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Abstract

This study was a review of the literature on the epidemiological, clinical, diagnostic and therapeutic aspects of allergic rhinitis. Bibliographic searches were based on the information contained within the Medline, Latin American and Caribbean Health Sciences Literature and HighWire databases, covering the last thirty years and using the following search terms: ‘allergic rhinitis’, ‘epidemiology’, ‘diagnosis’ and ‘treatment’. Sixty articles were selected. This study describes the increase in the prevalence of allergic rhinitis, its relationship with asthma, the diagnostic criteria and the treatment. The classification of allergic rhinitis and strategies for its treatment are presented. Therapeutic modalities presented and discussed include the administration of antihistamines, corticosteroids, immunotherapy, anti-leukotrienes, sodium cromoglycate and anti-IgE antibodies, as well as minimizing exposure to inhaled allergens. Finally, the importance of the management of allergic rhinitis in public health is emphasized.
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Introduction

Allergic rhinitis is defined as inflammation of the nasal mucosa, induced by exposure to allergens that, after sensitization, trigger an immunoglobulin E (IgE)-mediated inflammatory response that can result in chronic or recurrent symptoms. The principal symptoms include aqueous rhinorrhea, nasal obstruction/pruritus and sneezing, as well as ocular symptoms such as pruritus and conjunctival hyperemia, which resolve spontaneously or through treatment.¹

In view of its high prevalence in urban populations, some authors call it the disease of modern civilization.² The objective of this review article is to present the most important clinical and epidemiological aspects of allergic rhinitis and their therapeutic implications, based on data presented in studies published within the last thirty years and available from Medline, the Latin American and Caribbean Health Sciences Literature database and HighWire.
Epidemiological aspects

The collaborative study known as the International Study on Asthma and Allergies in Childhood (ISAAC) has shown that there is wide variation in the prevalence of allergic rhinitis. In addition, an increase in prevalence was observed in the various regions where the study was conducted two or more times using the same methodology. Worldwide, the prevalence of symptoms associated with allergic rhinoconjunctivitis, during the 12 months prior to the application of the standard questionnaire, ranged from 2.2 to 14.6% among children aged 6-7 years, and from 4.5 to 45.5% among adolescents aged 13-14 years.3

For the adult population, the questionnaire European Community Respiratory Health Survey was conceived in order to standardize the epidemiological investigation of asthma-related respiratory symptoms and the presence of allergies, as well as the use of treatment in adults aged 20-44 years. Through the use of this questionnaire, the prevalence of rhinitis symptoms in Europe was determined to be 21%.4

The ISAAC results for Brazil showed that the mean prevalence of allergic rhinitis-related symptoms was 29.6% among adolescents and 25.7% among school children. Regarding active asthma-related symptoms, the mean prevalence was 19% and 24.3% among adolescents and school children, respectively.3 Brazil belongs to a group of countries that present the highest prevalence rates of asthma and allergic rhinitis in the world.3

Allergic rhinitis can be considered the most prevalent of chronic respiratory diseases, and, although it is not among the most severe diseases, it is a worldwide public health problem, since it affects the quality of life of the patients and makes asthma control difficult. Its prevalence has increased over the years and is probably underestimated, since many individuals do not recognize it as a disease and do not seek medical attention. However, health professionals also frequently ignore rhinitis. Nevertheless, allergic rhinitis is one of the ten leading reasons for seeking primary health care.6

Association with asthma

Allergic rhinitis presents a direct relationship with asthma, and the ISAAC study showed that approximately 80% of pediatric patients with asthma have allergic rhinitis. Allergic rhinitis is not only more difficult to control than asthma but also interferes with the control of asthma itself.2 It might be said that asthma and allergic rhinitis are different manifestations of the same disease, since they share epidemiological and pathophysiological aspects, as well as similar treatment, which reinforces the concept of 'one airway, one disease'.8

Based on evidence that asthma and rhinitis are manifestations of the same disease, specialists from various countries, with the support of the World Health Organization, prepared an extensive review entitled Allergic Rhinitis and Its Impact on Asthma (ARIA). The objectives of the publication were to update the knowledge of health professionals on allergic rhinitis, to emphasize the impact that allergic rhinitis has on asthma, to provide evidence-based data on the available diagnostic and therapeutic methods, to assess the magnitude of the problem in developing countries and to implement lines of treatment, as well as to suggest that patients with persistent allergic rhinitis be tested for asthma and vice-versa.1

In a recently published editorial, Cruz9 stated that the approach to allergic rhinitis and asthma has gone through three phases: dissociation, in which they were approached in a dissociated way, confirming Togias10 and his analysis that the respiratory system was the victim of the fragmentation of medicine into medical specializations; causality, in which it was believed that allergic rhinitis could be related to asthma; and identity, in which it was understood that it was a single systemic disease, and that diagnosis and control should be integrated.

The symptoms of allergic rhinitis, regardless of the presence of asthma, worsen the quality of life of the patients, since they can lead to fatigue, headache, attention deficit and learning difficulties, as well as to other systemic disturbances such as sleep apnea. In the pediatric population, allergic rhinitis can lead to attention deficit and hyperactivity. Juniper et al. developed and assessed quality of life questionnaires to be applied in patients with allergic rhinoconjunctivitis, with the aim of evaluating the problems experienced by adults in their daily routine, and found that the use of these questionnaires, with separate versions for children and adolescents, can be useful in epidemiological and clinical studies, as well as in patient monitoring.11-13 In addition, it is important to emphasize that allergic rhinitis is one of the causes of the mouth breathing...
syndrome, which can have additional postural and cardiac repercussions.

**Diagnostic aspects**

**Clinical diagnosis**

An appropriate clinical examination can easily identify the signs of rhinitis (hypertrophy/pallor of the inferior turbinates and hyaline secretion), which are associated with epithelial dysfunction, as well as dysfunction of the vessels, glands and nerves. In addition, infiltration by inflammatory cells, inflammatory mediators and cytokines impairs the heating, humidification and filtration of inspired air.[14]

Symptoms can include paroxysmal sneezing, nasal pruritus/obstruction, rhinorrhea, oropharyngeal pruritus, hyperemia and ocular pruritus. It is of note that there can be comorbidities, recurrent serous otitis media and chronic rhinosinusitis.

Concomitant wheezing can suggest an association with asthma and, in such situations, the performance of pulmonary function tests with a bronchodilator test is indispensable to assessing subclinical asthma.[15]

Classically, allergic rhinitis was classified as seasonal or perennial. However, these categories do not correspond to the situation in Brazil, nor do they make it easier to treat the patient.

The ARIA initiative classifies allergic rhinitis as either intermittent or persistent and as either mild or moderate/severe (Chart 1), based on the frequency and intensity of the symptoms and their impact on the quality of life of the patient.[1] A study conducted in France,[16] involving over 2600 doctors and 6500 patients with allergic rhinitis, demonstrated that 43.7% of the patients diagnosed by their doctors as having seasonal rhinitis presented persistent symptoms, that is, for over four days in the week and over four consecutive weeks in the year, whereas 44.6% of the patients previously diagnosed with perennial rhinitis actually presented intermittent symptoms. It was concluded that the classification of the ARIA initiative is of great importance in clinical practice, since it correlates with the treatment option.

The use of clinical scores can be useful in the physician routine for the diagnosis and follow-up treatment of patients with allergic rhinitis. Wilson et al.,[17] for example, described a scoring system in which scores from 0 to 3 were attributed to each of six signs/symptoms (nasal obstruction, rhinorrhea, sneezing, nasal pruritus, oropharyngeal pruritus and ocular pruritus) according to their intensity. Therefore, a score of 0 indicates the absence of a certain sign/symptom, a score of 1 indicates that the sign/symptom is mild, well tolerated, and does not interfere with the sleep or daily activities of the individual, a score of 2 indicates that the sign/symptom assessed causes discomfort and interferes only with those activities that demand high concentration, and a score of 3 indicates that the sign/symptom assessed is of strong intensity and causes discomfort to the point of preventing sleep and interfering with the performance of daily activities. Points are totaled, and the total can vary from 0 to 18. A total score of 1-6 indicates mild allergic rhinitis, a total score of 7-12 indicates moderate rhinitis, and a total score of 13-18 indicates severe allergic rhinitis.

**Chart 1 – Classification of allergic rhinitis according to the Allergic Rhinitis and its Impact on Asthma initiative.[1]**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Classification</th>
<th>Intermittent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Duration of the symptoms:</strong></td>
<td>&lt;4 days a week &lt;4 weeks</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Mild</strong></td>
<td>Normal sleep</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Normal daily activities, sports and recreation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Normal activities at school and work</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>No relevant symptoms</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Persistent</strong></td>
<td>Disturbed sleep</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Interference with daily activities, sports and recreation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Difficulties in school and at work</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Relevant symptoms</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In mild intermittent rhinitis, when the clinical chart is unequivocal and response to treatment is
good, complementary tests are not necessary. When a patient has moderate/severe allergic rhinitis, skin tests for immediate hypersensitivity to allergens are indicated in order to investigate allergy. If there is no good response to treatment, rhinoscopy or an otolaryngological examination should be performed whenever possible.

**Immediate skin tests**

Immediate skin tests adequately standardized and well performed are used in the investigation of atopy. They are widely used due to their simplicity and are typically available in most specialized clinics, although they are not available in basic health care clinics. It is important to bear in mind that responsiveness to the test varies considerably among individuals. Tests should always include a negative control that is typically saline solution and a positive control, which is histamine (1 mg/mL). Immediate skin tests indicate whether or not there is sensitization, in accordance to what is suspected from the clinical history, and have great educational value to the patient when they are well conducted. The aeroallergens that present the greatest prevalence of positivity in Brazil are those related to mites (Blomia tropicalis and Dermatophagozoides pteronyssinus), cockroaches (Blatella germanica and Periplaneta americana) and fungi (Alternaria spp. and others).

Factors related to the patient, extraction and technique can interfere with the performance of the test. Special attention should be given to the standardization of allergens and to maintaining an appropriate distance between antigens in terms of placement. The performance of the test should be postponed if severe systemic reactions develop or if there is a consumption of specific IgE for a certain antigen. False-negative results can occur.

**Nasal cytology**

Nasal cytology, using the adequate technique, can, in exceptional cases, contribute to the differential diagnosis of the most common causes of persistent rhinitis: allergic rhinosinusitis; non-allergic rhinosinusitis with eosinophilia; and viral infectious or bacterial rhinosinusitis.

Nasal cytology can be useful in the differential diagnosis between allergic rhinitis and other clinical forms of chronic rhinitis, such as vasomotor and infectious rhinitis. It should be noted that it is a non-invasive affordable method. The difficulty encountered is the lack of standardization of nasal cytology techniques available in clinical practice (swab smears, sneeze material or curettage), and even cytology of nasal lavage presents techniques from several collections, which are often used as research instruments only. The simplified technique of quantitative nasal cytology described by Cruz et al. begins with nasal lavage, after which the cells are counted, and cytocentrifugation slides are used for differential counting. It is a low-cost technique and can help in differential diagnosis and even in the evaluation of the response to the treatment of vasomotor rhinitis. Due to the lack of technique standardization, this procedure is limited to the field of research.

**Total IgE and specific IgE**

Levels of IgE and eosinophilia in peripheral blood and nasal secretion are indirect indicators of atopy and have low sensitivity and specificity. The presence of intestinal helminthiases, which still exist in Brazil, further limits the diagnostic value of elevated levels of total IgE in peripheral blood. For appropriate interpretation, determination of total IgE levels should accompany that of specific IgE levels.

Total serum IgE levels have been used in various conditions. As a means of screening for atopic conditions, it is one of the tests typically performed. However, an increase in total serum IgE is not specific of atopy and can represent other conditions, such as parasite infection. In addition, it is important to mention that 35 to 50% of patients with allergic rhinitis present normal total IgE levels, whereas 20% of non-atopic individuals present high total IgE levels.

The determination of specific IgE provides quantitative data and complements the results of skin tests when necessary. The advantage of determining specific IgE is that it can be performed through blood collection and does not result in any risk to the patient. In addition, with 1 mL of blood, the levels of up to 16 allergens can be determined, with much less patient discomfort. In addition, antihistamines do not affect the results, and determination of specific IgE can be the test of choice for suckling infants. However, specific IgE levels can be affected by increased polyclonal total IgE, similar to what
occurs with helminthiases, and the test for specific IgE is much more expensive than are immediate skin tests.

**Rhinoscopy**

For patients presenting persistent allergic rhinitis that is refractory to treatment, video-assisted nasopharyngoscopy should be requested in order to investigate structural alterations as the cause of upper airway obstruction, as in the cases of adenoid tissue growing toward choanae, which cause significant nasal obstruction children whose cavum X-rays do not show alterations consistent with the diagnosis. In addition, it is useful in the evaluation and diagnosis of alterations confirmed on X-rays, such as isolated polyps or nasal polyposis. This test provides a clear view of the upper airways, detailing the middle and upper meatus, sphenoidal recess and posterior nasopharynx, as well as oropharyngeal and laryngeal structures. Rhinoscopy can be performed outside the hospital. Santos et al. conducted this test in 368 children aged 6-13 in the school, without the need for restraints or sedation of any kind.  

**Acoustic rhinometry, rhinomanometry and peak nasal inspiratory flow**

The evaluation of patients with allergic rhinitis is typically performed through anamnesis and clinical examination, with the objective of identifying the signs and symptoms already described. Although this will suffice in most cases, objective measures should, whenever possible, be added to the diagnosis and evaluation of the therapeutic response. The use of objective measures is even more important in clinical research, in which the comparison of quantitative variables is desirable. Nasal obstruction is one of the symptoms that cause the greatest patient discomfort and can be quantified in the laboratory through acoustic rhinometry or rhinomanometry, as well as in physician offices and even in the home of the patient through the use of the peak nasal inspiratory flow (PNIF) meter (Figure 1).

Acoustic rhinometry analyzes ultrasound waves reflected in the nasal cavity in order to calculate cross-sectional areas at any point of this cavity, as well as determine the nasal volume, and it is an objective method of measuring nasal obstruction in a painless, easily-performed and reproducible manner. However, rhinomanometry consists of a dynamic test that allows evaluation of nasal patency through objective measurement of the nasal airway performed through the transnasal pressure-flow relationship. However, these tests are difficult to access and have to be performed and analyzed by otorhinolaryngologists. In addition, they are restricted to research.  

The measure of the PNIF is an efficacious, simple, economical and portable alternative to rhinomanometry. Response to treatment in patients with allergic rhinitis assessed through the measurement of PNIF at home was studied in 38 patients without asthma, with a mean age of 30 years. Patients were divided into three groups, which received different treatments for allergic rhinitis (cetirizine+placebo, or cetirizine+mometasone, or cetirizine+montelukast) for four weeks. The PNIF was measured twice daily, in the morning and at night. In addition, patients filled out clinical score tables daily, reporting the occurrence of symptoms and their impact on their daily activities. Nasal symptoms correlated significantly ($p < 0.01$) with daily PNIF measurements in the morning ($r = -0.51$) and at night ($r = -0.56$), as did the impact of the symptoms on daily activities with PNIF in the morning ($r = -0.42$) and at night ($r = -0.48$). In the study, it was concluded that PNIF is an objective and useful measurement for short-term evaluation of response to allergic rhinitis treatment.

Some studies have demonstrated a good correlation between PNIF and rhinomanometry. One study that compared rhinomanometry to PNIF reported a good, statistically significant, correlation between the two methods ($p < 0.01$), and the authors concluded that PNIF is an affordable technique, easily performed and presenting a good correlation with rhinomanometry.
It should be noted that PNIF results depend on the cooperation of the patient and on the impression of the examiner who determines whether the patient performed a given maneuver appropriately. In addition, another limitation is the fact that it evaluates only one of the symptoms of allergic rhinitis, which is nasal obstruction. However, since it is easy to use, it can be very useful in the daily routine of clinicians and pediatricians, since the remaining symptoms can be objectively assessed using clinical scores and quality of life questionnaires.[27]

**Nasal nitric oxide**

Nitric oxide is a normal component of the air exhaled in human breathing and its biological functions consist of the participation in the immune system and in neurotransmission, as well as in vasodilation and bronchodilation. Since it is a marker of eosinophilic inflammation, it is increased in patients with allergic rhinitis. The inclusion of nitric oxide testing in the diagnosis and follow-up evaluation of various pulmonary diseases, especially asthma, has been described. The use of this method is currently limited to the field of research and, unfortunately, is not available at most Brazilian referral centers.[28-31]

**Therapeutic aspects**

**General measures**

The inclusion of general measures is an important phase in the management of patients with allergic rhinitis. Cigarette smoke, the principal domestic pollutant, can have harmful effects on the respiratory epithelium and is a risk factor for respiratory disease, especially allergic rhinitis and asthma.[32,33]

Pollution in large cities, global warming and the apparent relationship between air pollution and respiratory diseases (despite the methodological difficulties in confirming such a relationship) are relevant facts that have drawn the attention of health authorities in recent years. The high prevalence of allergic rhinitis in large centers illustrates the damage that pollution can cause to the nasal mucosa.[34]

Regarding compliance to environmental control measures, the literature demonstrates a variation from 17 to 42% in Brazilian and international studies. These findings confirm that environmental control measures are not always carried out, socio-economic and cultural factors being implicated in this noncompliance. It is noteworthy that, despite the low compliance and conflicting results on the efficacy of individual measures, preventing contact with allergens that irritate the respiratory system should be recommended.[35,36]

Studies demonstrate that exposure to allergens in the first years of life results in decreased pulmonary function, increased bronchial hyperresponsiveness and increased exhaled nitric oxide, accompanied by persistent influx of eosinophils and T lymphocytes into the small airways. Recent studies have tried to associate environmental exposure, genetic predisposition and allergic diseases. In contrast to studies involving adults, most studies involving children suggest that environmental control measures are somewhat beneficial. In occupational asthma, the removal of the allergen is clearly associated with improved prognosis.[37,38]

The use of nasal isotonic or hypertonic saline solution in allergic rhinitis is controversial. Some recent studies suggest that the use of hypertonic saline solution (3%) can constitute an additional therapeutic option in patients with allergic rhinitis. Garavelo et al. conducted a randomized study involving 20 patients aged 6-12 years, in which 10 received hypertonic saline solution (3%) three times a day for six weeks, and 10 did not receive any nasal topical treatment.[39,40] Symptom scores were registered daily, and the use of oral antihistamines was allowed for symptom relief. Patients allocated to the group that used the hypertonic solution presented lower use of antihistamines and lower mean symptom scores. Other studies have not found favorable results for hypertonic saline. It is possible that nasal irrigation with hypertonic saline solution is more useful in nasal surgery. Adam et al. found no improvement in symptoms or duration of rhinitis.[41] In clinical practice, some children complain that nasal irrigation with hypertonic saline solution causes local burning. However, in the literature reviewed, we did not find any data related to the limitation of its use in any age bracket.

Nasal hygiene can be performed through nasal instillation of saline solution, using silicone syringes molded to the nasal cavity. In children who resist this administration, the presentation in spray can be an available form of treatment, and it reduces the use of other medications, including antibiotics.
position H1, encoded by chromosome 3, is responsible for various symptoms of allergic diseases, such as rhinorrhea, bronchoconstriction and contraction of gastrointestinal muscles.\(^{46,47}\)

With the advances in molecular pharmacology, H1 antihistamines have been reclassified as reverse agonists rather than H1-receptor antagonists. They are G protein-coupled H1 receptors, in which active and inactive conformations coexist in balance. The activation degree of the receptor in the absence of histamine is a constitutive activity, and histamine acts as an agonist by combination and stabilization of the activated conformation of H1 receptor, with preponderance of the active state. The reverse agonist has greater affinity for the inactive state, which leads to the stabilization of the receptor and, consequently, to the induction of the inactive state.\(^{46,47}\)

Antihistamines have been synthesized and introduced in the treatment of allergic rhinitis for over 50 years, the so-called first generation, whose principal adverse effect is drowsiness. They are available on the market, and among those provided by the Unified Health System are hydroxyzine, derived from piperazines, and dextrochloropheniramine, derived from alkylamines.

From the 1970s onward, research has led to the discovery of new antihistamines with fewer side effects, such as loratadine, cetirizine, levocabastine, azelastine, epinastine, ebastine and fexofenadine.\(^{47,48}\)

Therefore, H1 antihistamines can be categorized as classical (first generation or sedating) or non-classical (second generation or little sedating). The difference among them lies in the fact that classical H1 antihistamines have a simpler chemical structure, are liposoluble and cross the blood-brain barrier, causing drowsiness, fatigue, learning difficulties, mental confusion and appetite alterations. Such effects are less intense with second generation H1 antihistamines, which have a more complex chemical structure and do not cross the blood-brain barrier as much, thus causing less drowsiness.\(^{48}\)

Second generation H1 antihistamines are preferable due to their more favorable efficacy/safety profile.

**Intranasal corticosteroids**

Intranasal corticosteroids have constituted the treatment of choice for persistent forms of allergic rhinitis since the early 1990s. Systemic side effects...
are undetectable when used in the recommended dose. In addition, the use of a daily morning dose has minimized the potential impact on the hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenal (HPA) axis.\(^{48}\)

The effect of intranasal corticosteroids is directed toward the reduction of the inflammation of the nasal mucosa, leading to improvement of nasal obstruction, pruritus and sneezing, as well as of rhinorrhea. It should be noted that the ease of administration contributes to greater compliance to treatment.\(^{45,48}\)

The prolonged use of intranasal corticosteroids and the need to combine intranasal corticosteroids with steroids using other routes of administration increase the possibility of suppression of the HPA axis and of stunted growth in children. In particular, in patients with allergic rhinitis and asthma who receive topical nasal and pulmonary corticosteroids, it would be advisable to combine the corticosteroids with another group of drugs, aiming at reducing the total topical respiratory corticosteroid load. It is important to note that growth surveillance efforts should be redoubled in these patients. Regarding local side effects, local irritation, bleeding and septal perforation are rarely seen.\(^{45}\)

Due to its good anti-inflammatory effect, low absorption and first pass metabolism, second generation topical corticosteroids are the treatment of choice in persistent allergic rhinitis.

From the 1970s onward, with the introduction of beclomethasone dipropionate, intranasal corticosteroids have been successfully used in patients with allergic rhinitis.\(^{49-51}\) Corticosteroids available for nasal use, in Brazil, include beclomethasone, triamcinolone, budesonide, fluticasone and mometasone, the last two being efficacious in a daily single-dose regimen. The aqueous solution is better tolerated, since it causes less irritation in the mucosa, as well as since it fixes better and contains no propellants. It should be noted that first generation corticosteroids such as dexamethasone and betamethasone present systemic effects when administered intranasally and are therefore contra-indicated.

**Other therapeutic options**

**Disodium cromoglycate**

Disodium cromoglycate, which stabilizes mastocytes, can be used in the treatment of allergic rhinitis and presents minimum side effects. However, its efficacy is modest. In a study that evaluated the efficacy of this medication, it was observed that cromoglycate relieved rhinorrhea and nasal pruritus when compared to a placebo, although the difference between the group that received the medication and the group that received the placebo was not statistically significant. However, wheezing and nasal obstruction were significantly relieved after the administration of cromoglycate.\(^{52}\)

One of the limitations of the use of disodium cromoglycate is the need to administer it four times a day, leading to less compliance over the long term. It is interesting that the use of disodium cromoglycate can be an option in milder cases or when it is desirable to withdraw steroids in a patient who responded well but who has been using the drug for a long time, and who might or might not do well without the medication.

**Antileukotrienes**

Montelukast is a type I cysteine selective leukotriene receptor antagonist found in human airways. It is known that leukotrienes act as inflammatory mediators and play an important role in the physiopathology of allergic rhinitis.

Clinical studies have demonstrated that the use of oral montelukast in the dose of 10 mg once a day (for adults) is well tolerated and brings significant relief of diurnal and nocturnal nasal symptoms, in addition to ocular symptoms of allergic rhinitis. The cost of the medication is an obstacle to part of the population, and its efficacy as monotherapy is low, being more often used as an adjuvant treatment in patients who do not respond satisfactorily to antihistamines and intranasal corticosteroids.\(^{53,54}\)

**Anti-IgE antibodies**

The production of IgE is the principal mechanism of hypersensitivity reactions in patients with allergic rhinitis. It interacts with low and high affinity receptors. The use of monoclonal anti-IgE antibody has not been approved for the treatment of allergic rhinitis, although clinical trials have revealed its efficacy.\(^{55,56}\)

**Immunotherapy**

Immunotherapy should be considered in cases of moderate/severe persistent allergic rhinitis that do
not satisfactorily respond to conventional therapy. It consists of a technique in which small quantities of allergen extract are periodically injected in the subcutaneous tissue over the course of a few years, aiming at minimizing the symptoms caused by the exposure to these allergens.\(^\text{57}\)

One of the principal obstacles to the success of the immunotherapy treatment is the fact that these patients are usually allergic to more than one type of substance, thus making it difficult to identify the allergen to be used in the vaccine.

Controlled studies have shown that immunotherapy is efficacious in patients with hypersensitivity to insect bites, as well as in patients with allergic rhinoconjunctivitis and asthma.\(^\text{50}\) The greatest risk in the use of specific immunotherapy is anaphylaxis. Therefore, patients who are submitted to immunotherapy need rigorous supervision by an experienced professional so that any prodromal manifestations of anaphylaxis are recognized and promptly treated. These patients are also at risk of asthma exacerbation.

**Nasal corticosteroid inhalation for the simplified treatment of asthma and allergic rhinitis**

Asthma and allergic rhinitis present direct morphological, epidemiological, pathophysiological and clinical interrelationships. Therefore, they have begun to be considered manifestations of the same pathological process: contiguous allergic inflammation of the airways. The efficacy of the unified treatment strategy, that is, nasal corticosteroid inhalation for the treatment of allergic rhinitis and asthma, which consists of the use of nasal metereddose inhalers, with the aid of a facial mask attached to a large volume valve spacer, was demonstrated in two recent clinical trials.\(^\text{58,59}\) Such findings indicate a reduction in costs and side effects, as well as the improvement of treatment compliance among patients with asthma and persistent allergic rhinitis.

**Final comments**

Allergic rhinitis presents high prevalence in Brazil, confirmed by recent epidemiological studies. The relationship with asthma is clear, and the approach to treatment should be integrated. In most cases, the clinical diagnosis suffices and can be confirmed by allergy tests. The use of antihistamines, preferably non-sedating, when necessary, is an alternative in intermittent and mild forms of allergic rhinitis. Intranasal corticosteroids should be reserved for use in patients with the persistent moderate or severe forms, which often require adjuvant treatment with antihistamines and, occasionally, with nasal decongestants.

The World Health Organization Global Alliance against Respiratory Diseases initiative, recently launched in Brazil and co-sponsored by the Brazilian National Ministry of Health, is aimed at improving the prevention and treatment of chronic respiratory diseases, including allergic rhinitis.
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