Cervical spine dysfunction signs and symptoms in individuals with temporomandibular disorder

Frequência de sinais e sintomas de disfunção cervical em indivíduos com disfunção temporomandibular

ABSTRACT

Purpose: To study the frequency of cervical spine dysfunction (CCD) signs and symptoms in subjects with and without temporomandibular disorder (TMD) and to assess the craniocervical posture influence on TMD and CCD coexistence.

Methods: Participants were 71 women (19 to 35 years), assessed about TMD presence; 34 constituted the TMD group (G1) and 37 comprised the group without TMD (G2). The CCD was evaluated through the Craniovertebral Dysfunction Index and the Cervical Mobility Index. Subjects were also questioned about cervical pain. Craniovertebral posture was assessed by cephalometric analysis.

Results: There was no difference in the craniocervical posture between groups. G2 presented more mild CCD frequency and less moderate and severe CCD frequency (p=0.01). G1 presented higher percentage of pain during movements (p=0.03) and pain during cervical muscles palpation (p=0.01) compared to G2. Most of the TMD patients (88.24%) related cervical pain with significant difference when compared to G2 (p=0.00).

Conclusion: Craniovertebral posture assessment showed no difference between groups, suggesting that postural alterations could be more related to the CCD. Presence of TMD resulted in higher frequency of cervical pain symptom. Thus the coexistence of CCD and TMD signs and symptoms appear to be more related to the common innervations of the trigemino-cervical complex and hyperalgesia of the TMD patients than to craniovertebral posture deviations.

RESUMO

Objetivos: Investigar a frequência de sinais e sintomas de disfunção da coluna cervical (DCC) em indivíduos com e sem disfunção temporomandibular (DTM) e avaliar a influência da postura cranio cervical sobre a coexistência da DTM e da DCC. Métodos: Participaram 71 mulheres, com idades entre 19 e 35 anos, que foram avaliadas quanto à presença de DTM. Destas, 34 constituíram o grupo com DTM (G1) e 37 o grupo sem DTM (G2). A DCC foi avaliada pelo Índice de Disfunção Clínica Craniovertebral e pelo Índice de Mobilidade Cervical. Questionou-se, ainda, a queixa de dor cervical. A postura craniovertebral foi aferida por meio do traçado cefalométrico. Resultados: Não houve diferença na entre os grupos quanto à postura craniovertebral. O G2 apresentou maior frequência de DCC leve e menor frequência de DCC moderada ou grave (0,01). O G1 apresentou maiores percentuais de frequência de dor durante a execução do movimento e dor à palpação dos músculos cervicais. No G1, a maioria (88,24%) das participantes relatou dor cervical, com diferença em relação ao G2. Conclusão: Não houve diferença na postura craniovertebral entre os grupos, o que sugere que as alterações posturais estejam mais relacionadas à ocorrência de DCC. A presença de DTM resultou em maior frequência de sintomas dolorosos na região cervical. Assim, a coexistência de sinais e sintomas de DCC e DTM parece estar mais relacionada à inervação comum do complexo trigêmeo-cervical e à hiperalgésia de indivíduos com DTM do que à alteração postural craniovertebral.
INTRODUCTION

The cervical spine dysfunction (CCD) is a common condition. It is represented by a group of signs and symptoms that involve pain and limitation of range of the physiological movements, tenderness and/or pain on cervical muscles at palpation, besides the presence of articular noises and important cranio-cervical posture changes\(^1\)-\(^4\). Due to the close anatomical and functional relation that involves the cranio-cervicomandibular system, several studies have reported a high prevalence of signs and symptoms of CCD in subjects with temporomandibular disorder (TMD)\(^5\)-\(^10\).

Hypothesis based on the biomechanical and neurophysiological aspects can be found in the literature aiming to explain the CCD and TMD coexistence. One of them points out to the posturals changes of the head and cervical spine as a common causal and/or maintaining factor for such disorders. The forward head posture is frequently related to the neck pain\(^11\) due to the overload of the posterior cervical muscles in the attempt to keep the head balance over the spine. This posture is also related to TMD because of the modification of the mandibular condyle position that, in its turn, overloads the temporomandibular joints\(^12\)-\(^13\).

The interdependence between the sensormotor cervical and trigeminal systems is another possible cause of the TMD and CCD coexistence. Studies have reported the existence of a convergence of the cervical sensorial information with the afferent trigeminal nerves, which supply the orofacial region\(^14\). In addition to the common innervations, other studies concluded that TMD patients present a hyperexcitability of the nociceptive central neurons combined with an unbalance of the descending inhibitory paths that regulate the pain processing\(^15\)-\(^16\). Thus, subjects with cranio-mandibular disorders may report persistent pain in several parts of their body, mainly in the cervical region, due to its proximity to the orofacial region.

Considering the clinical implications that coexist in the relation between the dysfunctions that affect the cranio-cervicomandibular system, the present study proposed to investigate the frequency of signs and symptoms of CCD in subjects with and without TMD. Additionally, the influence of the cranio-cervical posture of these subjects on the TMD and DCC coexistence was evaluated. The results of the present study may provide useful support to the diagnosis and treatment of individuals with cranio-cervicomandibular disorders.

METHODS

Subjects

Women, 19 to 35 years of age, interested on a functional assessment of the orofacial and cervical regions were invited to take part in this study. The subjects were clarified about the purposes and procedures of the study and were included after signing the consent form. The project for this research was approved by the Ethics Committee in Research in Health of the Universidade Federal de Santa Maria (UFSM), under protocol number 0048.0.243.000-08.

The exclusion criteria of the study were: neuropsicomotor disease; facial and/or cranio-cervical trauma, deformities or surgical procedures; diagnostic of cervical herniated disc and current physiotherapeutic treatment and use of braces.

Out of the group of volunteers who contacted the researcher, 71 were selected to participate in the study. They were divided in two groups according to the presence of signs and symptoms of TMD. Subjects with one or more TMD diagnosis according to Research Diagnostic Criteria for Temporomandibular Disorder (RDC/TMD)\(^17\) were selected to form group 1 (G1). Group 2 (G2) was comprised by subjects that did not present any signs or symptoms of TMD according to RDC/TMD.

Thus, G1 was comprised by 34 women, mean age 23.4±3.4 years and Body Mass Index (BMI) of 22±3.44 kg/cm\(^2\). he G2 consisted of 37 women, mean age 23.8±3.4 years and BMI of 22.2±3.62 kg/cm\(^2\).

Temporomandibular disorder evaluation

All subjects underwent physical evaluation by RDC/TMD protocol, conducted by a single qualified examiner. The RDC/TMD diagnostic sub-groups are: myofascial disorders (Group I), disk displacements (Group II), arthralgia, osteoarthritis and osteoarthrosis (Group III). Each subject could present, at most, one muscular diagnostic (Group I) added to a one Group II diagnostic and one Group III diagnostic for each temporomandibular joint separately.

Cervical dysfunction evaluation

For cervical spine assessment the Cranio-cervical Dysfunction Index (CCDI) and the Cervical Mobility Index (CMI)\(^1\), which classifies the individual with respect of absence or presence of mild, moderate and severe dysfunction were used.

The CCDI has five items which measures: the range of cervical movements, pain reported during these movements performance, cervical joint changes (noise, click or blockage of vertebral articulation on movements), pain reported at cervical muscle palpation, and cranio-cervical posture. For CMI evaluation all physiological cervical ranges of motion were measured using a fleximeter. These tests were carried out by a single trained examiner.

Considering that CCDI assesses only the pain symptoms referred during the provoked pain tests of movement and cervical muscle palpation, it was also questioned, in the anamnesis, the complaint of pain on cervical region. The presence of this complaint characterized CCD as symptomatic and, your absence with CCDI alterations, as silent CCD.

Cranio-cervical posture evaluation

For the cephalometric analysis, the volunteers underwent a right lateral cranium and cervical column radiograph in orthostatic position. In order to reproduce the natural head positioning, the volunteers were oriented to glance at their eyes in a mirror placed at one-meter distance\(^2\)-\(^8\).

---
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At lateral radiograph register, three variables referent to head and cervical spine posture were evaluated. Flexion/extension head position in relation to superior cervical spine was measured through Rocabado craniovertebral angle, recently referred on literature\(^{(19-21)}\). This angle is formed by McGregor Plan (plan which tangencies the base of the occipital bone till it reaches the posterior nasal spine) and the Odontoid Plan (which comes from the top of the odontoid process of C2 till a most anterior and inferior point of the body of C2).

The forward head posture was evaluated through an angle formed by the intersection of CPL line (Cranio cervical Postural Line) in relation to the true horizontal line\(^{(18)}\). The CPL line tangencies the central points demarcated on the body of the first six cervical vertebrae.

To the analysis of the cervical spine the CVT/EVT ratio was used\(^{(21,22)}\). The CVT line intercepts the apex of the bone prominence of the second cervical vertebra and the most posterior and inferior point of the fourth cervical vertebra. The EVT line intercepts the most posterior and inferior points of the fourth and five cervical vertebrae.

The variables were measured manually by only one examiner. Fifteen radiographs were randomly selected for a second analysis after one week in order to verify the cephalometric trace reliability.

**Statistical analysis**

The intra-class correlation coefficient – ICC (software SPSS 17.0) verified the reliability of the cephalometric measurements. ICC values above 0.70 are usually used as “sufficiently reproducible” thresholds. Values under 0.70 are consider not acceptable, between 0.91 and 0.79 acceptable, between 0.80 and 0.89 very satisfactory and above 0.90, excellent. The other analyses were done through the software STATISTICA 7.1. To verify data’s normality, the Lilliefors test was used in the cephalometric variables and in age and ICM demographic variables. For comparison among averages the non parametric Mann-Whitney test for independent samples was used. A descriptive statistics verified the subject percentage of volunteers in each group, according to the presence of temporomandibular disorder, cervical dysfunction degree and sub-items of Cranio cervical Dysfunction Index – CCDI. The Chi-square test analyzed the difference of the percentage between the groups. A significance level of 5% (p<0.05) was admitted.

**RESULTS**

Out of 71 assessed subjects, 52.11% (n=37) did not present symptoms and/or clinical signs of TMD. Yet, in 47.88% (n=34) at least one TMD diagnostic was detected: 41.1% myofascial TMD; 47.05% mixed TMD, i.e., myofascial pain associated to group II and/or III TMD diagnostic and 11.76% presented only group III TMD diagnostic. With reference to demographic data, the groups were homogeneous, with no differences related to age (p=0.1) and BMI (p=0.7).

The ICC results referring the postural variables demonstrated excellent reliability levels (CPL/Hor=0.95; CVT/EVT=0.99; ACV=0.98). The cranio cervical posture was similar between the groups (Table 1).

**Table 1. Comparison between G1 and G2 groups regarding cranio cervical posture variables**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Postural variables</th>
<th>G1 (n=34)</th>
<th>G2 (n=37)</th>
<th>p-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CPL/Hor</td>
<td>Mean 81</td>
<td>Mean 82</td>
<td>0.72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SD</td>
<td>4.9</td>
<td>5.1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EVT/CVT</td>
<td>Mean 4.4</td>
<td>Mean 7.2</td>
<td>0.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SD</td>
<td>7.2</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CVA</td>
<td>Mean 102</td>
<td>Mean 101</td>
<td>0.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SD</td>
<td>7.6</td>
<td>8.7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Mann-Whitney test (p<0.05)

**Note:** G1 = temporomandibular disorder group; G2 = group without temporomandibular disorder

**Figure 1. Subjects with and without temporomandibular disorder classified according to the extension of cervical spine dysfunction**

Subjects of G1 presented a higher percentage of pain complaint in the cervical region, compared to the G2 (p=0.00) (Figure 2).

There were differences between the groups regarding the provoked pain symptom in the cervical region. In pain evaluation during movement, G1 presented higher frequency of pain symptom in at least one movement compared to the G2 (p=0.03). During cervical muscles palpation, the results showed reference of pain in a greater number of areas in G1 (p=0.01) (Table 2)

**DISCUSSION**

The cephalometric analysis demonstrated that the cranio cervical posture was similar in both groups. This result reinforces the data of recent studies\(^{(19,20)}\), which did not find postural
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**Table 2. Clinical signs of CCD according with TMD diagnostic**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Clinical signs</th>
<th>G1 (n=34)</th>
<th>G2 (n=37)</th>
<th>p-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Cervical mobility</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Normal</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>0.77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mildly damaged</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>19</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Severely damaged</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Pain on movement</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pain on movement</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>28</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pain at only one movement</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0.03*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pain at two or more movements</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Articular function</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sounds on movement</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blockage on movement</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Muscle palpation</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pain on palpation of one to a three areas</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>17</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pain on palpation of four or more areas</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>0.01*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Significant values, (p<0.05) – Chi-square test

**Note:** G1 = temporomandibular disorder group; G2 = group without temporomandibular disorder; f = frequency; CCD = cervical spine dysfunction; TMD = temporomandibular disorder

CCD was present in 100% of subjects of both groups, however, it was symptomatic in 88.24% of G1 and in 51.35% of G2. In another study, silent CCD was significantly more frequent in TMD patients, when compared to a control group(10). The authors described the silent CCD as a group of signs of cervical disorder that do not lead to the pain triggering in the region. The results of the present study are opposed to the findings mentioned above, since comparatively to the control group, the CCD symptomatic was more frequent in the TMD group. Such findings can be due to the fact that all subjects were women who present greater vulnerability to painful stimuli(15).

In this study, it became evident that subjects without TMD present higher frequency of light degree and lower of moderate or severe degree of CCD than TMD group, demonstrating a relation between TMD and CCD severity. In the literature, a greater prevalence of CCD has also been observed in patients with TMD, regardless the nature of the disorder(8,10).

A recent study reported a correlation between the cervical and mandibular disability, meaning that people with cranio mandibular pain present functional cervical disability besides the functional mandibular disability, (24). In another study, without the purpose of verifying the cause-effect relation between TMD and CCD, the authors concluded that there is a reciprocal relation between signs and symptoms of both disorders(25).

There was no difference between the groups regarding the cervical column mobility. This corroborates the results of other studies, where the presence of TMD did not result in a restriction of cervical spine movements(7,26).

In general, most part of the subjects of both groups did not present articular noises during cervical movements. Nevertheless, the difference between groups reached a value close to the significance level (p=0.05), since 20.59% and 5.41% of G1 and G2 subjects, respectively, presented noises during movements. The quality of cervical movements evaluated by means of CCIDI was shown to be related to the presence and severity of TMD (1).
A higher frequency of pain during two or more cervical movements was observed in TMD group. The cervical mobility evaluation did not confirm such association, since there was no difference between groups, supporting the hypothesis of hyperalgesia in TMD patients\(^{27,28}\).

The possibility of an association between hyperalgesia and TMD motivated researches investigating the generalized perception of pain in subjects affected by such disorder. For this, some authors proposed to evaluate the pain threshold at pressure in areas distant from the orofacial region. Pain threshold at pressure was lower in hipotenar region\(^{27}\) and also in other body areas\(^{28}\) in subjects with TMD compared to asymptomatic subjects. Therefore, the sensibilization at central level may be one of the explanations to the comorbidity between CDD and TMD and also support the algic complaints referred in several parts of the body by subjects with myogenic and mixed TMD\(^{15}\). The pain provoked test during palpation of the cervical muscles resulted in difference between groups, with more frequent pain symptom in four or more cervical areas in TMD subjects.

Patients with TMD report pain more frequently during palpation to the muscles of the upper body, especially the cervical ones\(^{10}\). In a recent study, the authors reported association between pain in the masticatory and trapezius and sternocleidomastoidoies muscles in subjects with myogenic TMD\(^{29}\). Additionally, among the cervical signs and symptoms that accompany the TMD severity, the pain during palpation of cervical muscles was the most important in this association\(^{11}\).

The previously mentioned generalized hyperalgesia may explain a more frequent perception of pain during cervical movements and a greater sensibility to the palpation of the cervical muscles observed in the study group.

CONCLUSION

Among the studied subjects there was no difference in the craniocevical posture of subjects with and without TMD. It suggests that the postural changes are more related to CCD occurrence.

The presence of TMD resulted in a higher frequency of painful symptom in the cervical region and referred pain during tests of range of movement and palpation of the cervical muscles. Therefore, it is believed that the coexistence of signs and symptoms of CDD and TMD may be more related to the common innervation of the trigemino cavernous complex and to the hyperalgesia in subjects with TMD than to the craniocevical posturedisorder.

Therefore, aspects related to the cervical spine must be considered by all professionals involved in the assessment and treatment of patients with TMD. Additionally, the TMD evaluation must be more complete, including not only the orofacial region, in order to provide a more comprehensive view of the disorders that affect the craniocevical mandibular complex.
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