Surface Imprinting of Silica Gel by Methyldopa and Its Application in the Solid Phase Extraction Procedure

Mohammad Taghi Vardini* and Leila Mardani

Department of Chemistry, Tabriz Branch, Islamic Azad University, Tabriz, Iran

An imprinted amino-functionalized silica gel material was prepared by surface molecular imprinting technique combined with a sol-gel process on the supporter of activated silica gel and used as a solid-phase extraction for the extraction and determination of methyldopa (MTD). Non-imprinted silica adsorbent was synthesized without the addition of MTD using the same process. MTD-imprinted and non-imprinted silica adsorbents were characterized by scanning electron microscopy (SEM), Fourier transform infrared (FTIR) spectroscopy and the static adsorption experiments. The prepared MTD-imprinted silica sorbent demonstrated high adsorption capacity and significant selectivity for MTD in the presence of competitive substances. The maximum adsorption capacity of surface imprinted and non-imprinted silica adsorbent for MTD were 14565.81 and 2990.56 \( \mu \text{g g}^{-1} \), respectively. The imprinted 3-aminopropyl triethoxysilane (APS) modified silica gel adsorbent provided fast kinetics for the adsorption of MTD. In the operational mode of solid phase extraction (SPE), calibration curve of MTD solution was linear in the range of about 15 to 100 \( \mu \text{g mL}^{-1} \). The limit of detection (LOD) and precision (RSD) during five replicates were 2.31 \( \mu \text{g mL}^{-1} \) and 5.69%, respectively. At last, the MTD-imprinted material was used as SPE adsorbent to determine the MTD in non-spiked and spiked pharmaceutical samples with satisfactory recovery higher than 94%.
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Introduction

Sample preparation techniques prior to measure organic chemicals such as drugs in biological and environmental matrices have been as challenging and exciting tasks in recent years. Hence, it is generally accepted that the most important step in analytical methods is sample preparation. On the other, in recent years more and more stringent regulations related to quality control of drugs conducted to demands on the ease and speed analysis.1

Molecularly imprinted polymers (MIPs) are synthetic materials, in which a lot of cavities specifically designed to target molecule (i.e., template molecule) are distributed and complementary in shape, size and functional groups to the target molecule. Therefore, MIPs have specific molecular recognition and high binding affinity for the target molecule, and are described as synthetic antibodies or receptors.2,3 Recently, MIPs, as highly selective solid adsorbents, have been widely used in various fields, such as separation and preconcentration, manufacturing of sensors, the stationary phases for chromatography, pseudo immunoassay, catalysis and others.2,9 In particular, new method of molecularly imprinted solid phase extraction (SPE), in which the MIP-solid adsorbent can selectively extract, has attracted much attention in the separation and enrichment area.6,10-12

The usual method for preparing MIPs, the entrapping way, has some disadvantages, such as time-consuming and complicated preparation method, less recognition sites within the matrix polymer particles obtained by crushing and grinding imprinted polymeric monolith, and greater diffuse barrier for the template molecules coming from matrix thickness, resulting in poor binding capacity and lower binding kinetics of MIPs to the template molecule.13,14 In order to overcome these disadvantages, the method of surface-imprinting was developed and put toward as a new molecular imprinting technique:2,3,6,15

(i) pre-grafting of functional polymers in the form of a thin layer (in the manner of “grafting from” or “grafting to”) on the surface of silica gel particles; (ii) adsorption of the template molecule towards grafted particle is saturated
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by the intermolecular interactions; (iii) post-imprinting of template molecule is associated with the use of specific cross-linking agent that has two reactive end groups. After removal of the template molecule, a mass of the imprinted caves able to recognize and re-bind the template molecules is left and distributed in the thin polymer layer, resulting in imprinted material with high performance such as more accessible sites, fast mass transfer and high recognition and binding ability to template molecule. This new method of surface imprinting is more based on the interactions between the grafted functional polymer and template molecule, than based on the interactions between functional monomers and template molecules, such as the conventional imprinting method, and this is a clear difference between the two methods. In this study, silica gel was used as support for organic group because it is a non-swelling inorganic material and stable under acidic conditions, it has high mass transfer features and very high thermal resistance.

MTD (α-methyl-3,4-dihydroxyphenylalanine) is a catechol derivative (catecholamine) widely used as an antihypertensive agent (Figure 1). MTD is a centrally alpha-2-adrenoreceptor agonist acting, which decreases the sympathetic one and blood pressure.16,17 Several analytical methods for quantitative determination of MTD in pharmaceutical formulations has been reported. They involve titrimetry,18 fluorimetry,19 amperometry,20 gas chromatography,21 high-performance liquid chromatography (HPLC),22 chemiluminescence,23 voltammetry24 and spectrophotometry.25,26 Some of these methods are not simple, some are time-consuming or involve processes with precise control of experimental conditions or suffer interference from tablet matrix and, therefore, not suitable for routine analysis. Often reported titrimetric methods were indirect titrations and based on the reduction reactions, which is already involved in unsaturated organic compounds. Official non-aqueous titration method reported in USP2 described how to measure the MTD.18,27

---

### Experimental

#### Materials and chemicals

Silica gel as the supporter (with particle size of 2-25 µm) to provide functionalized imprinted adsorbent, methyldopa, 3-aminopropyl triethoxysilane (APS), tetraethoxy silicane (TEOS), acetic acid (HAc), methanol, hydrochloric acid, phosphoric acid and sodium dihydrogen phosphate were purchased from Merck (Darmstadt, Germany). All reagents were of analytical grade or their equivalent. Commercial pharmaceutical samples containing 250 mg MTD per unit were purchased from local pharmacies in Tabriz, Iran. MTD structure was shown in Figure 1. HPLC mobile phase was a mixture of methanol and pH 2.88 phosphate buffer (92:8, v/v).

#### instrumentation

Fourier transform infrared (FTIR) spectra were recorded with Shimadzu FTIR spectrometer (F8400, Japan). Surface morphology of the synthesized particles was observed using scanning electron microscopy (SEM, Vega-Tescan). Determination of MTD was made by double beam spectrophotometer-UV-Vis (UV-1800, Shimadzu, Japan) at a wavelength of 280 nm. UV-Vis absorption spectra of MTD were recorded by a UV-1800 spectrophotometer (Shimadzu, Japan). HPLC chromatography system includes a PerkinElmer 200 Series workstation and UV-Vis detector. All HPLC separations were run on an analytical reverse phase ODS column (length 300 mm, internal diameter of 4.6 mm, particle size 5 µm) with a flow rate of 1.5 mL min⁻¹ at room temperature.

#### Preparation of the MTD-imprinted amino-functionalized silica gel

For obtaining the activated silica gel surfaces, a mixture of 100 mL hydrochloric acid (6 mol L⁻¹) and 10.0 g of silica gel was refluxed under stirring for 8 h, then the blend was filtered and washed with pure distilled water to neutralize and dried under vacuum condition at 68 °C for 8 h.

To provide the MTD-imprinted functionalized sorbent, 0.5 g of MTD was dissolved in 5 mL of acidified methanol (0.01 mol L⁻¹ HCl) under stirring condition then neutralized with NaOH (0.01 mol L⁻¹). After addition of 5 mL of APS, the solution was refluxed for 30 min. Then the mixture of 10 mL of TEOS and 4.0 g of activated silica gel was added into this solution and stirred for 5 min. After addition of 1 mL HAc (2.0 mol L⁻¹), the mixture was stirred for 15 h at room temperature. The product was dried at 100 °C for 12 h and

---

Figure 1. Structure of methyldopa.

The aim of this study was to prepare a new and simple molecularly imprinted amino-functionalized silica gel adsorbent by a surface imprinting technique for use in SPE procedure to determine the MTD in pharmaceutical samples. The proposed method provided high selectivity and adsorption capacity for MTD.
mixed with 25 mL methanol and 25 mL of 1.0 mol L⁻¹ HCl under stirring for 3 h to omit MTD. The resulting product was washed with 50 mL of the mixture of methanol and 6 mol L⁻¹ HCl (1:1, v/v) and pure distilled water, then neutralized with 0.05 mol L⁻¹ KOH and washed by pure distilled water again. Finally, the sorbent was washed with pure methanol and dried at 85 °C for 12 h. For comparison, a blank silica adsorbent was prepared by the same procedure, only without using the template molecule in the preparation process.  

Binding experiments

FTIR spectroscopy and static adsorption method were used for the characterization of proposed surface imprinted silica sorbent. The imprinted and non-imprinted adsorbents (50 mg) were added into the solutions of MTD in 25 mL methanol with initial concentration of MTD ranging 0-35 µg mL⁻¹. After incubation at room temperature for 75 min and centrifugation of the mixtures, the concentration of free MTD in the supernatants was measured by UV-Vis at 280 nm. The amount of MTD bound to the surface of imprinted and non-imprinted silica gels were calculated by subtracting the amount of free MTD in the supernatants from the amount of MTD initially added. Similarly, in kinetic adsorption experiments, the adsorption dynamics of the imprinted and non-imprinted was performed by detecting the free MTD concentration in the supernatants at the different adsorption regular time intervals. In summary, 50 mg of imprinted or non-imprinted silica sorbents were mixed with 25 mL of MTD methanol solution at a concentration of 30 µg mL⁻¹ and incubated at regular intervals. Then the supernatant and the adsorbents were separated by centrifugation and the concentration of MTD in supernatant was measured using UV-Vis spectrophotometric analysis.

In experiments of steady-state binding with a stable state, in short, 50 mg of imprinted or non-imprinted silica sorbents were mixed with 25 mL of methanol solution of MTD in concentrations ranging from 0 to 35 µg mL⁻¹. Imprinted or non-imprinted materials were separated by centrifugation after incubation for 75 min at room temperature. The amount of MTD bound to adsorbents was determined using UV-Vis spectrophotometry. The adsorption capacity (Q) was calculated using the following equation 1:  

$$Q = \frac{(C_i - C_f) V}{W}$$  

where, C_i and C_f represent the initial and equilibrium concentration of methyldopa (µg mL⁻¹), W is the weight of the adsorbents (mg) and V is the volume of the methyldopa solutions (mL).

SPE procedure for extraction of MTD

The adsorption of MTD from the solutions was studied in SPE mode. Glassy columns with i.d. 1 cm were packed with 300 mg of dry blank and imprinted materials and washed 3-4 times with 0.01 mol L⁻¹ HCl. Then 0.01 mol L⁻¹ NaOH and pure distilled water were used for neutralizing the packed column. Before passing sample solution, 1 mL pure methanol should pass through the column for conditioning the solid phases. Experiments consisted of loading 5 mL of MTD solution in methanol (typically 10-60 µg mL⁻¹) onto each column and washing with at least 2.5 mL of methanol and then elution with at least 5 mL of elution solvent (0.01 mol L⁻¹ HCl). Various breakthrough, washing and elution solvents were collected and analyzed by UV-Vis spectrophotometric method. The extraction percent, %E, was calculated using the equation 2:  

$$E(\%) = \frac{C_i - C_f}{C_i} \times 100$$  

Study of adsorbent composition effect on the extraction efficiency of MTD

For this purpose, SPE column was filled by 300 mg imprinted silica and 5 mL of the MTD methanol solution with concentration of 70 µg mL⁻¹ passed through the column with flow rate of 5 mL min⁻¹. Then SPE column was eluted using stripping aqueous solution of 0.01 mol L⁻¹ HCl with flow rate of 1 mL min⁻¹ and, finally, collected solution was analyzed by UV-Vis spectrophotometric method at λ 280 nm.

Evaluation of analytical performance

For the evaluation of analytical performance under the SPE circumstances mentioned above, the experimental procedures are explained as follows: in order to examine the breakthrough volume, the different volume solutions with a certain amount of MTD (50 µg) were passed via column and the absorbance of MTD in the effluent measured. Based on this, the adsorption capacities of surface imprinted and non-imprinted materials were calculated. For the investigation of calibration curve, solutions with MTD concentrations of 0.5 to 120 µg mL⁻¹ were used and the limit of detection (LOD) of MTD was determined based on 30σ/n (σ and n are blank standard deviation and calibration curve slope, respectively) using UV-Vis detector.
Preparation of drug sample solutions

Ten tablets of commercial brand of MTD were weighed and finely powdered. A part of the powder, equivalent to 0.0021 g, was accurately weighed and dissolved in 5 mL of solvent by shaking for 15 min. The resulting mixture was transferred to a 10 mL standard flask and the volume completed with methanol. Definite volumes of this solution were used to prepare dilute solutions. A fraction of the final solution was taken for extraction and analysis according to the recommended approach.

Results and Discussion

Preparation of MTD-imprinted silica gel sorbent

The matrix of elementary particles of silica gel consists of a core with silicon atoms connected to oxygen atoms via siloxane bonds (bonds of silicon-oxygen-silicon). On the surface of each primary particle, some uncondensed residual hydroxyl groups of the main polymer silicic acid remain. The remaining hydroxyl groups on the silica gel share their polar properties. The hydroxyl groups react with the silane reagents to form bonded phases. The complex surface of silica includes two types of hydroxyl groups: severely bound to chemically adsorbed water and loosely bound to physically adsorbed water. In this study, APS functional monomer interacts with the carboxyl, hydroxyl and amino groups of MTD. The complex was formed between MTD and APS, then co-hydrolyzed and co-condensed with silanol groups. Figure 2 shows preparation steps of MTD-imprinted silica adsorbent. Strong hydrogen bonds were organized between amino groups of APS and functional groups of MTD. TEOS acts as a cross-linker to create polymer frame so that makes the stability of the recognition sites. HAc was added as activator to enable high-speed formation of the polymer. Thus, surface of the activated silica gel was grafted with the complex of MTD and APS, not only free APS. MTD was removed by concentrated HCl (in methanol) and the custom made holes to the MTD remained on the surface of silica gel adsorbent.

Characterization of MTD-imprinted silica gel sorbent

Characteristics of the FTIR spectra

To recognize the presence of APS in functionalized silica gel sorbent, FTIR spectra were obtained from methyldopa, activated silica gel, non-imprinted and hydrolyzed MTD-imprinted-amo functionalized silica gel sorbents (Figure 3). The presence of absorption peaks at 3200-3700 cm\(^{-1}\) was expressed by OH vibration. This showed that the silica gel has been activated by the concentrated HCl solution. As shown in Figure 3, features observed around 1072 and 964 cm\(^{-1}\) demonstrated Si–O–Si and Si–OH vibrations, respectively. The bands in about 794 and 462 cm\(^{-1}\) were obtained from Si–O vibration. As can be seen in MTD's IR spectrum (Figure 3a), there were peaks in 1475 and 1600 cm\(^{-1}\) related to benzene ring, in 3400 cm\(^{-1}\) regarding OH vibration of phenol, 3300 and 3400 cm\(^{-1}\) related to N–H vibrations. The features in 1527 and 1634 cm\(^{-1}\) indicated the presence of NH\(_2\)-APS interactions in the imprinted sorbent. Deletion of the latter two bands in the non-imprinted sorbent spectrum happened because of absence hydrogen bonds between MTD and APS during the preparation procedure of non-imprinted silica gel (Figure 3d).

Study of surface morphology

To survey the surface of adsorbents, the SEM images were used. SEM images related to activated silica gel,
imprinted and non-imprinted materials were shown in Figure 4. As is clear from the image of activated silica gel, a relatively smooth surface has been achieved. With the introduction of MTD into silica gel structure, adsorbent surface was changed and uneven surface was obtained. This rough surface was due to the presence of template molecule.

Characterization by binding experiment

The profile of kinetic for the imprinted and non-imprinted silica gel adsorbent was evaluated at a concentration of 15 µg mL⁻¹ MTD and is shown in Figure 5a. It was very obvious that a significant adsorption equilibrium on MTD-imprinted and non-imprinted silica sorbent occurred within 75 min without any significant change in terms of adsorption after that. Compared to non-imprinted adsorbent, the adsorption capacity for MTD binding on imprinted one is much higher. This suggests that a molecular imprinting process has resulted in the formation of specific recognition sites on the surface of the MTD absorbent.

Adsorption capacity is an important factor because it determines how much adsorbent is needed to quantify the analyte concentration of a given solution. Adsorption capacity was tested following the mentioned general procedure. As can be seen in Figure 5b, the amount of adsorbed MTD per adsorbent weight unit increased with initial concentration of MTD. The initial MTD concentration was increased until the plateau value of adsorption capacity. The static adsorption capacity of the imprinted and non-imprinted sorbent for MTD was calculated as 14565.81 and 2990.56 µg g⁻¹, respectively. The static adsorption capacity of the imprinted silica gel sorbent was about 15 times of non-imprinted one. The results showed that the surface imprinted silica sorbent has a high adsorption capacity for MTD.

Application of MTD-imprinted silica gel for the SPE of MTD

SPE and recognition of MTD is often considered to be a large cost advantage in deploying their applications. The SPE procedure includes the MTD loading (5 mL solution of 20-100 µg mL⁻¹ MTD) onto the SPE’s column, washing the column and then eluting MTD in a small volume. Experiments using methanol as washing solvent and HCl solution (0.01 mol L⁻¹) as eluting solvent (Table 1) stated that MTD was kept by MTD-imprinted silica gel and eluted by the elution solvent. After each use for regenerating imprinted binding cavities, MTD-SPE column was again washed by methanol (0.01 mol L⁻¹ HCl) then it was neutralized with NaOH solution. Finally it was washed with methanol only. The optimization processes in the application of imprinted silica gel as SPE sorbent are listed as follows.

Optimization of the extraction conditions

pH effect

The effect of sample pH on the extraction of 500 µg MTD from methanol solutions by imprinted silica gel adsorbent column was studied at the pH range of 3 to 8. The sample pHs were adjusted with dilute solutions of HCl or NaOH. The optimized extraction of MTD by SPE column was seen at pH around 8.0 and low efficiency of the MTD adsorption was observed below pH 7.0. As can be seen in Table 1, adsorption of MTD on the imprinted silica gel was affected by the pH of samples. In high
pHs (above 8), resonance of the phenyl ring in MTD structure occurred with two hydroxyl groups. To avoid this reactivity of MTD, the sample pH was kept below 8.0 for further studies.

Effect of adsorbent composition on the extraction efficiency of MTD

Number and quality of the MIP recognition sites are highly dependable on the molar relationship between template and functional monomer. In this study, surface-imprinted silica sorbents were prepared applying different mole ratios of APS to MTD. Figure 6 shows the recovery efficiencies acquired by these adsorbents that filled SPE columns. The recovery efficiency increases continuously reaching a maximum at 20 molar ratio of APS to MTD. The higher molar ratio of 20 was not applied because it is likely that the selectivity of CNTs-MIPs was strongly reduced.

Effect of sample flow rate and adsorbent amount in SPE column

The effect of the sample flow rate on the MTD extraction was examined in the range of 2-15 mL min\(^{-1}\) at pH 8.0. The flow rates that MTD extraction would be optimized were up to 5 mL min\(^{-1}\). At higher flow rates, the efficiency of MTD extraction has fallen to 40%. Solution of MTD was balanced faster with imprinted silica gel in mean flow rate. Increasing amount of surface imprinted silica gel in SPE column raised the extraction efficiency. That was because of increasing number of cavity sites, but if the amount of adsorbent was greater than 300 mg extraction, the efficiency would decrease. This decrease was due to diminishing sample passing speed.

Table 1. Recoveries (%) of MTD using HCl (in water) solutions as eluting solvents after SPE procedure based on proposed adsorbents

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample pH</th>
<th>Eluting solvent</th>
<th>SPE adsorbent</th>
<th>HCl (0.0001 mol L(^{-1}))</th>
<th>HCl (0.001 mol L(^{-1}))</th>
<th>HCl (0.01 mol L(^{-1}))</th>
<th>HCl (0.1 mol L(^{-1}))</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Imprinted</td>
<td>Non-imprinted</td>
<td>Imprinted</td>
<td>Non-imprinted</td>
<td>Imprinted</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>HCl (0.0001 mol L(^{-1}))</td>
<td>14.97 (6.25)</td>
<td>1.63 (9.75)</td>
<td>27.33 (6.54)</td>
<td>3.90 (7.35)</td>
<td>45.60 (6.31)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>HCl (0.001 mol L(^{-1}))</td>
<td>16.75 (5.85)</td>
<td>3.50 (8.65)</td>
<td>35.23 (7.65)</td>
<td>6.80 (8.29)</td>
<td>59.22 (3.63)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>HCl (0.01 mol L(^{-1}))</td>
<td>23.92 (7.38)</td>
<td>4.86 (8.47)</td>
<td>46.62 (7.55)</td>
<td>8.47 (9.12)</td>
<td>67.63 (4.70)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>HCl (0.1 mol L(^{-1}))</td>
<td>32.20 (7.03)</td>
<td>7.73 (8.05)</td>
<td>54.77 (6.61)</td>
<td>11.97 (9.42)</td>
<td>78.50 (4.95)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>HCl (0.0001 mol L(^{-1}))</td>
<td>44.13 (6.86)</td>
<td>10.86 (8.78)</td>
<td>56.10 (5.63)</td>
<td>14.45 (7.45)</td>
<td>83.15 (2.55)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>HCl (0.001 mol L(^{-1}))</td>
<td>48.65 (5.69)</td>
<td>13.43 (9.25)</td>
<td>63.92 (4.92)</td>
<td>17.23 (6.93)</td>
<td>98.42 (1.99)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Average of five measurements with RSD (%) in parenthesis. Sample conditions: volume, 5 mL; solvent, methanol; MTD concentration, 70 µg mL\(^{-1}\); SPE conditions: amount of adsorbents in SPE column, 300 mg; sample flow rate, 5 mL min\(^{-1}\); elution flow rate, 1 mL min\(^{-1}\); all determinations were accomplished with UV-Vis method at λ 280 nm.
Type of elution solvent

The adsorbed MTD can be eluted from the MIPs using mineral acids. Since methyldopa forms hydrogen bonds with functional groups on binding sites of MIPs, various concentrations of hydrochloric acid (0.0001-0.1 mol L\(^{-1}\)) were tested for their ability to remove MTD from the adsorbent material. The best concentration of HCl solution used for the elution of the analyte from the MIPs and the results of the recovery are given in Table 1. It is worth noting that the difference in MTD recovery on the adsorbent by solutions of 0.01 and 0.1 mol L\(^{-1}\) HCl were not statistically significant.

Interference of co-existing foreign and structurally similar substances

Different compounds often present in pharmaceutical sample were examined for their effect on the adsorption of MTD onto surface-imprinted adsorbent. The choice of interferents was also based on their affinity for the surface imprinted silica and the effect on the analytical signal of MTD measured by UV-Vis spectrophotometry. In the presence of the excess of uric acid, glucose, sucrose, PO\(_4^{3-}\), CO\(_3^{2-}\), SO\(_4^{2-}\), NO\(_3^-\), Cl\(^-\), Fe\(^{3+}\), Mg\(^{2+}\), Ca\(^{2+}\), Na\(^+\) and K\(^+\), the relative absorbance of MTD was in the range of about 90-97% compared with the standard solution of MTD. The interfering substances were added separately in the concentration range of 1-50000 µg mL\(^{-1}\) to the MTD solution (70 µg mL\(^{-1}\)) and extraction procedure was used. The tolerable concentration of any foreign substance was taken as the largest amount, yielding an error below 10% in the extraction efficiency of the MTD. As can be seen in Table 2, the results showed that the tolerable concentration ratios of interferences were over 700 for NO\(_3^-\), Cl\(^-\), SO\(_4^{2-}\), Na\(^+\), K\(^+\), Ca\(^{2+}\); 400 for PO\(_4^{3-}\), CO\(_3^{2-}\), Fe\(^{3+}\), Mg\(^{2+}\); 150 for uric acid, glucose and sucrose. It should be noticed that after required dilutions of real samples, effects of possible interferences may have overlooked. Therefore, the interferences caused by dopamine and epinephrine as the structurally similar compounds was also studied. It was found that the tolerable concentration ratios were over 50 for dopamine and 15 for epinephrine. As a result, the values in Table 2 indicate that the MTD-imprinted silica adsorbent has high selectivity for MTD over the co-existing foreign and structurally similar compounds.

Analytical performance and application

Total retention of MTD was obtained onto surface-MIPs with repeatability better than 5%. MTD recovery of about 90-100% was with repeatability of the elution process below 5% (Table 1). The breakthrough volume of the prepared adsorbent was determined by passing the solution of MTD (50 µg) via the column filled with 300 mg of the surface-MIPs and measuring of the absorbance of MTD in the effluent. The experiment ended when the extraction efficiency of MTD decreased to 90%. According to this, the capacity of proposed surface imprint adsorbent for MTD was calculated as 458.24 µg g\(^{-1}\). Non-imprinted material capacity for MTD was only 31.76 µg g\(^{-1}\), which indicates the advantage of using imprinted adsorbent in the extraction process. The calibration curve that investigated using solutions with MTD concentrations of 0.5, 5, 10, 15, 20, 25, 50, 75, 90, 100, 105, 115 and 120 µg mL\(^{-1}\) was linear in the range of about 15 to 100 µg mL\(^{-1}\) with coefficient of determination (R\(^2\)) of 0.991. The limit of the detection of MTD using UV-Vis spectrophotometry was 2.31 µg mL\(^{-1}\).

In order to investigate the use of developed method, it was applied to determine the MTD in spiked and non-spiked pharmaceutical formulations. The results presented in Table 3, compared favorably to the combination of proposed SPE procedure with HPLC-UV-Vis and the method of HPLC-UV-Vis (as standard method) alone. Analysis of the results using the t-test and F-test showed no statistically significant difference between the performances of these methods for 95% confidence level. In each method, five

---

**Table 2.** Evaluation of interference of co-existing foreign and structurally similar substances on the recoveries (%) of MTD

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Interferent</th>
<th>Concentration of interferent / (× 1000 µg mL(^{-1}))</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NO(_3^-), Cl(^-), SO(_4^{2-}), Na(^+), K(^+), Ca(^{2+})</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PO(_4^{3-}), CO(_3^{2-}), Fe(^{3+}), Mg(^{2+})</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Uric acid, glucose, sucrose</td>
<td>96.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dopamine</td>
<td>93.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Epinephrine</td>
<td>91.53</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Average percentages of MTD recoveries for five measurements in each concentration level of interferent. Sample conditions: volume, 5 mL; solvent, methanol; MTD concentration, 70 µg mL\(^{-1}\). SPE conditions: amount of adsorbents in SPE column, 300 mg; sample flow rate, 5 mL min\(^{-1}\); elution flow rate, 1 mL min\(^{-1}\); all determinations were accomplished with UV-Vis method at λ 280 nm.
measurements were performed. As Table 3 and Figure 7 show, the methods in which the proposed SPE process was used, the recoveries of samples were improved in the range from 94.7 to 98.3%. The relative standard deviation (RSD) was less than 5.69%. The results showed that the imprinted silica adsorbent can be directly used to adsorb and determine the MTD in pharmaceutical samples. Sustainable features of the adsorbent allowed that it could be used for more than 50 cycles with recovery average decrease less than 8.6%.

The validation protocol explains the design of the validation study. Its purpose is to provide information about which features are tested during the study, how the experiments are carried out and the results to be calculated. The ICH (International Conference on Harmonisation) guidelines state that “the list of features should typically be considered for the analytical procedures, but exceptional occasions should be considered on an individual basis”.

The lack of specificity for an analytical procedure can be compensated by adding a second analytical method. This compensation is in accordance with the FDA (Food and Drug Administration) guidelines. Due to proof of the robustness and linearity of calibration model, it can be concluded that the proposed analytical procedure complies with the ICH protocol. Acceptable regression line and coefficient of determination, as well as successful statistical \( t \) and \( F \)-tests with good RSDs are the reasons of this accordance.

**Conclusions**

In this new and simple method for the synthesis of molecularly MTD-imprinted sorbent, the potential of surface molecular imprinting technique has been demonstrated. As an SPE sorbent, the proposed material showed high capacity to adsorb and determine MTD in pharmaceutical products. Table 4 compares the obtained results of MTD adsorption.

**Table 3. Determination of MTD in commercial pharmaceutical preparation (tablet)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Label value / (mg unit(^{-1}))</th>
<th>Spiked value / mg</th>
<th>HPLC-UV-Vis (as standard)</th>
<th>Determined value / mg</th>
<th>Evaluation of ANOVA (three methods)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Proposed method</td>
<td>( t )-test</td>
<td>Proposed method-HPLC-UV-Vis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>238.7 (3.9)</td>
<td>244.9 (5.6)</td>
<td>2.06</td>
<td>9.60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>261.2 (3.1)</td>
<td>267.8 (5.9)</td>
<td>3.62</td>
<td>9.60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>287.5 (4.6)</td>
<td>294.3 (6.1)</td>
<td>1.76</td>
<td>9.60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100</td>
<td>339.3 (4.2)</td>
<td>345.5 (4.8)</td>
<td>1.31</td>
<td>9.60</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Average of five measurements with RSD (%) in parenthesis. Sample conditions: volume, 5 mL; solvent, methanol. SPE (solid phase extraction) conditions: amount of adsorbents in SPE column, 300 mg; sample flow rate, 5 mL min\(^{-1}\); elution flow rate, 1 mL min\(^{-1}\); all determinations by the proposed method were accomplished with UV-Vis spectrophotometry at \( \lambda = 280 \) nm. HPLC condition: mobile phase, 92 + 8 methanol-phosphate buffer; pH, 2.88; flow rate, 1.5 mL min\(^{-1}\); detection by UV-Vis spectrophotometry at \( \lambda = 280 \) nm.

**Figure 7.** Chromatograms of the MTD pharmaceutical samples; (a) direct injection of the pharmaceutical sample solution; (b) eluate obtained from surface imprinted SPE column. (HPLC condition: mobile phase, 92 + 8 methanol-phosphate buffer; pH, 2.88; flow rate, 1.5 mL min\(^{-1}\); detection by UV-Vis spectrophotometry at 280 nm).
capacity and other operating conditions of present study with the literature. The proposed approach results in a simple, inexpensive and accurate analytical technique to determine the MTD in commercial pharmaceutical samples with satisfactory recoveries. Moreover, it does not need to remove traditional components present in the pharmaceutical formulation because they were found not to interfere with the determination of MTD.
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