Development of a Molecularly Imprinted Modified Electrode to Evaluate Phenacetin Based on the Preconcentration of Acetaminophen
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A glassy carbon electrode modified with a molecularly imprinted polymer (MIP) containing phenacetin recognition sites is introduced. The phenacetin-selective MIP was synthesized based on the electropolymerisation of pyrrole in a 1:1 (v/v) water/ethanol with HClO₄ solution. The MIP-modified electrode showed higher recognition ability in comparison with a bare electrode for procaine and aminopyrine, reported to electrochemically interfere in the quantification of phenacetin in cocaine samples. In addition, the MIP was able to preconcentrate one of the intermediates of the phenacetin electrochemical oxidation, acetaminophen, indicating the possibility of monitoring phenacetin based on the acetaminophen oxidation. The acetaminophen oxidation peak is 15 times more detectable compared to the signal obtained by the non-molecularly imprinted polymer (NIP), and it occurs 450 mV below the phenacetin electrochemical oxidation signal. These achieved characteristics decrease the possibility of interference from other electrochemical reactions that may occur in the same potential range as phenacetin electrochemical process.
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Introduction

Phenacetin [(Phen), N-(4-ethoxyphenyl)ethanamide, or p-acetophenetidide, Scheme 1] is of considerable commercial interest to the pharmaceutical industry because of its broad activity as an antipyretic and analgesic agent.¹ Phenacetin was widely used in the form of an aspirin-phenacetin-caffeine (‘A.P.C.’) compound analgesic for the treatment of fever and pain. However, due to its carcinogenic and kidney-damaging properties,²⁻⁴ the U.S. Food and Drug Administration (USFDA) ordered the withdrawal of pharmaceutical formulations containing phenacetin in 1983. Despite this regulatory ban against the commercial use of phenacetin, it is a common adulterant found in seized samples of street cocaine⁵⁻⁸ due to its similar analgesic properties. Other pharmaceutical compounds are used as adulterants in illicit drugs such as cocaine, to potentiate their organoleptic effects or to mask the dilutions made by drug dealers in an effort to increase drug volumes and, accordingly, profits.

Since the compounds used to adulterate illicit drugs are individual to each drug dealer, like a fingerprint, the development of new analytical methods for the quantitation of cocaine adulterants is important not only from toxicological and clinical perspectives, but also forensically. Methods able to determine the geographical origins of seized samples may be useful for police intelligence purposes.⁹⁻¹¹ Hence, suitable on-site analysis by

Scheme 1. Chemical structures of phenacetin (a), procaine (b), and aminopyrine (c).
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a simple, rapid detection technique for phenacetin with high sensitivity and excellent selectivity is warranted. Based on these criteria, electrochemically modified sensors achieve all of these objectives.

Molecular imprinting is a technique for producing highly chemically selective binding sites in a polymer matrix for specific molecular recognition, and can be easily used to fabricate electrochemical sensors. Molecularly imprinted polymers (MIPs), with excellent selectivity, can be focused as antibody-like molecular recognition elements. The technique typically involves the following three steps: (i) assembling a functional monomer around a template molecule in a solution containing a high percentage of cross-linker; (ii) polymerising the mixture to fabricate a stable polymer; and (iii) removing the template to afford the imprinted polymer, the recognition sites, or the recognition cavity. The MIPs offer a three-dimensional structure for the recognition of template molecules due to shape complementarity and the interactions between the template cavity and the functional monomers. As antibody-like elements, MIPs combined with chemical sensors can be stored for long periods and reused many times without loss of activity, as compared to biosensors. This stability is due to their highly cross-linked polymeric structures, which impart physical robustness, high mechanical strength, durability toward heat and pressure, and tolerance of extreme chemical environments.

MIPs can be powerful tools in the development of selective sensors. The formation of the proposed MIP sensor is based on the polymerisation of monomers in the presence of a target (or template) molecule. The template is then removed from the polymer network, leaving cavities to which the target can specifically rebind. Different types of polymers can be applied to molecular imprinting; for instance, polypyrrole, a polymer easily obtained through electropolymerisation processes, since this method of synthesis can simply and rapidly afford control over the thickness of the conductive polymer film grown at the surface of the transducer. Other types of electrosynthesised polymers based on molecular imprinting have been reported in the literature. An important advantage of polypyrrole compared with the other polymeric layers is that they can be electropolymertilised under neutral conditions, which can be useful for the entrapment of biocatalysts and biomolecules.

This paper demonstrates a proof-of-concept for the use of a MIP to monitor phenacetin. The detection is based on the preconcentration of an intermediary species (acetaminophen) generated from phenacetin oxidation inside the specific cavities of the MIP. The proposed sensor is based on the polymerisation of monomers in the presence of a target (or template) molecule. The template is then removed from the polymer network, leaving cavities to which the target can specifically rebind. Different types of polymers can be applied to molecular imprinting; for instance, polypyrrole, a polymer easily obtained through electropolymerisation processes, since this method of synthesis can simply and rapidly afford control over the thickness of the conductive polymer film grown at the surface of the transducer. Other types of electrosynthesised polymers based on molecular imprinting have been reported in the literature. An important advantage of polypyrrole compared with the other polymeric layers is that they can be electropolymertilised under neutral conditions, which can be useful for the entrapment of biocatalysts and biomolecules.

This paper demonstrates a proof-of-concept for the use of a MIP to monitor phenacetin. The detection is based on the preconcentration of an intermediary species (acetaminophen) generated from phenacetin oxidation inside the specific cavities of the MIP. To the best of our knowledge, the application of MIPs with phenacetin recognition sites using the described preconcentration approach has not been reported. Nevertheless, there is a report that uses phenacetin as an imprinted molecule with methacrylic acid (via a chemical polymerisation) as the functional monomer and acoustic wave measurements, however, preconcentration feature it is not explored. We also demonstrate the electrochemical mechanism of the sensor response and the selectivity for phenacetin when compared to procaine and 4-dimethylaminotyptipyrine (aminopyrine, Scheme 1) that are commonly found as adulterants of street cocaine samples seized by the police.

**Experimental**

**Reagents**

All chemicals were of analytical grade and used without additional purification. Solutions were obtained by dissolving the reagents in 1:1 (v/v) water/ethanol with 0.1 mol L\(^{-1}\) HClO\(_4\) as the supporting electrolyte. HClO\(_4\), NaNO\(_3\), KCl, and ethanol were obtained from Merck (Darmstadt, Germany). Pyrrole, phenacetin, methyl viologen, acetaminophen (or paracetamol), and procaine were obtained from Sigma-Aldrich (Steinheim, Germany). 4-(Dimethylamino)antypyrine was obtained from Alfa Aesar, a Johnson Matthey Company (Ward Hill, USA).

**Electrodes and instrumentation**

A µAutolabIII potentiostat (Eco Chemie, Utrecht, Netherlands) with built-in data acquisition software (GPES v. 4.9.007) was used for the electrochemical measurements. Homemade Ag/AgCl (saturated KCl) and platinum wires were used as reference and counter electrodes, respectively. Glassy carbon (GC) working electrodes were modified with a pyrrole-based MIP and a non-molecularly imprinted polymer (NIP).

Scanning electrochemical microscopy (SECM) measurements were carried out using an Autolab PGSTAT 128N bipotentioestat (Eco Chemie) and a three-axis positioning system consisting of three joined stepper motors (SPI Robot Systems, Oppenheim, Germany). A platinum microelectrode with a radius of 12.5 µm was used as the tip, which was fabricated using a P-97 Flaming/Brown micropipette puller (Sutter Instrument Company, Novato,
USA). The tip was positioned at 50 μm from the substrate using a 20 mmol L\(^{-1}\) methyl viologen solution as redox mediator. The deposition of the MIP and the NIP and the removal of phenacetin from the interior of the polymer were performed exactly as described below. For the analysis of phenacetin, the microelectrode was polarised at 1.2 V.

**Preparation of MIP and NIP electrodes**

The MIP and NIP were synthesised via the electropolymerisation of the pyrrole monomers. Prior to the electropolymerisation, the surface of the GC electrode was polished with an alumina suspension (1 μm, Alfa Aesar) on a microcloth polishing pad and was thoroughly washed with deionised water. The molecularly imprinted polypyrrole-modified electrode was fabricated by the electropolymerisation of pyrrole on the surface of the GC electrode in the presence of the template molecule (phenacetin) using cyclic voltammetry (CV) in the potential range between −0.60 and 1.80 V over five cycles (scan rate: 50 mV s\(^{-1}\)). A 1:1 (v/v) water/ethanol solution containing 0.1 mol L\(^{-1}\) HClO\(_4\), 12.5 mmol L\(^{-1}\) pyrrole, and 25 mmol L\(^{-1}\) phenacetin was the solution used to polymerise the MIP with template molecules bonded to the recognition sites.

After the electropolymerisation process, the bonded phenacetin was removed by immersing the modified electrode into 1 mol L\(^{-1}\) NaNO\(_4\) solution and using a potential cleaning program (five CVs between −0.6 and 1.8 V at a scan rate of 50 mV s\(^{-1}\)). After this procedure, we obtained the MIP electrode containing phenacetin recognition sites. The NIP was prepared similarly to the MIP, except that the template molecule was not present during the electropolymerisation process.

**Electrochemical measurements**

Cyclic voltammetric measurements were carried out in 25 mmol L\(^{-1}\) phenacetin solution in 1:1 (v/v) water/ethanol medium containing 0.1 mol L\(^{-1}\) HClO\(_4\). For each analysis, 15 successive CVs were recorded in a potential range from −1.0 V to 1.8 V at a scan rate of 50 mV s\(^{-1}\). The evaluation of interference in the phenacetin electrochemical signal was performed using the same experimental conditions reported for phenacetin measurements, with each isolated interferent (procaine and aminopyrine) at a concentration of 25 mmol L\(^{-1}\).

**Results and Discussion**

The polymerisation of pyrrole, as described in Experimental section, can be performed by scanning the potential by CV using a GC electrode as a substrate in 0.1 mol L\(^{-1}\) HClO\(_4\) electrolyte in 1:1 (v/v) water/ethanol solution containing 12.5 mmol L\(^{-1}\) pyrrole and 25 mmol L\(^{-1}\) phenacetin (Supplementary Information, Figure S1). Two electrochemical processes are exhibited in both presence (Figure S1) and absence (data not shown) of a template molecule at 0.95 and 1.65 V vs. Ag/AgCl\(_{sat. KCl}\) corresponding to the oxidations of pyrrole. After the first cycle, the oxidation currents decreased due to the coverage of the electrode surface by the polymer.

To evaluate the recognition ability of the pyrrole/phenacetin MIP, the modified GC electrode containing the phenacetin incorporated in the polymer matrix during the electropolymerisation process was immersed in 1 mol L\(^{-1}\) NaNO\(_4\) solution and the potential was swept in the same potential window as used in the modification step for five consecutive cycles. This process removed the phenacetin from the polymeric matrix and created phenacetin recognition sites. The CV cleaning program was evaluated with the following results. Subsequent to the removal process, the modified electrode was placed in a solution of 25 mmol L\(^{-1}\) phenacetin in an appropriate supporting electrolyte. Figure 1 shows 15 CVs recorded under these conditions. Six peaks can be observed in the traces, four related to the oxidation process and the other two in the reduction scan. As shown by the arrows, the currents of all six peaks increase with the number of consecutive cycles recorded, indicating that some of the molecules present in solution or produced through chemical and electrochemical reactions can be accumulated inside the polymer.

The electrochemical behavior of phenacetin has been previously reported.\(^{24-26}\) It undergoes a series of reactions that can result in different products, including acetaminophen and \(p\)-benzoquinone. Scheme 2 shows the electrochemical and chemical reactions that occur during phenacetin oxidation.

Initially (step 1, Scheme 2), an intermediate species (b) is formed after phenacetin (a) undergoes a sequence of reactions involving loss of an electron, a proton, and a second electron. The intermediate species (b) suffers nucleophilic attack by water, forming ethanol (c) and \(N\)-acetyl-\(p\)-benzoquinone imine, also known as NAPQI (d). The oxidation of phenacetin to NAPQI is observed at 1.1 V (Figure 1A, peak A2). NAPQI can be reduced to acetaminophen (e), as shown in step 3, or through a series of chemical reactions, \(p\)-benzoquinone (h) can be formed. The peak at −0.64 V (Figure 1A, peak C2) corresponds to the reduction of NAPQI (d) to acetaminophen (e), whereas the other reduction peak at 0.22 V (Figure 1A, peak C1) can be attributed to the reduction of \(p\)-benzoquinone (h) to hydroquinone (i). This latter reaction, as shown in Scheme
2, is reversible, and the oxidation of hydroquinone to p-benzoquinone is observed in two steps at 0.38 and 0.58 V (Figure 1A, peaks A3’ and A3, respectively). To complete the process, the peak at 0.8 V (Figure 1A, peak A1) corresponds to the oxidation of acetaminophen to NAPQI, which is also reversible. It is important to highlight that peak A1 only appears from the second cycle (Figure 1B), since acetaminophen is only formed after the first cycle, when NAPQI is electrochemically reduced.

The same electrochemical behavior of phenacetin is observed when, instead of modifying the GC surface with the MIP, the electrode is coated with a NIP, which has no specific cavities and can be considered as the blank of the MIP. Figure 2 shows the CVs obtained with the NIP in the presence of phenacetin. It is important to state that to properly compare the NIP and MIP results, the NIP-coated electrode was also subjected to the process for the removal of the target molecule, even though phenacetin was not present in the polymer network.

The same six peaks observed with the MIP are observed with the NIP, indicating that the chemical and electrochemical processes described previously also

Figure 1. (A) CVs obtained with a GC electrode modified with the pyrrole/phenacetin MIP in the absence (dashed lines, five cycles) and presence (solid lines, fifteen cycles) of 25 mmol L⁻¹ phenacetin. The solution used for electrode modification was 0.1 mol L⁻¹ HClO₄ 1:1 (v/v) water/ethanol, 12.5 mmol L⁻¹ pyrrole, and 25 mmol L⁻¹ phenacetin. The modification potential window ranged from −0.6 to 1.8 V at 50 mV s⁻¹, and the analysis potential window was from −1.0 to 1.8 V at 50 mV s⁻¹. (B) Emphasis on the voltammograms obtained in the absence of 25 mmol L⁻¹ phenacetin (dashed line), and the (a) first and (b) second voltammograms obtained in the presence of 25 mmol L⁻¹ phenacetin.

Scheme 2. Scheme of the reactions involved in the oxidation of phenacetin (a) to ethanol (c) and N-acetyl-p-benzoquinone imine (NAPQI, d) through an intermediate species (b). The reduction of NAPQI (d) to acetaminophen (e) and chemical transformation to p-benzoquinone (h) through two intermediate species (f and g). Reduction of p-benzoquinone (h) to hydroquinone (i).
The electrode was modified in 0.1 mol L\(^{-1}\) \(\text{HClO}_4\) (sat. KCl) to make it suitable for the accumulation of the target molecules. Fifteen CVs obtained with a GC electrode modified with the pyrrole NIP in the absence (dashed lines) and presence (solid lines) of 25 mmol L\(^{-1}\) phenacetin. The modification potential window ranged from -0.6 to 1.8 V at 50 mV s\(^{-1}\), and the analysis potential window was from -1.0 to 1.8 V at 50 mV s\(^{-1}\). The microelectrode potential was polarised at the substrate (in this case, the GC electrode) could be detected with the microelectrode.

Initially, the microelectrode has to be proximal to the electrode surface, which is placed into the reaction cell with its electroactive surface upwards. The microelectrode approximation is performed using a solution containing a redox mediator. In this experiment, a platinum microelectrode with a radius of 12.5 µm and methyl viologen as the redox mediator (MV\(^{2+}\)) were used. At the beginning of the experiment, a bulk current was observed, which increased as the methyl viologen concentration resulting from the reverse reaction (oxidation of MV\(^{2+}\) to MV\(^{3+}\)) taking place at the substrate. Since there is a correlation between the current measured by the microelectrode and its distance from the substrate, the microelectrode was positioned 50 µm from the substrate.

Thereafter, with the MIP- or NIP-modified GC electrode, a 1 mol L\(^{-1}\) NaNO\(_3\) solution was added into the reaction cell and the CV cleaning program was applied to the substrate. The microelectrode potential was polarised at the potential of phenacetin oxidation (1.2 V).

Figure 3 shows the microelectrode experimental results, and the inset shows the CVs obtained with the MIP-modified GC electrode. When the substrate was modified with MIP, the current recorded by the microelectrode was larger (in magnitude), indicating that more species from the polymeric layer modifying the substrate were being collected at the microelectrode. This current, in both results, becomes constant after 100 s (the duration of the first CV), thus indicating that most of the phenacetin present in the polymers is removed in the first cycle.

The stability of the film toward repeated sensing cycles of the film was evaluated. To accomplish this, the process of MIP electrodeposition, phenacetin removal from the
polymer film, insertion of the sensor into the phenacetin-containing solution, and recording of 15 voltammetric cycles, as described in Experimental, was repeated four times. A standard deviation of 4% was observed.

Finally, a study with three potential interferents was conducted: procaine, aminopyrine, and acetaminophen. These three species are commonly present as adulterants in cocaine and can be oxidised at potentials close to the phenacetin oxidation potential (see Figures 4, S2, and 5). An erroneous result if one or more of these compounds were present in the reaction medium could happen. The interference study was conducted as follows: an MIP was electrodeposited on a clean GC electrode and phenacetin was removed from the polymer cavities. Then, the potential interferent was added to the electrolyte at a concentration of 25 mmol L\(^{-1}\), along with phenacetin, and fifteen cycles were swept at 50 mV s\(^{-1}\) over the potential range \(-1.0\) to \(1.8\) V. The results obtained were compared with three CVs obtained with each interferent on a clean GC electrode. Figure 4 shows the results for aminopyrine; the results for procaine and acetaminophen are provided in Figures S2 and 5, respectively.

For both aminopyrine (Figure 4) and procaine (Figure S2), no oxidation current can be observed when the GC electrode is coated with the pyrrole/phenacetin MIP (voltammograms B in both Figures), showing that the MIP successfully blocked the approach of these species to the electrode surface, thus eliminating their potential interference.

As expected, acetaminophen could be oxidised on the pyrrole/phenacetin MIP-modified electrode, as shown in Figure 5. Initially, we concluded that it is not possible to analyse phenacetin in the presence of acetaminophen. However, in the presence of this interferent, the first recorded cycle would display the individual currents for the oxidation of both phenacetin and acetaminophen to NAPQI. This would indicate an initial acetaminophen contamination because it would not normally be present until after the first voltammetric cycle. Although we cannot indirectly monitor the presence of phenacetin by the measurement of
acetaminophen preconcentrated. Hence, if both compounds are present in the sample we will need to directly monitor phenacetin using its oxidation current signal at 1.2 V instead of the preconcentrated acetaminophen oxidation process.

Conclusions

We demonstrated the applicability of a polypyrrole/phenacetin MIP-modified GC electrode for monitoring phenacetin. A three-step procedure that included electropolymerisation in the presence of the template molecule, template removal, and electrochemical measurement was demonstrated, based on an intermediate species trapped in the polymeric matrix, acetaminophen, formed during the electrochemical oxidation of phenacetin. Based on this preconcentration measurement, we achieved increased detectability by a factor of 15 compared to the signal obtained with the NIP, and the signal was detected 450 mV below that of the phenacetin electrochemical oxidation, decreasing the possibility of interference due to other electrochemical reactions that occur in the same potential range of the phenacetin electrochemical process. Hence, this study shows the possibility of using this polypyrrole/phenacetin MIP-modified GC electrode for phenacetin detection/quantification, however, further studies are needed to evaluate the analytical features (limit of detection, limit of quantification, linear range, sensitivity) and the behavior of this sensor in real samples.

Supplementary Information

Supplementary information (cyclic voltammograms obtained during the modification process of a glassy carbon electrode surface with the pyrrole/phenacetin MIP; cyclic voltammograms obtained with a GC electrode uncoated and coated with pyrrole/phenacetin MIP in the absence and presence of procaine) is available free of charge at http://jbcs.sbq.org.br as PDF file.
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