Abstract—The condition for maximum power transfer of 2-coils wireless power transfer (WPT) system is derived from circuit analysis and discussed together with the respective WPT system efficiency ($\eta$). In the sequence, it is shown that a 4-coils WPT system (which can be divided in source, two communication and load circuits) without power losses at the two communication circuits (ideal 4-coils WPT system) presents, from maximum power transfer and efficiency point of view, a performance similar to those of a 2-coils WPT system. The exception is the influence of coupling coefficient ($k$): in 2-coils system $\eta$ increases as $k$ approaches one, while in ideal 4-coils WPT system $\eta$ increases as $k$ between the two communication coils approaches zero. In addition, realistic 4-coils WPT systems (with power losses at the two communication circuits) are also analyzed showing, for instance, that $\eta$ presents a maximum as a function of $k$ of the communication coils. In order to validate the presented theory, 4 coils were built, and a setup to perform 2-coils and 4-coils WPT systems has been carried out. Practical results show good agreement with the developed theory.

Index Terms—Maximum power transfer, power transfer efficiency, relative power transfer, wireless power transfer.

I. INTRODUCTION

Wireless power transfer (WPT) technology has been widely discussed in the last years [1–6]. For instance, in a recent article the progress in mid-range WPT systems has been critically reviewed discussing, among other topics, the importance of maximum power transfer (MPT) condition and power transfer efficiency ($\eta$) in the design of these circuits [1]. However, a specific derivation of MPT condition of WPT systems, from which their $\eta$ could be properly addressed, was not presented.

The aim of this paper is to present the derivation of MPT conditions for 2-coils and 4-coils WPT. Based on the derived MPT conditions, the systems efficiencies are discussed. The strategy adopted in this work to show that the method used to derive the mentioned conditions is correct was to compare its results, whenever possible, with classical MPT theorem conclusions. In this way, it is demonstrated that the theoretical and practical results correspondent to 2-coils and theoretical result related to ideal 4-coils WPT (without power losses at the two communication circuits) systems are coherent with classical MPT theorem conclusions. Of course, the real systems (with losses at communication coils) differ from the ideal circuits, showing a maximum in the efficiency curve. Practical experimentations...
support the presented analysis.

II. CIRCUIT ANALYSIS

A. 2-Coils Circuit

Fig. 1 shows the equivalent circuit of a 2-coils WPT system.

![Schematic representation of a 2-coils power delivery system.](image)

Considering both circuits tuned at the same resonance angular frequency \(\omega R^{-1} = \sqrt{L_1 C_1} = \sqrt{L_2 C_2}\), it can be written

\[
v = R_1 i_1 \pm j \omega_0 M_{12} i_2
\]

and

\[
0 = \pm j \omega_0 M_{12} i_1 + R_2 i_2
\]

where, \(M_{12}\) is the mutual inductance, \(R_1\) the total transmitting circuit resistance (including the internal resistances of the source and those of the involved capacitance \((C_1)\) and inductance \((L_1)\)), and \(R_2\) the total receiving circuit resistance (the sum of internal resistances of the involved capacitance \((C_2)\) and inductance \((L_2) - r_2\)– with the load resistance \((R_L)\)).

Electric power is calculated multiplying the resistance by the square of the current amplitude so that using (1) and (2) it can be written

\[
P_1 = \frac{R_1 R_2^2 v^2}{(R_1 R_2 + \omega_0^2 M_{12}^2)^2}
\]

and

\[
P_2 = \frac{R_2^2 \omega_0^2 M_{12}^2 v^2}{(R_1 R_2 + \omega_0^2 M_{12}^2)^2}
\]

where, \(P_1\) and \(P_2\) are the electric power dissipated at \(R_1\) and \(R_2\), respectively.

Taking the derivative of (4) with respect to \(M_{12}\) and making the result equal to zero, after manipulation, yields

\[
\omega_0^2 M_{12}^2 = R_1 R_2
\]

This is the MPT condition for a 2–coils WPT system. (That (5) is a condition of maximum can be demonstrated making the second derivative of (4) with respect to \(M_{12}\) equal to zero.) Moreover, using
(5) in (3) and (4) it leads to
\[
P_1 = P_{2\text{MAX}} = \frac{v^2}{4\pi R_1}
\]
as classical MPT theorem teaches.

For comparison purposes it is interesting to compute the relative power transferred to \(R_2\) dividing (4) by (6) which gives
\[
\frac{P_2}{P_{2\text{MAX}}} = \frac{4R_1R_2\omega_0^2M_{12}^2}{(R_1\omega_0^2\omega_0^2M_{12}^2)^2}
\]
Dividing the power transferred to \(R_2\) \((P_2)\) by the total power \((P_1+P_2)\), the transmission efficiency \(\eta\) can be calculate yielding
\[
\eta = \frac{\omega_0^2M_{12}^2}{R_1\omega_0^2\omega_0^2M_{12}^2}
\]
Note that, as also the classical MPT theorem teaches, using (5) in (8) gives \(\eta = 1/2\).

B. 4-Coils Circuit

Figure 2 shows the equivalent circuit of a 4-coils WPT system.

![4-Coils Circuit Diagram]

Fig. 2. Schematic representation of a 4-coils power delivery system.

Considering all circuits tuned at the same resonance angular frequency \((\omega_0)^{-1} = \sqrt{L_1/C_1} = \sqrt{L_2/C_2} = \sqrt{L_3/C_3} = \sqrt{L_4/C_4}\), and neglecting the influence of mutual inductances \(M_{12}, M_{14}\), and \(M_{24}\), it can be written
\[
v = (R_1i_1) \pm (j\omega_0 M_{12}i_2)
\]
\[
0 = (\pm j\omega_0 M_{12}i_2) + (R_2i_2) \pm (j\omega_0 M_{23}i_3)
\]
\[
0 = (\pm j\omega_0 M_{23}i_2) + (R_3i_3) \pm (j\omega_0 M_{34}i_4)
\]
and
\[
0 = (\pm j\omega_0 M_{34}i_3) + (R_4i_4)
\]
where; \(M_{12}, M_{23}\) and \(M_{34}\) are the mutual inductances, and \(R_1, R_2, R_3\) and \(R_4\) the total individual circuits resistances. Note that \(R_4\) is, in fact, the sum of internal resistances of the involved capacitance \((C_4)\) and inductance \((L_4 - r_4)\) – with the load resistance \((R_L)\).
Manipulating (9), (10), (11), and (12), the currents $i_1$, $i_2$, $i_3$, and $i_4$ can be calculated so that the power dissipated at $R_1$, $R_2$, $R_3$, and $R_4$ can be written as

$$P_1 = \frac{v^2}{C^2} R_1$$

(13)

$$P_2 = \frac{\omega_0^2 M_{12}^2 v^2}{B^2 C^2} R_2$$

(14)

$$P_3 = \frac{\omega_0^2 M_{12}^2 \omega_0^2 M_{23}^2 v^2}{A^2 B^2 C^2} R_3$$

(15)

and

$$P_4 = \frac{\omega_0^2 M_{12}^2 \omega_0^2 M_{23}^2 \omega_0^2 M_{34}^2 v^2}{A^2 B^2 C^2 R_4}$$

(16)

respectively, where $A$, $B$, and $C$ are given by

$$A = R_2 + \frac{\omega_0^2 M_{34}^2}{R_4},$$

$$B = R_2 + \frac{\omega_0^2 M_{23}^2}{A},$$

and

$$C = R_1 + \frac{\omega_0^2 M_{12}^2}{B},$$

respectively.

Clearly, using the 2-coils WPT system as a guide, the powers $P_2$ and $P_3$ should be as small as possible, so that, ideally, $R_2 = R_3 = 0$.

Using the above condition ($R_2 = R_3 = 0$) in (13), (14), (15), and (16), and remembering that mutual inductance (in a general form $M_{xy}$) is defined as $M_{xy} = k_{xy} \sqrt{L_x L_y}$, where $k_{xy}$ is the coupling coefficient, ranging between zero and one [7], it can be written

$$P_1 = \frac{R_1 R_4 (k_{23})^2 v^2}{(R_1 R_4 + k_{13} k_{34} \omega_0^2 L_1 L_4)^2}$$

(17)

and

$$P_4 = \frac{k_{12}^2 k_{23}^2 k_{34}^2 \omega_0^2 L_4 L_4 R_4 v^2}{(R_1 R_4 + k_{13} k_{34} \omega_0^2 L_1 L_4)^2}$$

(18)

with, evidently, $P_2 = P_3 = 0$.

Taking the derivative of (18), also using 2-coils WPT system as a guide, with respect to $k_{23}$ ($M_{23}$ would be more general [8] but in 4-coils WPT system $L_2$ and $L_3$ appears in $M_{12}$ and $M_{34}$ and in (18) they had been simplified) and making the result equal to zero yields

$$k_{23}^2 R_1 R_4 = k_{12}^2 k_{34}^2 \omega_0^2 L_1 L_4$$

(19)

This is the MPT condition for 4-coils WPT system without power losses at the communication circuits. Note that using (19) in (17) and (18) gives

$$P_1 = P_{4MAX} = \frac{v^2}{4R_1}$$

(20)

as classical MPT theorem teaches.
For comparison purposes here it is also interesting to compute the relative power transferred to \( R_4 \), dividing (18) by (20) yielding

\[
P_4 \frac{p_{4\text{MAX}}}{P_4} = \frac{4R_4 k_{12}^2 k_{23}^2 k_{34}^2 \omega_0^2 L_4}{(R_4 k_{23}^2 + k_{12}^2 k_{34}^2 \omega_0^2 L_4)^2}.
\]

(21)

The system efficiency (\( \eta \)), also with \( R_2 = R_3 = 0 \), can be defined as

\[
\eta = \frac{P_4}{P_4 + p_2 + p_3 + p_4} = \frac{k_{12}^2 k_{34}^2 \omega_0^2 L_4}{R_4 k_{23}^2 + k_{12}^2 k_{34}^2 \omega_0^2 L_4}
\]

(22)

Note that, following the classical MPT theorem, using (19) in (22) gives \( \eta = 1/2 \).

However, in practice \( R_2 \) and \( R_3 \) are not usually negligible. In this case, relative power transferred to \( R_4 \) is given by

\[
P_4 \frac{p_{4\text{MAX}}}{P_4} = \frac{4R_4 \omega_0^2 M_{12}^2 \omega_0^2 M_{23}^2 \omega_0^2 M_{34}^2}{(R_4 \omega_0^2 M_{23}^2 + (R_1 R_2 + \omega_0^2 M_{12}^2)(R_3 R_4 + \omega_0^2 M_{34}^2))^2}.
\]

(23)

whereas \( \eta \) is given by

\[
\eta = \frac{\omega_0^2 M_{12}^2 \omega_0^2 M_{23}^2 \omega_0^2 M_{34}^2 R_4}{(R_4 \omega_0^2 M_{23}^2 + R_2 (R_3 R_4 + \omega_0^2 M_{34}^2))(R_1 R_2 + \omega_0^2 M_{12}^2)(R_3 R_4 + \omega_0^2 M_{34}^2)}.
\]

(24)

Observe that, in case of \( R_2 = R_3 = 0 \), (23) and (24) become (21) and (22), respectively. Moreover, it can be seen that (23) and (24), due to their format, present a maximum. Thus, taking the derivative of them with respect to \( k_{23} \) (as mentioned before, \( M_{23} \) would be more general [7] but in 4-coils WPT system \( L_2 \) and \( L_3 \) appears also in \( M_{12} \) and \( M_{34} \)) and making the results equal to zero yields

\[
R_1 R_4 \omega_0^2 M_{23}^2 = (R_1 R_2 + \omega_0^2 M_{12}^2)(R_3 R_4 + \omega_0^2 M_{34}^2),
\]

(25)

and

\[
R_1 R_4 \omega_0^2 M_{23}^2 = (R_1 R_2 + \omega_0^2 M_{12}^2)(R_3 R_4 + \omega_0^2 M_{34}^2) R_2,
\]

(26)

respectively.

Observe that (25) and (26) show that the points of maximum of (23) and (24) are not coincident. In addition, note that in case of \( R_2 = R_3 = 0 \) (25) becomes (19), and (26) does not present a meaning anymore, i.e., \( \eta \) does not have (in sense of derivative zero) a point of maximum. Finally, substituting (25) in (23) and (26) in (24) it can be seen that the maximum in (23) and (24) is always, as expected, less than one.

### III. EXPERIMENTAL VALIDATION

For practical evaluation of the analysis presented in the previous section, a set of 4 coils, with equal dimensions and shape, was constructed. The coils are circular with diameter of 150 mm and 20 mm of length, wound with 22 turns of enameled copper 19 AWG wire in a single layer way. The coils self-inductances have a similar measured value of 127 \( \mu \)H in the range of 10 to 800 kHz. All measurements of inductances, capacitances, resistances, and resonance frequencies were obtained using an Agilent precision vector impedance analyzer (4294A).

Since the presented analysis has a strong dependence on the coupling coefficient \( k \), at first, considering coaxial coils, the practical behavior of \( k \) in function of distance was determined. For this,
it has been used a Tektronix signal generator CFG253 to excite one coil, as primary, at a low frequency of 10 kHz, to reduce the influence of the coils’ stray capacitances. Using an Agilent digital oscilloscope MSO6034, the voltages were measured in the primary ($v_1$) and in the open terminals of the secondary coil ($v_2$), while the distance between the coils was varied. Since the current in secondary coil is zero, $v_1 = L_1 \frac{di_1}{dt}$ and $v_2 = M_{12} \frac{di_1}{dt}$. As the inductances are equal ($L_1 = L_2 = L$), then $k = M_{12}/L$, after little manipulation it gives $k = v_2/v_1$. Fig. 3 shows the measured coupling coefficient in a range of 2 to 32 cm (the distances are considered between the closer first turns).

![Fig. 3. Measured coupling coefficient ($k$) as function of distance between two adjacent coaxial coils. Four coils with same features: 150 mm of diameter, 20 mm of length, 22 turns of copper wire with diameter 0.9 mm.](image)

To achieve the same resonant frequency at four coils, precision capacitors of 560 pF were used. In fact, in a set of 20 capacitors, 4 were selected by measuring their capacitances (all around 575 pF). It is important to note that even using precision capacitors, each capacitor was chosen specifically for each coil’s inductance, since the inductances also have small variations. In this way, it was possible to select the resonant frequency of 589 kHz with a precision of 100 Hz for the 4 coils. After tuning, the total internal series resistances $R_s$ of the LC circuit, at the resonant frequency of 589 kHz, were measured, resulting in similar values of 3.3 Ω.

To measure the relative power transfer and efficiency, it is necessary to know the currents in coil 1 and in the load. In this way, a shunt resistor ($R_0$) of 10 Ω was used in series with coil 1 in all the measurements (the measured resistance value was 9.85 Ω at 589 kHz). Three different loads ($R_l$) values were used 10, 50, and 100 Ω (exact values of 9.85, 49.7 and 100.24 Ω). All resistor presented measured stray inductances of 40 nH at 589 kHz.

A voltage signal ($v_G$) of 7.7 V$_{RMS}$ with 589 kHz was applied on coil 1. To confirm that the resonant frequency has not been changed by external influences of the setup, such as cable capacitances and others, the resonant frequency was confirmed by measuring a minimum voltage point over the RLC
primary circuit (a single isolated coil). Another important parameter is the internal impedance of the signal generator $R_G$. This impedance is in series with coil 1 and contributes to the total series resistance. The exact measured value of $R_G$ was 48 $\Omega$. With all of these parameters taken into account, it is possible to calculate the total resistance $R_I$ of 61.15 $\Omega$ ($R_0 + R_S + R_G$). The total load resistance $R_L$ was $R_l + R_S$. The voltages on $R_0$ and $R_l$ were measured for different distances between the coils. So, the currents in primary circuit and load, $i_1$ and $i_l$, respectively, could be found. Finally, the dissipated power at primary is $P_1 = R_1 \cdot i_1^2$ and at $R_L$ is $P_L = R_L \cdot i_l^2$. Fig. 4 shows the setup used in the experiments.

![Fig. 4: Setup used for experimentation, showing the oscilloscope, signal generator and, in the bottom, the 4 constructed coils.](image)

**A. 2-Coils Circuit Results**

For 2-coils WPT system, the measured efficiency was given by $P_1/(P_1+P_L)$ and relative power transferred by $P_L/P_{2MAX}$. The calculated values are given by (8) and (7), respectively. Fig. 5 presents the measured and calculated values of the efficiency and relative power for 100 $\Omega$ of load. Distance ranging from 2 to 32 cm. The dashed line points the maximum power transfer, for which efficiency is 50%, as predicted in theory.
Fig. 5. Practical (points) and theoretical (solid line) comparisons between efficiency and relative power ($P_i/P_{i,\text{MAX}}$) as function of distance between coils (for 2-coils set), for a load of 100 $\Omega$ ($R_2$ total of 103.54 $\Omega$). Dashed line marks maximum power and efficiency of 50% at distance of about 5 cm.

A comparison for three different loads values is presented in Fig. 6 and 7, for measured and calculated values of the efficiency and relative power, respectively. Maximum power transfers were registered for distance of 5, 6.5, and 10.5 cm for loads of 100, 50, and 10 $\Omega$, respectively.

Fig. 6. Efficiency as function of distance between coils (for 2-coils set). Dots are measured values for loads of 10, 50, and 100 $\Omega$ ($R_2$ total of 13.15, 53, and 103.54 $\Omega$, respectively). Solid curves are calculated using (8).
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B. 4-Coils Circuit Results

In 4-coils WPT system, measured efficiency could be given by the relation $P_L/(P_1+P_2+P_3+P_L)$. However, the resistances $R_2$ and $R_3$ are not external resistors, so $P_2$ and $P_3$ could not be measured directly. Thus, considering that all the power, delivered by the generator $P_G$, is dissipated by ohmic losses, the efficiency can be alternatively calculated by $P_L/P_G$, where $P_G = v_G i_i$. Theoretical values are calculated using (23) and (24).

Several different coils arrangements can be conducted with 4-coils WPT systems. Here two approaches are presented. First, it was imposed the same distance between adjacent coils in a range of 2 to 32 cm between them, yielding $k_{12} = k_{23} = k_{34}$. The results for the efficiency and relative power transferred for a load of 100 $\Omega$ are given in Fig. 8. Maximum power was measured at distance of 3 cm, whereas the calculated distance is 5 cm.

It can be noted that for distances smaller than approximately 5 cm the inter-couplings between non-adjacent coils ($k_{13}$, $k_{14}$, and $k_{24}$ different from zero) introduce errors, so that theoretical and practical results are not equal to each other. This is in agreement with the theory presented since the influence of non-adjacent coils ($k_{13}$, $k_{14}$, $k_{24}$) are not considered in the derived equations.
The second approach was to keep the distances between coils 1 and 2, and coils 3 and 4 constant at 10 cm, varying only the distance between coils 2 and 3 \cite{2}. In this way, the interference between non-adjacent coils can be neglected. Calculated efficiency and relative power \((P_d/P_{MAX})\) in function of distance and practical results are presented in the solid curves and dots of Fig. 9, respectively. A maximum efficiency of 29.14\% was obtained at 18.5 cm while the calculated was 29.12\% at 18 cm. For maximum power transfer, a value of 59.17\% at 14.5 cm was measured and 59.31\% at 15 cm was calculated. Note that, in the ideal case (without losses in coils 2 and 3, \(i.e. R_2=R_3=0\)), efficiency will increase as the distance between coils 2 and 3 increases, as previewed in the developed theory (see dashed line in Fig. 9). This behavior is opposite to the 2 coils arrangement. For real situations, maximum efficiency occurs for longer distances than maximum power point (as seen in (25) and (26)), or 18 cm and 15 cm, respectively, in this experimentation.

It is important to point out that theoretical values of Fig. 5 to 9 were calculated using practical values of coupling coefficient \((k)\), which were obtained in Fig. 3. Therefore, small irregularities can be observed in the curves. However, these insignificant errors cannot compromise the validity of this analysis.
Fig. 9. Measured (points) and calculated (solid line) through (24) and (23) efficiency ($\eta$) and relative power ($P_4/P_{4\text{MAX}}$) as function of distance between coils 2 and 3 with $100\ \Omega$ ($R_{\text{4 total}}$ of 103.54 $\Omega$). Distances between coils 1 to 2 and coils 3 to 4 were constant at 10 cm. Dashed and dotted lines are simulated $\eta$ and $P_4/P_{4\text{MAX}}$ for ideal case ($R_2=R_3=0$) using (22) and (21), respectively.

IV. CONCLUSION

The MPT conditions of 2-coils and 4-coils WPT system have been derived from circuit analysis and discussed together with the respective system power transfer efficiency, demonstrating that 4-coils system with $R_2=R_3=0$ presents, from maximum power transfer and efficiency point of view, a performance similar to those of a 2-coils system. The exception is the influence of coupling coefficient: in 2-coils system $\eta$ increases as $k_{12}$ approaches one, while in 4-coils system $\eta$ increases as $k_{23}$ approaches zero. Obviously, the condition $R_2=R_3=0$ is not attainable in common practical circuits, being used in this work only as a theoretical guide to allow the comparison between 2-coils and 4-coils WPT.

In fact, usually a 4-coils WPT system presents power losses at $R_2$ and $R_3$. For this condition, it has been also demonstrated that 4-coils WPT system has, as expected, its ability to transfer power to the load and its efficiency reduced as power losses at $R_2$ and $R_3$ increase. Here it is important to emphasize that, from efficiency point of view, a 2-coils or a 4-coils WPT system with $R_2=R_3=0$ are different from a 4-coils WPT system with power losses at $R_2$ and $R_3$ since the later presents a maximum efficiency as a function of $k_{23}$.

Note that in a series circuit classical MPT theorem teaches that if $R_{\text{SOURCE}}=R_{\text{LOAD}}$, power transferred to the load is maximum and $\eta$ is $\frac{1}{2}$, and that, keeping $R_{\text{SOURCE}}$ constant, $\eta$ increases as $R_{\text{LOAD}}$ increases. So that, for a given source ($R_{\text{SOURCE}}$ constant), the circuit designer makes $R_{\text{LOAD}}> R_{\text{SOURCE}}$ if his or her
aim is to increase $\eta$ (obviously, $R_{\text{LOAD}}=\infty$ implies $\eta=1$, however the power transferred to the load is zero). What the presented analysis demonstrated and practical results validated is that, starting from MPT condition (see (19) or (25)), the designer must reduce $k_{23}$ (instead of increase $k_{23}$ - at a first glance a more intuitive decision) if his or her aim is to increase $\eta$ of a 4-coils WPT system with $R_2=R_3=0$ or to optimize $\eta$ of a 4-coils WPT system with $R_2$ and $R_3 \neq 0$, since in this case $\eta$ presents a maximum as a function of $k_{23}$ (see (26)).

Very high Q-factor coils were recommended in previous works to achieve high power transfer efficiency with low coupling coefficient ($k \to 0$) [1–6]. As Q-factor is a fraction ($Q_x = \frac{\omega_x L_x}{R_x}$), clearly, it can be increased by decreasing the denominator or increasing the numerator. However, by increasing $\omega_0$, one is increasing all mutual inductances values and, consequently, reducing the power transferred to the load (see (21) and (23)), so that in the limit $P_4=0$ for any value of $k_{23}$. (Of course, to increase $L_2$ and $L_3$ also increase $M_{12}$, $M_{23}$ and $M_{34}$ which reduces $P_4$ of 4-coils WPT system with $R_2$ and $R_3 \neq 0$). In fact, the only manner to increase $Q_2$ and/or $Q_3$, to enhance power transfer efficiency, without disturb the other parameters is to decrease $R_2$ and/or $R_3$, respectively. Because of this, the authors decided do not use Q-factor concept in the equations.

Practical measurements had good agreement with the proposed analysis, either for 2-coils and 4-coils WPT circuit. Differences appear when coils are too close, because non-adjacent coils’ coupling coefficient cannot be neglected. Of course, it must be emphasized that if $M_{13}$ and/or $M_{14}$ and/or $M_{24}$ are significant, the presented analysis is clearly not valid anymore (see Fig. 8).

Finally, for 4-coils WPT circuit with ohmic losses in the communication coils ($L_2$ and $L_3$), as shown by (23) and (24), maximum $\eta$ and $P_4/P_{4\text{MAX}}$ points are not coincident. The first one occurs when coils are further to each other than the second one.

The authors hope that the presented circuit analysis can be useful for those involved in the design/implementation of 2-coils or 4-coils WPT systems, including the power electronics necessary to drive them.
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