 5 mmol) and then refluxed for 4 h. After adjusting pH > 7 with saturated aqueous solution of Na₂CO₃, the appearing precipitates were isolated by filtration and washed with water to give a precipitate. The precipitate was dissolved in CH₃Cl₂, washed with saturated aqueous NaHCO₃, dried over anhydrous MgSO₄ and the solvent was removed under reduced pressure to afford 3,7-bis(1,3-bistrifluoracetyl-4,5-dihydroimidazole-2-yl)-10-ethylphenothiazine (3c: 3.25 g, 87%), which was used for the next step without further purification. To a 250 mL glass reactor were added with 3c (5.98 g, 8 mmol), 148 mL acetonitrile and 77 mL 1.9 mol L⁻¹ HCl. The mixture was refluxed for 3 h and was...
extracted with ethyl ether after cooling and neutralization. The crude product obtained by removing ethyl ether were purified by column chromatography (silica gel; n-hexane/ethyl acetate = 4/1) to give 4c (1.74 g, 77%, mp 167 °C). $^1$H NMR (CDCl$_3$, ppm): δ 9.84 (s, 2H, -CHO), 7.68 (dd, 2H, aromatic hydrogen), 7.59 (s, 2H, aromatic hydrogen), 6.98 (d, 2H, aromatic hydrogen), 4.05 (q, 2H, N-CH$_2$-), 1.50 (t, 3H, N-CH$_2$-CH$_3$). Elemental analysis calculated (%) for C$_{16}$H$_{13}$NO$_2$S: C, 67.82; H, 4.62; N, 4.94. Found: C, 67.84; H, 4.60; N, 4.91.

5,5’-(10-Ethyl-phenothiazine-3,7-diyl)bis(methan-1-yl-1-ylidene)bis(4-oxo-2-thioxothiazolidin-3-yl-5-ylidene) diacetic acid (S3)

To a stirred 4c (0.34 g, 1.2 mmol) in CH$_3$COOH (30 mL) were added rhodanine-3-acetic acid (0.476 g, 2.49 mmol) and ammonium acetate (0.075 g, 0.975 mmol). The mixture was heated to 120 °C and the reaction was continued for 2 h at the temperature. Then the reaction mixture was allowed to cool to room temperature. The solid was collected by filtration and washed with water thoroughly. After drying in air, the crude product was purified by column chromatography on silica gel with CH$_2$Cl$_2$/methanol (10:1, v/v) as eluant to give S3 in 86% yield, mp > 200 °C. $^1$H NMR (DMSO-d$_6$), δ (ppm): 7.75 (s, 2H, -CH=), 7.47 (d, 2H, aromatic hydrogen), 7.40 (s, 2H, aromatic hydrogen), 7.19 (d, 2H, aromatic hydrogen), 4.71 (s, 4H, O=C-CH$_2$-N), 4.00 (q, 2H, N-CH$_2$-), 1.33 (t, 3H, N-CH$_2$-CH$_3$). Elemental analysis calculated (%) for C$_{26}$H$_{19}$N$_3$O$_6$S$_5$: C, 49.59%; H, 3.04%; N, 6.67%. Found: C, 49.43%; H, 3.01%; N, 6.53%.

Figure S1. $^1$H NMR (300 MHz) spectrum of N-hexylcarbazole (2a).
Figure S2. $^1$H NMR (300 MHz) spectrum of 11-ethyl-iminodibenzyl (2b).

Figure S3. $^1$H NMR (300 MHz) spectrum of 10-ethyl-phenothiazine (2c).
Figure S4. $^1$H NMR (300 MHz) spectrum of 9-hexylcarbazole-3,6-dicarbaldehyde (4a).

Figure S5. $^1$H NMR (300 MHz) spectrum of 11-ethyl-3,8-diformyliminodibenzyl (4b).
Figure S6. $^1$H NMR (300 MHz) spectrum of 10-ethyl-3,7-diformylphenothiazine (4c).

Figure S7. $^1$H NMR (300 MHz) spectrum of S1.
Figure S8. $^1$H NMR (300 MHz) spectrum of S2.

Figure S9. $^1$H NMR (300 MHz) spectrum of S3.
Figure S10. $^{13}$C NMR (400 MHz) spectrum of N-hexylcarbazole (2a).

Figure S11. $^{13}$C NMR (400 MHz) spectrum of 11-ethyl-iminodibenzyl (2b).
Figure S12. $^{13}$C NMR (400 MHz) spectrum of 10-ethyl-phenothiazine (2c).

Figure S13. $^{13}$C NMR (400 MHz) spectrum of 9-hexylcarbazole-3,6-dicarbaldehyde (4a).
Figure S14. $^{13}$C NMR (400 MHz) spectrum of 11-ethyl-3,8-diformyliminodibenzy1 (4b).

Figure S15. $^{13}$C NMR (400 MHz) spectrum of 10-ethyl-3,7-diformylphenothiazine (4c).