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Abstract

Objective: To evaluate how pulmonologists view the impact that asthma and COPD has on their patients, as well as how they treat these diseases. Methods: Survey including 227 pulmonologists participating in the VI Brazilian Congress on Asthma, II Brazilian Congress on COPD and II Brazilian Congress on Smoking, all of which were held in 2007. Results: According to the answers given by the pulmonologists, COPD is a public health problem of equal or greater importance than asthma, and COPD causes various disruptions in the lives of patients and their family members. When prescribing an inhalation device, pulmonologists feel that simplicity of use is more important than is the cost. There was a slight preference for the Aeroliser® and Diskus® systems. The budesonide-formoterol combination was the therapeutic regimen most often cited for the continued treatment of symptomatic asthma, whereas tiotropium bromide was the most often cited medication for the treatment of COPD. Selection of the therapeutic regimen for asthma and COPD is primarily influenced by the results of therapeutic trials published in the literature. Conclusions: The opinions of pulmonologists on the topics under study are in concordance with data in the specialized literature.
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Introduction

Asthma and COPD are examples of common diseases seen in the offices of pulmonologists. Both impair daily life and can kill. Whereas the former is more prevalent among children, the latter typically affects adults, especially form the fifth decade of life onward. Asthma impairs leisure and work activities. It also motivates the recurrent seeking of treatment in emergency rooms and outpatient clinics, as well as being responsible for hospitalizations and deaths. However, COPD is a disease against which only little can be done, prevention being the only remedy. Worldwide, it is one of the leading causes of chronic morbidity and mortality, and there is a trend toward the worsening of this situation.1)

Asthma and COPD are both major causes of human suffering and financial loss, for the patients, their families and the community, as well as for the government, representing serious public health problems in most countries.2) Therefore, various organizations and medical
societies have defined diagnostic and therapeutic routines (consensuses), aiming at greater effectiveness in the approach to these diseases. However, even with the international medical community attempting to take effective action to reduce the burden that these two diseases represent, recent studies have demonstrated various problems associated with the management of asthma and COPD: the gap between the expectations of the patients and the medical actions carried out; inappropriate management by health professionals; and the impact of the diseases on the daily life of patients, as well as on personal, institutional and governmental budgets.

In 2007, with the objective of evaluating how pulmonologists view the scenario of these two diseases and the impact they have on their clientele, as well as the treatment used, the Sociedade Brasileira de Pneumologia e Tisiologia (SBPT; Brazilian Thoracic Association) conducted a survey of 227 physicians, the results of which are presented and commented upon in this article.

**Methods**

This was a cross-sectional study carried out using a survey with a standardized questionnaire (Appendix 1), applied during the VI Brazilian Congress on Asthma, II Brazilian Congress on COPD and II Brazilian Congress on Smoking, all of which were held on August 22–25 of 2007 in the city of Belo Horizonte, Brazil. The pulmonologists who visited the SBPT booth were invited to complete the questionnaire.

**Results**

Among the approximately 1,000 participants of the congress, 227 pulmonologists, of which 141 (62%) were male and 86 (38%) were female, accepted the invitation and completed the questionnaire. Of those, 21% had graduated less than 10 years prior, 66% had graduated between 10 and 30 years prior, and 13% had graduated more than 30 years prior. Slightly more than half of the interviewees reported treating only adults, 3% were pediatric pulmonologists, and 42% treated patients of all ages.

When the physicians were queried regarding which of the two diseases—asthma or COPD—represents the more serious public health problem, 56% of the interviewees considered them equivalent. This opinion was not dependent on the time since graduation. However, when asked about which represents the greater problem for the patient, 54% indicated COPD, and only 4% indicated asthma. The remainder (42%) expressed the belief that both represented equivalent problems for the patients. Again, the time since graduation had no apparent influence on their opinion.

Since the characteristics of both diseases require the family/guardians to assume responsibility for the care of the patient, we inquired as to which disease, in the view of the pulmonologist, represents a greater burden. Again, half (49%) of the interviewees found the two to be equivalent: 40% indicated COPD as that representing the greater burden for the family; and only 10% indicated asthma.

We tried to identify which factors were considered in the choice of the pharmacological approach. When asked about what they considered more important—inhaler or oral medication—in the selection of the pharmacological treatment to be prescribed, two thirds of the interviewees answered that the two were equally important, whereas similar proportions.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time since graduation, years</th>
<th>CB (n (%))</th>
<th>BF (n (%))</th>
<th>FS (n (%))</th>
<th>Indifferent (n (%))</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1-10</td>
<td>9 (20)</td>
<td>26 (57)</td>
<td>8 (17)</td>
<td>3 (7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11-20</td>
<td>5 (7)</td>
<td>41 (60)</td>
<td>12 (18)</td>
<td>10 (15)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21-30</td>
<td>10 (12)</td>
<td>44 (54)</td>
<td>15 (19)</td>
<td>12 (15)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt; 30</td>
<td>2 (7)</td>
<td>14 (47)</td>
<td>9 (30)</td>
<td>5 (17)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>26 (12)</td>
<td>125 (56)</td>
<td>44 (20)</td>
<td>30 (13)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CB: corticosteroid+short-acting β2 agonist, when necessary; BF: budesonide+formoterol; and FS: fluticasone+salmeterol.

*One participant in each group failed to provide the information requested.
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A long-acting $\beta_2$ agonist and an inhaled corticosteroid seems to be the therapeutic regimen most frequently used by pulmonologists, regardless of time since graduation. This is followed by the combination of tiotropium bromide and long-acting $\beta_2$ agonist. The inhaler is important for the effectiveness of the inhaled medication. A number of studies have demonstrated that the choice of the inhalation system should consider characteristics of the user, of the solution to be inhaled and the appropriate site for the deposition of the drug. In Brazil, there are three models of inhalers designed to deliver the long-acting $\beta_2$ agonist + inhaled corticosteroid combination. Eight participants failed to provide the information requested.

Table 2 - Distribution of the responses, according to the time since graduation of the pulmonologist, for the question “Which option do you prescribe with greatest frequency for the long-term treatment of patients with COPD?”

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Treatment option*</th>
<th>Time since graduation, years</th>
<th>Total, n (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1-10, n (%)</td>
<td>11-20, n (%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IB</td>
<td>1 (1)</td>
<td>1 (2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TB</td>
<td>2 (4)</td>
<td>1 (2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LAB2</td>
<td>2 (4)</td>
<td>1 (2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IB + SAB2</td>
<td>16 (35)</td>
<td>9 (14)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IB + LAB2</td>
<td>5 (7)</td>
<td>3 (5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LAB2 + IC</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1 (2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TB + SAB2</td>
<td>18 (40)</td>
<td>15 (33)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TB + LAB2</td>
<td>6 (13)</td>
<td>16 (24)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TB + LAB2 + IC</td>
<td>13 (28)</td>
<td>24 (35)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Eleven participants did not draw a distinction between the Diskus® and Turbuhaler® systems.

Table 3 - Distribution of the responses, according to the time since graduation of the pulmonologist, for the question “Which system of inhalation do you prefer for the administration of the long-acting $\beta_2$ agonist + inhaled corticosteroid combination?”

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time since graduation, years</th>
<th>Aeroliser®, n (%)</th>
<th>Diskus®, n (%)</th>
<th>Turbuhaler®, n (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1-10</td>
<td>14 (42)</td>
<td>9 (27)</td>
<td>6 (18)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11-20</td>
<td>18 (40)</td>
<td>15 (33)</td>
<td>10 (22)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21-30*</td>
<td>15 (31)</td>
<td>14 (29)</td>
<td>16 (33)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt; 30</td>
<td>7 (30)</td>
<td>11 (48)</td>
<td>3 (13)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>54 (36)</td>
<td>49 (33)</td>
<td>35 (24)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Eleven participants did not draw a distinction between the Diskus® and Turbuhaler® systems.
Aged significantly, and that the over-65 age group will account for 10% of the population in contrast with the current 6.6%.

Apparently, the prevalence of asthma is increasing in developed and underdeveloped countries, affecting people of all ages, races and ethnic groups. Estimates made by the WHO Global Initiative for Asthma indicate that 300 million people worldwide suffer from asthma.

The prevalence of asthma ranges from 1% to 18% of the population in different countries. There is evidence that this has been increasing in certain countries, that it has recently increased in some other countries and that it is stable, at present, in still others. With the prediction that the proportion of the global population living in urban settings will change from 45% to 59% by 2025, everything indicates that the number of asthma patients will increase over the next two decades. Therefore, it is estimated that over 100 million people will have asthma by 2025.

According to WHO estimates, asthma causes the loss of 15 million disability-adjusted life years (DALYs), representing the sum of the years lost to premature death or incapacity. This measure represents the impact of a disease on society, representing 1% of all the losses caused by diseases. According to the estimates of the WHO, asthma causes approximately 250,000 deaths annually worldwide, accounting for 1 of every 250 deaths.

In 1990, COPD occupied the 12th place in the ranking of the causes of DALYs; by 2020, it will probably be in 5th place among the causes of DALYs and in 3rd place among the causes of death. Currently, the WHO estimates that

Table 4 - Distribution of the responses to the question “Which is the most important factor in choosing the medication?”

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Factor</th>
<th>Degree of importancea</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Clinical trials comparing the effectiveness of the different medications</td>
<td>6 2 7 16 182</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Information obtained on the Internet</td>
<td>55 22 43 21 35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Information made available by the pharmaceutical industry during the symposia</td>
<td>50 31 44 22 30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Information made available by the pharmaceutical industry through its representatives during visits to the office/hospital</td>
<td>69 39 37 16 15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sponsorship of scientific activities (conferences, seminars, etc.) by the pharmaceutical company that markets the medication</td>
<td>64 32 31 21 32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Financial support, intended to encourage physician participation in scientific activities (conferences, seminars, etc.), from the pharmaceutical company that markets the medication</td>
<td>78 27 30 15 26</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*a: hardly important; and 5: very important.

Discussion

The impact that the binomial of asthma and COPD has on the individual, the family and society is enormous and has been increasing, in parallel with the aging of the population, increased environmental pollution and increases in the consumption of tobacco. The estimates of the World Health Organization (WHO) indicate that, by 2025, the world population will have aged significantly, and that the over-65 age group will account for 10% of the population in contrast with the current 6.6%.

Appreciably, the prevalence of asthma is increasing in developed and underdeveloped countries, affecting people of all ages, races and ethnic groups. Estimates made by the WHO Global Initiative for Asthma indicate that 300 million people worldwide suffer from asthma. The prevalence of asthma ranges from 1% to 18% of the population in different countries. There is evidence that this has been increasing in certain countries, that it has recently increased in some other countries and that it is stable, at present, in still others. With the prediction that the proportion of the global population living in urban settings will change from 45% to 59% by 2025, everything indicates that the number of asthma patients will increase over the next two decades. Therefore, it is estimated that over 100 million people will have asthma by 2025.

According to WHO estimates, asthma causes the loss of 15 million disability-adjusted life years (DALYs), representing the sum of the years lost to premature death or incapacity. This measure represents the impact of a disease on society, representing 1% of all the losses caused by diseases. According to the estimates of the WHO, asthma causes approximately 250,000 deaths annually worldwide, accounting for 1 of every 250 deaths.

In 1990, COPD occupied the 12th place in the ranking of the causes of DALYs; by 2020, it will probably be in 5th place among the causes of DALYs and in 3rd place among the causes of death. Currently, the WHO estimates that
210 million people worldwide have COPD, and that this disease caused the death of more than 3 million people in 2005 (5% of all of deaths occurring in that year). Unless urgent measures are taken in order to minimize the risk factors, especially smoking, the number of deaths from COPD will likely increase by 30% in the next ten years. Since COPD is a classic example of a smoking-related disease, it is difficult to dissociate its epidemiology from that of smoking. Despite the fact that COPD is also associated with poverty, it is less common in developing countries, since the populations of such countries are younger and the prevalence of smoking is lower, in comparison with those of industrialized countries. However, the projections are that the rates of COPD will also increase in these regions, since the prevalence of smoking is increasing (between 1985 and 1990, it increased by 3.4%; and it is estimated that to have increased by 2.7% between 1995 and 2000). In Brazil, the trend appears to be the inverse. According to one study, COPD is the sixth leading cause of death, and its prevalence is near 16%. It should be borne in mind that, despite the fact that COPD has traditionally been considered a disease characteristic of those older than 50, one recent study revealed that the disease can be present in the 20-45 year age bracket. The historic view that COPD is predominant in males is being reviewed, since smoking is becoming more common among females. The habit of burning biomass for heating and cooking, popular in certain countries, is also a factor responsible for the fact that the incidence of the disease is becoming equivalent between the genders.

To the human suffering resulting from the two diseases, we must add the financial costs linked to their diagnosis and treatment, as well as the consequences for the family members and caregivers. Asthma accounts for substantial expenditures—approximately 1% of all health care costs in some developed countries. A significant proportion of the expenditures (more than 30% of the direct cost and, possibly, three quarters of the total cost) are attributable to inadequate control of the disease, which increases the rates of emergency treatment and hospitalizations. In terms of cost per individual, the economic burden in developed countries ranges from US$300 to US$1,300 per asthma patient per year, being disproportionately greater in those with more severe disease. In turn, COPD is also responsible for enormous financial costs, whether direct (value of the health care resources allocated for the diagnosis and clinical management) or indirect (monetary value of the disability, lost productivity, home care and premature death). It is estimated that that the direct costs of respiratory diseases account for 6% of the overall health care budget in the European Union. Of these costs, COPD is responsible for 56%. In the United States, the direct and indirect costs of COPD have been shown to be on the order of US$18 billion and US$14.4 billion, respectively. Worldwide, summing the prevalence of the disease with its impact, the per capita cost of COPD is nearly three times greater than that of asthma. In the United States, the 1992 annual per capita Medicare expenditure was 2.4 times greater for patients with COPD than for those without (US$8,482 vs. US$3,511).

Between 1992 and 2006, respiratory diseases constituted the eighth leading cause of hospitalization in Brazil, being responsible for approximately 15% (13-17%) of all hospitalizations funded by the Sistema de Informações Hospitalares do Sistema Único de Saúde (SIH-SUS, Hospital Information Service of the Unified Health Care System).

In this period, in the SUS-financed hospitals alone, asthma was responsible for a mean annual number of hospitalizations of 324,237 (888 hospitalizations/day; 17% of all hospitalizations for respiratory diseases; 3% of all-cause hospitalizations reported by the SIH-SUS). In turn, COPD was responsible for a mean annual number of hospitalizations of 237,779 (651 hospitalizations/day; 12% of all hospitalizations for respiratory diseases; 2% of all-cause hospitalizations reported by the SIH-SUS). It is likely that the number of hospitalizations for COPD has been underreported, since the coding system used to indicate the cause of hospitalization generates certain distortions. In the 1979-2006 period, asthma was responsible for a mean number of deaths of 2,155/year (approximately 6 deaths/day) in Brazil, whereas the mean annual number of COPD-related deaths was 22,010 (60 deaths/day). Over the same period, the annual number of COPD-related deaths demonstrated a trend.
toward growth: from 9,358 in 1980 to 35,548 in 2004.\(^\text{21}\)

In accordance with the data shown above, approximately one third of the interviewees in the Belo Horizonte survey expressed the belief that COPD represents a public health problem greater than that generated by asthma, whereas slightly more than half believed the two to be equivalent. It should be borne in mind that, since we did not interview a representative sample of the pulmonologists working in Brazil, the results presented here cannot be extrapolated to the class as a whole. They represent only the opinions of the group interviewed. Nevertheless, this group was composed of approximately 25\% of those present at the VI Brazilian Congress on Asthma, II Brazilian Congress on COPD and II Brazilian Congress on Smoking. In addition, there was no selection of who would complete the questionnaire, which was offered to all who visited the SBPT booth. Therefore, we can suppose that the results presented here are a fair representation of the general opinion.

Asthma and COPD both have a great impact on society and on the family members, potentially resulting in missed work days for at least two individuals—the patient and one of the family members, who must miss work in order to provide the necessary home care. Therefore, the loss of productivity is doubled. From this perspective, the financial costs of COPD exceed those of asthma, since it causes a greater number of hospitalizations and requires the use of more expensive medications. In additional, providing the ideal treatment for COPD can involve costly interventions, such as cardiopulmonary rehabilitation and oxygen therapy. Most COPD patients are adults in the economically productive age bracket, whereas asthma is more common in children. In this context, the costs resulting from work absenteeism and early retirement would be higher for COPD. Therefore, we can perhaps consider COPD a greater public health problem than is asthma. In the present survey, more than half of the interviewees (54\%) expressed the belief that COPD represents a problem for the patient greater than that presented by asthma, whereas 41\% found the two to be equivalent. Approximately half of the interviewees (49\%) expressed the opinion that the two diseases cause equivalent impairment for the caregivers (family members or others), whereas 40\% felt that COPD causes greater impairment for the caregivers. In summary, from any perspective, be it that of public health, that of the patient or that of the caretaker, approximately half (49\%) of the pulmonologists interviewed stated that the two diseases are equivalent, and slightly less than half (41\%) indicated COPD as the greater source of suffering. This opinion is in accordance with the scenario of the two diseases worldwide—asthma causes approximately 250,000 deaths annually, compared with slightly more than 3 million for COPD. The financial cost of asthma ranges from US$300 to US$1,300 per asthma patient per year, and that of COPD is three times greater. The impairment of quality of life is also greater in COPD.

It has been demonstrated that the pulmonologist is more effective than is the general clinician in the treatment of patients with obstructive lung disease.\(^\text{22,23}\) Studies comparing general clinicians and pulmonologists have demonstrated that the specialists employ the available resources with greater effectiveness, resulting in better care and outcomes that are more favorable, making the pulmonologist of indisputable value in the treatment of patients with severe asthma or in the advanced stages of COPD.\(^\text{24}\) Principally in the case of COPD, a disease for which there is a significant amount of disinformation in the general population,\(^\text{9}\) the lack of specific training among general physicians has a negative impact on the treatment of the patients.\(^\text{25}\)

Within the arsenal of therapeutic strategies currently available and proven effective in the treatment of symptomatic asthma patients, the combination of a long-acting \(\beta_2\) agonist and an inhaled corticosteroid it is considered the best option.\(^\text{10}\) For the treatment of COPD, tiotropium bromide, which has a bronchodilator effect that is prolonged (slightly more that 24 h), is considered the best medication currently available.\(^\text{26}\) Various studies have demonstrated that, administered through inhalation in a single daily dose of 18 \(\mu\)g, tiotropium bromide is superior to ipratropium bromide used four times a day,\(^\text{27}\) as well as to salmeterol used twice a day.\(^\text{28}\) Apparently, the combination of the tiotropium bromide and formoterol, with or without an inhaled corticosteroid, is the ideal treatment strategy for patients in the intermediate stages of COPD.\(^\text{29}\)
Part of the questionnaire was aimed at evaluating the treatment practices preferred by the pulmonologists in the long-term treatment of asthma and COPD. On the question regarding the option prescribed with the greatest frequency for the long-term treatment of symptomatic asthma patients, slightly more than half (56%) of the pulmonologists indicated the budesonide + formoterol combination, whereas approximately 20% stated a preference for the fluticasone + salmeterol combination, and 13% found no significant difference between the two strategies. Slightly more than 10% expressed a preference for the use of an inhaled corticosteroid in isolation, or accompanied by a short-acting $\beta_2$ agonist when necessary. Therefore, the conduct of the pulmonologists in the treatment of asthma patients is apparently in line with the current scientific knowledge. When asked about the preferred treatment option for the long-term treatment of patients with COPD, slightly more than one third of the interviewees (37%) expressed a preference for the long-acting $\beta_2$ agonist + inhaled corticosteroid + tiotropium bromide combination. A slightly smaller proportion (21%) stated their preference for the long-acting $\beta_2$ agonist +tiotropium bromide combination. In general, the greater part (63%) stated that they always prescribe tiotropium bromide, either alone or in combination. Again, the responses obtained demonstrate synchrony between the scientific knowledge and the practice.

The characteristics of the system of inhalation are important for the effectiveness of the inhaled medication. This fact is known to the pulmonologists interviewed, given that more than 80% stated that the inhaler chosen was as important as or more important than was the pharmacological agent prescribed. According to the interviewees, simplicity of use is the most important characteristic in the choice of the system, and we observed greater predilection for the Aeroliser® and Diskus® systems for the administration of the long-acting $\beta_2$ agonist+inhaled corticosteroid combination. It is of note that the cost of the system, or rather of the medication, was considered the least important factor in the choice of the prescription. In the national scenario, in which reducing medication costs is an important tool for making treatment accessible to a greater proportion of the population, this opinion might seem contradictory.

Finally, we attempted to identify the principal factors involved in the choice of the treatment regimen for asthma patients and patients with COPD. In most cases, the principal factor cited was the information obtained from the results of clinical trials. This addresses the issue of the recommended best practices. According to the responses obtained, the information made available by the pharmaceutical companies, whether on the Internet, through symposia (conferences seminars, etc.) or in visits made by the sales force to the office/hospital, has little or no effect on the choice of the medication. The same was true for the financial support that the pharmaceutical industry provides in order to encourage physicians to participate in conferences.

In conclusion, according to the greater part of the pulmonologists interviewed, COPD is as much a problem of public health as a personal problem, equal to or greater than that caused by asthma. In the choice of the inhaler, simplicity of use is more important than is the cost, a slight predilection for the Aeroliser® and Diskus® systems being observed when the long-acting $\beta_2$ agonist + inhaled corticosteroid combination was prescribed. The budesonide+formoterol combination was the treatment regimen most often cited for the long-term treatment of symptomatic asthma patients, whereas the tiotropium bromide was the drug of choice for the greater part of patients with COPD under long-term treatment. The choice of the regimen for the long-term treatment of asthma patients and of patients with COPD is especially influenced by the publication of results of clinical trials.
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Appendix 1 – Standardized questionnaire employed in the study.

Dear colleague,

The Sociedade Brasileira de Pneumologia e Tisiologia (SBPT, Brazilian Thoracic Association), together with the Professor Hélio Fraga Referral Center (National Ministry of Health), are studying the factors involved in deciding how to treat asthma and COPD. To that end, we request that you complete the questionnaire below. We assure you that the questionnaire is anonymous and that the responses given to the questions posed will be used only for the objectives of the study, whose results will be published in the official journal of the SBPT, the Brazilian Journal of Pulmonology.

We are grateful for your support, SBPT

Professor Hélio Fraga Referral Center, National Ministry of Health.

01) Gender: M □ F □
02) Time since graduation: □□ years

03) Do you treat patients with one of both of the diseases in question (asthma and COPD)? Yes □ No □
04) What age patients do you treat? adults □ children □ both □

5) In your opinion, which of the two represents the greater public health problem?
   □ Asthma □ COPD □ They are equivalent
   □ Neither represents a public health problem

6) In your opinion, which of the two represents the greater problem for the patient?
   □ Asthma □ COPD □ They are equivalent

7) In your opinion, which of the two represents the greater problem for the family members/caregivers?
   □ Asthma □ COPD □ They are equivalent

8) What has more importance in the definition of the medication for the patient (asthma patient or patient with COPD)?
   □ The system of inhalation □ The pharmacological agents □ Both are equally important

09) Which of the options below do you prescribe with greatest frequency for the long-term treatment of symptomatic asthma patients?
   □ Inhaled corticosteroid in isolation, with SOS use of a short-acting β₂ agonist
   □ Budesonide + formoterol
   □ Salmeterol + fluticasone

10) Which of the inhaled medications below do you most often prescribe for the long-term treatment of patients with COPD?
    □ Ipratropium bromide
    □ Tiotropium bromide
    □ Ipratropium bromide + short-acting β₂ agonist
    □ Ipratropium bromide + long-acting β₂ agonist
    □ Tiotropium bromide + short-acting β₂ agonist
    □ Tiotropium bromide + long-acting β₂ agonist

11) Do you give preference to one of the available systems of inhalation for delivery of the inhaled corticosteroid + long-acting β₂ agonist combination?
    Yes □ No □
    If Yes, for which? □ Turbuhaler □ Diskus □ Capsules for inhalation

12) If you prefer one of the available systems of inhalation to the others, what is the most important factor in its choice?
    □ Simplicity of use □ Degree of penetration in the bronchial tree □ Cost
    □ Opinion of the patient □ I have no preference

13) Which are the factors involved in the definition of the medication chosen for the patient?
    (Please score each from 1 to 5: 1 = hardly important; and 5 = very important):
    □ Clinical trials comparing the effectiveness of the different medications
    □ Information obtained on the Internet
    □ Information made available by the pharmaceutical industry in symposia
    □ Information made available by the pharmaceutical industry through sales representatives during visits to the office/hospital
    □ Sponsorship of scientific activities (conferences, seminars, etc.) by the pharmaceutical company that markets the medication
    □ Financial support, intended to encourage physician participation in scientific activities (conferences, seminars, etc.), from the pharmaceutical company that markets the medication