Ficusonic Acid: a New Cytotoxic Triterpene Isolated from Maytenus royleanus (Wall. ex M. A. Lawson) Cufodontis
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A investigação fitoquímica das raízes de Maytenus royleanus resultou no isolamento de um novo triterpeno citotóxico, denominado ácido ficusônico, ou ácido 3β,21β-dididroxiolean-12-en-29-oico, juntamente com três compostos conhecidos, ácido 3α,22β-dididroxiolean-12-en-29-oico, ácido salaspérmico e ácido ortosfênico, relatados pela primeira vez nesta espécie. Suas estruturas foram estabelecidas com base em técnicas espectroscópicas. A atividade citotóxica do composto ácido 3β,21β-dididroxiolean-12-en-29-oico foi avaliada contra duas linhagens de células de câncer: PC-3 (próstata) e HeLa (cervical). O ácido 3β,21β-dididroxiolean-12-en-29-oico apresentou fraca atividade contra PC-3 (IC50 = 35,42 μmol L−1), todavia contra HeLa (IC50 = 20,47 μmol L−1) sua atividade foi moderada.

Phytochemical investigation of the roots of Maytenus royleanus resulted into the isolation of a new cytotoxic triterpene ficusonic acid, 3β,21β-dihydroxyolean-12-en-29-oic acid, together with three known compounds, 3α,22β-dihydroxyolean-12-en-29-oic acid, salaspermic acid and orthosphenic acid, reported for the first time from this source. Their structures were established on the basis of extensive spectroscopic techniques. The cytotoxic activity of compound 3β,21β-dihydroxyolean-12-en-29-oic acid was evaluated against two cancer cell lines, PC-3 prostate and HeLa cervical cancer lines. 3β,21β-dihydroxyolean-12-en-29-oic acid showed weak activity against PC-3 (IC50 = 35,42 μmol L−1) however against HeLa (IC50 = 20,47 μmol L−1), its activity was moderate.

Key words: Celastraceae, Maytenus royleanus, roots, cytotoxicity, ficusonic acid

Introduction

The family Celastraceae (also called Chingithamnaceae, Canotinaceae, Goupiaeaceae and Siphonodentaceae) is a large family of 90-100 genera and about 1300 species which are widely distributed in the world having a wide range of uses in folk medicine.1 In China and South America, species of Celastraceae have been used for the treatment of stomach disorder, fever, cancer, arthritis and as insecticidal.2,3 Particularly, the genus Maytenus is used as insecticide,4 anticancer,5 for cure of skin problems and rheumatism,6,7 and in Canary Island, it has been applied by shepherds for extreme fatigue.8 The species of the genus Maytenus (Celastrales order, Celastraceae family) have proven to be a rich source of the structurally diverse cytotoxic compounds: maytensinoids,9 quinoid triterpenes,10-12 sesquiterpene polyesters13 and sesquiterpene pyridine alkaloids.14 Maytenus royleana is a widely distributed thorny shrub of Pakistan Northern region and commonly known as “sur azghee”. Literature survey reports no previous phytochemical study of this plant. In this work, it is reported the isolation of a new triterpene 3β,21β-dihydroxyolean-12-en-29-oic acid (1), which was named ficusonic acid, together with three known compounds, 3α,22β-dihydroxyolean-12-en-29-oic acid (2),15 salaspermic acid (3)16 and orthosphenic acid (4).17

Maytenus royleana was collected, ground and processed (vide experimental), however for the bioassay and dereplication purpose, small quantity of the plant (200 g) was extracted with methanol. The crude methanolic extract was partitioned into different fractions of hexane, dichloromethane and methanol. In the preliminary
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screening for antiproliferative activity, the dichloromethane fraction (FB) was found cytotoxic which become prelude for our future study. Large scale extraction of *Maytenus royleana* (13 kg) resulted into the isolation of one new (1, C₃₀H₄₈O₄, m/z 472) and three known compounds [(2, C₂₉H₄₈O₄, m/z 472), (3, C₃₀H₄₈O₄, m/z 472) and (4, C₃₀H₄₈O₄, m/z 488)] (Figure 1).

Results and Discussion

The known data was dereplicated by comparing the UV and MS (mass spectra) data with reported compounds in Dictionary of Natural Product (DNP) database. A number of hits was observed in DNP for four major peaks (m/z 472, 472, 472 and 488 having different UV values and retention time) in the LC-MS (liquid chromatography-mass spectrometry) profile of the FB fraction. However due to excellent activity of crude fractions, the peaks were selected for purification and characterization.

The structure of ficusonic acid (1) commenced by establishing of the molecular formula C₃₀H₄₈O₄ through HREIMS (high resolution electron impact mass spectroscopy) m/z 472.3602 (calcd. 472.3553 for C₃₀H₄₈O₄). The IR spectrum showed absorption bands at 3358 (hydroxyl), 3045 (C=O olefinic), 1760 (carboxylic carbonyl) and 1381 (gem-dimethyl group) cm⁻¹. ¹³C Nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) and distortionless enhancement by polarization transfer (DEPT) spectra indicated a total of 30 carbons including seven tertiary methyls, nine methylenes, six methines (three sp³ hybridized, two oxymethines at δ 76.0 and 79.7 and one olefinic carbon at δ 124.3) and eight quaternary carbons (six sp³ hybridized, one olefinic δ 144.7 and one carboxylic carbon at δ 182.3) (Table 1). In the ¹H NMR spectrum, one proton triplet at δ 5.25 (J 3.5 Hz, H-12) displayed the presence of a trisubstituted double bond and two oxygenated methines resonated at δ 3.14 (dd, J 11.5, 4.5 Hz, H-3) and 3.51 (dd, J 12.5, 4.5 Hz, H-21) (Table 1). The ¹H NMR spectrum showed seven tertiary methyl signals as expected for olean-12-en skeleton with a secondary hydroxyl substituent. The characteristic pentacyclic triterpene fragmentation pattern was observed in the EIMS spectrum of 1 (Figure 2a). These spectral data suggested that compound 1 was based on dihydroxyolean-12-enolic acid skeleton. The 7 degree of unsaturations evident in the molecular formula was satisfied by one carbonyl group, one olefinic bond and five rings.

The difficult task was to determine the positions of two hydroxyl groups. A proton resonated at δ 3.14 (dd, J 11.5, 4.5 Hz, H-3) connected with carbon at δ 79.7 (C-3) in the HMOC correlation spectrum which showed the attachment of one hydroxyl group at this carbon. This attachment was further confirmed by HMBC (heteronuclear multiple bond correlation) correlations of Me-23 (δ 0.97) and Me-24 (δ 0.96) to C-3 (δ 79.7), C-4 (δ 38.0) and C-5 (δ 48.0) and of CH₃-21 (H, m, 1.05) with H-3 (dd, J 11.5, 4.5 Hz) and H-1 (m, 1.62). Another supporting evidence for the hydroxyl group attachment at C-3 was provided by ¹H-¹H COSY correlations of H-2 (m, 1.05) with H-3 (dd, J 3.14, J 11.5, 4.5 Hz) and H-1 (m, 1.62). The second hydroxyl group of compound 1 was assigned to C-21 on the basis of HMBC and COSY correlation spectra instead to C-22 as in compound 2.

An oxymethine proton resonated at δ 3.51 (dd, J 12.5, 4.5 Hz, H-21) showed long range HMBC correlations with carbon atoms appeared at δ 182.3 (C-29), 25.2 (C-30), 40.0 (C-19) and 41.5 (C-22). The COSY correlation spectrum indicated correlations of proton at δ 3.51 (dd, J 12.5, 4.5 Hz, H-21) with δ 1.23 (J 1.23, s, H-30), 2.24 (J 14.0, 12.5 Hz, H-22) and 2.03 (J 14.0, 4.5 Hz, H-22). A detailed analysis of ¹H-¹H COSY and HMBC data (Figures 2a-2b), when coupled with information from the ¹H and ¹³C NMR and mass fragmentation pattern (Figure 2c), led to the conclusion that the structure of the compound 1 was 3,21-dihydroxyolean-12-en-29-oic acid.

The relative configuration of two hydroxyl groups at C-3 and C-21 were determined by splitting pattern,
The carbinol protons in compound 1 appearing as doublet of doublet (dd, 3.14, J 11.5, 4.5 Hz, H-3) and (dd, 3.51, J 12.5, 4.5 Hz, H-21) revealed the equatorial positions of two hydroxyl groups at C-3 and C-21 instead of triplet for axial position. The splitting pattern and large coupling constant of the signal at δ 3.14 (dd, J 11.5, 4.5 Hz, H-3) suggested a β-configuration for hydroxyl group at C-3, otherwise it would appeared as a broad singlet. The β-configuration of the two hydroxyl groups was deduced from NOESY correlation spectrum; the proton at δ 3.14 (H-3) correlated with protons at δ 0.96 (H-24) and δ 1.18 (H-27); the proton at δ 0.85 (H-28) with protons at δ 2.24 (H-22β) and δ 1.23 (H-30); the proton at δ 3.51 (H-21α) with protons at δ 0.85 (H-18) and δ 2.03 (H-22α).

A detailed analysis of the NMR spectral data and comparison with related compounds in the literature

Table 1. ¹H and ¹³C NMR data and HMBC correlations of compound 1 in CD₃OD

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Carbon No.</th>
<th>δc / ppm (multi, integral, J / Hz)</th>
<th>HMBC</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>38.2 t 1.90 (m, 1H) 1.62 (m, 1H)</td>
<td>3, 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>26.6 t 1.73 (d, 1H, 9.0) 1.05 (m, 1H)</td>
<td>4, 10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>79.7 d 3.14 (dd, 1H, 11.5 and 4.5)</td>
<td>1.62 (m, 1H)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>38.0 s 2.03 (dd, 1H, 13.5 and 4.0)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>48.0 d 2.03 (dd, 1H, 13.5 and 4.0)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>19.5 t 1.56 (m, 1H) 1.43 (m, 1H)</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>33.7 t 1.58 (m, 1H) 1.35 (m, 1H)</td>
<td>5, 14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>39.6 s</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>49.6 d 1.63 (m, 1H)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>39.9 s</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>20.2 t 1.24 (m, 1H) 1.20 (m, 1H)</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>124.3 d 5.25 (t, 1H, 3.5)</td>
<td>9, 14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>144.7 s</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>43.2 s</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>24.7 t 1.88 (m, 2H)</td>
<td>13, 17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>27.9 t 1.62 (m, 1H) 1.57 (m, 1H)</td>
<td>14, 18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>41.2 s</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>56.6 d 0.85 (s, 1H)</td>
<td>14, 16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>40.0 t 1.64 (m, 1H) 1.01 (m, 1H)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>43.9 s</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>76.0 d 3.51 (dd, 1H, 12.5 and 4.5) 17, 19, 29</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>41.5 t 2.24 (dd, 1H, 14.0 and 12.5) 18, 20</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>28.7 q 0.97 (s, 3H)</td>
<td>3, 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>17.4 q 0.96 (s, 3H)</td>
<td>3, 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>16.1 q 0.78 (s, 3H)</td>
<td>1, 9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>16.3 q 1.00 (s, 3H)</td>
<td>9, 14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27</td>
<td>26.7 q 1.18 (s, 3H)</td>
<td>7, 15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28</td>
<td>21.0 q 0.98 (s, 3H)</td>
<td>16, 22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29</td>
<td>182.3 s</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>25.2 q 1.23 (s, 3H)</td>
<td>29</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Spectra were recorded at 500 MHz for ¹H NMR and 125 MHz for ¹³C NMR.*
suggested that the structure of I as 3β,21β-dihydroxyolean-12-en-29-oic acid (ficusonic acid).

Ficusonic acid (I) was tested against two cancer cell lines, HeLa (cervical cancer cells) and PC-3 (prostate cancer cells) for its antiproliferative activity along with three known compounds 2-4. The activity of the four compounds 1-4 against PC-3 was weak with IC₅₀ values 35.42, 35.61, 34.46 and 40.42 μmol L⁻¹, respectively (Table 2); however in case of HeLa compounds 1 and 3 showed moderate or significant activity with IC₅₀ values 20.47 and 22.60 μmol L⁻¹, respectively.

Table 2. Antiproliferative activity (IC₅₀ in μmol L⁻¹) of four compounds against Hela and PC-3 cell lines

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Compound</th>
<th>HeLa, IC₅₀ ± SD (μmol L⁻¹)</th>
<th>Standard drug* (μmol L⁻¹)</th>
<th>PC-3, IC₅₀ ± SD (μmol L⁻¹)</th>
<th>Standard drug (μmol L⁻¹)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>20.47 ± 0.01</td>
<td>3.10 ± 0.20</td>
<td>35.42 ± 0.48</td>
<td>0.91 ± 0.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>32.64 ± 0.30</td>
<td>3.10 ± 0.20</td>
<td>35.61 ± 0.23</td>
<td>0.91 ± 0.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>22.60 ± 0.41</td>
<td>3.10 ± 0.20</td>
<td>34.46 ± 0.01</td>
<td>0.91 ± 0.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>34.29 ± 0.39</td>
<td>3.10 ± 0.20</td>
<td>40.42 ± 0.25</td>
<td>0.91 ± 0.12</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Doxorubicin used as standard drug; SD: standard deviation.

Conclusions

The chemical investigation of the roots of *Maytenus royleanus* resulted in the isolation of four compounds: 3β,21β-dihydroxyolean-12-en-29-oic acid (I), 3α,22β-dihydroxyolean-12-en-29-oic acid (2), salaspermic acid (3) and orthosphenic acid (4). These compounds were reported for the first time from this plant, including one new compound (1). All four compounds were evaluated for their cytotoxic activity against two cancer cell lines.

Experimental

General experimental procedures

Melting point was determined on Buchi 535 apparatus and the IR data (KBr) were taken on Bruker Vector 22 spectrophotometer, ν max in cm⁻¹. Optical rotation analysis was recorded on Jasco-P2000 digital polarimeter in MeOH at room temperature. UV data was taken from 996 photodiode array detector connected to LC-MS instrument. ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CD₃OD) and ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, CD₃OD) spectra were recorded on Bruker 500, while the chemical shift values were presented in ppm (δ) and coupling constant (J) in Hz. For dereplication analysis, the LC-MS profile of FB was developed by HPLC-ELSD-UV-MS system (column C₁₈, solvent system: acetonitrile and water (0.1% formic acid in both); gradient system: 10-100% for 30 min). The LC-MS data for confirming the purity of the compounds (MeOH/H₂O with 0.1% FA) was recorded by using a Phenomenex Luna column C₁₈ RP (5 μm, 150 × 4.6 mm) on Sedex 55 ELSD (evaporative light-scattering detector), 996 photodiode array detector in combination with ESI-TOF-MS (+) (time-of-flight electrospray ionization mass spectrometry). During purification on HPLC, the column used was Phenomenex 5µm Luna C₁₈ RP column (250 × 10 mm). ESI (+ve) MS and HRESIMS (high resolution electrospray ionization mass spectrometry) spectra were recorded on mariner ESI-TOF-MS (+). For open gravity column and thin layer chromatography, silica gel 60 column, mesh size 70-230 (E. Merck, 0.063-0.200 mm) and silica gel 60 PF254 (E. Merck) were used, respectively.

Plant material

The roots of *Maytenus royleanus* were collected from the Buner district, Khyber Pukhtoonkhwa, Pakistan during the month of June 2008. The plant was identified by taxonomist Mr. Ambara Khan (Degree College Daggar, Buner). The voucher specimen (Bot. 10068) was deposited in the Herbarium of Department of Botany, University of Peshawar, Khyber Pukhtoonkhwa (KPK), Pakistan.

Extraction and isolation

The air dried roots (13 kg) of *Maytenus royleanus* were repeatedly extracted (X3) with 80% MeOH/H₂O at room temperature after every 24 h. The combined extract was concentrated under vacuum at 40 °C, to obtain brownish thick syrup that constituted the crude aqueous methanolic extract (100 g). This was first partitioned according to our standard laboratory procedure into five fractions: FA, FB, FC, FD and FE. The crude extract suspended in purified dimethylformamide (DMF) was applied to HPLC-ELSD-UV-MS system (column C₁₈, solvent system: acetonitrile and water (0.1% formic acid in both); gradient system: 10-100% for 30 min). The
soluble fraction FB (11.5 g). The polarity of aqueous layer was changed to 50% MeOH/H$_2$O by addition of MeOH (1.8 L) and extracted with DCM to obtain fraction FC (7 g). By addition of MeOH in the aqueous layer, it was changed to approximately 70% MeOH/H$_2$O which was further extracted with DCM to get DCM soluble fraction FD (10 g), while the remaining DCM insoluble phase was named aqueous methanolic fraction (FE).

FB (11.5 g) was subjected to column chromatography (CC) on silica gel 60 (70-230 mesh, Merck) and eluted with solvents n-hexane and ethyl acetate increasing in order of polarity. As a result, seven sub-fractions (FD1F1 to FD1F7) were obtained after combination of the fractions on the basis of TLC profile. Sub-fraction FD1F6 (125 mg) was subjected to further CC on silica gel 60 (70-230 mesh, Merck), n-hexane, n-hexane-EtOAc, EtOAc solvent systems and elution with 23% n-hexane/EtOAc furnished 4 (22 mg). Fraction FD1F3 was a mixture of three compounds based on LC-MS profile which was subjected to HPLC (Phenomenex Luna column C$_{18}$ RP (5 μm, 150 × 4.6 mm; 0.1% acidic (formic acid) gradient solvent system (10-100 MeCN/H$_2$O in 30 min) furnished three compounds; 1 (8 mg), 2 (5 mg) and 3 (20 mg) (see Figure S1b in the Supplementary Information (SI) section).

Ficusonic acid (1)

White amorphous powder with molecular formula C$_{30}$H$_{48}$O$_4$ (8 mg); mp 185-189 °C; [α]$_D^{29}$$^\circ$ = -115 (c 0.1, MeOH); IR $\nu_{max}$ KBr cm$^{-1}$ 3358, 2945, 1760; EIMS $m/z$ (%) 55 (100), 95 (90), 120 (80), 246 (80), 264 (45), 217 (45), 185 (35), 454 (5); HR-ESIMS $m/z$ 472.3602 (calcd. 472.3553 for C$_{30}$H$_{48}$O$_4$); for $^1$H and $^{13}$C NMR see Table 1.

Antiproliferative assays

Antiproliferative results of the compounds 1-4, were determined by the MTT [3-(4,5-dimethyl-2-thiazolyl)-2,5-diphenyltetrazolium bromide] assay on two different cancer lines. HeLa (cervical cancer lines) and PC-3 (Prostate cancer lines) are shown in Table 1. Cells were grown in DMEM (Dulbecco’s modified eagle medium) for PC-3 and MEM (minimal essential medium) for HeLa, containing 10% FBS (Fetal Bovine Serum) and 2% antibiotic (penicillin and streptomycin) and maintained at 37 °C with 5% CO$_2$ level for 24 h in flask. Cells (1 × 10$^4$ cells mL$^{-1}$) were placed in a 96 well flat bottom plates for 24 h incubation to allow for cell attachment. Various concentrations of sample varying from 100-1 μmol L$^{-1}$ were added into the well and incubated for 48 h. The IC$_{50}$ values were calculated and at least three independent experiments were carried out for each sample.

Doxorubicin was used as positive control in this assay for both PC-3 and HeLa.\textsuperscript{21}
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All the NMR (H and 13C NMR, COSY, NOESY, HMBC and HMOC) and MS data for compound 1, bioactivity results and extraction schemes (Figures S1-S15) are available free of charge at http://jbcs.sbq.org.br as PDF file.
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