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ABSTRACT

We estimated the costs of a molecular test for Mycobacterium tuberculosis and resistance to rifampin (Xpert MTB/RIF) and of smear microscopy, within the Brazilian Sistema Único de Saúde (SUS, Unified Health Care System). In SUS laboratories in the cities of Rio de Janeiro and Manaus, we performed activity-based costing and micro-costing. The mean unit costs for Xpert MTB/RIF and smear microscopy were R$35.57 and R$14.16, respectively. The major cost drivers for Xpert MTB/RIF and smear microscopy were consumables/reagents and staff, respectively. These results might facilitate future cost-effectiveness studies and inform the decision-making process regarding the expansion of Xpert MTB/RIF use in Brazil.
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Brazil is among the 22 countries with the highest tuberculosis burden. One of the major obstacles to controlling the disease is the delay in diagnosis. Smear microscopy is a routine test within the Brazilian Sistema Único de Saúde (SUS, Unified Health Care System); however, it has low sensitivity. Xpert® MTB/RIF (Cepheid; Sunnyvale, CA, USA), which is performed in the GeneXpert® system (Cepheid), is a rapid molecular test for detecting Mycobacterium tuberculosis and its rifampin-resistant mutants. In 2010, Xpert® MTB/RIF was recommended by the World Health Organization for initial diagnosis in patients with tuberculosis and suspected multidrug resistance or HIV infection. The accuracy of the test is high, and studies have demonstrated that it is cost-effective in other scenarios. In Brazil, Xpert® MTB/RIF was approved by the National Committee for Health Technology Incorporation in September of 2013 for use within the SUS.

The objective of the present study was to estimate the unit cost of Xpert® MTB/RIF, since it does not yet have a reference value on the SUS Sigtap unified pricing list of the System for the Management of the Pricing List of Procedures, Drugs, and OPM (orthoses, prostheses, and materials). In addition, we intended to contribute information to support other economic evaluations in this field.

This was a descriptive study, which conducted a partial economic evaluation to estimate the cost of performing Xpert® MTB/RIF and smear microscopy (Ziehl-Neelsen method) within the SUS, performed in parallel with a pilot study of implementation of GeneXpert® use for the diagnosis of pulmonary tuberculosis in two cities in Brazil. We selected two laboratories in the city of Rio de Janeiro, both of which are affiliated with the Secretaria Municipal de Saúde e Defesa Civil do Rio de Janeiro (SMSDC/RJ, Rio de Janeiro Municipal Department of Health and Civil Defense), and one laboratory in the city of Manaus, which is affiliated with the Secretaria de Estado de Saúde do Amazonas (SES/AM, Amazonas State Department of Health). This selection was based on the organization of the health care facility network, the level of decentralization, and the production output. In Rio de Janeiro, “laboratory 1” is a polyclinic with a medium production output, and “laboratory 2” is a family clinic with a small production output. In Manaus, the selected laboratory (“laboratory 3”) is responsible for 71% of all smear microscopy examinations conducted in the city, it being considered to have a large production output.

We performed activity-based costing and micro-costing, on the basis of standard operating procedures for smear microscopy and for Xpert® MTB/RIF. The selected cost items were as follows: administrative costs (electricity, water, cleaning, and safety); staff costs, which included training (only for Xpert® MTB/RIF); laboratory consumable costs; and equipment costs. The Xpert® MTB/RIF cartridge cost R$20.46 (US$9.98), and the GeneXpert® system.
cost R$34,850.00 (US$17,000)—purchase prices for the study of implementation. The Brazilian National Ministry of Health is exempt from the costs of taxes and nationalization regarding the cartridges and GeneXpert®.

Staff costs for Xpert® MTB/RIF use were calculated by a time and motion study undertaken at two separate time points in order to assess the learning curve of professionals: the first was fifteen days after GeneXpert® was installed in the laboratory, which is the time required for professionals to adapt to it; and the second was three months after the equipment was installed, at which point tests were routinely performed. This time was taken into account in the calculation of staff costs. Data collection for estimating the cost of smear microscopy occurred in a single step, because smear microscopy is a well-established procedure within the SUS. The administrative costs refer to the mean quarterly expenditures of the health care facilities where the laboratories are located and were apportioned according to floor space and production. Depreciation was applied when necessary, according to the useful life of the equipment. Costs of calibration and preventive/corrective maintenance on GeneXpert® were taken into account.

The prices of the consumables were obtained from official sources, namely Comprasnet, Banco de Preços em Saúde, SMSDC/RJ, and SES/AM, and from the manufacturer (Cepheid). The mean cost of the tests is expressed in 2012 Brazilian reals. The costs of the cartridges and of GeneXpert® were converted from Brazilian reals to US dollars at a rate of R$2.05 = US$1.00.

The study was approved by the Brazilian National Research Ethics Committee (Protocol no. 493/2011), by the SMSDC/RJ Research Ethics Committee (Protocol no. 445A/11), and by the Research Ethics Committee of the Fundação de Medicina Tropical de Manaus Dr. Heitor Vieira Dourado (Dr. Heitor Vieira Dourado Tropical Medicine Foundation of Manaus) in November of 2011.

We observed the production process of 230 smear microscopy examinations and 463 tests with Xpert® MTB/RIF. There was a 30% reduction in mean completion time for Xpert® MTB/RIF between the first and second observations (9.87 min vs. 7.57 min). The largest reductions were observed in laboratories 2 (54%) and 3 (35%). In the second observation, the mean completion time was 6.20 min (range, 4.87-7.53 min) in laboratories 1 and 2 and 4.30 min (range, 3.53-5.07 min) in laboratory 3.

The mean cost of Xpert® MTB/RIF use was R$35.57 (range, R$33.70-R$39.40), and the mean cost of smear microscopy was R$14.16 (range, R$11.30-R$21.00). The major cost drivers for Xpert MTB/RIF were consumables and reagents (62%), especially the cartridges, whereas the major cost driver for smear microscopy was staff (58%). There was great variability in staff costs between the two cities (Table 1). Therefore, the cost of two smear microscopy examinations, which are recommended by the Brazilian National Tuberculosis Control Program and required to achieve a sensitivity of 70%, (2) represents 80% of the cost of an Xpert® MTB/RIF test, which has a sensitivity of 88%. (3)

During the data collection process, the production of Xpert® MTB/RIF tests increased relative to that of smears of the first sample, especially in RJ. The work hours of professionals remained unchanged, which suggests that the introduction of Xpert® MTB/RIF represented a technical efficiency gain in the routine of the laboratories (Table 2).

Xpert® MTB/RIF is considered a promising technology for tuberculosis control because it provides fast, accurate, and cost-effective results. (5-8) The present study conducted a partial economic evaluation, which describes exclusively the costs of performing the two technologies for the diagnosis of tuberculosis. Although we did not conduct a complete economic evaluation, the results detailed herein, together with comparative effectiveness data for the tests performed under routine conditions in the same cities where the pilot study was conducted, (10) served as the basis for the estimates of cost-effectiveness ratios. (14) We concluded that the cost of two smear microscopy examinations, which are usually required when tuberculosis is suspected, is close to (i.e., 80% of) the cost of an Xpert® MTB/RIF test.

One of the advantages of the present study was that it was carried out in parallel with the Xpert® MTB/RIF implementation study, (10) which allowed us to observe the incorporation of the new technology into the use of resources and into the learning process of health professionals within the SUS. One study also estimated the cost of the test during a study of implementation, with results ranging from R$46.40 to R$56.48 (US$22.63 to US$27.55), much higher than ours. (9) However, the price of the cartridge was higher than that used in the present study (R$39.77-US$19.40). The value added by

Table 1. Unit costs for Xpert® MTB/RIF and smear microscopy in the laboratories studied, Rio de Janeiro and Manaus (in Brazilian Reals, 2012).*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cost item</th>
<th>Smear microscopy</th>
<th></th>
<th>Xpert® MTB/RIF</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Lab 1</td>
<td>Lab 2</td>
<td>Lab 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Staff costs</td>
<td>5.18</td>
<td>3.76</td>
<td>15.87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consumable and reagent costs</td>
<td>2.35</td>
<td>2.35</td>
<td>2.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Equipment costs</td>
<td>1.34</td>
<td>0.85</td>
<td>0.97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Administrative costs</td>
<td>2.51</td>
<td>2.81</td>
<td>2.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unit cost</td>
<td>11.38</td>
<td>9.77</td>
<td>21.33</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Lab: laboratory. *Conversion rate used for the cartridge and GeneXpert®: US$1.00 = R$2.05 (2012).
the other cost items was similar. Other studies reported costs ranging from R$30.61 (US$14.93) to R$54.41 (US$26.54).\(^{15,16}\) It is of note that all those studies were conducted in countries with different structures from that of the SUS, which limits the comparison.

The advantage of activity-based costing is the possibility of observing a significant number of tests, which makes it possible to identify a standard completion time and to perform a detailed inventory of the cost items. However, the method limits the possibilities of generalization, because of the organizational characteristics and the functioning of the laboratories studied.

The reduction in test completion time between the two observations was lower in laboratory 1, since, during the second data collection time point, the trained technician was replaced with a less experienced one. In order to minimize the effects of this event, we observed a larger number of tests. It is believed that, with the incorporation of Xpert\(^{\circledR}\) MTB/RIF into the routine of the laboratories, test completion time will decrease and production will increase. Therefore, it will be possible to increase technical efficiency and reduce the unit cost.

Among the limitations of the present study is the mean salary value, which does not reflect the Brazilian reality given the diversity of contractual arrangements in operation in the country. In order to minimize this diversity, we adopted the salaries of professionals affiliated with the state of AM and with the city of Rio de Janeiro, on the basis of different salary ranges. A second limitation relates to laboratory floor space, used for estimating cost per square meter. The physical structure varies among the facilities in terms of size and location; therefore, we included three laboratories with extremely different configurations, located in two Brazilian states.

The results of the present study might facilitate future cost-effectiveness studies and contribute to the establishment of a reference value on the Sigtap pricing list. However, since the adoption and use of technologies are dynamic and the results of the present study refer to the initial stage of the incorporation of Xpert\(^{\circledR}\) MTB/RIF, it is important to observe whether there will be changes in its use.

In conclusion, the present study aimed to provide subsidies so that health care managers can identify the major cost drivers for Xpert\(^{\circledR}\) MTB/RIF as well as possible gains in efficiency and effectiveness from its adoption. In this regard, our results might facilitate both programming and planning measures targeted at tuberculosis control in Brazilian cities.

**Table 2.** Mean daily number of Xpert\(^{\circledR}\) MTB/RIF tests and smears of the first sample, produced in the laboratories studied, Rio de Janeiro and Manaus.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Unit</th>
<th>Smear microscopy</th>
<th>Xpert(^{\circledR}) MTB/RIF</th>
<th>% increase</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Laboratory 1</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Laboratory 2</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Laboratory 3</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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