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Abstract
Objective: To compare FEV$_1$ and FVC, calculated using three sets of reference equations (devised by Polgar & Promadhat, Hsu et al. and Mallozi in 1971, 1979 and 1995, respectively), and to determine whether the three are similar in predicting lung function in children and adolescents with distinct body mass indices (BMIs). Methods: The individuals were separated into four groups in accordance with the reference standards of the National Center for Health Statistics: underweight (UW), normal weight (NW), overweight (OW), and obese (OB). All were then submitted to spirometry. Results: We evaluated 122 healthy children and adolescents, aged 7–14 years. The FVC values predicted for NW females and UW males through the use of the Hsu et al. equation were significantly higher than the measured values, as were the FEV$_1$ values for UW females and males predicted via the Polgar & Promadhat and Hsu et al. equations. In NW females, the FEV$_1$ values predicted via the Polgar & Promadhat equation were significantly higher than were the measured values. Conclusions: In individuals with distinct BMIs, the measured FVC and FEV$_1$ values were not equivalent to those predicted via the Polgar & Promadhat and Hsu et al. equations. The same was not true for the Mallozi equations. The BMI was not a relevant factor for the predictive index of these equations; therefore, the Mallozi equations can be used without alteration for children and adolescents with distinct BMIs.

Keywords: Spirometry; Reference values; Body mass index; Child; Adolescent.

Resumo
Objetivo: Comparar o VEF$_1$ e a CVF, calculados a partir de três equações de referência (idealizadas por Polgar e Promadhat, Hsu et al. e Mallozi em 1971, 1979 e 1995, respectivamente) e verificar se estas se equivalem ao predizer a função pulmonar em crianças e adolescentes com diferentes índices de massa corpórea (IMC). Métodos: Os indivíduos foram divididos em quatro grupos: baixo peso (BP), eutrófico (E), sobrepeso (SP) e obeso (O), de acordo com o padrão de referência do National Center for Health Statistics, e então submetidos ao teste espirométrico. Resultados: Foram avaliadas 122 crianças e adolescentes saudáveis com idade entre 7–14 anos. Os valores de CVF previstos pela equação de Hsu et al. nos grupos E (feminino) e BP (masculino), assim como os valores de VEF$_1$ previstos pelas equações de Polgar e Promadhat e Hsu et al. nos grupos BP (feminino e masculino), foram significativamente superiores aos valores medidos. De acordo com a equação de Polgar e Promadhat, os valores de VEF$_1$ previstos foram significativamente superiores aos valores medidos no grupo E (feminino). Conclusões: Não houve equivalência dos valores de CVF e VEF$_1$, medidos em indivíduos com diferentes IMC, e os previstos pelas equações de Polgar e Promadhat e de Hsu et al. O mesmo não ocorreu quando as equações de Mallozi foram utilizadas. O IMC não foi um fator importante para o índice preditivo nas equações de Mallozi, podendo ser utilizadas indistintamente em crianças e adolescentes com diferentes IMC.
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Introduction

Spirometry is a pulmonary function test that helps to prevent, identify and quantify respiratory disorders. In order to analyze the spirometric measurements of each individual, it is necessary to compare them with normal spirometric values, designated reference values. These values are derived from equations, obtained through the evaluation of a representative population group, with a sizeable number of nonsmokers without lung disease. Spirometric reference values vary according to gender (being 20% higher in males), age (reaching maximum values at 20 years of age in females and at 25 years of age in males), height (being higher in taller individuals), race (FEV1 and FVC values being lower in Blacks), technical factors (measurement instruments, posture/positioning of the individual, observer, procedure, computer programs, temperature and altitude) and weight, obese adults presenting reduced lung volumes (expiratory reserve volume [ERV], residual volume [RV] and FEV1), reduced capacities (functional residual capacity [FRC], vital capacity [VC], total lung capacity [TLC] and FVC) and reduced expiratory flow rates.

Due to differences in the reference values for pulmonary function, there are no equations that can be recommended for application in all patient populations. These differences among the equations in terms of theoretical values are so pronounced that the American Thoracic Society (ATS) recommends the selection of equations adapted to the particularities of each population group.

The nutritional status of individuals is associated with the integrity of their respiratory system. Obesity-related abnormalities of the respiratory system in adults cause a reduction in lung volumes and capacities (ERV, RV, FEV1, FRC, VC, TLC and FVC), as well as in expiratory flow rates. For children, data regarding these abnormalities are limited and conflicting. Increases in body mass index (BMI) can cause a reduction in pulmonary function. However, there are studies showing that there is an increase in spirometric values in overweight or obese children.

The reference equations proposed by Polgar & Promadhat, Hsu et al. and Mallozi have been used to calculate pulmonary function values (FEV1 and FVC) in children and adolescents. What these equations have in common is height as an independent variable to predict pulmonary function. However, there are no studies showing that these equations reflect pulmonary function in individuals with distinct BMIs.

The objective of the present study was to compare FEV1 and FVC, calculated using the three sets of reference equations mentioned above, and to determine whether the three are similar in predicting lung function in children and adolescents with distinct BMIs and without impairment of the respiratory system or of any other system.

Methods

We evaluated 122 healthy children and adolescents, aged 7-14 years, in three schools in the city of Belo Horizonte, Brazil. The individuals were separated into four groups based on their BMI: underweight, normal weight, overweight and obese.

The appropriate sample size, which was determined to be 122 participants, was calculated using the following equation:

\[
\frac{n}{\alpha} \left(1 - R^2\right)R^2
\]

\[(\alpha = 0.05; R^2 = 0.70; \lambda = \text{control value, which is equivalent to a power of 80%}).

Children and adolescents with acute or chronic respiratory diseases, those in the early postoperative period after thoracic or abdominal surgery and those who used medication regularly were excluded from the study, as were those with significant chest deformities, postural alterations, metabolic disorders, heart disease, neuromuscular diseases, genetic syndromes, psychological disorders or cognitive deficits.

The children and adolescents underwent an evaluation of the respiratory system consisting of anamnesis, measurement of vital signs, general assessment (static and dynamic), palpation, percussion and respiratory auscultation.

The International Study of Asthma and Allergies in Childhood questionnaire, which comprises 12 questions about signs and symptoms of asthma, allergies and bronchitis, as well as about smoking, was administered to exclude children and adolescents with asthma.

Pulmonary function was assessed using a Vitatrace VT 130 spirometer (Pró Médico Ltda., Rio de Janeiro, Brazil), according to the ATS
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Statistics (NCHS), recommended by the World Health Organization.

The BMI for age was used to classify body composition, in accordance with the NCHS reference standards: underweight (BMI for age < 5th percentile); normal weight (5th percentile ≤ BMI for age < 85th percentile); overweight (85th percentile ≤ BMI for age < 95th percentile); and obese (BMI for age ≥ 95th percentile).

The statistical analysis of the data collected was performed using the program GraphPad Prism 5 (GraphPad Software Inc., San Diego, CA, USA). The data were analyzed through measurements of central tendency, and an unpaired Student’s t-test was used to describe the sample. One-way ANOVA was used to compare the FVC and FEV$_1$ values obtained using the equations mentioned above, and Dunnett’s post hoc test was used for multiple comparisons. The level of significance was set at 5% (p < 0.05).

This study was approved by the Human Research Ethics Committee of the Federal University of Minas Gerais. The legal guardians of all participating children and adolescents gave written informed consent.

### Table 1 - Reference equations used in the study.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author (year)</th>
<th>Gender</th>
<th>FEV$_1$</th>
<th>FVC</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Polgar &amp; Promadhat (1971)</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>$2.1 \times 10^{-6} \times H^{2.80}$</td>
<td>$3.3 \times 10^{-6} \times H^{2.72}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>M</td>
<td>$2.1 \times 10^{-6} \times H^{2.80}$</td>
<td>$4.4 \times 10^{-6} \times H^{2.67}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hsu et al. (1979)</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>$3.79 \times 10^{-6} \times H^{2.68}$</td>
<td>$2.57 \times 10^{-6} \times H^{2.78}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>M</td>
<td>$7.74 \times 10^{-7} \times H^{2.72}$</td>
<td>$3.58 \times 10^{-7} \times H^{2.18}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mallozi (1986)</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>$(H \times 0.02336) + (A \times 0.0499) + (W \times 0.008) - 2.1240$</td>
<td>$(H \times 0.02417) + (A \times 0.0561) + (W \times 0.010) - 2.2197$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>M</td>
<td>$2.7183 \times \text{log} (n \times H \times 2.5413 - 11.8832)$</td>
<td>$2.7183 \times \text{log} (n \times H \times 2.7093 - 12.6205)$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Males [n = 61]</th>
<th>Females [n = 61]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>SD</td>
<td>Mean</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age, years</td>
<td>10.3</td>
<td>2.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weight, kg</td>
<td>40.9</td>
<td>18.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Height, cm</td>
<td>144</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI, kg/m$^2$</td>
<td>19.0</td>
<td>4.6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

BMI: body mass index.
Results

A total of 122 children and adolescents—61 males and 61 females (mean age, 10.26 ± 2.26 years and 10.54 ± 2.26 years, respectively)—were included in the study. The anthropometric characteristics of the sample as a whole are presented in Table 2. There were no differences between males and females in terms of anthropometric parameters.

Table 3 presents the mean and standard deviation values for age, height, weight, BMI, FVC and FEV, of the population in the distinct BMI groups by gender. There were no significant gender-related differences in terms of FVC or FEV, values. The FVC and FEV, values were found to increase in parallel with increases in BMI. The FVC values, for males and females, were significantly higher in obese participants than in underweight participants (p = 0.0279 and p = 0.0084 for males and females, respectively) and normal weight participants (p = 0.0075 and p = 0.0125 for males and females, respectively). The FEV, values were also higher in obese females than in underweight females (p = 0.0084), as well as being higher in normal weight males than in underweight males (p = 0.0108). Table 3 shows that the obese participants were taller, which could explain the higher pulmonary function values found.

Discussion

The results of the present study show that spirometric values (FVC and FEV,) increase proportionally in relation to an increase in BMI. Figures 1 and 2 show the comparison, by BMI group, of the measured values and the values predicted for FVC and FEV,, respectively, via the three sets of equations used in this study

The FVC values for normal weight females and underweight males predicted via the Hsu et al. equation(22) were significantly higher than were the measured values. In normal weight, overweight and obese males, as well as in underweight, overweight and obese females, there were no differences between the measured FVC values and the FVC values predicted via the three sets of equations.

The FEV, values for underweight males and females predicted via the Polgar & Promadhat(21) and Hsu et al. equations were significantly higher than were the measured values. In normal weight females, the FEV, values predicted via the Polgar & Promadhat equation(21) were significantly higher than were the measured values. In normal weight, overweight and obese males, as well as in overweight and obese females, there were no differences between the measured FEV, values and the FEV, values predicted via the three sets of equations.

Table 3 - Characterization of age, anthropometric parameters and pulmonary function in children and adolescents, by gender and body mass index classification.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>UW</th>
<th>NW</th>
<th>OW</th>
<th>OB</th>
<th>UW</th>
<th>NW</th>
<th>OW</th>
<th>OB</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age, years</td>
<td>11.4 ± 1.9</td>
<td>9.8 ± 2.3</td>
<td>9.7 ± 1.7</td>
<td>10.7 ± 2.7</td>
<td>10.7 ± 1.7</td>
<td>10.3 ± 2.1</td>
<td>10.7 ± 2.3</td>
<td>10.7 ± 3.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Height, cm</td>
<td>4.7 ± 3.1</td>
<td>14.67 ± 2.60</td>
<td>140 ± 10.3</td>
<td>142.2 ± 10.1</td>
<td>151.5 ± 26.3</td>
<td>137.1 ± 8.3</td>
<td>145.2 ± 8.3</td>
<td>174.3 ± 13.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weight, kg</td>
<td>31.8 ± 8.5</td>
<td>34.1 ± 10.3</td>
<td>42.2 ± 10.1</td>
<td>60.5 ± 26.4</td>
<td>26.3 ± 4.6</td>
<td>35.9 ± 9.4</td>
<td>49.4 ± 14.4</td>
<td>67.0 ± 27.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI, kg/m²</td>
<td>11.4 ± 4.5</td>
<td>14.5 ± 1.9</td>
<td>17 ± 1.9</td>
<td>20.5 ± 1.7</td>
<td>25.1 ± 5.2</td>
<td>13.9 ± 2.0</td>
<td>16.8 ± 2.0</td>
<td>22.3 ± 4.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FVC, L</td>
<td>2.09 ± 0.31</td>
<td>2.09 ± 0.31</td>
<td>2.09 ± 0.31</td>
<td>2.09 ± 0.31</td>
<td>2.09 ± 0.31</td>
<td>2.09 ± 0.31</td>
<td>2.09 ± 0.31</td>
<td>2.09 ± 0.31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FEV1, L</td>
<td>0.84 ± 0.36</td>
<td>0.84 ± 0.36</td>
<td>0.84 ± 0.36</td>
<td>0.84 ± 0.36</td>
<td>0.84 ± 0.36</td>
<td>0.84 ± 0.36</td>
<td>0.84 ± 0.36</td>
<td>0.84 ± 0.36</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

UW: underweight; NW: normal weight; OW: overweight; OB: obese; BMI: body mass index. The numbers in parenthesis represent the percentage of the individuals studied based on the BMI-for-age percentile. Values expressed as mean ± SD. *p < 0.05 in relation to the UW and NW males and females; **p < 0.05 in relation to the UW and NW males; and ***p < 0.05 in relation to the UW females.
been used to calculate the predicted spirometric values for children and adolescents.

In 1971, with the objective of devising reference equations for clinical use in pediatric patients, Polgar & Promadhat compiled data from studies published between 1922 and 1969. These equations are used in Brazil. Those authors summarized the data from 12 studies by different authors and devised a new predictive equation that resulted from the mean of the reference equations published previously. These equations are used especially in Europe and the United States. Hsu et al. described ventilatory function in White, African-American and

This is in accordance with the findings of some reports in the literature, which show a correlation between BMI and pulmonary function, except for FEV1/FVC values, in adolescents of both genders and in overweight children. However, the authors of a study comparing normal weight and obese patients reported a reduction in FVC and FEV1 values that was proportional to the increase in BMI. This discrepancy is probably attributable to methodological differences.

The FEV1 and FVC values found in the present study were calculated using three sets of reference equations. These equations have been used to calculate the predicted spirometric values for children and adolescents.

In 1971, with the objective of devising reference equations for clinical use in pediatric patients, Polgar & Promadhat compiled data from studies published between 1922 and 1969. These equations are used in Brazil. Those authors summarized the data from 12 studies by different authors and devised a new predictive equation that resulted from the mean of the reference equations published previously. These equations are used especially in Europe and the United States. Hsu et al. described ventilatory function in White, African-American and
Promadhat and Mallozi found comparable results in all age brackets, in both genders. (28) There have been no studies comparing the three sets of equations, as proposed in the present study. Some values generated by the three sets of equations for FVC and FEV\textsubscript{1} in 122 children and adolescent with distinct BMI did not differ significantly. However, there were differences in normal weight and underweight participants. The measured FVC and FEV\textsubscript{1} values were lower than the values predicted via the Polgar & Promadhat (21) and Hsu et al. (22) equations. When we applied the Polgar & Promadhat equation, (21) we found that the FVC and FEV\textsubscript{1} values predicted for normal weight and underweight females were overestimated, as were the FEV\textsubscript{1} values predicted for underweight males. The Mexican-American children and young adults, aged 7–20 years, and found that Mexican-Americans presented significantly lower values in comparison with Whites and significantly higher values in comparison with African-Americans.

Whereas those authors (21,22) used only height as an independent variable, by means of power models, in a wide pediatric age bracket, Mallozi (7) used weight, age and height as variables in the models devised. That author proposed reference equations that express the normal standard for a miscegenated and, therefore, genetically differentiated population, as is the case of the Brazilian population. However, Mallozi studied a small sample of the multiracial population in the state of São Paulo, Brazil. (7) Despite working with two isolated and distinct groups, Polgar & Promadhat and Mallozi found comparable results in all age brackets, in both genders. (28)

There have been no studies comparing the three sets of equations, as proposed in the present study. Some values generated by the three sets of equations for FVC and FEV\textsubscript{1} in 122 children and adolescent with distinct BMI did not differ significantly. However, there were differences in normal weight and underweight participants. The measured FVC and FEV\textsubscript{1} values were lower than the values predicted via the Polgar & Promadhat (21) and Hsu et al. (22) equations. When we applied the Polgar & Promadhat equation, (21) we found that the FVC and FEV\textsubscript{1} values predicted for normal weight and underweight females were overestimated, as were the FEV\textsubscript{1} values predicted for underweight males. The

![Figure 2 - Comparison of the measured FEV\textsubscript{1} values (True) and the FEV\textsubscript{1} values predicted via the Polgar & Promadhat (P), Hsu et al. (H) and Mallozi (M) equations, by body mass index group.](image-url)
FVC values predicted for normal weight females and underweight males, as well as the FEV, values predicted for underweight females, were also found to be overestimated via the Hsu et al. equation. In contrast, the values predicted via the equations proposed by Mallozi were closer to the measured values. The differences found in the present study might be explained by the ethnic differences between the countries and by the different procedures and methods used in selecting the reference sample.

Spirometric reference values are based on the analysis of environmental and personal factors, in healthy populations, according to specified criteria, which can vary from study to study. These values should be periodically reviewed due to changes in the populations over time. Therefore, the characteristics of the populations should be observed and monitored. When the Polgar & Promadhat and Hsu et al. equations are used in other countries, such as Brazil, in individuals who are different from those composing the original reference sample, this criterion is not met. Consequently, in order to choose a certain equation, the characteristics of the population should be considered so that this equation can represent the pulmonary function parameters more accurately.

The present study revealed that, in the age bracket and BMI range analyzed, in this sample of children and adolescents in the city of Belo Horizonte, Brazil, the use of the Polgar & Promadhat and Hsu et al. equations significantly overestimated the spirometry results in normal weight and underweight participants. However, no differences were observed between the FVC and FEV, values measured in children and adolescents with distinct BMIs and those predicted via the Mallozi equations. These equations are more appropriate for this sample of children and adolescents aged 7-14 years. The BMI was not a relevant factor for the predictive index of these equations; therefore, the Mallozi equations can be used for children and adolescents with distinct BMIs.
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