Some Observations on Wear and Damages in Cemented Carbide Tools

Cutting tools are subjected to extremely unfavorable conditions during machining operations. High cutting temperatures, compressive and shearing stresses, chemical attacks, variable cyclic thermal and mechanical loads are some adverse conditions that wear and damage these tools. Therefore, it is crucial to understand the process of tool wear and damage and how the cutting parameters affect it in order to underpin decisions regarding the most favorable conditions to address the problem. This article treats on some forms and mechanism of wear and damage that cemented carbides can undergo during machining. Special attention was given to damages caused during interrupted cutting (e.g., milling), such as fracture, chipping and thermal fatigue. Experimental details and results of the latter phenomenon, which was studied under different cutting conditions, are discussed and confronted with literature.
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Introduction

A distinction should be made, right from the start, between the phenomena of wear and damage. The classic definition of wear, regardless of the cause but here referring specifically to cutting tools, is: “the loss or dislocation of mass of a material caused by some kind of tribological phenomenon”. Wear on tools can appear in the form of a crater on the rake face, flank wear on the flank face or a notch that may appear at either the nose or the end of the cutting depth, normally on the flank face. In cemented carbide cutting tools these forms of wear can develop by one or a combination of the following wear mechanisms (Trent and Wright, 2000): plastic deformation under compressive stress, diffusion, attrition, abrasion and wear under slide conditions. These wear mechanisms lead to a continual loss or dislocation of material, which does not occur suddenly, but rather, develops over a long period of time.

Although tool damage also leads to mass loss or mass dislocation, unlike wear, it occurs suddenly and unexpectedly, usually involving a larger volume of tool mass. This damage can be characterized by “fracture”, “chipping” and “fatigue” of a mechanical origin, or by “thermal fatigue” caused by temperature fluctuations.

These forms of wear and damage are discussed below.

Wear and Mechanism of Wear on Cemented Carbide Tools

Figures 1 to 6 show the types of wear normally developed in cemented carbide cutting tools after machining.

Figure 1 shows an example of plastic deformation under compressive stress obtained by Östberg et al. (2006). The cutting edge of a WC-TaC-Co cemented carbide cutting tool after turning SS 2541 steel shows a strong evidence of plastic deformation. As can be seen the region of the cutting wedge affected by high compression stress during the cut presents absence of porosity, unlike the bulk region.

Figure 2 shows an ISO K20 uncoated cemented carbide cutting tool after machining Ti-6Al-4V alloy. It can be observed a crater wear on the rake face very close to the cutting edge. According to Machado and Wallbank (1990) this characteristic is peculiar when machining titanium alloys.

Crater wear on cemented carbide cutting tools is normally formed due to diffusion, where interchange of atoms occurs between rake face and chip root at the seizure zone, and it is strongly dependent of temperature, time and solubility of the involved elements (Machado, 1991).
A sequence of pictures in Fig. 3 shows evidences of attrition wear obtained by Machado (1990). In the specialized literature (Hutchings, 1992 and König and Klocke, 1997), attrition is frequently treated as adhesion. Who first introduced the term “attrition” was Edward Trent. This wear mechanism generally occurs under low cutting speeds, due to the irregular flow of chip material on the rake face and it is very common when built-up-edge (BUE) is present. Attrition consists basically in: (1) adhesion of workpiece material on the cutting tool surfaces; (2) breakaway of microscopic particles of the cutting tool material and (3) dragging of these particles on cutting tool surfaces, generally causing abrasion.

Figure 3(a) shows a typical notch wear on the flank face of an ISO K20 cemented carbide cutting tool after machining Inconel 901 with a layer of workpiece material adhered on this region. On section A-A [Fig. 3(b)] it is possible to observe a layer of workpiece material adhered during machining on the notch region of the flank face. Figure 3(c) shows a magnification of tool-adhered layer interface. It is observed a large crack running parallel to the flank face, which appeared during metallographic sample preparation. What is most important in this figure is the evidence of the carbide grains that were detached from the flank face of the cutting tool flowing within the work material layer (adhered layer). This is what Trent and Wright (2000) call attrition.

Figure 4(a) shows a huge BUE formed after machining an aluminum alloy under low cutting speed obtained by List et al. (2005). According to the authors this condition is characterized by the combination of lowest temperatures and highest pressures at the tool-chip interface, which leads to adhesion by interlocking of the rake face asperities of the WC grains as showed in figure 4(b). In this situation, if the presence of BUE on the rake face is instable, it can draw out small particles of the cutting tool causing attrition and consequently progressive wear of the cutting tool.

Figure 5 shows an example of abrasion wear on the flank face of a WC-Co cemented carbide cutting tool coated with TiCN+Al2O3+TiN after simultaneously boring two distinct materials. In this case, when machining bimetallic bearings composed by GH190 lamellar gray cast iron and Fe-C-Cu steel alloy (Corrêa et al., 2005). It can be noticed the presence of some parallel grooves formed by flow of the workpiece material during machining. According to the authors abrasion occurred only when machining the bearing’s half composed by GH190 gray cast iron.

Abrasion wear on cutting tools happens when tool material is removed or dislocated by hard particles that can be loose, between chip and rake face, or emerging from workpiece material and/or from cutting tool material (Machado and da Silva, 2004).
The following section treats on damages in cemented carbide tools.

**Damages in Cemented Carbide Tools**

**Mechanical Fracture and Chipping**

Fracture and chipping are deterioration processes that frequently cause breakage before a significant wear on the tool surfaces (Trent and Wright, 2000). In continuous cutting, it is rare to observe fracture or chipping on a tool edge, as in a turning operation. Fracture of cemented carbide tools is more likely to occur during interrupted cutting, as in milling operations. Under these conditions, the cutting edge can be damaged due to cyclic mechanical impacts or fatigue. Tool characteristics such as hardness, fracture toughness, transverse rupture strength (TRS), grain size, tool geometries, cutting parameters and conditions of entrance and exit into and from the workpiece are all important variables for tool damage prevention.

When milling hard materials, or in the presence of intense mechanical impacts during machining, a double negative tool geometry [negative axial (\(\gamma\)) and radial (\(\rho\)) rake angles] is recommended, although a positive-negative tool geometry [positive axial (\(\gamma\)) and negative radial (\(\rho\)) rake angles] can also perform well. With these tool geometries, the cutting wedges possess higher strength to cope with the adversities of inherent mechanical shocks (Anonymous, 1994). These angles are illustrated in Fig. 7.
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Figure 7. Tool geometries recommended when milling hard materials: (a) negative tool geometry and (b) positive-negative tool geometry (Anonymous, 1994).

Still with regard to milling, the main cutting parameter that affects tool chipping or fracture is known to be the feed rate. Increasing the feed rate causes proportional increases in the undeformed chip thickness, thereby increasing the primary and secondary shear plane areas and leading to higher cutting forces.

In milling, mechanical fracture or chipping of cutting tools can be caused by problems at the tool’s entrance into or exit from the workpiece. According to Machado and da Silva (2004) and Kabaldin (1980), the chips of some work materials, such as titanium and stainless steel, tend to stick and gall onto the tool’s rake faces just before the tool teeth exit the workpiece, where they get stuck during the idle moments in a milling cutter revolution. When the tool’s teeth reenter the workpiece upon resumption of the cutting cycle, the chips can cause edge chipping in the tools. Figure 8 shows a phenomenon like this when turning Ti-6Al-4V with cemented carbide.

The extent of tool damage depends on the various aforementioned parameters (tool resistance and geometry, cutting conditions, etc.).

According to Pekelharing (1978), excessive tool chipping can also occur when the tool’s teeth are exiting the workpiece. This author observed the behavior of the primary shear plane that rotates and becomes negative as the tool exits the workpiece. The ultimate result of this process is the formation of what the author called foot forming, because the chip thus formed resembles a human foot. The negative shear resulting from the primary shear plane rotation causes the chip velocity to become negative, producing tensile stress on the rake face of the tool tip, which, in turn, is responsible for ploughing off small quantities of material from the tool’s surface, causing fracture or chipping. Figure 9, illustrates the foot forming phenomenon.

![Image 8](image2.png)

Figure 8. Adhesion of Ti-6Al-4V on cutting edge of the cemented carbide after turning (adapted from Ribeiro et al., 2003).

b) In diagram A of Fig. 9, the tool edge is about 0.90 mm from emerging out of the trailing edge of the workpiece. The negative shear begins here, although the positive shear zone is still visible. The foot follows the direction of the negative shear and a rotation of the plane with an angle of -9\(^\circ\) is visible. The chip rests in a chip-tool contact length of approximately 3h with null rotation.

b) In diagram B, the tool edge is only 0.48 mm from the trailing edge of the workpiece. The negative primary shear plane shrinks, now with even greater rotation, showing an angle of -27\(^\circ\) with the chip showing a slight rotation of 8\(^\circ\), as well. The chip-tool contact length is reduced to approximately 1h.

Note, also, that the shear at the positive shear plane has expired, working only as a connection between the foot and the rigid part of the chip, or “the leg”. A crack may begin to develop at the lower end of the negative shear plane.

c) In diagram C, the tool edge is now 0.38 mm from the trailing edge of the workpiece. The negative shear plane becomes thinner, curving even further towards the left. Now the inclination angle of this plane is -33\(^\circ\) and the chip has rotated to an angle of 14\(^\circ\). The crack in this shear plane has increased at this stage.

d) In diagram D of Fig. 9, the tool edge has still 0.21 mm to traverse before emerging from the trailing edge of the

Still with regard to milling, the main cutting parameter that affects tool chipping or fracture is known to be the feed rate. Increasing the feed rate causes proportional increases in the undeformed chip thickness, thereby increasing the primary and secondary shear plane areas and leading to higher cutting forces.

In milling, mechanical fracture or chipping of cutting tools can be caused by problems at the tool’s entrance into or exit from the workpiece. According to Machado and da Silva (2004) and Kabaldin (1980), the chips of some work materials, such as titanium and stainless steel, tend to stick and gall onto the tool’s rake faces just before the tool teeth exit the workpiece, where they get stuck during the idle moments in a milling cutter revolution. When the tool’s teeth reenter the workpiece upon resumption of the cutting cycle, the chips can cause edge chipping in the tools. Figure 8 shows a phenomenon like this when turning Ti-6Al-4V with cemented carbide. It can be observed the strong adhesion of the chip and workpiece materials on the cutting edge.
workpiece, while the foot and leg are already free of the workpiece. This situation occurs because the crack has progressed throughout the entire negative shear plane. At this moment, the angle of this plane is -35° and the chip-tool contact length is only approximately 1/3h, with the chip rotating at an angle of 16°.

The negative shear caused by the rotation of the primary shear plane promotes a negative chip velocity, briefly producing tensile stress along the chip-tool contact length. This process happens very quickly, so that the tool, which a moment earlier was undergoing a highly compressive stress, is now under tensile stress. This change can cause breakage of the tool tip if it is not sufficiently tough. This type of failure can be prevented by chamfering the trailing edge of the workpiece or by establishing a tool exit angle, ε, of more than 20° or less than -45°, as illustrated in Fig. 10. With these cutting geometries, the undeformed chip thickness is small enough to prevent tool damage.

Thermal and Mechanical Fatigue

Thermal fatigue in cemented carbide tools occurs frequently in interrupted cutting such as milling operations. Thermal fatigue in cutting tools is evidenced by the development of cracks running along the rake and flank faces perpendicularly to the cutting edge, as illustrated in Fig. 11.

Trent and Wright (2000) explain that these cracks are promoted by the cyclic expansion and contraction of surface layers of cutting tools when they are cyclically heated and cooled during cutting processes. Figure 12 depicts the thermal cycle that a tool experiences during continuous and interrupted cutting. Curve a represents the heat that builds up in continuous cutting, in which the temperature tends to stabilize at a certain value. In practice, this value increases with wear. Curve b represents the continuous cooling of the tool from the cutting temperature down to room temperature.

In an interrupted cutting process such as milling, during the cutting time, \( t_1 \), the tool heats to a given temperature, \( T_1 \). The idle
period starts at that point and lasts for a given time, \( t_2 \), during which the tool cools, reaching temperature \( T_1' \) at the end of time \( t_1 + t_2 \). At that point, the tool resumes cutting and the cycle is repeated in each revolution of the milling tool.

The specialized literature (Trent and Wright, 2000; Pekelharing, 1978; Ferraresi, 1977; Melo, 2001) reports that thermal cracking occurs in tools used for interrupted cutting as a result of cyclic variations in temperature. The \( T_1 \) and \( T_1' \) temperatures are, of course, dependent on the \( t_1 \) and \( t_2 \) times, as well as on the cutting parameters (cutting speed, feed rate and cutting depth), on the workpiece and tool materials, on the cutting geometry, and on the presence or absence of a cutting fluid. Thus, the relation between cutting and idle periods is a crucial factor in the process of crack formation and the development of thermal fatigue.

These temperature fluctuations are more common in interrupted cutting, but they can also occur in response to frequently irregular access of cutting fluid at the chip/tool interface in continuous cutting, caused, for example, by the formation of a nest of swarf. After a certain number of cycles, thermal cracks appear on the tool surface (see Fig. 11), subsequently transforming into comb cracks, as shown schematically in Fig. 14, if the cut continues.

Variations in temperature \( \Delta T = T_1 - T_1' \) cause variations in stress on the tool surface, and are therefore a determining factor of cracking. Thermal cracks normally initiate at the hottest point of the chip/tool interface (about 0.1 to 0.3 mm from the cutting edge) and propagate towards the cutting edge and the flank surface. These thermal cracks therefore run perpendicularly to the cutting edge. They may occasionally encounter mechanical cracks running parallel to the cutting edge, which can lead to chipping and/or spalling at the tool surface, drastically reducing the tool’s life. Figure 15 illustrates this phenomenon.

![Figure 12. Cyclical variation of the cutting temperature in an interrupted cutting process (adapted from Palmai, 1987).](image)

The phenomenon is explained by Ferraresi (1977) as follows: “Figure 13(a) presents a temperature distribution curve along depth \( x \) which varies from the rake face down towards the tool’s bottom surface. During the heating (cutting) period, due to the intense shear involved in the flow zone, the external layer of the tool is subjected to very high temperatures, which cause it to expand. However, subjacent layers, whose temperatures are lower, are subjected to less expansion. As a result, the innermost layers hinder the total expansion of the outermost layer, giving rise to compressive stresses in the latter and tensile stresses in the former, Fig. 13(b). The moment the tool tip exits the workpiece, i.e., at the beginning of the idle period, the tool begins to cool from the outermost layer inwards, as depicted in Fig. 13(c), causing an inversion of the stresses in these layers, Fig. 13(d)".

![Figure 13. Temperature and stress distribution during cutting and idle periods of cemented carbide inserts of a milling cutter (Ferraresi, 1977).](image)

Figure 14. Comb cracks (Ferraresi, 1977).

![Figure 15. Cutting edge of a P25 uncoated cemented carbide after face milling a 1000mm long steel bar \((v_c=240m/min; f_z=0.15mm/tooth; d_{oc}=2.0mm)\) (Melo, 2001).](image)
Ferraresi (1977) presented thermal crack results obtained by Lehewald (1963) after testing P10, P20, P25, P30 and P40 grade cemented carbide tools in the face milling of AISI 1045 steel. Figure 16 shows the ultimate thermal crack curves for each tool grade as a function of the feed per tooth and the number of cuts (number of milling cutter tool revolutions).

Figure 16. Ultimate thermal crack curves for face milling AISI 1045 steel with various grades of cemented carbide tools; $v_c=148 \text{m/min}; d_{oc}=5\text{mm}$, after Lehewald (1963), cited by Ferraresi (1977).

As can be seen, the number of cuts required for the first crack to appear decrease as the feed per tooth increases. Such diagrams are particularly useful for adjusting cutting parameters when machining a certain tool/workpiece pair, because they enable one to select a feed per tooth ratio that precludes thermal cracking. Figure 16 also shows that P10 and P20 tool grades are less resistant to thermal crack formation.

The number of thermal cracks generated on a tool surface is limited (Ekemar et al., 1970), and was dubbed “thermal crack limit number” by Ferraresi (1977). Figure 17 presents the behavior of various cemented carbide tool grades after tests conducted by Lehewald (1963) and also reported by Ferraresi (1977). The graph in Fig. 17 shows the number of thermal cracks as a function of the number of cuts (number of milling cutter revolutions). The number of thermal cracks begins by growing exponentially, after which it stabilizes at the limit number. The greater the toughness the lower the thermal crack limit number.

Melo (2001) also found a slight increase in the number of thermal cracks at higher feed rates, corroborating Bhatia et al.’s findings, as shown in Fig 19. This is particularly evident when increasing the feed per tooth from 0.10 mm to 0.15 mm for a 500 mm cutting length and from 0.20 mm to 0.25 mm for a 1000 mm cutting length.

Melo (2001) explains that thermal stresses generated at the cutting edges of the tools are more severe when higher feed rates are used due to the increase of the temperature $\Delta T$. An explanation for cases where an increase in feed rate did not conspicuously increase the number of thermal cracks is that an increase in the feed rate increases $\Delta T$ (Bhatia et al., 1979) but also reduces the number of thermo-mechanical cycles (number of idle and cutting periods) for the same cutting length, thus reducing the risk of thermal cracking. Thus, the system must be evaluated considering both these effects. When they are evenly balanced, the density of thermal cracks remains practically unchanged, but when an increase in $\Delta T$ prevails, the density of thermal cracks increases.

As for the influence of the cutting speed, Lehewald’s results showed that the higher this parameter the higher the thermal crack
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limit number, as shown in Fig. 20. This is entirely congruous with Melo’s findings shown in Fig. 21.

This figure shows that even after the third pass (cutting length of 1500mm), a cutting speed of 100m/min was unable to produce sufficiently high temperature variations (ΔT) to cause thermal cracking. Another fact that may corroborate the absence of thermal cracking at this cutting speed is the number of thermo-mechanical cycles, which may not suffice to promote the thermal fatigue necessary to cause cracking. Possibly, with additional passes, the cracks might begin to nucleate and propagate on the tool faces. However, this possibility would have to be confirmed through further tests.

Consequently, the temperature developed during the cutting period is low and is also distributed in a smaller area of the tool. In contrast, large depths of cut require high cutting power, generating large quantities of heat and developing high cutting temperatures, which are distributed in a larger tool area. Practical results of these two situations indicate that only slight differences in tool temperatures occur during cutting periods. The temperature during the idle period can be similarly analyzed. With small depths of cut, an equally small area of the tool exchanges heat with the ambient air, while high depths of cut cause a larger area of the tool to exchange heat with the air. Thus, it is reasonable to assume that the difference between the maximum and minimum temperatures during each cutting cycle will not vary significantly with changes in the depth of cut. As a result, there will be no significant alterations in the thermal stresses and, hence, in the densities of thermal cracks resulting from variation in the depth of cut.

Increasing the cutting speed to 170m/min, 240m/min and 310m/min clearly also increases the density of thermal cracks, although the change from 240 to 310m/min is statistically inaccurate because there is overlapping of standard deviations. Probably at such high cutting speeds, the thermal crack limit number of Lehewald (1963) is reached earlier, and the number seems to vary only slightly, which may explain these results.

The influence of the axial depth of cut was also studied by Melo (2001), whose results are illustrated in Fig. 22.

Only slight variations in the average thermal crack density were visible within the range of depths of cut investigated (1.0 to 2.5 mm). The author attributes this result to the fact that a small depth of cut requires low cutting power, since the cutting area is small.
The cutting fluid is another agent that strongly influences the process of thermal crack formation. The use of a cutting fluid in milling processes may be highly detrimental to the tool’s life, depending on the cutting parameters, tool material and the type of damage involved. In the presence of thermal cracks, the cutting fluid will accelerate their nucleation process and reduce the tool’s life regardless of the type of cutting fluid used (Vieira et al., 2001). In his investigation, Melo (2001) also took into account the application of cutting fluids. He demonstrated that using a flood of cutting fluid during the milling process caused the thermal crack tool damage to rapidly trash the tool, while cutting without fluid, in the dry mode, delayed the formation of thermal cracks and prolonged the tool’s life. Figure 25 contains a sequence of photos showing the rapid evolution of wear on an ISO P45 coated cemented carbide tool coated with TiN + TiCN during the face milling of an AISI 1045 steel with the flood application of a cutting fluid. As can be seen, the cutting fluid accelerates the formation of thermal cracks in both coating and substrate, exposing the tool to other negative effects of the milling process and rapidly ending its useful life. This figure depicts the following stages:

i) Formation of multiple cracks in the coating and several in the substrate;

ii) The cracks formed in the coating join, causing partial detachment of the coating and exposing small regions of the substrate, leading to the development of a comb crack formation pattern;

iii) Total loss of the coating on the tool’s rake face;

iv) Evolution of flank wear.

During interrupted cutting as in milling, other cracks of mechanical origin, besides thermal cracks that run perpendicular to the cutting edge, may appear and run parallel to the cutting edge. These are cracks developed by mechanical fatigue in the way already explained. Figure 26 shows an example of mechanical cracks (white arrows) and thermal cracks (black arrows) running on an ISO P25 cemented carbide tool’s surface. Many of them will appear transversally at the ridges formed on a tool with comb cracks.

Figure 23. Relative positions of the milling cutter for cutting at a radial cutting depth of 30, 55 and 80 mm (Melo, 2001).

Figure 24. Average density of thermal cracks (number of thermal cracks/cutting edge active length) as a function of the radial cutting depth for uncoated P40 cemented carbide tools after face milling AISI 1045 steel through 500mm of cutting length; doc=2.0mm; fz=0.15mm/tooth; vc=240m/min (Melo, 2001).

Figure 25. Different stages of thermal crack formation and wear evolution of ISO P45 coated tools during face milling of AISI 1045 steel with flood application of a cutting fluid (Melo, 2001).

Figure 26. Cutting edge of a P25 uncoated cemented carbide after wet face milling a 1000 mm long steel bar (vc=310m/min; fz=0.15mm/tooth; doc=2.0mm) (Melo, 2001).
These transversal cracks are attributed to fluctuations in the mechanical loads acting on the cutting wedge, which is common in such interrupted processes. Constant shear loading and unloading on the rake and flank surfaces of the tools at every rotation of the tool causes mechanical fatigue and nucleation of cracks running transversally to the chip flow on the tool’s rake face parallel to the cutting edge. If the tool/workpiece contact area is large enough due to flank wear, a tribological situation similar to that of the rake face will occur, and mechanical fatigue will also occur on the tool’s flank face, as indicated in Fig. 27.

Figure 27. Detail of mechanical cracks developed on the flank face parallel to the cutting edge of an uncoated ISO P25 cemented carbide tool after milling AISI 1045 steel (Melo, 2001).

**Final Considerations**

From those information and discussion presented in this paper, it can be concluded that wear is unavoidable. However, in the presence of cracks from either mechanical or thermal origins wear may be left in a second level. Such cracks are unexpected damages that occur more commonly during interrupted cutting (e.g., milling processes), in which the tool constantly undergoes very repetitive mechanical shocks and significant thermal variations imposed by the characteristics of the process. Under such circumstances the tool’s material is one of the most important variables. Today, the market offers high performance micrograin (less than 1 μm) cemented carbide and other types of tools, which combine several good physical and mechanical properties to help overcome the problem of interrupted cutting. It should be pointed out that the use of cutting fluids in such processes, particularly water-based fluids, is extremely detrimental to the tool’s service life, for these fluids augment temperature fluctuations, ΔT, and accelerate the mechanism of thermal crack formation. Therefore, before using a cutting fluid in an interrupted cutting process, a detailed analysis of the pros and cons is vital to the success of the manufacturing process.
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