Determination of Six Synthetic Dyes in Sports Drinks by Dispersive Solid-Phase Extraction and HPLC-UV-Vis
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A simple, fast and efficient method was developed for determination of six synthetic dyes (Tartrazine, Sunset Yellow, Amaranth, Ponceau 4R, Indigo Carmine and Brilliant Blue) in sports drinks using dispersive solid-phase extraction (d-SPE) with the polymeric sorbent Oasis® HLB. Sample preparation using d-SPE permitted to simplify the procedure and use less sorbent in comparison with SPE. The use of a syringe for the elution step make this easier and avoid the use of a manifold. High performance liquid chromatography with UV-Vis detection was employed for quantification. Recovery results, evaluated at 0.5; 1.0 and 1.5 mg L⁻¹, were between 76 and 108% with relative standard deviation < 18%. The method limit of quantification was 0.5 mg L⁻¹. The developed method was applied to the analysis of commercial sports drinks and the results indicated that all studied samples presented dye levels in conformity with the Brazilian legislation.
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Introduction

Sports drinks are specially formulated for people who are undertaking physical activity, being mainly composed by carbohydrates, electrolytes and vitamins. Additives such as flavors, stabilizers and dyes are commonly used in sports drinks to prevent for spoilage and improve their consumer characteristics and appearance. Nevertheless, this addition must not change the properties of the final product. Synthetic dyes are a very important class of food additives. Besides the lower cost of production in relation to dyes of natural origin, synthetic colorants have several advantages such as high stability to light, oxygen and pH, color uniformity and low microbiological contamination. However, some of these compounds pose adverse health effects (allergy, asthma, hyperactivity, thyroid tumors, etc.), especially when consumed in excess. Thus, their use is strictly controlled around the world. The list of allowable kinds and concentrations of synthetic dyes is different in each country or region, mainly due to differing opinions regarding the safety of these substances and due to a greater or lesser consumption of artificially colored products. In Brazil, the maximum limit of colorants in foods including sports drinks is established by the National Agency of Sanitary Surveillance (ANVISA), based on international regulations.

In general, high-performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) methods with ultraviolet-visible (UV-Vis), diode-array (DAD) and mass spectrometry detection have been employed for synthetic dyes analysis to ensure food safety. Regarding sample preparation, solid phase extraction (SPE) is the most commonly used technique prior to instrumental analysis. Polyamide resin, Strata™-X-AW, aminopropyl-modified silica, Oasis® WAX, and Oasis® HLB are examples of sorbents that have been proposed for determination of colorants. In this context, the aim of this study was to develop and validate a simple, rapid and effective d-SPE method using the polymeric sorbent Oasis® HLB for the
determination of six synthetic dyes in sports drinks by HPLC-UV-Vis.

**Experimental**

**Chemicals, reagents and apparatus**

All standards were purchased from Dr. Ehrenstorfer (Germany), with purity between 90.0 and 94.9%. The common names with European Community number (EC) and CAS number, molecular weight (MW), chemical structures, acid dissociation constant ($pK_a$), partition coefficient (log P), maximum limit of synthetic dyes in drinks and maximum absorbance wavelength ($\lambda_{\text{max}}$) of each analyzed compound are shown in Table 1.

Methanol (MeOH) and acetonitrile (MeCN), all HPLC grade, were acquired from Mallinckrodt (USA). Purified water was provided by a Direct-Q® 3 UV system (resistivity of 18.2 MΩ cm) from Millipore (France). Ammonium acetate (CH₃COONH₄) and phosphoric acid solution (H₃PO₄) 85% was supplied from Merck (Germany). Ammonium hydroxide (NH₄OH) solution 28-30% and formic acid 98% were acquired from Sigma-Aldrich (Germany). SPE cartridges with polymeric sorbent Oasis® HLB (divinylbenzene-co-N-vinylpyrrolidone copolymer; 200 mg; 6 mL) were purchased from Waters (Ireland).

Sample preparation procedures were performed using analytical balances UX-420H from Shimadzu (Japan) and APX-200 from Denver Instruments (Brazil), vortex shaker (model QL-901) from Biomixer (Brazil), HSW Norm-Ject® 2-part disposable syringes of 3 mL capacity from Henke Sass Wolf (Germany) and 0.45 μm regenerated cellulose filters of 25 mm from Agilent (China).

**Preparation of standard solutions**

Individual stock solutions (1000 mg L⁻¹) were prepared in ultra-purified water, considering the purity of each

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Compound</th>
<th>MW / (g mol⁻¹)</th>
<th>Chemical structure</th>
<th>$pK_a$</th>
<th>log P</th>
<th>Maximum limit / (mg L⁻¹)</th>
<th>EC</th>
<th>Codex</th>
<th>Brazil</th>
<th>$\lambda_{\text{max}}$ / nm</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Amaranth (E 123/915-67-3)</td>
<td>604.47</td>
<td><img src="image" alt="Amaranth" /></td>
<td>10.36</td>
<td>−5.13</td>
<td>n.a.</td>
<td>n.a.</td>
<td>n.a.</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>510</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brilliant Blue (E 133/3844-45-9)</td>
<td>792.85</td>
<td><img src="image" alt="Brilliant Blue" /></td>
<td>n.a.</td>
<td>−4.94</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>610</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indigo Carmine (E 132/860-22-0)</td>
<td>466.35</td>
<td><img src="image" alt="Indigo Carmine" /></td>
<td>n.a.</td>
<td>3.72</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>610</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ponceau 4R (E 124/2611-82-7)</td>
<td>604.47</td>
<td><img src="image" alt="Ponceau 4R" /></td>
<td>11.24</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>510</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sunset Yellow (E 110/2783-94-0)</td>
<td>452.37</td>
<td><img src="image" alt="Sunset Yellow" /></td>
<td>10.36</td>
<td>−1.18</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>480</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tartrazine (E 102/1934-21-0)</td>
<td>534.36</td>
<td><img src="image" alt="Tartrazine" /></td>
<td>9.40</td>
<td>−10.17</td>
<td>n.a.</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>n.a.</td>
<td>430</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

EC: European Community; Codex: Codex Alimentarius; n.a.: not allowed; MW: molecular weight.
Chromatographic conditions

The chromatographic separation was performed with an HPLC equipped with UV-Vis detector model UltiMate VWD-3400RS from Thermo Scientific (USA) and 9010 gradient mobile-phase pump from Varian (USA). The detector was programmed at a range between 480 and 610 nm. Software Chromeleon 6.8 (Thermo Scientific, USA) was used for data acquisition and processing. The compounds from the mixture were separated in a Microsorb 100-5 C18 column (250 × 4.6 mm, 5 μm particle size) from Varian (Netherlands). Mobile phase consisted of (A) aqueous ammonium acetate solution 0.13 mol L⁻¹ (pH around 7.0) and (B) methanol:acetonitrile (80:20 v/v). The gradient program started at 20% B (held 1 min) and increased to reach 50% B in 10 min and then increase to reach 80% B in 15 min (held 1 min). The gradient return to 20% B at 16 min (held 3 min). The total chromatographic run time was 19 min. The optimum flow rate was 1.2 mL min⁻¹ whereas the injection volume was 20 μL.

Sample preparation

To perform the extraction of dyes from sports drinks, 1 mL of sample was acidified until pH 1-2 with H₃PO₄ 0.1 mol L⁻¹ (aqueous solution). The sample was transferred to a 2 mL Eppendorf with 25 mg of Oasis® HLB sorbent and shaken by vortex for 20 s. All the content was transferred to a 3 mL HSW Norm-Ject® syringe coupled to a 0.45 μm regenerated cellulose filter. The sample was passed through the filter and the filtrate was discarded. The filter was coupled to the syringe and all dyes were eluted by pushing 2 mL of methanol:ammonium hydroxide (95:5) (2 × 1 mL). From the extract, 0.5 mL was diluted to 1 mL, adjusting the pH to 7 with an aqueous solution of formic acid 50% (v/v) for the analysis by HPLC-UV-Vis.

Method validation

Method validation was performed following the guideline from Inmetro.¹⁹ To guarantee the method selectivity, chromatographic separation was tested with a standard mixture solution of 1 mg L⁻¹ against blank extracts. Still, dyes were simultaneously detected in four different wavelengths in order to maximize the detector response for the selected dyes. A standard solution at 10 mg L⁻¹ of each dye was submitted to a spectrophotometric analysis to obtain the respective UV-Vis spectra. The wavelengths for HPLC-UV-Vis analysis were chosen based on the higher absorption of each compound. The linearity was evaluated by the coefficient of determination (r²) from the analytical curves obtained using external calibration with aqueous solutions containing all the selected dyes at the levels 0.5; 0.8; 1.2; 1.5 and 2.0 mg L⁻¹. The pH of the standards were close to neutral and no pH adjustment was necessary.

The methods limit of detection (LOD) and quantification (LOQ) were calculated considering linearity and signal-to-noise ratio for the lowest calibration level. To evaluate trueness and precision, spiked assays were performed with blank samples at 0.5; 1.0 and 1.5 mg L⁻¹ (n = 6). The spiked samples were submitted to the sample preparation and injected into the HPLC-UV-Vis system. By these results, recovery rates were calculated to prove method trueness. The precision was evaluated by calculating the relative standard deviation (RSD) of the six replicates. Inter-day precision was calculated with the six replicates results obtained in different days at 0.5 mg L⁻¹.

Results and Discussion

Chromatographic analysis

Figure 1 shows an HPLC-UV-Vis chromatogram of a standard mixture solution at 0.5 mg L⁻¹ where we can notice that fully separation was achieved in the system. The retention time (tᵣ) values for Tartrazine, Amaranth, Indigo Carmine, Ponceau 4R, Sunset Yellow and Brilliant Blue were 6.9, 8.1, 8.6, 10.3, 11.2 and 16.4 min, respectively.

According to Bento et al., all dyes elute according to their hydrophobicity and presence of acidic or alkylene groups. The elution order was mainly affected by the presence of azo groups. Azo dyes followed the elution sequence: Tartrazine, Amaranth, Ponceau 4R and Sunset Yellow, with exception of Indigo Carmine which has polar characteristics due to ketone and amine groups, being the third dye to reach the detector. The last compound to elute was Brilliant Blue due to its lower polar behavior. The good resolution was possible, even with a common C18 column, due to the selection of an adequate gradient of the mobile phase. The final solution extract was diluted with water. At first, no pH correction was applied leading to an alkalynie solution with pH around 11 due to the presence of NH₄OH in the elution solution. The intensity of peaks at alkaline condition was poor and peaks were broad. Therefore, the addition of fosforic acid for pH correction
was essential to increase signal-to-noise ratio to responses. Resolution is strongly affected by the mobile phase pH, when a value around 7 was used the dyes remained neutral, according to their pKₐ values, increasing the selectivity and therefore the chromatographic separation. Ammonium acetate is indicated when determination is performed using a UV-Vis detector and proved to be necessary when good resolution and lower running times are required. Although, high concentrations of ammonium acetate can lead to a poor intensity of peaks due to their higher retention in reversed-phase columns. This occurs due to a “salting-out” effect which increases the interactions of dyes with the C18 column. Ammonium acetate was used as additive at 1% since the beginning of the study. Methanol was used as organic mobile phase resulting in poor elution strength and resolution. The acetonitrile was gradually added until good peak resolution for all compounds were achieved. The acetonitrile content in the organic mobile phase was also reported as a good additive to allow the elution of dyes with good resolution.

Development of the extraction method

Generally, synthetic dyes are added to matrices such as jelly, ice-cream, candies and beverages (soft, energy and sports drinks), which contain a great amount of sugars. Therefore sample preparation is a crucial stage of food dyes analysis. SPE methods employing aminopropyl-modified silica, Oasis® WAX, Oasis® HLB and polyamide sorbents are usually applied for co-extractives removal from foodstuffs and beverages. However, these techniques required large sample volume and a proper system with vacuum pump and manifold, besides additional steps such as evaporation and reconstitution. Also SPE can be laborious, time-consuming and involve increased consumption of organic solvents. An alternative is the d-SPE method, commonly applied as clean-up step in the QuEChERS (quick, easy, cheap, effective, rugged and safe) approach, when elution step is not required. Dyes are often adsorbed in the sorbent, thus elution is an indispensable condition.

In this paper, we present a d-SPE followed by filtration that combine the traditional SPE feature of increase sample concentration with the easy and simple application of d-SPE, without centrifugation or magnetic field separation. Some preliminary assays were carried out employing Oasis® HLB in order to evaluate the best conditions for sample preparation procedure. The Oasis® HLB polymeric sorbent was chosen due to its dual functionality, hydrophilic N-vinylpyrrolidone and lipophilic divinylbenzene, that provides an effective extraction of medium to high polarity compounds. First, 25 mg of sorbent were weighed and transferred to an Eppendorf tube. Sample solution was adjusted to a pH of approximately 1-2 to increase retention of analytes due to the anionic exchange plus Van der Waals’ interactions performed by the Oasis® HLB in pH < 7, until no color remained in the aqueous phase. The homogenization step was evaluated from 10 to 60 s. After 20 s the dyes were visually retained by the sorbent and the solution was clear and transparent. The content of Eppendorf tube was pushed just once through the filter and the selected dyes remains retained in the sorbent. With all the sorbent in the filter the dyes can now be eluted with a proper solvent, which in this case is methanol:ammonium hydroxide (95:5). Methanol is a suitable solvent for elution and injection on HPLC systems while basic

Figure 1. HPLC-UV-Vis chromatograms of (a) a sport drink blank sample and (b) the same blank sample spiked at the LOQ (0.5 mg L⁻¹) for determination of (1) Tartrazine at 430 nm, (2) Amaranth and (4) Ponceau 4R at 510 nm, (3) Indigo Carmine and (6) Brilliant Blue at 610 nm and (5) Sunset Yellow at 480 nm.
conditions are essential to promote anion exchange and increase dyes recovery.\textsuperscript{28} Once the sorbent remained in the filter, any volume of solvent could be used for elution as long as it guarantees complete recovery. In this work, the compounds were eluted twice with 1 mL, leading to a sample dilution. The developed procedure proved to be efficient in removing matrix components by checking qualitatively the absence of carbohydrates in the final extract using Benedict’s and Seliwanoff’s reagent that indicate the presence of reducing sugars and fructose/sucrose, respectively.\textsuperscript{20}

Comparing the chromatographic response of the standards prepared in purified water with the standards prepared in blank sample, insignificant matrix effect was observed, permitting to select the easiest way that is to use water as solvent for the standard solutions.

**Method validation**

As shown in Figure 1, good selectivity was reached as long as all the peaks could be integrated separately from each other with no overlapping or presence of “shoulders”. The selection of 4 particular wavelengths for determination of the six dyes also contributed for identification of the analytes. Liquid chromatography with mass spectrometry together with spectrophotometry detection has been applied for determination of dyes by Ma \textit{et al.},\textsuperscript{29} Zou \textit{et al.},\textsuperscript{30} and Li \textit{et al.}.\textsuperscript{31} However, the use of mass spectrometry significantly increases the costs of analysis.\textsuperscript{32}

Table 1 presents the equations of the calibration curve for the six dyes obtained in four absorption wavelengths, indicating that Brilliant Blue is the most sensitive among them. Tartrazine and Sunset Yellow have similar response with higher slope than Amaranth, Ponceau 4R and Indigo Carmine that have lower sensibility. These results are in accordance with Minioti \textit{et al.},\textsuperscript{3} which had the same profile for calibration curves slope. Analytical curves presented good linearity with \( r^2 \geq 0.99 \) for all evaluated dyes.

The limit of quantification was established taking into account the signal-to-noise ratio of the lowest level of calibration curve, with a ratio higher than 10. Therefore, 0.5 mg L\textsuperscript{-1} was set as the LOQ. The LOD was estimated by dividing LOQ concentration 3.3 times, resulting in an LOD of 0.15 mg L\textsuperscript{-1}. Limits in this range of concentration are often found in the literature for similar systems.\textsuperscript{11,13,29} Although, lower limit can be reached considering that many studies\textsuperscript{4,10,30,33} are able to quantify dyes at concentration lower than 0.1 mg L\textsuperscript{-1}. Due to the 4 times dilution fold of the method, the LOQ represent a concentration of 2 mg L\textsuperscript{-1} in the samples. The limits reached in this work are more than enough, considering the maximum concentration from 50 to 100 mg L\textsuperscript{-1} established for studied dyes in sports drinks in Brazilian legislation.\textsuperscript{7}

Recovery rates were acquired from blank samples spiked at 0.5; 1.0 and 1.5 mg L\textsuperscript{-1} to perform intra-day precision and at 0.5 mg L\textsuperscript{-1} for inter-day precision. The results, presented in Table 2, ranged from 76 to 108\% with RSD from 8 to 18\% for all dyes. According to Bento \textit{et al.},\textsuperscript{4} these results are considered acceptable for chromatographic analyses. Recovery rates reported from other works for the same compounds ranged from 66 to 115\% with RSD from 0.3 to 15\%.\textsuperscript{4,10,11,28,30}

**Application to commercial samples**

The developed method was applied for the analysis of 8 commercial samples of sports drinks purchased from a local market in Santa Maria, RS, Brazil. Tangerine, orange, grape, green grape, passion fruit and mix of fruits sports drinks were evaluated and the results are shown in Table 3. The expected dyes were found below the maximum limit (50-100 mg L\textsuperscript{-1}) established by

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Compound</th>
<th>Analytical curves equation</th>
<th>r\textsuperscript{2}</th>
<th>0.5 mg L\textsuperscript{-1}</th>
<th>1.0 mg L\textsuperscript{-1}</th>
<th>1.5 mg L\textsuperscript{-1}</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Intra</td>
<td>Inter</td>
<td>Intra</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>R / %</td>
<td>RSD / %</td>
<td>R / %</td>
<td>RSD / %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amaranth</td>
<td>( y = 0.9552x – 0.0598 )</td>
<td>0.9917</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brilliant Blue</td>
<td>( y = 2.1494x – 0.2461 )</td>
<td>0.9918</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indigo Carmine</td>
<td>( y = 0.8893x – 0.2461 )</td>
<td>0.9932</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ponceau 4R</td>
<td>( y = 0.9412x – 0.0801 )</td>
<td>0.9964</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sunset Yellow</td>
<td>( y = 1.1651x – 0.0995 )</td>
<td>0.9919</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tartrazine</td>
<td>( y = 1.1781x – 0.0944 )</td>
<td>0.9960</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>91</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

r\textsuperscript{2}: coefficient of determination; R: recovery; RSD: relative standard deviation.
ANVISA,\textsuperscript{7} with the exception of Tartrazine in sample S6. However, there was no information about concentration in the label. Perhaps, the concentration of Tartrazine in tangerine sports drinks (S6) was below limit of detection of the proposed method.

Table 3. Results of the dyes analysis in commercial sports drinks samples of different flavors

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Flavor</th>
<th>Labeled dye</th>
<th>Concentration / (mg L\textsuperscript{-1})</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>S1</td>
<td>tangerine</td>
<td>Sunset Yellow</td>
<td>10.61 ± 0.92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S2</td>
<td>grape</td>
<td>Brilliant Blue</td>
<td>1.99 ± 0.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S3</td>
<td>mix of fruits</td>
<td>Brilliant Blue</td>
<td>1.81 ± 0.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S4</td>
<td>orange</td>
<td>Sunset Yellow</td>
<td>11.12 ± 0.89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S5</td>
<td>green grape</td>
<td>Tartrazine</td>
<td>1.70 ± 0.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S6</td>
<td>tangerine</td>
<td>Tartrazine</td>
<td>n.d.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S7</td>
<td>passion fruit</td>
<td>Tartrazine</td>
<td>2.07 ± 0.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S8</td>
<td>grape</td>
<td>Amaranth</td>
<td>34.81 ± 2.42</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

n.d.: not detected; LOQ: limit of quantification.

Conclusions

This study describes the application of d-SPE as a sample preparation alternative for a fast determination of synthetic dyes in sports drinks. The extraction procedure employing a polymeric commercial sorbent in dispersive mode proved to be effective for sugars removal. Furthermore, this technique has the advantage of being simpler, easier and cheaper to perform than the conventional SPE technique. The amount of sorbent required for this step is much less than the necessary for the other methods and no manifold is required. The method had good recovery and precision results and can be applied in routine analysis of sports drinks for Tartrazine, Sunset Yellow, Amaranth, Ponceau 4R, Indigo Carmine and Brilliant Blue. To perform the analysis, the pH and amount of sorbent had to be optimized.
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