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Abstract

These guidelines are an up-to-date and comprehensive tool to aid health professionals in treating smokers, recommending measures and strategies for managing each case based on clinical evidence. Written in a simplified and objective manner, the text is divided into two principal sections: Evaluation and Treatment. The sections both present comments on and levels of evidence represented by the references cited, as well as some proposals for the reduction of damage and for intervening in specific and still poorly explored situations, such as relapse, passive smoking, physician smoking, and tobacco use in specific environments.
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Resumo

Estas diretrizes constituem uma ferramenta atualizada e abrangente para auxiliar o profissional de saúde na abordagem do tabagista, recomendando atitudes baseadas em evidências clínicas como a melhor forma de conduzir cada caso. De forma reduzida e mais objetiva possível, o texto final foi agrupado em dois grandes itens: Avaliação e Tratamento. Os dois itens apresentam comentários e níveis de recomendação das referências utilizadas, bem como algumas propostas de abordagem, como por exemplo, redução de danos, em situações específicas ainda pouco exploradas, como recaídas, tabagismo passivo, tabagismo na categoria médica e uso de tabaco em ambientes específicos.

Descritores: Tabagismo/efeitos adversos; Abandono do hábito de fumar/métodos; Guia.

Introduction

This update represents the strong commitment of the Brazilian Thoracic Association to smoking cessation. It provides health professionals with a comprehensive instrument to deal with the principal aspects of tobacco dependence. It includes new and effective clinical treatments and highlights changes in procedures in certain situations.

The comparison between this content and that of the previous guidelines shows the significant scientific progress that has been made in this area, even in a short period of time. Tobacco dependence is increasingly acknowledged as a chronic condition that can require multiple interventions. In addition, recent evidence supports the critical role of counseling, in individual and group interventions, as well as in conjunction with pharmacological treatment.

The evidence-based selection method was applied in order to identify appropriate references in the specialized litera-
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ture. This was followed by critical review by pairs, who ultimately presented their recommendations.

The decision to adopt any of these guidelines should be made by the professional, taking into consideration the resources available in the locale and the specific circumstances of the patient. Although this document describes the principal recommendations in each situation, there is limited space for publishing. Therefore, additional references are provided to those interested in broadening their scientific knowledge on this subject.

These guidelines are an up-to-date and comprehensive tool to aid health professionals in treating smokers, in public or private health care clinics.

This is the role of the government and the public policies to acknowledge smoking as a public health issue, making treatment available to all smokers, either via the Brazilian Unified Health Care System or working in concert with private health care providers.

Guidelines to interpret the level of evidence

There are various parameters for the establishment of guidelines, with small methodological variations depending on the country in which they are created. The methodology used in these Smoking Cessation Guidelines was aimed at standardizing the text related to the diagnostic, therapeutic, and preventive procedures using objective, affirmative language, as well as providing the indications and contraindications for those procedures. When that was not possible, we have demonstrated the lack of scientific information enabling their indication or contraindication.

The references are listed in numerical order according to their appearance in the text, and the strength of recommendation is classified in the text as A, B, C or D, where applicable. The strength of recommendation scoring, which corresponds to the study level of scientific evidence, was based on data available from the Centres for Evidence-Based Medicine, especially the Cochrane Review, (1) meta-analyses and randomized clinical trials, as well as on the recent review conducted by the United States Surgeon General and published in May, 2008. (2)

All strength of recommendation classes, including “D”, are based on scientific evidence. The differences between levels of evidence A, B, C, and D result exclusively from the design employed to generate the evidence.

The association between the strength of recommendation and the level of scientific evidence is summarized below:

- a) more consistent experimental or observational studies
- b) less consistent experimental or observational studies
- c) case reports and uncontrolled studies
- d) opinion deprived of critical evaluation, based on consensus, physiological studies or animal models

The use of the strength of recommendation associated with the bibliographic citations in the text has as the following principal objectives: to clarify the information source; to stimulate the search for stronger scientific evidence; and to introduce a didactic and simple way to aid in the critical evaluation on the part of the reader, who is the one responsible for making the decisions concerning the patient being treated.

Diagnostic approach

Clinical evaluation

The smoker should be submitted to clinical evaluation upon admission to the smoking cessation program. The objective is to identify functional alterations in the lungs, the existence of smoking related diseases (SRDs), possible contraindications and drug interactions during the pharmacological treatment of the dependence. The profile of the smoker, the level of nicotine dependence and the motivation to stop smoking are also evaluated at this time.

This evaluation (Chart 1) should include accurate clinical history, complete physical examination, and some complementary tests, depending on local diagnostic resources.

Chest X-ray is an essential tool during the treatment. A good physician-patient relationship, together with professional sensitivity and observation skills, will indicate the most appropriate time. Some people are afraid of what they might find, avoiding treatment so that they do not have to face the situation.
The population of smokers seeking treatment includes a range of types: from “healthy” people who are looking for support to stop smoking to those who already present signs and symptoms of SRDs or other comorbidities, including some severely sick people trying to regain their health and quality of life.

The initial clinical evaluation is similar in all groups. However, the approach has to be differentiated, which will be discussed further in this document.

Complementary tests can be useful in motivating patients to stop smoking. When the results are good, try to minimize the patient concern and point out the fact that the best time to stop smoking is before any SRDs appear. If the results are unfavorable, they can be useful as a warning: it is better
to stop, avoiding further damage, and try to regain a healthy status. Evidence on the impact of such measures and others in the conduction of cases will also be discussed in later chapters.

**Evaluation of the dependence level**

One of the first instruments developed to evaluate nicotine dependence was the Fagerström Tolerance Questionnaire (FTQ), which consists of eight questions. Another study suggested an index designated the **heaviness of smoking index (HSI)**, which is calculated based on the interval between waking and having the first cigarette of the day, combined with the daily average consumption of cigarettes.

The HSI was considered in the revision of the FTQ, resulting in the six-question version known as the Fagerström test for nicotine dependence (FTND), which is widely used in the evaluation of nicotine dependence (Chart 2). A total score higher than six points indicates that, probably, the patient will be significantly uncomfortable (withdrawal syndrome) after stopping smoking.

Other criteria that apply in the diagnosis of nicotine dependence are those of the Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders, Third and Fourth editions (DSM-III and DSM-IV, respectively). Except for the nicotine-specific withdrawal symptoms described in the DSM-IV, the dependence criteria are applicable to a wide range of psychoactive substances.

The advantage of the FTQ is that it was specifically developed to evaluate the physical dependence to nicotine. The correlations between FTQ/FTND and the DSM-IV diagnosis of nicotine dependence are weak to moderate, suggesting that these instruments depict different dimensions of nicotine addiction. The DSM-IV criteria are shown in Chart 3.

The items that correspond to the general use of psychoactive substances include the DSM-IV criteria for nicotine dependence, which include tolerance and six other criteria. Therefore, the individuals realize that the behavior of using nicotine has already become a problem that somehow affects their life. As for the FTND, its items are more objective.

It is important to bear in mind the complexity of nicotine dependence, and that instruments are being developed to characterize its principal dimensions. There are as yet no measures of nicotine dependence that incorporate the parameters of the subjacent neuropathological processes and establish its severity.

Other means to evaluate the nicotine dependence are the tests that measure its principal metabolite—cotinine—and the expired carbon monoxide (CO) level. These tests are very useful, when available, to monitor progress in serial evaluations of a smoker. When determining cotinine levels in saliva, serum and urine, the cut-off points for active smokers are 10, 15, and 100 ng/ml, respectively. In general, cotinine levels correlate well with the intensity of dependence measured using the FTND.

The measurement of expired CO is an easy-to-use, low-cost, noninvasive indicator that provides an immediate result, a cut-off point of 6 ppm demonstrating good specificity to evaluate the smoking habit.
any expectation of abstinence. The style of the professional can also have an influence on smoker motivation. Therefore, characteristics such as gentility, authenticity, respect, and empathy are greatly valued.

The motivational interview (MI) is an approach that focuses on the smoker, designed to help resolve the ambivalence related to smoking and change the behavioral stage.

During the MI, a communicative scenario should be built between the patient and the health professional, in order to create a favorable environment for the patient to verbalize conflicts, fears and expectations.

A set of actions (welcoming, listening, demonstrating respect, showing understanding, remaining tranquil, reducing distress, etc.) are essential to understand the ambivalent universe in which the smoker lives.

The practice of these fundamentals can reduce anxiety, on the part of the patient as well as on the part of the health professional.

**Chart 3 - DSM-IV diagnostic criteria for nicotine dependence.**

1. Daily consumption of nicotine, per week.
2. Symptoms with the sudden interruption or pronounced reduction in nicotine consumption for 24 h or more: depression status or dysphoric mood, insomnia, irritability, anxiety, difficulty to concentrate, restlessness, decreased heart rate, increased appetite or weight.
3. Symptoms described in criteria 2 that produce clinically significant malaise, with deterioration in social and work areas or in important areas of activity.
4. The symptoms do not originate from a clinical disease, nor are they explained by the presence of other mental disorder.

**DSM:** Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders.

### Evaluation of the motivation level

Motivation favors the decision making process, which is also true for drug consumption behaviors. Prochaska & DiClemente (1982) developed a transtheoretical model that describes the readiness to change as stages through which the individual passes. This model is based on the premise that every behavioral change is a process, and that people have various levels of motivation, or readiness to change. The stages of change in patients entering treatment to stop smoking are as follows:

- **Precontemplation:** There is no intention to stop, nor is there even the realization that the smoking behavior is undesirable.
- **Contemplation:** Although there is awareness that smoking is a problem, there is ambivalence about the perspective of changing.
- **Preparation:** There is a readiness to stop smoking (when the patient accepts to choose a strategy to change the behavior).
- **Action:** The person stops smoking (the patient takes action that leads to the behavioral change).
- **Maintenance:** The patient should learn strategies to prevent recidivism and consolidate the gains obtained during the action stage. At this stage, the process of change can conclude or there can be relapse.

Motivation is an essential condition to start the treatment; its absence practically negates any expectation of abstinence. The style of the professional can also have an influence on smoker motivation. Therefore, characteristics such as gentility, authenticity, respect, and empathy are greatly valued.

The motivational interview (MI) is an approach that focuses on the smoker, designed to help resolve the ambivalence related to smoking and change the behavioral stage.

During the MI, a communicative scenario should be built between the patient and the health professional, in order to create a favorable environment for the patient to verbalize conflicts, fears and expectations.

A set of actions (welcoming, listening, demonstrating respect, showing understanding, remaining tranquil, reducing distress, etc.) are essential to understand the ambivalent universe in which the smoker lives.

The practice of these fundamentals can reduce anxiety, on the part of the patient as well as on the part of the health professional. Chart 4 shows the principal differences between classical informative interviews and motivational interviews.

The following are the objectives to be achieved with the MI technique:

- to listen attentively to the needs of the smoker regarding the conflict caused by nicotine dependence
- to give empathic and objective answers that can culminate in positive expectations about abstinence (this should lead to a creative step that promotes change)
- to minimize, in the first interview, the uncertainties and the stress that result from recidivism
- to analyze and understand ambivalence as a perturbing element in the decision process
- to individualize the conflicts between smoker and tobacco, between patient and dependence, and between patient and abstinence
- to preserve the value of maintaining the abstinence

For an effective motivational approach, general motivation strategies, such as those described by Miller & Rollnick, should be applied—offer orientation, remove obstacles, provide choices, reduce the value of aspects that lead to the smoking behavior,
practice empathy, provide feedback, clarify objectives and help actively.\cite{16}

Building a structured link of trust between the health professional team and the smoker is also extremely important, because smokers are often afraid that they will stop smoking and then relapse.

**Evaluation of the genetic profile**

Genetic studies have shown that smoking initiation, dependence level, difficulty in quitting, and abstinence maintenance are widely determined by a type of complex inheritance, which involves various genetic polymorphisms. It is estimated that genetic factors are responsible for up to 60% of initiation risk and up to 70% of dependence maintenance.\cite{14,25}

Various polymorphisms have already been identified. However, some are being more widely studied, especially due to the consistency of findings related to different aspects of smoking.

Some genes are related to cellular intercommunication, others to cell adhesion and others to the extracellular matrix. These genes are common to various addictions. This information is consistent with the idea that neuroplasticity and the learning pathways are essential to the differences that appear to explain the vulnerability to nicotine.

Among the various systems, the dopaminergic system has been the most widely studied, and the serotonergic system is also being studied.\cite{26}

The polymorphisms in the genes that regulate the monoamine oxidase enzymes (MAO-A and MAO-B) and the polymorphism in the gene CYP2A6, responsible for the transformation of nicotine into cotinine in the liver, are likely involved.\cite{27,28}

Despite this increasing knowledge, the role of inheritance in the daily practice of smoking management remains unclear.

There is still no standardized definition of smoker phenotypes, which would enable the comparison of the results reported. In addition, there is the interaction of the various genes with the environment itself, and the individual experiences of each smoker, as well as the broad superposition of the effects of nicotine on those of other addictive substances, either licit or illicit.\cite{28} Therefore, the real impact of this knowledge on daily practice, as well as on the evaluation and treatment of smokers, has yet to be established.\cite{29}

**Therapeutic approach**

**Motivational interventions**

The methods based on the cognitive behavior therapy (CBT)\cite{15,30} are essential to the approach to smokers in all clinical situations, even when supportive pharmacological therapy is necessary.\cite{31}

Smokers should feel accepted by their physicians, who should treat them with a welcoming attitude of empathy, respect, and trust. There is no “ideal moment” to stop smoking. Even with severe and incapacitating comorbidities, smoking cessation improves the quality of life and self-esteem, which are often impaired by underlying diseases.

The CBT should be offered either in individual or group treatment. The sessions should take place every week during the first month (cessation), once every 2 weeks during the subsequent three months (until the intensive approach is completed) and once a month thereafter, until one year has passed.\cite{32}

Support material should be prepared and provided to patients to reinforce the orientation, having as a model the materials prepared by the Brazilian National Cancer Institute for the national program for smoking control.\cite{33}

Precontemplative smokers should be encouraged to think about stopping smoking. It is important to inform them about the bad consequences of smoking, the benefits of cessation, and the risks to the health of those who are indirectly exposed to smoke.

**Chart 4** - Differences between the classical informative interview and the motivational interview.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Classical informative interview</th>
<th>Motivational interview</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>• Advises</td>
<td>• Encourages to act</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Tries to persuade</td>
<td>• Favors positioning, helping in reflection</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Repeats the advice</td>
<td>• Summarizes the points of view</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Acts with authority</td>
<td>• Acts by facilitating the decision to change</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Acts quickly</td>
<td>• Progressive action</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

**J Bras Pneumol. 2008;34(10):845-880**
Contemplative smokers should be encouraged to set a date within the upcoming 30 days to stop smoking, if possible. They should identify the reasons that lead them to smoke and how they can overcome them. In subsequent appointments, it is necessary to revisit this subject until they have decided to stop smoking.

When the patient moves into the action stage, the immediate definition of a cessation date should be encouraged. An action plan should be developed with the patient, evaluating the reasons for smoking, as well as outlining strategies for resisting the urge to smoke and learning how to live without smoking. From the cessation date onward, smokers should avoid everything that reminds them of smoking (not carrying cigarettes, ashtrays or lighters; not drinking coffee or alcohol).

In order to fight the craving, smokers should be instructed to drink liquids, suck on ice, chew something (diet chewing gums or candies; ginger, cinnamon, etc.), that is, use oral gratification substitutes.

Strategies for keeping the hands busy, such as writing, typing, sewing and painting, have proven quite useful. These activities reduce the search for pleasure sources related to smoking, obviously characterized by oral and manual satisfaction.

Smokers who are under maintenance should have their progress and difficulties monitored through appointments or telephone contacts to prevent recidivism. Patients need to be aware that smoking is a chronic disease, and that they should not light or take a drag from a cigarette, since this can cause them to start smoking again.

To avoid recidivism, patients should be encouraged to identify risk situations in their routine and devise strategies to deal with those situations. If, for any reason, recidivism occurs, it should be accepted by the professional without criticism, maintaining the atmosphere of trust and support demonstrated previously.

Coping skills training for smokers aims at recognizing risk situations and developing strategies to overcome them, regardless of the motivational stage. The intensive approach, which implies personal and reiterated contact, creates the best opportunity to work on these strategies (level A).15,33,34

This component refers to psychosocial treatment intervention (PTI). There is evidence of a dose–response relationship between the PTI intensity and the success rate. All PTI forms, either face-to-face (group or individual) or by telephone, have high cessation and cost-effectiveness rates (level A).32,35,36

The cognitive behavioral techniques help smokers modify their behavioral pattern, avoiding situations linked to recidivism. This is reflected in learning how to resist to smoking compulsivity and in adopting strategies to counter the smoking habit.

Smokers should learn how to recognize withdrawal symptoms and duration, and be prepared to deal with them, especially in their first days without smoking. The principal symptom – craving (imperative desire to smoke) – usually diminishes within 1 to 5 min, and it is important to develop a replacement strategy until this symptom disappears.

Social support consists of reinforcing the motivation to stop, emphasizing the advantages of cessation, increasing self-efficacy, fighting against beliefs and rationalizations surrounding smoking, preventing residual cessation problems (weight gain, irritability, bad mood), and supporting the smoker in resolving ambivalence if the motivation declines.

The social support from friends and relatives is essential in resisting the urge to smoke. A smoke-free environment at the workplace and at home, as well as the act of encouraging other smokers to seek help, greatly contributes to strengthen the recovery.

Chart 5 shows the strategies that are considered effective for smokers in the preparation stage.

**Drug therapy**

The use of drugs is an additional resource in the treatment of smokers when the behavioral approach is not sufficient due to the presence of a high level of nicotine dependence. The drugs with efficacy evidence are classified as nicotinic or non-nicotinic.

Nicotine replacement therapy (NRT), bupropion and varenicline are considered first-line treatments,
whereas nortriptyline and clonidine are considered second-line treatments.

**Nicotine replacement therapies**

Nicotine, the substance primarily responsible for dependence, has been used in smoking cessation treatments since 1984. The aim of NRT is to replace the nicotine obtained through smoking with lower and safer doses, reducing craving and other withdrawal symptoms.\(^{(38)}\)

All NRT forms are efficient in smoking cessation and nearly double the cessation rate in the long term when compared with placebo (level A).\(^{(38)}\)

There are two NRT presentation forms: slow release (nicotine patches); and rapid release (gum, oral inhaler, nasal spray and tablets).\(^{(39)}\) Although the efficacy of the different presentations is equivalent, adherence to the treatment is higher with patches (level A).\(^{(40)}\) All NRT forms release nicotine to the brain in lower quantities and at slower rates than do cigarettes.\(^{(43)}\)

The rapid release treatments are more effective in control cravings. However, they carry a higher risk of dependence.\(^{(42)}\) They are short-course treatments that allow patients to control their administration according to their needs.\(^{(42)}\)

The chewing gum—with alkaline pH—provides nicotine absorption via the oral mucosa. The plasma concentration achieves approximately half the dose existing in the presentation. Preference should be given to 4-mg chewing gum in patients with high chemical dependence level.\(^{(43)}\)

The nicotine tablet is more quickly absorbed by the oral mucosa and is simpler than the chewing gum. The difficulties found in gum management (periodontal diseases and temporomandibular joint) typically do not occur when the tablets are used.\(^{(44)}\) Currently, only patches and gums are available in Brazil.

---

**Chart 5 - Effective strategies for patients who are prepared to stop smoking (adapted from Fiore et al., 2000).**\(^{(37)}\)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Psychosocial therapies</th>
<th>Construction of strategies</th>
<th>Examples</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Develop capabilities to solve problems Identify recidivism risk</td>
<td>Identify recidivism risk</td>
<td>Recognize stress, negative feelings, interaction with other smokers, alcohol, distress, anxiety, sadness, depression.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Develop replacement strategies</td>
<td>Learn strategies:</td>
<td>• reduce negative mood (relax, take a shower, do something pleasant, listen to music, read, exercise);</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• control urgency for smoking (walk, distract yourself, drink water or juice, brush your teeth, chew gum).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inform about dependence</td>
<td>Recognize withdrawal (symptoms, duration); learn about the addictive nature of nicotine (one drag can cause recidivism); know that craving soon disappears (within 3 min); learn replacement strategies to get through difficult moments.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Support from health professionals team Encourage cessation attempts</td>
<td>There is an efficient treatment to stop smoking. Half of all smokers are able to stop with some help. Transmit confidence: ability to succeed.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Care for/answer questions and fears Favor cessation plan</td>
<td>Question the feeling of stopping, offering support, always open to restlessness, fears and ambivalence.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Social support to relatives and friends Ask for social and family support</td>
<td>Work on the reasons to stop, the doubts, and concerns about cessation, results obtained and difficulties.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Make the capabilities development easy</td>
<td>Provide guidance regarding family and social containment, announce the “D” day to relatives and friends; stimulate a tobacco-free environment, at home and at the workplace, and ask for cooperation.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Encourage support for other smokers</td>
<td>Identify people who support the recovery (ask for support, not smoking in your presence, not offering cigarettes, observing transitory mood changes).</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---
Bupropion hydrochloride

Bupropion hydrochloride is an atypical slow-acting antidepressant recommended by the U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA) as a first-line drug for the treatment of smokers. Various studies have consistently shown the effectiveness of bupropion in the treatment of nicotine dependence (level A).

Its mechanism could be explained by the reduction in the neuronal transport of neurotransmitters—dopamine and noradrenalin—or the antagonism to nicotinic receptors, leading to a decrease in the compulsion to smoke. Although relevant, the treatment of depressive comorbidity does not completely explain its effect.

Bupropion achieves the maximum plasma concentration in 3 h and strongly binds to plasma proteins; it has a half-life of 19 h, is metabolized in the liver, is excreted by the kidney and achieves the state of equilibrium within five days.

The treatment with bupropion should begin 7 days before the patient stops smoking. The maximum recommended dose for smoking cessation is 300 mg/day. In case of intolerance to the prescribed dose, the posology can be adjusted. In elderly patients with renal or liver failure, the dose should be reduced to 150 mg/day.

The partial agonist properties of varenicline, which result in moderate activation of the α4β2 nicotinic receptors, explain the relief of withdrawal symptoms.
that, although the combined therapy is better than NRT alone, it is only equivalent to the bupropion monotherapy.

Despite the improvement of cessation rates, the extent to which these combinations can be efficacious in smoking cessation remains unclear.\(^{(53,69)}\) The combinations with proven efficacy are as follows:

- Prolonged use of nicotine patches (\(>14\) weeks) + other NRT (gum or spray);
- Nicotine patches + nicotine inhalers;
- Nicotine patches + bupropion (approved by the FDA).

**Combined therapy**

Some combinations of first-line drugs, such as bupropion and NRT, have demonstrated effectiveness in smoking cessation.\(^{(22)}\) The results suggest

---

**Table 1 - Nicotine replacement therapy: characteristics and recommendations.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Classification</th>
<th>Nicotine, first-line treatment for smoking. Approved by FDA. Level of evidence A.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mechanism of action</td>
<td>• Acts by binding with the nicotinic receptors in the central nervous system.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| Absorption                | • Patch: slow and continuous absorption through the skin during 24 h, with serum level stabilization between 8-10 h.  
|                           | • Gum: the serum level achieves the peak 20 min after use.                        |
|                           | • Tablet: the absorption is faster than that of the gum.                          |
| Metabolism                | • Only 5% of the nicotine binds to the plasma proteins. It is metabolized in the liver. |
|                           | • Patch: the nicotine is continually released and the absorption corresponds to 75% of the total contained in the patches. |
|                           | • Gums and tablets: The nicotine absorption is influenced by the salivary pH, and the nicotine bioavailability is half the dose contained in the gum/tablet. |
| Elimination               | • Continuous and in small quantities in the kidney.                               |
| Indication                | • Relief craving and withdrawal effects. Dependence level (Fagerström) = 5 or higher. Facilitate the behavioral approach. Consider patient preference in the absence of contra-indications. |
| Presentation and posology | • 2 or 4 mg gums: (1 gum every 1-2 h interval if craving occurs). Average dose: 8-12 gums/day, not exceeding 24 units. No beverage or food intake 15 min before or during use. Chew until a characteristic flavor appears, after which it should be placed between gum and cheek. Repeat this procedure during 30 min.  
|                           | • Patches with 21, 14 or 7 mg: 21 mg/day (4 weeks), 14 mg (4 weeks) and 7 mg (2 weeks). Doses > 21 mg = more dependent smokers. Place at waking, covered area without hair (between neck and waist), in the chosen day to stop smoking, replacing every 24 h (or removing after 16 h of use, at night, prior to sleeping) and rotate placement sites.  
|                           | • Tablets: should be used every 1/1 h or 2/2 h, reducing progressively. Minimum 9 and maximum 15 tablets/day. |
| Treatment period          | • It is recommended the use of up to 12 weeks for nicotine gums or tablets, and 8-10 weeks for patches, but should be individualized to meet the needs of every patient. |
| Safety                    | • The nicotine replacement therapy is safe, and no severe side effects are described. |
| Tolerability              | • Good                                                                             |
| Adverse effects           | • Gum/Tablet: aphthous ulcers, salivation, hiccup, dyspepsia, pharyngeal irritation, temporomandibular joint pain, softened teeth, headache, nausea.  
|                           | • Patch: local skin reactions (itchiness, erythema), dermis infiltration, bulla, insomnia, hypersalivation, nausea, and vomiting. |
| Precautions               | • Heart disease or severe arrhythmias. Cautious: diabetes, hyperthyroidism, and feochromocytom (adrenergic stimulus). |
| Compared efficacy         | • Cochrane Review: OR = 1.74 (95% CI: 1.64-1.86) favoring abstinence when compared to placebo. Combined therapy can be superior than monotherapy: OR = 1.55 (95% CI: 1.17-2.05).\(^{(69)}\) |

FDA: U.S. Food and Drug Administration.

and craving.\(^{(63)}\) In addition, blocking the nicotine binding to the receptor, it reduces the satisfaction while smoking, providing negative reinforcement for those who continue smoking while using the drug, due to its antagonist properties.\(^{(63)}\) The principal characteristics of varenicline are summarized in Table 3.
Second-line drug therapy

Nortriptyline

Nortriptyline is a tricyclic antidepressant that blocks the noradrenaline reuptake at the presynapse, increasing its concentration in the synaptic fissure. It is considered a second-line drug in the treatment of smokers. The FDA has not yet approved its use for the treatment of smokers.

Evidence suggests that the mechanism of action of nortriptyline in smoking cessation is independent of its antidepressant effect, and its efficacy is similar to that obtained with NRT or bupropion. Its mechanism of action in nicotine dependence remains unknown. It promotes a reduction in withdrawal symptoms, also presenting anxiolytic action and anti-cholinergic side effects, such as dry mouth, tremors, blurred vision and sedation. It doubles the chance of quitting smoking when compared with placebo (OR = 2.34, 95% CI: 1.61–3.41). Recent studies have provided evidence that the combined therapy with NRT provides additional long-term benefit. The recommended posology is a single dose of 25 mg/day, gradually increased over a 3-week period until reaching 75–100 mg/day. The “D” day should

Table 2 – Bupropion hydrochloride: characteristics and recommendations.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Classification</th>
<th>Non-nicotine, first-line treatment for smoking. Approved by FDA in 1997. Level of evidence A.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mechanism of action</td>
<td>Acts expressively blocking the dopamine, noradrenaline, and serotonin neuronal reuptake in the accumbens nucleus.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Absorption</td>
<td>Rapid, by the digestive system, peaking in plasma within 3 h, remaining high in renal insufficiency.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Metabolism</td>
<td>Average life of 21 h. Hepatic metabolism, especially by isoenzyme CYP2B6, which can be affected by drugs, such as cimetidine, sodium valporate, and cyclophosphamide. This inhibits the CYP2D6 activity, reducing beta blockers and antiarrhythmic agents’ metabolism.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elimination</td>
<td>Slow kidney release (87%).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indication</td>
<td>Relief of craving and withdrawal effects. Dependence level: Fagerström score ≥ 5 points. Favor the behavioral approach. Consider patient preference in the absence of contra-indications.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Presentation and posology</td>
<td>150 mg tablets; use 150 mg/day during 3 days, 300 mg from the fourth day to the end of treatment, in two doses, the last until 16 h.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Treatment period</td>
<td>The use is recommended until 12 weeks. There is not enough evidence about the effects of prolonged use of bupropion to prevent recidivism.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Safety</td>
<td>Convulsion risk in usual dose: 1:1.000 patients. Interaction with drugs that act in cytochrome P 450: tricyclic agents, selective inhibitors of serotonin reuptake, beta blockers, some antiarrhythmic agents, and antipsychotic agents. Not established safety: pregnant women, breastfeeding women, and adolescents with less than 18 years of age.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tolerability</td>
<td>Generally, it is well tolerated. Avoid use with alcohol, and anorexic, psychotropic, and illicit drugs.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adverse effects</td>
<td>Most common effects: insomnia, headache, dry mouth, dizziness, increased MAP. Other described effects: cardiac arrhythmia, migraine headache, nausea, vomiting, constipation, abdominal pain, convulsion, anorexia, anemia, leukopenia, thrombocytopenia, bruises, hypoprolactinemia, urticaria.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contra-indications</td>
<td>Absolute: epilepsy, fever convulsion in children, CNS tumor, EEG abnormalities, head injury, use of MAO inhibitors in the last 15 days. Relative: avoid concomitant use: carbamazepine, cimetidine, barbiturics, phenytoin, antipsychotics, theophylline, systemic corticosteroids, pseudoephedrine, oral hypoglycemic/insulin. Non-controlled systemic arterial hypertension.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Combined interventions</td>
<td>Indication: unsuccessful monotherapy, anxiety disorders, absence of contra-indications. There is evidence of long term benefits in the association of bupropion with NRT (A).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Compared efficacy</td>
<td>Cochrane Review: OR = 1.94 (95% CI: 1.72-2.19) favoring abstinence when compared with placebo.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

FDA: U.S. Food and Drug Administration; MAP: mean arterial pressure; CNS: central nervous system; EEG: electroencephalogram; MAO: monoamine oxidase; NRT: nicotine replacement therapy.
ment of smokers, because it has less anticholinergic effect than do other tricyclics, lower risk of provoking convulsions and a lower cost.\(^{(75)}\)

Table 4 shows the principal studies and results obtained with nortriptyline in the treatment of smokers.

Clonidine is a central alpha-2 adrenergic receptor agonist that is primarily used as an antihypertensive.

**Table 3** - Varenicline tartrate: characteristics and recommendations.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Classification</th>
<th>Non-nicotine, first-line treatment for smoking. Approved by FDA and by the European Commission in 2006. Level of evidence A.(^{(64)})</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mechanism of action</td>
<td>• Partial agonist of nicotinic receptors. Promotes the dopamine release in the CNS while selectively activating α4β2 receptors, however, in lower quantities than those released by nicotine.(^{(65)})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Absorption</td>
<td>• Almost completely absorbed after oral administration, with high systemic availability; maximum concentration in approximately 3 h; achieves balance status 4 days after repeated administration.(^{(56)})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Metabolism</td>
<td>• Minimum metabolization; there is no need to adjust the doses in cases of liver failure. The bioavailability is not affected by food and administration time. It does not affect the pharmacokinetic of other drugs used in the treatment of smokers, such as bupropion and nicotine patches. When administered simultaneously with cimetidine, there was an increase of approximately 30% in systemic exposure.(^{(66)})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elimination</td>
<td>• Renal (92%); excreted unchanged.(^{(66)})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indication</td>
<td>• Relieve of craving and withdrawal effects. Dependence level (Fagerström) ≥ 5 points. Favors the behavioral approach. Consider patient preference in the absence of contra-indications.(^{(64)})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Presentation and posology</td>
<td>• 0.5- and 1-mg tablets. From first to third day: 1 tablet (0.5 mg), once a day. From fourth to seventh day: 1 tablet (0.5 mg), 12/12 h. From eighth to end of treatment: 1 tablet (1 mg), 12/12 h.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Treatment period</td>
<td>• The use is recommended up to 12 weeks. Extending it for more 12 weeks can increase the probability of continued abstinence in the long term.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Safety</td>
<td>• There are no reports of death related to the treatment; there are no studies about the drug safety in pregnant and breastfeeding women, and adolescents; the use in functionally healthy smokers older than 65 years was also considered viable after pharmacokinetic, safety, and tolerability studies with one and multiple doses, with no need to adjust the dose.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tolerability</td>
<td>• The most frequent adverse effect is nausea, which is be reported by up to one third of patients, however, with treatment interruption rates of 3%, that is, in the great majority of cases they are mild and moderate events that disappear continuing the treatment.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adverse effects</td>
<td>• Most common effects (&gt; 10%): increased appetite, sleepiness, dizziness, change in sense of taste, vomiting, constipation, diarre, abdominal distention, stomach discomfort, flatulence, dry mouth, fatigue, dyspnea, and rhinorrhea.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Precautions</td>
<td>• The use has been associated with depressive mood, agitation and suicidal ideation or behavior. FDA has been published warnings about it.(^{(63,67)})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contra-indications</td>
<td>• Absolute: Hypersensitivity to varenicline and severe renal insufficiency.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Compared efficacy</td>
<td>• Placebo (Cochrane): odds ratio (OR) = 4.07 (95% CI: 3.28–5.05), 3.53 (95% CI: 2.74–4.54), and 3.22 (95% CI: 2.43–4.27) for continuous abstinence in the third, sixth, and 12th months, respectively.(^{(63)}) Abandonment and recidivism rates were higher in the groups that used placebo.(^{(61)})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Bupropion (Cochrane): OR = 1.66 (95% CI: 1.28–2.16).(^{(63)})</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• NRT (Cochrane): OR = 1.70 (95% CI: 1.26–2.28) for continuous abstinence in 4 weeks and OR = 1.40 (95% CI: 0.99–1.99) in 52 weeks. There was a significant reduction in withdrawal symptoms, craving, and satisfaction obtained while smoking (lapse).(^{(63,68)})</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

FDA: U.S. Food and Drug Administration; CNS: central nervous system; NRT: nicotine replacement therapy.

be established based on the time at which the therapeutic level is achieved, which can take up to 28 days. The treatment period should be three months. The use of nortriptyline is not recommended in patients with acute myocardial infarction (AMI) or arrhythmias, due to its potential to induce conduction disorders. It is contraindicated in patients with liver failure, epilepsy or psychosis, as well as in breastfeeding women.

Despite the described side effects, nortriptyline can be advantageous as an alternative in the treatment of smokers, because it has less anticholinergic effect than do other tricyclics, lower risk of provoking convulsions and a lower cost.\(^{(75)}\)

Table 4 shows the principal studies and results obtained with nortriptyline in the treatment of smokers.

**Clonidine**

Clonidine is a central alpha-2 adrenergic receptor agonist that is primarily used as an antihypertensive.
and in the control of nicotine dependence withdrawal symptoms.

Meta-analyses show that clonidine as much as doubles the chance of smoking cessation compared with placebo. Comparatively, it is as efficient as NRT and bupropion. However, its use is limited by the high incidence of side effects, such as dry mouth, sedation, sleepiness, orthostatic hypotension, depression, constipation and sleep disorders.

The recommended dose is 0.1 mg/day, gradually increased until reaching 0.4 mg/day. Patients should be advised to stop smoking two or three days after starting the drug, which should be continued for 3-4 weeks or until the withdrawal symptoms are controlled. The weaning should be gradual in order to avoid rebound hypertension and hypoglycemia. Due to its side effects, clonidine is classified as a second-line drug.

Other pharmacological proposals

Table 5 summarizes the principal characteristics of other drugs used in the treatment of smokers, describing their mechanism of action and observed level of evidence.

Future proposal: immunotherapy

Vaccines against nicotine act by stimulating the immune system to produce specific antibodies that bind with high affinity to nicotine in the plasma and in extracellular fluids.

The nicotine, when binding to the antibodies, cannot cross the blood-brain barrier, due to its size. Therefore, it breaks the vicious cycle of the satisfaction produced at the brain level.

Currently, the principal vaccines being studied are Nic-VAX®, TA-Nic® and Nic-Qb®. The three vaccines, now being tested in phase II and III clinical trials, seem to be safe and well tolerated. Although the protocols were different and the samples were small, studies involving these vaccines indicate that they represent a potentially effective therapeutic and preventive method of treating nicotine dependence.

Other non-pharmacological interventions

The treatment of smokers involves additional strategies that can be incorporated into the routine of many professionals. However, some of them are still being tested. The principal strategies are described below:

- Over The Counter (OTC) Devices: Smoke-free inhalers, nicotine filters, tobacco extract gel and other devices have been marketed without prescription, although without any good quality methodological study showing a favorable response.

- Self-help materials and brief counseling: Both increase smoking cessation rates. Brief counseling should be practiced by all health professionals. These techniques allow us to reach a significant number of smokers and create an important opportunity to promote cessation.

- Individual and group intensive counseling: Both present treatment efficacy, however, there is still no conclusion as to which presents better cost-effectiveness (the psychological counseling heterogeneity makes it difficult to compare studies). Intensive individual counseling provides the best results when performed by physicians, followed by multi-disciplinary teams, dentists and nurses.

- Treatment via the Internet: The initial evidence indicates a possible benefit, however, new studies with appropriate methodology are necessary to obtain a better definition of its role.
• Oriented physical activity: Engaging in physical activity during smoking cessation attempts has been shown to relieve nicotine withdrawal symptoms, which makes it a recommended alternative. However, there is still no evidence of long-term benefits.\textsuperscript{(102)}
• Acupuncture, Hypnotherapy, Laser Therapy, Electrostimulation, and Biomedical Risk Evaluation (measurement of expired CO levels and spirometry): There is still no scientific evidence that these methods increase the smoking cessation rates, which makes their recommendation impossible based on the current knowledge.\textsuperscript{(103-105)}

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Drug</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Efficacy</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Silver acetate</td>
<td>The silver acetate-based products (gum, tablet, spray) produce an unpleasant metallic taste when combined with cigarettes. They are one of the aversive techniques of smoking cessation. However, a review of the literature reveals little evidence that these products facilitate smoking cessation.\textsuperscript{(81,82)}</td>
<td>Conclusive evidence for abstinence maintenance.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cannabis type 1 antagonist</td>
<td>The use of nicotine in the long run can disorganize the cerebral endocannabinoid system, which regulates food ingestion and energy. These drugs can help in cessation through system reeducation, decreasing the desire for food and nicotine.\textsuperscript{(103)}</td>
<td>Rimonabant 20 mg can increase the chance of cessation in 1.5 times compared to placebo. Adverse effects: nausea, infections of the upper respiratory tract. Recent studies show suicidal thoughts and ideation in people who take this drug to control weight.\textsuperscript{(83)}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Opioid antagonists</td>
<td>Naltrexone: drug that attenuates the opioid effects (heroin and morphine) and could help in nicotine dependence by blocking some gratification effects. However, there is insufficient evidence to demonstrate its effect in smoking cessation.\textsuperscript{(104)}</td>
<td>A dose of 20 mg can moderate the weight gain in the long term.\textsuperscript{(83)}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Antidepressants</td>
<td>Selective Serotonin-Reuptake Inhibitors: fluoxetine, paroxetine, and sertraline – a review of six studies revealed no evidence of significant effects in cessation in the long term.\textsuperscript{(104)}</td>
<td>Moclobemide (IMAO) and Venlafaxine: a clinical trial also showed no evidence of significant long-term benefits in cessation.\textsuperscript{(54)}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nicobrevin</td>
<td>Composed of quinine, menthyl valerate, camphor, and eucalyptus oil: data in the literature do not support its use in smoking cessation.\textsuperscript{(88)}</td>
<td>Selegiline (IMAO-B): promising drug, the first studies have demonstrated similar cessation rates to those of NRT in one year. Controlled studies are necessary to better evaluate its benefits in cessation.\textsuperscript{(87)}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mecamylamine</td>
<td>Anti-hypertensive that can block the effects of nicotine gratification. In high doses, it has significant side effects: sleepiness, hypotension, constipation. This drug does not have a significant effect on cessation rates, however, it can increase the NRT efficacy in lower doses.\textsuperscript{(89)}</td>
<td>Other tricyclic antidepressants: imipramine, doxepin – studies have not demonstrated benefits in smoking cessation.\textsuperscript{(104)}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Methoxsalen</td>
<td>Inhibitor of hepatic cytochrome P450, more specifically the CYP2A6, which alters the nicotine metabolism. It shows incipient evidence of benefits in smoking cessation, however, most of the studies employed methodologies that were inappropriate to provide conclusive evidence.\textsuperscript{(54,71)}</td>
<td>Lobeline: Alkaloid derived from an Indian tobacco plant, it has been widely used in commercial formulations for smoking cessation. Adverse effects: dizziness, nausea, vomiting, and throat irritation. There is no evidence that this drug can help in cessation.\textsuperscript{(80)}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aversive techniques</td>
<td>The results of available trials suggest that they can be effective, but this evidence is not conclusive because the studies have many methodological problems.\textsuperscript{(91)}</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

NRT: nicotine replacement therapy.
Response in the nervous system is different, and the principal symptoms of withdrawal syndrome are more common among women.\(^{113}\)

Women smoke more cigarettes with reduced concentrations of nicotine, receive more medical counseling and more often believe that smoking causes cancer. Among the reasons for smoking, women cite a great feeling of pleasure and relaxation. As obstacles to cessation, they mention the fear of gaining weight and the difficulty to deal with the stress without smoking.\(^{108}\)

It is therefore important to consider, during the approach to the female smoker, the recommendations specific to this population:\(^{114-116}\)

- to monitor the symptoms reported (depression and anxiety)
- to reinforce measures to avoid unexpected weight gain (eating reorientation, exercises)
- to consider specific strategies in behavioral approach aiming at increasing the motivation and the coping skills
- to personalize (individualize) the drug approach
- to monitor the use of oral contraceptives
- to evaluate cardiovascular risk factors
- to consider the impact of cessation on fertility, physical aspects (acceleration of the aging process), early menopause and osteoporosis
- to warn of the maternal and fetal risks of smoking when planning a pregnancy

Pregnant women

Fetal exposure to maternal smoking is the most serious example of passive smoking. Approximately 60 studies involving a total of 500,000 pregnant women showed, with strong evidence, that neonates born to female smokers present lower birth weights than do those born to nonsmoking women (mean reduction of 200 g) and are twice as likely to be born prematurely.\(^{117}\)

There is evidence that the exposure of nonsmoking pregnant women to environmental tobacco smoke (ETS) also reduces birth weights (by an average of 33 g).\(^{117}\) The risk of other undesirable outcomes (placenta previa, ectopic pregnancy, spontaneous miscarriage and sudden infant death) is also higher in pregnant smokers.\(^{117}\)
A decrease in the pulmonary function of neonates born to female smokers can contribute to the development or aggravation of asthma during the lifetime of these children, as well as increasing their susceptibility to bronchial hyperreactivity and predisposing them to COPD in adult life. Smoking is one of the few avoidable causes of these outcomes.

Interventions during pregnancy have a high cost-effectiveness relationship in preserving life and reducing damages to health. The highest smoking cessation rates in women occur during pregnancy. However, only one-third remain abstinent after one year, a fact that demonstrates the importance of interventions to avoid recidivism.

A meta-analysis of 64 studies involving a total of 20,000 pregnant women showed a significant smoking reduction during pregnancy in the group that received early intervention to stop smoking (RR: 0.94; 95% CI: 0.93-0.95).

The 16 trials that contained perinatal information revealed that smoking cessation provided a decrease in low birth weight (RR: 0.81; 95% CI: 0.70-0.94) and premature birth (RR: 0.84; 95% CI: 0.72-0.98), and an overall increase in birth weight (11-55 g). However, the results of interventions in recidivism did not show a statistical significance (RR: 0.80; 95% CI: 0.63-1.03).

The following recommendations should be considered when approaching this special group of patients:

- **Orientation:** Brief counseling and coping skills training to avoid recidivism should be part of the prenatal routine (level A). Pregnant women should be instructed to stop smoking without taking any drug, whenever possible.

- **Information:** Provide clear, accurate, and specific information, as early as possible, about the risks to the fetus and the pregnant woman, with the recommendation to stop smoking (level A).

- **Interventions:** Provide intensive interventions with trained specialists whenever possible (level A).

- **Drug therapy:** The use of NRT during pregnancy depends on each case. The drug risks (potential toxicity to the fetal central nervous system) should always be considered in relation to the possible benefits obtained with cessation. Rapid release forms, such as nicotine gum (level C), should be preferred. The NRT discontinuation should be strongly recommended if the pregnant woman starts smoking again. According to international guidelines, there are benefits to the mother and the fetus if NRT results in smoking cessation (level C). According to the evidence currently available, bupropion and varenicline are not recommended for the treatment of smoking in pregnant women.

- **Follow-up:** The interventions should be offered throughout the pregnancy due to the benefits to the pregnant woman and the fetus that can result from abstinence in any pregnancy stage (level B).

It is estimated that 40% of pregnant women stop smoking spontaneously. First, for the health of the child and second, for their own health. Interventions are indicated for those who continue smoking because, in general, they present a higher level of psychosocial problems and nicotine dependence. The choice between individual or group counseling should be made by the pregnant woman. Materials developed specifically for pregnant women reinforce this information.

The following are some relevant considerations in the approach to the female smoker:

- The most efficient intervention in maternal smoking is to prevent its initiation and stimulate cessation in young women before they get pregnant, through actions such as prohibiting smoking in public places, increasing the price of cigarettes, stimulating the practice of sports and implementing smoking cessation programs, even in the workplace.

- **Pregnancy:** Should be an opportunity for interventions, aiming at maternal and fetal health, and also for the woman to stop smoking for the rest of her life.

- **More studies are necessary to define the safety and efficacy of the drug therapy during pregnancy, including the risk/benefit relationship as a result of the level of nicotine dependence and the drug.**

**Smoking physicians**

Physicians, who dedicate their lives to caring for one of the greatest world heritages, paradoxically,
Self-medication and inappropriate drug management are obstacles to treatment, often started by SPs before they decide to seek help. In general, SPs are refractory to sensitization, except when they are motivated (when they seek help spontaneously). However, trying to convince unwilling physicians to stop smoking has proven to be quite a difficult task.

Some allies in breaking through SP ambivalence are social and familiar pressures, a smoke-free workplace, and the level of awareness that physicians are models of behavior. The most efficient motivational strategies in the SP approach are those that explore the behavioral changes, focusing on the quality of life, the benefits of cessation and the weight given to the health of physicians in the role of “caretaker”—responsible for the health of their patients.

Drug therapy is the principal type of help sought by SPs. They rarely comply with behavioral counseling group therapy. The fear of having a disease, the appearance of respiratory symptoms or failing in the attempt to stop smoking alone lead SPs to seek professional help.

According to the panel of specialists, SP receptiveness or response varies greatly according to the therapeutic approach proposed: almost null for group treatment; low to moderate for motivational strategies, individual approach and cognitive-behavioral intervention; and high for pharmacological approaches. For these specialists, regardless of the kind of treatment, SP adherence to the treatment is usually low to moderate.

The SP response is good either with NRT or bupropion or varenicline, alone or combined with nicotine patches. The cessation rates are similar to those of other smokers: 30–70% (12 weeks) and 18–40% (52 weeks).

The average recidivism rate in six months was 45%, often due to conditioning, lapses, withdrawal symptoms at work, alcohol consumption, and stressful situations.

The specialties presenting the greatest difficulty in quitting smoking were surgery, psychiatry, cardiology and anesthesia. According to the panel of specialists, the principal barriers to treatment success were low compliance (irregular frequency, not following the protocol), difficulty in altering

dedicate little space to taking care of their own life. In smoking, the situation follows the same rule.

Although most physicians know the efficacy of smoking cessation techniques, the common place is “we know dependence exists among our peers, but we do not know how to approach them effectively”. It is not by chance that there have been no studies involving smoking physicians (SPs). In Brazil, there is still a considerable degree of smoking among physicians. For these guidelines, we enlisted a panel of 12 pulmonologists with experience in SPs. They treated ten colleagues for a mean period of eight years. The information revealed that the physicians became dependent during adolescence; they are not very sensitive to changing their behavior; and they live in conflict for knowing the harmful effects of tobacco.

The SPs see smoking as a “habit” that, somehow, they can control or quit at any time. Nonsmoking physicians, however, view it as a dependence that needs to be treated.

Most SPs (83%) state they do “in fact” know the risks. The reasons why they smoke are identical to those of the general population, to which the stress of their profession is added. The dependence, the compensations to deal with the stress and individual features are factors that contribute to their continued smoking.

The SPs do not typically seek professional help for many reasons: fear of exposure; fear of failure; believing smoking is a “habit”; delusions of invulnerability; not taking care of their own health; and difficulty in accepting their dependence.

When they seek help, they do it informally or casually. Except for the perception that they are models of behavior, the reasons that SPs seek treatment are not different from those observed in smokers in general: having experienced a limiting situation (e.g., an AMI); being afraid of developing a disease; and concern about their quality of life.

The approach should be similar to that of other smokers—smoking is dependence—and the professional support is essential for cessation, maintaining contact for a longer period. Their life status should be taken into consideration—tensions, fears and anxiety level. As most stress situations for SPs have also been experienced by their attending physician, this is a field to be explored in behavioral counseling.
life style, delusions of omnipotence and self-sufficiency.

Although the sample of SPs treated by pulmonologists has been small, which does not allow us to generalize the results, the experience revealed in this panel serves as a snapshot of physician dependence and suggests some recommendations on how to approach the SP.

Finally, one of the specialists asks, “Does the approach to a smoker need to be only because he or she is a physician?”—or rather, do physicians who do not adequately care for their own health deserve to be treated differently? Practice will tell us.

**Children and adolescents**

The ETS from cigarettes, especially in the home, directly affects very young children, which was confirmed by the presence of urinary cotinine.[124] Therefore, it is important to emphasize some aspects that justify smoking prevention and treatment in pediatric populations:

- There is a clear association between high levels of cotinine and wheezing, school days missed, and decreased pulmonary function.[125, 126]
- Smoking during pregnancy accounts for 25-40% of the sudden infant death cases. It is considered one the principal avoidable risk factors of this disease.[127]
- Respiratory symptoms are the most common manifestations presented by the children of smokers, with a significant increase of 38% in the frequency of bronchitis and pneumonia in their first year of life.[127, 128] Such children are four times more likely to be hospitalized.[129]
- Pediatric asthma patients exposed to ETS present increased frequency and severity of attacks. Intrauterine exposure can also affect lung development and increase the risk of developing asthma.[128, 129]
- Guidelines for the management of asthma recommend the elimination of tobacco smoke from the home.[130]

Physicians should ask pediatric and adolescent patients (as well as their parents) about tobacco use, and should transmit a strong message about the importance of total abstinence (level D).

The medical appointments of children whose parents smoke are an opportunity to offer counseling and interventions for smoking cessation, in order to limit the exposure of these children to passive smoke (level B).

The minimal approach allows, at every contact with a smoker child or adolescent, to evaluate the motivation level and determine the best therapeutic orientation.

The motivational approach—the recommended method of treating children and adolescents—should follow the same general orientation given to adults (level B) as described in these guidelines, although with some peculiarities:

- There have been few trials with pharmacological interventions, and no efficacy has been demonstrated for children and adolescents who smoke (level B).
- The behavioral intervention shows a demonstrated efficacy in nonrandomized studies with short follow-up periods (level D).
- The content of behavioral interventions should be modified to adapt to the target audience development level (level D).
- Difficulties related to the approach and to the interruption of follow-up treatment are the principal problems of trials involving smoking adolescents.
- Adolescents should be monitored in specific groups, separate from adults, because the reasons to smoke and to stop smoking, as well as the observed difficulties, are different (level D).
- There have been no studies indicating that NRT, bupropion or varenicline can be safely administered at these ages (level B).

**The elderly**

The average prevalence of smoking among elderly individuals is 26% (40% in men and 12% in women).[134, 135] Various factors have been cited as smoking facilitators in this population: living with another smoker; being unemployed or looking for a job; alcoholism; depression; lack of religious activities; higher risk of low satisfaction in social relationships; and other negative findings related to the quality of life.[133, 134] Chart 6 shows the factors that make cessation difficult and the predictors of successful treatment in this population.[134, 136-140]

Among the benefits of smoking cessation in elderly individuals are decreased risk of developing an illness, better control of the evolution of a preex-
isting disease, improved quality of life and increased life expectancy.\textsuperscript{[141-143]} The treatment success rates in elderly individuals do not differ from those of other age groups, ranging from 23\% to 32\% after one year of cessation.\textsuperscript{[142]}

The therapeutic approach should be adapted to the characteristics of this population. For example, the elderly typically have higher self-esteem (contrary to what the majority of health professionals believe) and lower social demands (low expectation from the social circle).

Although elderly individuals consider themselves more apt than young individuals, they lack “enthusiasm” for new challenges. They have great difficulty in overcoming obstacles and promoting changes. Therefore, reinforcing their self-efficacy is a behavioral intervention that should be used extensively. In addition, their learning process is slower, which requires reinforcement and detailing of interventions, as well as group training in coping skills.\textsuperscript{[142-144]}

The elderly usually have fewer social relationships. Therefore, group treatment should be the approach of choice, expanding their relationships, affective connections and interdependence.

There is no evidence that groups composed exclusively of elderly individuals present benefits. The participation of other age brackets should be promoted, favoring the dynamics and enriching the conversations.\textsuperscript{[142,144]}

Concerning drug therapy, the use of NRT through transdermal patches does not increase the incidence of adverse effects, neither the risk of cardiac complications, even in patients with chronic coronary disease.\textsuperscript{[143,144]} The rotation of patches should be reinforced due to frequent reports of skin lesions (dry skin and loss of dermal elasticity). It is important to remember that dental prostheses can make the use of nicotine gum difficult, thereby reducing adherence to the proposed therapy.\textsuperscript{[133,142]}

The nicotine pharmacodynamic does not differ in healthy elderly individuals. However, its elimination is impaired in patients with renal insufficiency. Dose adjustment should be considered in these patients. The same is recommended for bupropion, which can be reduced to 150 mg/day. In the case of varenicline, severe renal insufficiency contraindicates its prescription. Nortriptyline and clonidine have undesirable effects more often in elderly patients.\textsuperscript{[144]}

It should be borne in mind that elder individuals can be motivated to stop smoking by reinforcing the awareness of modern society of the importance of their role in the family as a source of wisdom and affection for children and adolescents and, consequently, their role as a model of behavior for future adults.\textsuperscript{[144]}

**Hospitalized patients**

Smoking-related diseases constitute one of the principal reasons for hospital admissions, and smoking cessation definitely contributes to a decrease in morbidity and mortality rates (level A).\textsuperscript{[144]} During hospitalization, patients are forced to abstain from smoking—due to the prohibition in hospitals—usually without any instruction or preparation, regardless of their motivational stage.

Large international studies emphasize the dimension of the problem of smoking management in hospitalized patients:

- 25\% of patients smoke in the hospital.\textsuperscript{[32]}
- 55\% of patients report nicotine withdrawal symptoms.\textsuperscript{[32]}
- Only 6\% of smokers receive nicotine replacement,\textsuperscript{[147,148]} of which 45\% relapse on ingestion disease, improved quality of life and increased life expectancy.\textsuperscript{[141-143]} The treatment success rates in elderly individuals do not differ from those of other age groups, ranging from 23\% to 32\% after one year of cessation.\textsuperscript{[142]}

The therapeutic approach should be adapted to the characteristics of this population. For example, the elderly typically have higher self-esteem (contrary to what the majority of health professionals believe) and lower social demands (low expectation from the social circle).

Although elderly individuals consider themselves more apt than young individuals, they lack “enthusiasm” for new challenges. They have great difficulty in overcoming obstacles and promoting changes. Therefore, reinforcing their self-efficacy is a behavioral intervention that should be used extensively. In addition, their learning process is slower, which requires reinforcement and detailing of interventions, as well as group training in coping skills.\textsuperscript{[142-144]}

The elderly usually have fewer social relationships. Therefore, group treatment should be the approach of choice, expanding their relationships, affective connections and interdependence.

There is no evidence that groups composed exclusively of elderly individuals present benefits. The participation of other age brackets should be promoted, favoring the dynamics and enriching the conversations.\textsuperscript{[142,144]}

Concerning drug therapy, the use of NRT through transdermal patches does not increase the incidence of adverse effects, neither the risk of cardiac complications, even in patients with chronic coronary disease.\textsuperscript{[143,144]} The rotation of patches should be reinforced due to frequent reports of skin lesions (dry skin and loss of dermal elasticity). It is important to remember that dental prostheses can make the use of nicotine gum difficult, thereby reducing adherence to the proposed therapy.\textsuperscript{[133,142]}

The nicotine pharmacodynamic does not differ in healthy elderly individuals. However, its elimination is impaired in patients with renal insufficiency. Dose adjustment should be considered in these patients. The same is recommended for bupropion, which can be reduced to 150 mg/day. In the case of varenicline, severe renal insufficiency contraindicates its prescription. Nortriptyline and clonidine have undesirable effects more often in elder patients.\textsuperscript{[144]}

It should be borne in mind that elder individuals can be motivated to stop smoking by reinforcing the awareness of modern society of the importance of their role in the family as a source of wisdom and affection for children and adolescents and, consequently, their role as a model of behavior for future adults.\textsuperscript{[144]}

**Hospitalized patients**

Smoking-related diseases constitute one of the principal reasons for hospital admissions, and smoking cessation definitely contributes to a decrease in morbidity and mortality rates (level A).\textsuperscript{[144]} During hospitalization, patients are forced to abstain from smoking—due to the prohibition in hospitals—usually without any instruction or preparation, regardless of their motivational stage.

Large international studies emphasize the dimension of the problem of smoking management in hospitalized patients:

- 25\% of patients smoke in the hospital.\textsuperscript{[32]}
- 55\% of patients report nicotine withdrawal symptoms.\textsuperscript{[32]}
- Only 6\% of smokers receive nicotine replacement,\textsuperscript{[147,148]} of which 45\% relapse on

**Chart 6 - Factors that make treatment of the elderly treatment difficult and the predictors of success.**

**Factors that make it difficult**

- Depression
- Insecurity with self-efficacy
- Decreased opportunities
- Daily contact with young smokers
- Little importance given to cessation benefits
- Overcoming the decision process
- Nicotine withdrawal syndrome
- Fewer social and medical requirement to stop smoking
- Less treatment-seeking at medical and dental care centers
- Increased risk of drug interactions
- High dependence level

**Success predictors**

- Presence of nonsmoker partner
- Hospitalization due to recent tobacco-related disease
- High initial motivation level to stop smoking
the first day after discharge and 63% relapse within the first week.\textsuperscript{144}

The treatment of smokers in the hospital is not very different from the treatment given to smokers treated as outpatients, although hospitalized smokers are often more susceptible to messages designed to sensitize them against smoking.\textsuperscript{147}

A hospital intervention of longer than 15 min, combined with outpatient follow-up treatment for longer than one month, increases the smoking cessation rates (OR: 1.81; 95% CI: 1.54–2.15) (level A).\textsuperscript{148,149} The nursing team intervention during hospitalization, together with follow-up evaluation, usually by telephone, for a few weeks after discharge, also increases cessation rates (level A).\textsuperscript{150}

The combination of counseling and nicotine patch for 6–12 weeks after discharge increases the cessation rate when compared with counseling alone during hospitalization (level A).\textsuperscript{151–153} As mentioned previously, NRT is safe in stable cardiac patients.\textsuperscript{154}

The principal predictors of smoking cessation in hospitalized patients are as follows: advanced age; willingness to stop smoking; interval between waking and smoking the first cigarette of longer than 5 min; number of previous attempts lower than three; more than 7 days without smoking before hospitalization; strong intention not to smoke, and not presenting difficulty in not smoking during hospitalization.\textsuperscript{155,156}

The basic recommendations for the treatment of smoking in hospitalized patients are adapted from outpatient guidelines, the most important being the following:

- identifying and registering smokers upon admission
- characterizing their smoking patterns
- identifying their motivational stage
- providing individual counseling on smoking cessation
- providing assistance to help patients avoid smoking during hospitalization
- identifying and treating withdrawal syndrome
- giving special attention to the use of drugs in cardiac and elderly patients, as well as in other special groups, due to their adverse effects and interactions
- providing support after discharge, at least by telephone, for at least four weeks\textsuperscript{148,151–153}
- referring the more dependent patients, especially those who smoked during hospitalization, to specialized groups
- identifying and treating smokers prior to elective admissions

**Smokers with psychiatric comorbidities**

The prevalence of smoking among individuals with psychiatric disorders and other substance abuse disorders is, on average, two times higher than that observed in the general population, and such individuals typically consume large quantities of cigarettes on a daily basis.\textsuperscript{157–160}

The prevalence of depression is often higher among smokers, and depression is more closely associated with the intensity of nicotine dependence than with the fact of being a smoker, that is, the prevalence of depression is higher among smokers that are more dependent.\textsuperscript{161} In addition, the mortality rates of smoking-related diseases, especially CVD, is higher among patients with schizophrenia.\textsuperscript{162}

The treatment of nicotine dependence in individuals with psychiatric disorders or other substance abuse disorders is very important. However, the treatment of smokers is not inserted in many facilities that treat other substance abuse disorders, and often these patients are discouraged from attempting cessation due to their concern with the difficulty of treating various substance abuse disorders. The idea that the intervention could compromise their sobriety in relation to the primary cause is widely disseminated.\textsuperscript{157,158}

Review data show that the variables that affect the cessation and abstinence rates in patients with other substance abuse disorders are similar to those verified in the general population.\textsuperscript{163}

Recent studies confirm the existing recommendations, according to which patients with mental disorders and alcohol dependence should receive the smoking cessation treatment recommended to the general population.\textsuperscript{158,164}

Smoking cessation by health professionals who treat patients with mental disorders can become positive models for those patients and increase their willingness to develop smoking cessation interventions.\textsuperscript{163}

A systematic review that evaluated the association among alcoholism, nicotine dependence and
smoking cessation showed that, although smokers with a history of alcoholism are more nicotine dependent and are less likely to stop smoking without help, they have the same cessation chance during intervention when compared with the general population. However, it was not possible to draw conclusions regarding the behavior of alcoholic smokers or whether they make less attempts to stop. The influence and the mechanisms by which depression plays a role in smoking cessation are not defined. A meta-analysis showed that a history of major depression did not increase the risk of recidivism in the short and long terms, and there was no difference between genders.

It has been suggested that, in some smokers, smoking cessation causes an episode of major depression, which, consequently, causes recidivism. However, these studies are not consistent and show a very heterogeneous behavior among smokers with depression, depressive symptoms during cessation increasing in some and decreasing in others. Therefore, the evolution of smokers with depression during cessation is variable.

Identifying patients who will need more intensive interventions at the beginning of the approach is difficult. Although a single episode of major depression alone is not predictive of recidivism, recurrent episodes of major depression and the level of depression immediately before cessation seem to be. Therefore, smokers with depression should be evaluated in terms of the intensity of their depressive symptoms before and during intervention to identify those who present a higher risk of recidivism.

Smokers with depression can benefit from CBT for depression, from more intensive smoking cessation interventions and from adjustments in the antidepressant doses.

Another controversial point is whether the cessation attempt should be made simultaneous to or after the treatment of the underlying dependence. A recent study indicates a significant increase in smoking abstinence in the short term among dependent patients under treatment or recovery who were submitted to treatment for smoking, when compared with controls.

The same study revealed that the effects of treatment were greater among those who received CBT associated with NRT. However, the effect of the intervention was no longer observed in the long term. There was also no difference between dependent patients under treatment and those in recovery in terms of the effect of the intervention. However, the cessation rates were three times higher among the patients under recovery, in the short and long term.

In addition, it was observed that the smoking cessation interventions were associated with a significant long-term increase in sobriety related to the underlying condition. These findings suggest that smoking cessation interventions can promote permanent sobriety, even if smoking abstinence is not achieved in the long run. Chart 7 summarizes the recommendations for treating smoking in patients with psychiatric comorbidities.

Smokers with other comorbidities

Chart 8 describes other pathologies that are aggravated by smoking. The treatment of smokers presenting any of these conditions follows the recommendations outlined in the specific chapter of this consensus.

Smokers in relapse

Recidivism is a natural phenomenon in the cycle of any dependence. Most smokers make between three and ten attempts before achieving definitive abstinence. Temporarily changing undesirable behavior is easier than maintaining that change, adopting it as a life style in the long term.

This is a continuous and complex process that involves individual, situational, philosophical and sociocultural factors. Among such factors are alcohol use, weight gain, depression, affective losses and severe withdrawal. Depression is one of the principal causes, because it is often masked by tobacco use. Recidivism is higher in the first six months, with or without drug therapy.

Another controversial point is whether the use recidivism is the last event in a long series of badly adapted responses to external or internal stress factors/stimuli. Recidivism might not lead to a complete retake of the tobacco use, and can result in a new search for treatment.

The lapse, differently, leads to the substance use and might not result in recidivism. The methods based on coping skills training to prevent recidivism see lapse as a more common occurrence during
recovery, and a learning opportunity for smokers to improve their strategies to deal with these situations.\textsuperscript{(185)}

Prevention is based on the development of capabilities to deal with the problems that make abstinence difficult to maintain. CBT is critical to smoking recovery and prevention through tasks performed still in the action stage.\textsuperscript{(186)}

The objective is to learn how to deal with the “triggers” and the craving. A “trigger” is a stimulus that precipitates and leads to the use of the drug: people, objects, places, occasions, dependence to other substances, and negative emotions.\textsuperscript{(2,185)}

In many cases, the smoker will need social support. The monthly follow-up, either face-to-face or by telephone, after the intensive approach is critical to recidivism prevention.\textsuperscript{(36)}

It is recommended that all patients under intensive approach are evaluated in terms of their abstinence level at the end of the treatment and in subsequent contacts.\textsuperscript{(36)}

Abstinent patients should be aware of the success of their cessation attempts, and professionals should reinforce their assistance to those who have problems related to cessation (level D). Recurrent patients should be evaluated in terms of their willingness to make a new attempt (level C). When the patient is motivated, he/she should be encouraged to make a new treatment. The drug should be offered to the patient again, if appropriate. If the last attempt included the use of drugs, it is important to determine if it was useful. Based on this evaluation, the physician might recommend the retreatment with the same drug, combine it or replace it.\textsuperscript{(185)}

Recurrent patients who are not ready to make a new attempt should receive brief intervention to increase the probability of future attempts (level A).\textsuperscript{(186)}

The recidivism treatment should include: planning, interview, CBT sessions, commitment, and discussion about the cessation of other drugs (alcohol). Based on the Prochaska & DiClemente stages of behavioral change, the approach is focused on the identification, anticipation, and prevention of risk situations, as well as the smoker training to manage these situations.\textsuperscript{(2,16,36)}

The sessions should be weekly, following the CBT patterns described in the topic about motivational interventions in this document. The Marlatt & Gordon method is a scientific model, validated and employed in drug-addiction, which address recidivism as a continuous and complex process involving individual, situational, physiological, and sociocultural factors.\textsuperscript{(2)}

Recidivism is a milestone in the treatment of the smoker and should be emphasized by the physician in order to increase the self-esteem of the patient.

The experience of treatment failure, if not worked out between professional and patient, can result in frustration, leading the patient to harbor negative thoughts and lose self-esteem.

The professional should not assume a defensive, reactive or recriminatory attitude, since this could widen the gap in the physician-patient relationship. Recidivism should represent a new opportunity to the patient and the physician, so that they can practice the art of care until they achieve total abstinence. Therefore, the professional attitude should be welcoming and flexible, not imposing high expectations, not confrontational and not judgmental.\textsuperscript{(2)}

Medical science is complex, mysterious and beautiful. Its state of the art is to protect life in any situation. Even when a disease cannot be eliminated, or the cure cannot be achieved, doing everything possible to relieve the suffering refines and dignifies the physician-patient relationship. For the relapsing patient, this is a new opportunity for him/her and

\begin{table}[h]
\centering
\caption{Key points of the treatment of smoking in patients with psychiatric disorders and other substance abuse disorders.}
\begin{tabular}{l}
\hline
- The prevalence of smoking and nicotine dependence is high among patients with psychiatric disorders and dependence on other substances. \\
- Patients with psychiatric disorders and substance abuse disorders respond to smoking cessation interventions. \\
- History of major depression does not seem to be an independent risk factor for smoking treatment failure. \\
- Treating smoking during treatment of other substance abuse disorders increases, rather than decreases, the sobriety related to the underlying condition in the long term. \\
- Patients with mental disorders and substance abuse disorders should receive the treatment for smoking cessation recommended to the general population. \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\end{table}
### Chart 8 - Other comorbidities—smoking-attributable risks.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Disease/clinical condition (references)</th>
<th>Mechanisms</th>
<th>Attributable risk</th>
<th>Level of evidence</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Peptic ulcer[2,166,167]</td>
<td>• Imbalance between protection and aggression factors (↑ acid and pepsinogen release in the mucosa, ↑ biliary reflux and vasopressin release in the hypothalamus, ↓ gastric mucus production, blood flow in the mucosa, surface-active phospholipids and prostaglandins E₂ protection)</td>
<td>Risk factor for the disease</td>
<td>A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crohn’s disease[168,169]</td>
<td>• Association in development, perpetuation, and recidivism&lt;br&gt;• Increased disease susceptibility and severity&lt;br&gt;• Lower treatment response&lt;br&gt;• Recidivism after surgery&lt;br&gt;• Increased mortality risk</td>
<td>Risk factor and difficult disease control</td>
<td>A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Liver disease[170,172]</td>
<td>• Association with primary biliary cirrhosis development&lt;br&gt;• Association in hepatic fibrosis clinical evolution (action of systemic inflammatory mediators and oxidative stress in the liver fibrogenesis)</td>
<td>Risk factor for the disease</td>
<td>B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diabetes mellitus[2,173,174]</td>
<td>• Lower treatment response&lt;br&gt;• Increased development risk&lt;br&gt;• Increased micro- and macro-angiopathic complications risk</td>
<td>Risk factor for the disease</td>
<td>B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thyroid diseases[175,176]</td>
<td>• Capacity to reduce the thyroid-stimulating hormone levels</td>
<td>Risk factor for Graves’s disease</td>
<td>B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Osteoporosis[166,177]</td>
<td>• Increased bone loss in women, especially after menopause&lt;br&gt;• Smoking toxic action in bone cells, decreased calcium absorption, and hypercortisolism</td>
<td>Risk factor for the disease</td>
<td>A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AIDS[2,178]</td>
<td>• Increase in other chemical dependencies</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COPD[166,179,180]</td>
<td>• Association with the disease development&lt;br&gt;• Association with a pronounced decline in FEV₁</td>
<td>Risk factor for the disease</td>
<td>A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asthma[2,166,181]</td>
<td>• Worsening of the disease symptoms&lt;br&gt;• Decreased treatment response&lt;br&gt;• Increased crises severity and frequency</td>
<td>Risk factor for the disease and difficult disease control</td>
<td>B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interstitial pulmonary diseases[166,182]</td>
<td>• Association with histiocytosis X, respiratory bronchiolitis, desquamative pneumonitis, and idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis&lt;br&gt;• Maintenance of the inflammatory process in the lung parenchyma</td>
<td>Risk factor for some etiologies</td>
<td>A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cardiovascular diseases[2,166,183,184]</td>
<td>• Association with coronary artery disease, cerebrovascular accident, peripheral vascular disease, atherosclerosis, and artery aneurysm&lt;br&gt;• Endothelial dysfunction, increased hematological thrombogenicity, increased inflammatory process and oxidative stress, and decreased nitric oxide biosynthesis</td>
<td>Risk factor for the disease</td>
<td>A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lung cancer[2,166]</td>
<td>• Association with oncogenesis&lt;br&gt;• Association with tumor progression (angiogenesis, control of cellular apoptosis and cellular proliferation)&lt;br&gt;• Association with tumor cells migration to other sites&lt;br&gt;• Association with cancer of various sites: gastrointestinal tract, pancreas, kidney, bladder, and myeloid leukemia&lt;br&gt;• Association with oncogenesis&lt;br&gt;• Association with tumor progression (angiogenesis, control of cellular apoptosis and cellular proliferation)&lt;br&gt;• Association with tumor cell migration to other sites</td>
<td>Risk factor for the disease</td>
<td>A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cancer[2,166]</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

FEV₁: forced expiratory volume in one second.
the physician to practice the art of care until they achieve total abstinence.

**Interventions in specific places**

This topic presents recommendations to basic health care clinics, polyclinics, reference centers, hospitals and medical offices. These are facilities where the restriction of tobacco use is an important control strategy. Through these policies, it is possible to prevent the initiation among adolescents and to protect the health of nonsmokers, as well as to achieve decreased consumption or to encourage cessation among smokers.\(^{(187,188)}\)

It is essential that there be coherence among the various health care facilities regarding the role they play as a gateway to healthy habits and lifestyles. This implies that these places where health is practiced should become ETS-free, and that the members of their professional staff should become models of behavior. These policies have already been implemented in various countries for two decades.\(^{(189,190)}\) However, a recent report from World Health Organization (WHO) shows that, currently, 40% of all countries still lack restrictions on smoking in hospitals.\(^{(191)}\)

The Tobacco Free Initiative, a WHO program in partnership with international health professional organizations, developed a “code of practice” for health professionals.\(^{(192)}\) This program maintains a list of the organizations that adopted the code of practice. Some relevant points of the code are described below:

- to encourage and support its members so that they become models of behavior, not smoking and promoting a smoke-free culture
- to evaluate/understand the standard of tobacco consumption and the tobacco control attitudes of its members, through research and the introduction of appropriate policies
- to ensure that the organization facilities and events are smoke-free and encourage their members to do the same
- to instruct their members to routinely ask patients and clients about the consumption and the tobacco smoke exposure—using the minimum approach based on evidence and good health practices
- to counsel about how to stop smoking and ensure the abstinence follow-up
- to influence health institutions and educational centers to include smoking control in the curriculum offered to their professional staff, through continuous education and other qualification/training programs
- to actively participate in the “World No Tobacco Day” (May 31st) and in the “National Day Against Tobacco” (August 29th)
- to support campaigns for smoke-free environments

However, isolated interventions, such as rulings handed down by directors or isolated speeches against smoking, are not sufficient to achieve an ETS-free health facility.\(^{(192)}\)

As smoking cessation is a process, so is the implementation of smoke-free health care units. Educational, normative and organizational actions should be planned and implemented to promote cultural changes in society concerning its acceptance of free consumption of tobacco products in health care centers and, at the opportune time, provide support to help professionals stop smoking.\(^{(193)}\)

**Other themes of interest**

**Factors that make cessation difficult**

**Low motivation**

Individual motivation is one of the most important factors in definitive smoking cessation, and is interrelated with a range of hereditary, psychological, physiological, and environmental variables (level B). The motivational intervention with brief counseling should be performed for all smokers, regardless of whether they have decided to stop smoking or not (level A).

Light to moderate smokers can present low motivation to abandon the dependence, because some of them believe they can stop whenever they want.\(^{(194)}\)

Smokers who consume a large quantity of cigarettes can also present low motivation due to their lack of confidence to succeed. They believe they are incapable and are afraid of suffering from withdrawal syndrome, since they have already tried and failed before.\(^{(194)}\) The principal strategies to overcome low motivation are discussed in the “Evaluation of the motivation level” section of these guidelines.
Withdrawal syndrome and dependence level

The nicotine dependence level will influence the facility with which an individual abandons the addiction (level A). Although approximately 70% of smokers present withdrawal syndrome, those with higher dependence levels have more difficulty in stopping smoking. They are generally men, over 30 years of age, smoke the first cigarette less than 30 minutes after waking, are aware of the difficulty of quitting smoking and of their low self-esteem.

Since the withdrawal syndrome is one of the principal causes of recidivism, the initial treatment of smokers and follow-up treatment by qualified health professionals are critical and represent the pillars upon which the cessation program objectives rest (level A).

Personality and psychiatric disorders

Individuals who smoke tend to be more extroverted, anxious, tense, and impulsive, and show more traits of neuroticism, psychoticism, anxiety and history of depressive disorders (level A).

Knowing the psychological and psychiatric factors associated with smoking are important for practical purposes—smoking is a neurobehavioral disorder—and should be incorporated in the smoking history in order to better conduct and individualize the smoker treatment.

Changes in body weight

Smokers typically weight less than nonsmokers and gain weight when they stop smoking (level A). The use of NRT can provide limit the amount of weight gained after cessation. The interruption of drug use leads to an acute period of weight gain, followed by the return to levels similar to those observed in controls.

Excessive weight gain usually follows alterations in behavior and personality patterns, frequently manifested as depression, abstention, self-punishment, irritability and aggression.

The most widely accepted theories to explain this intrinsic relationship between smoking and body weight in smoking individuals are as follows:

a) increased metabolic rate, with greater energy expenditure by smokers
b) differences in the quality and quantity of food ingested by smokers
c) appetite loss, via nicotine

Weight gain is one of the principal factors responsible for the high recidivism rate in smoking cessation. It is, therefore, important to control body weight during the cessation program planning and implementation.

Therefore, the inclusion of counseling is recommended for appropriate eating reorientation, combined with encouragement to exercise more. The counseling should begin during the preparation and action stages—aiming at decreasing the high recidivism rates caused by this factor (level A). When possible, counting on the support of a nutritionist in the multidisciplinary team is extremely useful in this context.

Harm reduction

Some patients are not able to stop smoking, and the reasons are many. Therefore, a smaller number of cigarettes smoked a day, the consumption of smokeless tobacco (especially Scandinavian moist snuff know as snus), the continuous use of NRT or the use of potential reduced exposure products (PREPs) began to be studied as a strategy to reduce damages.

Although some studies show that decreasing the number of cigarettes smoked provides benefits in terms of markers of cardiovascular risk, few smokers would be totally free of cardiovascular damage, considering the known acute effects produced by cigarettes.

A reduction of 50% in the number of cigarettes smoked/day did not improve AMI-related mortality and incidence rates. The use of smokeless tobacco, either as snuff or chewed tobacco, defended as a way to reduce CVD risks in smokers who cannot stop smoking, was associated with an increased risk of AMI and cerebrovascular accident (CVA). Therefore, we can conclude that there is no scientific evidence that decreasing the number of cigarettes smoked provides a reduction in CVD risks (level B).

Cancer mortality rates are lower among former smokers than among current smokers. Between former smokers and current smokers who reduce by half the number of cigarettes smoked, the differences are not significant. When tumor markers
As for the reduction in the number of cigarettes, the central problem is that the smokers modify their manner of smoking, inhaling more deeply and with greater frequency in order to maintain their serum nicotine levels. Therefore, a percentage reduction in the number of cigarettes might not produce an equivalent reduction in the exposure to tobacco toxins.

Harm reduction should not be the final goal, but a way to achieve the definitive cessation, or a strategy to reinforce the individual motivation, considering that SRD risks remain the same. Since most smokers who try to reduce tobacco use report various withdrawal symptoms, NRT (nicotine gum) is suggested as a reduction regimen for at least three months (level A).

Passive smoking

Passive smoking refers to nonsmoker inhalation of smoke from tobacco products (popular cigarettes, hand-rolled cigarette, clove cigarettes, cigars, pipes, narghiles, etc.), and is also known as involuntary smoke exposure or exposure to ETS.

According to WHO, ETS is the principal pollutant in closed environments, and passive smoking is the third leading cause of avoidable death in the world. There are approximately 250 toxic substances in ETS, and some of those substances, such as benzopyrene and aromatic polycyclic hydrocarbons, are recognized by the International Agency for Research on Cancer as being mutation- and cancer-causing agents.

It is estimated that approximately half of all children worldwide are exposed to ETS. Aspects related to passive smoking during pregnancy and sudden infant death syndrome are addressed previously in these guidelines. There is sufficient evidence to indicate that passive smoking (in intrauterine life or by ETS exposure) has an impact on human behavior and neurological development. Newborns present neurological deficits, cognitive deficits, tremors, hypertonicity, restlessness and hyperactivity.

Preschool age children exposed to ETS present learning difficulties. School-age children exposed to ETS present attention deficit, as well as difficulty in reading and mathematics, together with delayed development of manual skills and spoken language capabilities. In adolescence, there are more reports
of behavior disorders and delinquency.\textsuperscript{[238,239]} In adults, passive smoking is related to various respiratory diseases; it exacerbates asthma (increased severity of crises, causing more visits to emergency services/hospitalizations) and worsening of the quality of life related to the disease. In addition, it is related to the development and worsening of COPD, lung cancer and, recently, the risk of developing tuberculosis.\textsuperscript{[236,240,241]}

It is estimated that chronic exposure to ETS increases the risk of CVD by 20-50%. Endothelial dysfunction is the primary manifestation of atherosclerosis. In individuals chronically exposed to ETS, there is endothelial damage with loss of arterial elasticity and lower response to endogenous stimuli, having as principal causes the increase in low-density lipoprotein and free radicals. Subsequently, there is macrophage oxidation of low-density lipoprotein, formation of local plaque, activation of platelets and an increase in fibrinogen. The artery no longer dilates is response to the tissue demand for more oxygen.

This problem is aggravated in remodeled arteries by atherosclerosis plaque, high levels of fibrinogen, activation of platelets and carbon monoxide concentration—one of the principal components of tobacco smoke—causing a reduction in the tissue supply of oxygen. In passive smokers, plaque can dislodge and the formation of thrombi can cause ischemic events (AMI or CVA).\textsuperscript{[242]}

Recent studies have suggested that even 30 min of exposure to ETS is sufficient to affect the endothelial cells in the coronary arteries of nonsmokers. This would increase the risk for passive smokers to suffer from an episode of AMI, especially for those who already have a cardiopathy. As a preventive measure, it is recommended that such patients avoid environments in which there is ETS.\textsuperscript{[237]}

Chart 9 presents a glossary of the terms used in these guidelines.

\begin{center}
\textbf{Chart 9 - Glossary of terms used in the guidelines.}
\end{center}

- Behavioral approach: a technique used to identify and modify behaviors associated with drug use. Terms used with the same meaning: behavioral therapy, cognitive behavior therapy (CBT).
- Continuous abstinence: tobacco abstinence measurement based on the number of abstinent smokers since the cessation day to a previously established point (ex: last day of treatment, 6 to 12 months after cessation date).
- Brief counseling: an intervention with systematic contact between the professional and the patient, usually for three to ten minutes, specifically for smoking.
- Intensive counseling: an intervention with intensive contact between the professional and the patient, usually for more than ten minutes, specifically for smoking.
- Minimal counseling: a systematic intervention with contact between the professional and the patient, usually for less than three minutes, specifically for smoking.
- Addiction: compulsive use of a psychoactive substance, with loss in self-control, tolerance, and development of dependence; continuous use regardless of the negative consequences and the specific withdrawal symptoms with the drug removal.
- Pack-years: number of cigarettes smoked in one day, divided by twenty, and multiplied by the number of years the individual smoked; also known as the pack/year index.
- Cotinine: the principal metabolite of nicotine which, having a more prolonged half-life than nicotine, is often used to confirm the self-report of abstinence. It can be measured in the plasma, urine, and saliva.
- “D” Day: is the day agreed with the patient to stop smoking, for which he/she prepares to try to abstain completely from tobacco use.
- Efficacy: reflects the benefits of a drug administered in ideal conditions, which usually takes place in a clinical essay.
- Effectiveness: measurement of the benefits of a drug used in the clinical practice. As it deals with the use of drugs in less rigorous conditions than those of clinical trials, \textit{effectiveness is usually lower than efficacy}.
Efficiency: a concept that takes into consideration the results of a drug administration, as well as its costs.

Second-hand smoke: involuntary or compulsory (fetus, child) tobacco smoke inhaled by an individual who is not smoking.

Former smoker: individual who smoked at least one hundred cigarettes in his/her life, and do not smoke now.

Active smoker: individual who smoked at least one hundred cigarettes in his/her life, and now smokes daily or occasionally (some days).

High consumption of cigarettes: more than one pack/day.

Light smoker: smoker consuming up to 10 cigarettes/day.

Moderate consumption of cigarettes: between 10 and 20 cigarettes/day.

Low consumption of cigarettes: less than 10 cigarettes/day.

Heavy smoker: smoker consuming more than 20 cigarettes/day. Also known as compulsive smoker.

Individual intervention: individual contact between the health professional and the patient aiming at the smoking intervention or the smoker evaluation, either in minimum, basic or intensive approach of smoking.

Motivational intervention: action focused on smoker motivation increase for smoking cessation. Requires the implementation of cognitive or behavioral techniques or motivational interview.

Intervention on negative effects or depression: the kind of intervention developed to train smokers to deal with the negative effects after smoking cessation.

Motivation: the smoker intention or decision to stop smoking. Motivation can be encouraged by actions, such as defining a cessation date, support from the team through phone calls and letters, and information on smoking risks.

Nonsmoker: individual who has never smoked or smoked less than 100 cigarettes in his/her life, and do not smoke now.

Potential Reduced Exposure Products (PREPs): products developed to reduce the exposure to tobacco toxins. They can be modifications in tobacco itself, heating tobacco without burning it, products with low quantities of nitrosamine, and nicotine supplementation. The use of PREPs was not appropriately evaluated.

Prevalence at a specific point in time: measurement of the occurrence of tobacco abstinence or tobacco use in a certain period (usually seven days), before the outcome evaluation.

Recidivism and relapse: recidivism is the return to a regular standard of tobacco use by someone who had stopped; relapse or lapse is a less intensive or temporary return to smoking.

Gradual reduction of tobacco load: intervention strategy that aims at the reduction in the number of cigarettes smoked before the date agreed for cessation.

Cochrane Review: free service of an international organization that regularly publishes health intervention reviews based on scientific evidence <www.cochrane.org/index.htm>.

Withdrawal syndrome: a set of unpleasant symptoms that occur after reduction or cessation of an addictive drug. The most common symptoms are difficulty in concentrating, irritability, anxiety, rage, depression, sleep disorders, and craving.

Intensive smoker treatment (intensive approach): therapeutic model that occurs through several appointments for a long time period (usually three months) and through one or more health professionals (multidisciplinary team).

Combined drug treatment: therapeutic model that combines two or more drugs in the treatment of smoking.
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