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Neste trabalho é proposto um método simples e verde para a determinação do índice de acidez do biodiesel. A amostra é dissolvida em solução 1:1 v/v etanol:água, evitando a mistura tolueno:isopropanol:água (1:0,95:0,5 v/v/v) usada nas normas atuais. A titulação é realizada com uma solução aquosa de NaOH 0,02 mol L\(^{-1}\). O método foi comparado com o da ABNT NBR 14448, que é similar ao do ASTM D 664 e do EN 14104. A comparação estatística utilizando o teste pareado \( t \) de student e o teste \( F \) de Snedecor mostrou que o método proposto oferece resultados equivalentes ao método da norma ABNT NBR 14448, apresentando maior precisão.

A simple green method for the determination of the acid number of biodiesel is described. The sample is dissolved in a 1:1 v/v ethanol:water mixture, avoiding the use of the usual toluene:isopropanol:water mixture (1:0.95:0.5 v/v/v). The titration is performed with a 0.02 mol L\(^{-1}\) NaOH solution in water. The method was compared with that of ABNT NBR 14448, which is similar to ASTM D 664 and to EN 14104. A statistical comparison using the student’s \( t \) test and the Snedecor \( F \) test showed that the proposed method offers equivalent results to ABNT NBR 14448, with better precision.
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Introduction

Petroleum is a non-renewable energy source whose price is increasing. Its fuel fractions, mainly gasoline and diesel, may cause severe environmental problems. Therefore several alternative sources of energy have been proposed to replace petroleum-based fuels. Among them, biodiesel appears a promising fuel as it is prepared from renewable sources, such as vegetable and animal fats.\(^1\)-\(^4\) The physical chemical characteristics of biodiesel are similar to those of common diesel,\(^5\)-\(^7\) so that it can be directly used in diesel engines, requiring no modifications of these devices.\(^8\) Biodiesel also presents some other advantages: (i) non-toxic and biodegradable, (ii) high flash point and (iii) less polluting gas emissions.\(^1\)-\(^3\),\(^9\)-\(^10\) Biodiesel is produced by a relatively simple reaction known as transesterification, where natural oils and fats made up mainly of triglycerides react with a simple alcohol, such as methanol or ethanol, in the presence of a catalyst, to produce mono alkyl esters of fatty acids.\(^1\)-\(^2\),\(^11\)

In order to guarantee the quality of the biodiesel several parameters must be determined, among them the acid number which is defined as the quantity, in milligrams of KOH, necessary to neutralize one gram of biodiesel. Since biodiesel presents a high acid number it can generate solid deposits in parts of the engine, mainly in the fuel injector, and it also contributes to corrosion in storage tanks.\(^4\) The acid number is a parameter that informs the quantity of free fatty acids and of acids originating from degradation reactions of biodiesel, for example, the hydrolysis and oxidation of the triglycerides and of the monoesters formed during the production process\(^12\) and its storage. Therefore, the acid number is an indication of the oxidation degree of the biodiesel and also of the extent to which it could be degraded.\(^4\) As demonstrated by Leung \textit{et al.} in a study on canola biodiesel stability under various storage conditions, such as different temperatures, exposure to the atmosphere and the presence of water, the acid number has a tendency to rise with an increase in the temperature.

The ASTM D 664,\(^13\) EN 14104\(^14\) and ABNT-NBR 14448\(^15\) norms were established for the determination of the biodiesel acid number. The ASTM D 664\(^13\) and ABNT-NBR 14448\(^15\) regulations are equivalent with respect to the solvents and reagents used. In both methods toluene and isopropyl alcohol
are used as solvents and potassium hydroxide is used as titrant. The EN 14104\textsuperscript{14} norm indicates the use of ethanol and diethyl ether as solvents and potassium hydroxide as titrant. According to these methods, an aliquot of biodiesel is dissolved in the mixture of the solvents and potentiometrically titrated using a glass pH electrode. The standardized solution of KOH is prepared in the respective alcohol. All these solvents present the ability to easily dry the glass membrane of the electrode, leading to slower and less accurate determinations.\textsuperscript{16} Also, the toxicity of toluene, diethyl ether and other hazards concerning their manipulation and disposal stimulates the search for an environmentally friendly solvent. In order to maintain the pH electrode in good working condition, it must be periodically allowed to stand in water.\textsuperscript{17}

In the present article, a new and simple method is described where the biodiesel is dissolved in 50\% v/v ethanol:water solvent and uses 0.02 mol L\textsuperscript{-1} NaOH (instead of 0.1 mol L\textsuperscript{-1} KOH in isopropyl alcohol or ethanol) as the titrating solution. When compared with the literature procedures, the proposed method is: (i) more environmental friendly due to the use of less costly and less hazardous solvents; (ii) simpler to be performed and (iii) more cost effective due to the decrease in consumption of expensive organic solvents and the greater durability of the glass electrode.

**Experimental**

**Instruments**

A Metrohm model 691 pH meter and a Metrohm Titrando 808 titrator with Solvotrode Metrohm (LiCl 3.0 mol L\textsuperscript{-1} in ethanol) and Ecotrode Plus Metrohm (aqueous KCl 3.0 mol L\textsuperscript{-1} solution) electrodes were used. The electrodes were calibrated with buffer solutions of pH 7.00 \(\pm\) 0.05 and pH 4.00 \(\pm\) 0.05 (Synth) at 25 °C before the analytical determinations.

An ultrasonic bath was used to degasify the solvents and the solutions. A Biohit 50 mL Digital Burette, or a Brand 50 mL Digital Burette III, was used for the point to point titrations.

**Reagents and solvents**

All the reagents and solvents were of analytical grade. Water was obtained from a continuous distiller.

**Biodiesel preparation**

The reaction was done using 600 g of the fat, 120 g of methanol (20\% m/m of the fat) and 3.36 g of sodium methylate solution (30\% m/m methanolic solution was used), with stirring and heating for 1 h at 60 °C. The solution obtained was decanted into a separatory funnel to remove the glycerin. The supernatant was transferred to the reaction flask and again reacted with methanol in presence of 0.84 g of the sodium methylate solution. Glycerin was again removed from the final product, as described above, and the ester obtained was washed with a series of five 100 mL portions of doubly distilled water to remove residual glycerin, excess of catalyst, methanol and other products, such as NaOH. The washed product was dried with anhydrous sodium sulfate (10\% m/m) and filtered.\textsuperscript{18}

The acid number is calculated using equation 1, where \(A\) is the titrant solution volume obtained in milliliters for the sample titration, \(B\) is the titrant solution volume in milliliters for the titration of the blank, \(C\) is the titrant solution concentration in mol L\textsuperscript{-1} and \(m\) is the mass of the sample in grams.

\[
\text{Acid number} = \frac{(A - B) \times C \times 56.1}{m} \text{mg}(\text{KOH}) \text{ g}^{-1}
\]  

(1)
Results and Discussion

In order to verify the stability of the basic titrant solutions, 0.1 mol L\(^{-1}\) KOH in isopropyl alcohol (ABNT NBR 14448\(^{15}\) and ASTM D-664\(^{13}\)) and 0.02 mol L\(^{-1}\) NaOH in water (present work), standardization was repeated periodically during 20 days. The solutions of the bases were stored in the closed amber glass bottles of the titrator. Both KOH (RSD = 2.2%) and NaOH (RSD = 1.5%) solutions were stable throughout this period within the precision of the standardization process. These experiments clearly showed that the KOH and NaOH solutions were stable along the time, as indicated by Metrohm, even in the glass bottles that are part of the Titrando 808 automatic titrator. For the manual point to point titrations, the solutions of the bases were stored in polyethylene flasks.

The acid number of the solvents was determined using the reference ABNT NBR 14448 method and by the procedure proposed herein. Figure 1 gives examples of potentiometric titration curves for both solvents. In the case of the ethanol:water solvent, the pH was used for practical purposes as this scale is easier to be visualized by the operator. In this solvent, the use of a pH scale makes sense as it has high water content, in contrast to the ABNT NBR 14448 method in which only organic solvents are used, invalidating direct use of pH.

Table 1 indicates that the values obtained are statistically coincident and that precision is quite better when the aqueous NaOH solution is used as titrant, with the ethanol:water solvent. Therefore, it can be concluded that the aqueous sodium hydroxide solution can replace the potassium hydroxide in isopropyl alcohol solution as titrant with obvious advantages.

Figure 2 represent examples of the potentiometric curves obtained for the titration of methyl biodiesel prepared from corn, castor, soy, canola and sunflower seed oils and from swine lard, using the ABNT NBR 14448 procedure. A Metrohm Titrand 808 titrator was used with a Solvotrode electrode filled with 3.0 mol L\(^{-1}\) LiCl solution in ethanol. Figure 3 represent potentiometric titrations of biodiesels derived from the same oils and fats, using the method proposed in this article and the same experimental set up.

The ABNT NBR 14448 procedure expresses the results in millivolts. In the proposed method the pH scale is used in place of millivolts in order to simplify the visualization of the process by the operator. As the proposed method uses a mixture of 50% of water:ethanol, pH makes some sense, in contrast to the ABNT NBR 14448 method that is performed in an organic solvent mixture. Of course, the pH measurements are not done
with perfect accuracy as the solvent contains 50% of ethanol. However, high accuracy is not necessary as the aim of the titration is to obtain the equivalence point through the variation of the pH.

Table 2 gives the acid number determined for various biodiesels, obtained with the ABNT NBR 14448 method and with the proposed method. Both use the Metrohm Solvotrode electrode filled with 3.0 mol L$^{-1}$ ethanolic LiCl solution.

Figure 2. Potentiometric titration of biodiesels derived from several oils and fats using the ABNT NBR 14448 method, through Metrohm Solvotrode electrode filled with 3.0 mol L$^{-1}$ LiCl solution in ethanol, with a Metrohm Titrando 808 automatic titrator.
solution. It can be observed that the proposed method offers better precision than the ABNT NBR 14448 procedure, i.e. 1.5% vs. 3.3%. The statistical paired student’s $t$ comparison shows agreement between the values obtained with the two procedures in all cases, i.e. using corn, castor, soy, canola and sunflower seed oil and swine lard biodiesels.

During the use of the ABNT NBR 14448 method a decrease in the lifetime of the electrode due to dehydration
of the glass membrane was observed, being necessary to immerse the electrode in 0.1 mol L\(^{-1}\) HCl for at least five days for its recuperation. In the proposed method, the electrode was washed after each titration, in sequence, with ethanol and distilled water. Then the electrode was immersed in distilled water for about 60 s. Every two weeks the electrode was kept for 24 h in a solution of 0.1 mol L\(^{-1}\) KCl for cleaning and hydration.

Table 3 gives the acid number determined for various biodiesels with the ABNT NBR 14448 method using a Solvotrode electrode filled with 3.0 mol L\(^{-1}\) ethanolic LiCl solution and using the proposed method with Metrohm Ecotrode Plus electrode filled with aqueous 3.0 mol L\(^{-1}\) KCl solution and automatic titrator Metrohm Titrando 808.

Table 5 shows the statistical comparison in terms of the mean relative standard deviation of the developed procedure (1.4%) is smaller than that of the reference method (2.9%).

A point to point titration was also performed for the proposed method using both the LiCl: ethanol- and KCl water-filled electrodes.

Table 5 shows the statistical comparison in terms of accuracy and precision of the results shown in Table 4. It can be noted that there is complete agreement in all cases at a 95% confidence level.

**Conclusions**

The method proposed in this work for the determination of the acid number of several different biodiesels presents similar performance to the method of regulation ABNT NBR 14448. The experimental comparison between the two methods clearly shows that both procedures provide statistically equivalent results. However, the proposed method presents a significant advantage over the ABNT NBR 14448 method as it uses only ethanol and water as solvents, while the other needs the organic solvent toluene. As a consequence of the solvent mixture used in the ABNT NBR 14448 method (toluene:isopropanol:water;
Figure 4. Point to point potentiometric titrations of biodiesels from several oils and fats using the ABNT NBR 14448 method, through Metrohm Solvotrode electrode with 3.0 mol L$^{-1}$ LiCl solution in ethanol.

Figure 5. Point to point potentiometric titrations of biodiesels from several oils and fats using the proposed method, through Metrohm Solvotrode electrode with 3.0 mol L$^{-1}$ LiCl solution in ethanol.

1.0:0.95:0.5 v/v/v, with KOH in isopropanol for titrating) the glass electrode membrane is rapidly dehydrated, interfering in its performance. The solvent used in the proposed method (ethanol:water; 50:50 v/v, with NaOH in water for titrating) does not have a significant effect on the membrane. Experimental procedure of the proposed method is simpler and greener than that described in the regulations. Considering the price of toluene and the lower volumes of the solvents used in the proposed method and also the less concentrated titrating base, it can be said that the method proposed herein is of lower cost and considerably greener.
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Table 4. Acid number of corn, soy and castor biodiesel from point to point titrations using the ABNT NBR 14448 method and the proposed method using the Solvotrode electrode filled with 3.0 mol L\(^{-1}\) ethanolic LiCl solution or the Metrohm Ecotrode Plus electrode filled with aqueous 3.0 mol L\(^{-1}\) KCl solution.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Biodiesel</th>
<th>ABNT NBR 14448</th>
<th>Proposed method</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Solvotrode electrode</td>
<td>RSD / %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Corn</td>
<td>0.170 ± 0.008</td>
<td>4.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Soy</td>
<td>0.230 ± 0.008</td>
<td>3.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Castor</td>
<td>0.446 ± 0.019</td>
<td>4.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean</td>
<td></td>
<td>4.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 5. Statistical tests of the acid value of biodiesels made with point to point titrations.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Biodiesel</th>
<th>(t) (_{calc}) (^{a})</th>
<th>(F) (_{calc}) (^{d})</th>
<th>(t) (_{calc}) (^{b})</th>
<th>(F) (_{calc}) (^{d})</th>
<th>(t) (_{calc}) (^{c})</th>
<th>(F) (_{calc}) (^{d})</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Corn</td>
<td>1.11</td>
<td>4.00</td>
<td>0.45</td>
<td>1.56</td>
<td>0.88</td>
<td>1.56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Soy</td>
<td>2.26</td>
<td>1.78</td>
<td>2.74</td>
<td>16.00</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>9.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Castor</td>
<td>0.97</td>
<td>2.98</td>
<td>1.66</td>
<td>14.4</td>
<td>0.94</td>
<td>4.84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>1.56</td>
<td>2.92</td>
<td>1.62</td>
<td>10.65</td>
<td>0.68</td>
<td>5.13</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^{a}\)Solvotrode electrode filled with 3.0 mol L\(^{-1}\) LiCl in ethanol; \(^{b}\)Ecotrode electrode filled with 3.0 mol L\(^{-1}\) KCl in water; \(^{c}\)tabled \(t\) = 2.78 \((n_1 = n_2 = n_3 = 3; \alpha = 0.05); \(t\) = 4.60 \((n_1 = n_2 = n_3 = 3; \alpha = 0.01);\)^\(^{d}\)tabled \(F\) = 19.0 \((n_1 = n_2 = n_3 = 3; \alpha = 0.05); \(F\) = 99.0 \((n_1 = n_2 = n_3 = 3; \alpha = 0.01).\)

Based on our results and these considerations, the proposed procedure can be recommended for the determination of the acid number in biodiesel as it gives reliable, accurate and precise results. The present method is very simple to perform, of low cost and also presents a low level of toxicity and of possible environmental contamination.
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